



Data Spotlight: Housing First



Background

HOUSING FIRST | Service model that addresses homelessness by quickly getting individuals and households experiencing homelessness into housing without any preconditions or barriers.

DATA | Impacts-focused; Evaluations, case studies, and systematic reviews; Evidence



Community Preventive Services Task Force





COMMUNITY GUIDE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW | ~20 Subject matter experts reviewed evidence from 26 studies

CONCLUSION | The independent panel of public health and prevention experts appointed by the director of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends Housing First programs



Objectives: A standard approach to treating homeless persons with a disability is called Treatment First, requiring clients be "housing ready"—that is, in psychiatric treatment and substance-free—before and while receiving permanent housing. A more recent approach, Housing Frist, provides permanent housing and health, match Haelth, and other supportive services without requiring clients to be housing ready. To determine the relative effectiveness of these approaches, this systematic review compared the effects of both approaches on housing stability, health outcomes, and health care utilization among persons with disabilities experiencing homelessness.

Design: A systematic search (database inception to February 2018) was conducted using 8 databases with terms such as "housing first," "treatment first," and "supportive housing." Reference lists of included studies were also searched. Study design and threats to validity were assessed using Community Guide methods. Medians were calculated when appropriate.

Author Affliations Communy Guide Office, Office, Office of the Associate Detector for Follow ad Statety (Dor Pong Men, and Foreira and No Cobe). Division of STD Prevention, National Center for Hi/MADD, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Rollworth PC Mellians, and Chica of the STD, and TB Prevention, Rollworth PC Mellians, and Chica of the American State and Prevention, Allanta, Georgia UCA Freiding School of Public Health, Los Anglese, Caldinna dh' Freiding School of Public Neuros, New American, Caldinna Georgia, UCA Freiding School of Public Means, Meine Anger McManton, Berlans, Rayara Neuro, Madical School, Neuros, Neuro, Allanta, Caldinna dh' Freiding, University of Youron, Romato, Sanor, Riccia, California Of Freiding, Dateirot of Columbia Me Garrison, National Institute on Menoty Health and Health Department Hendenski, Mellow America, Richmond, Vispeira BC Mentgomeryi, Neuras and Affliations of the Community Prevention Bernica Department of Vestman, Richmond, Vispeira BC Mentgomeryi, Neuras and Hendenson et du Community Prevention Bernica Shore members and heights of the Community Prevention Bernica Tak Forea members and heights of the Community Praemide Shored patients, Neurosa Mentano et diversions of the Community Praemide Shored patients.

Systematic/Narrative Review

This review was done with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) as part of an InterAgency Agreement and was partially funded by the NIH. The work of Jamaia Gobb was supported with funds from the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Galaxion (IRRISE): The authors advancedge Ornalee A. Gorner (former) Library Science Branch, Division of Public Health Information Dissemination, Centers for Disasse Control and Pewantion for conducting the searches. Stacy A. Benton, from Cherolae Nation Businesses, provided input to the development of the manacript.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the US Department of Housing and Urban Development, or the National Institutes of Health.

The authors of this article declare they have no conflicts of interest. Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citation appears in the printed text and is provided in the HTML and PDF versions of

this anicle on the journal's Web site (http://www.JPHMPcom). Correspondence: Yinan Pang, PhD, MPH, Community Guide Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd, Malistop H21-10, Atlanta, GA 30239 (ypeng@cdc.gov).

Copyright @ 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. DOI: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000001219

September/October 2020 • Volume 26, Number

Copyright © 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited





Key Findings by Subgroup

Impacts for Clients Living with Disability



Compared with clients in control groups, clients in Housing First programs experienced the following:

- Median 41% greater housing stability
- Median 88% greater decrease in homelessness
- Median 5% greater improvement in quality of life
- Median 5% greater reduction in emergency department use
- 7% and 36% greater reduction in hospitalization

Impacts for Clients Living with HIV



Compared with clients in control groups, clients in Housing First programs experienced the following:

- 63% greater housing stability
- 38% greater reduction in homelessness
- Median 22% greater improvement in physical health
- 13% greater improvement in mental health
- 32% to 42% greater reduction in mortality
- 41% greater reduction in emergency department use
- 36% greater reduction in hospitalization







Economic Evidence: Economic Benefits Exceed Intervention Costs





IYXX

Median Economic Benefit Per Person Per Year: \$17,750

Benefit to Cost Ratio: 1.44 Societal cost savings of \$1.44 for every \$1 invested

Peng Y, Hahn RA, Finnie RK, Cobb J, Williams SP, Fielding JE, Johnson RL, Montgomery AE, Schwartz A, Muntaner C, Garrison VH. Permanent supportive housing with housing first to reduce homelessness and promote health among homeless populations with disability: a community guide systematic review. Journal of public health management and practice: JPHMP. 2020 Sep;26(5):404.





Thank you!