system usage and the user's responsibilities to safeguard data accessed in the system once access is granted; and (4) obtain the signature of the prospective user to certify the user's understanding of the Rules of Behavior and responsibilities associated with his/ her use of the EIV system.

HUD will collect the following information from each prospective user: Public Housing Agency (PHA) code, organization name, address, prospective user's full name, HUD-assigned user ID, position title, telephone number, facsimile number, type of work which involves the use of the EIV system, type of system action requested, requested access roles to be assigned to prospective user, public housing development numbers to be assigned to prospective PHA user, and prospective user's signature and date of request. The information will be collected electronically and manually (for those who are unable to transmit electronically) via a PDF-fillable or Word-fillable document, which can be e-mailed, faxed or mailed to HUD.

If this information is not collected, the Department will not be in compliance with the Federal Privacy Act and be subject to civil penalties.

Agency Form Numbers: Pending.

Members of Affected Public: Employees of Federal, State or Local Government or Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), and staff of PHA-hired management agents.

Estimation of the Total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: 17,939 respondents; requiring initial and periodic responses; 1.0 hour per initial response and 0.25 hours per updated periodic response; 18,825.50 total burden hours.

Status of the Proposed Information Collection: New Request. Pending Authorization.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: September 28, 2009.

### Bessy Kong,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Programs, and Legislative Initiatives. [FR Doc. E9–23969 Filed 10–5–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5342-N-01]

## Notice of Availability: Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Family Unification Program (FUP)

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

## ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD announces the availability on its Web site of the applicant information, deadline information, and other requirements for the Family Unification Program (FUP) NOFA for FY2009. Approximately \$14.6 million is made available through this NOFA, through the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Pub. L. 111-8, approved March 11, 2009). The FY2009 FUP NOFA that provides this information is available on the Grants.gov Web site at http:// apply07.grants.gov/apply/ forms app\_idx.html. A link to Grants.gov is also available on the HUD Web site at http://www.hud.gov/offices/ adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm. The Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for the Family Unification Program is 14.880. Applications submitted in response to the FY2009 FUP NOFA must be submitted electronically through Grants.gov.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions regarding specific program requirements should be directed to the agency contact identified in the program NOFA. Questions regarding the 2009 General Section should be directed to the Office of Departmental Grants Management and Oversight at 202–708– 0667 (this is not a toll-free number) or the NOFA Information Center at 1–800– HUD–8929 (toll-free). Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access these numbers via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

Dated: September 23, 2009.

#### Sandra B. Henriquez,

Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. E9–23970 Filed 10–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5349-N-01]

### Statutorily Mandated Designation of Difficult Development Areas and Qualified Census Tracts for 2010

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, HUD.

## ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document designates "Difficult Development Areas" (DDAs) and "Qualified Census Tracts" (QCTs) for purposes of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code) (26 U.S.C. 42). The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes new DDA designations annually and is making new designation of QCTs at this time on the basis of revised metropolitan statistical area (MSA) definitions published by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). In accordance with the Gulf Opportunity Zone (GO Zone) Act of 2005, the authorization for GO Zone DDAs expires on December 31, 2010 and consequently, this will be the last designation of GO Zone DDAs.

For further information contact:  $\operatorname{For}$ questions on how areas are designated and on geographic definitions, contact Michael K. Hollar, Senior Economist, **Economic Development and Public** Finance Division, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 8234, Washington, DC 20410-6000; telephone number (202) 402-5878, or send an email to Michael.K.Hollar@hud.gov. For specific legal questions pertaining to Section 42, contact Branch 5, Office of the Associate Chief Counsel, Passthroughs and Special Industries, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224; telephone number (202) 622-3040, fax number (202) 622-4753. For questions about the "HUB Zones" program, contact Mariana Pardo, Assistant Administrator for Procurement Policy, Office of Government Contracting, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW., Suite 8800, Washington, DC 20416; telephone number (202) 205-8885, fax number (202) 205-7167, or send an e-mail to hubzone@sba.gov. A text telephone is available for persons with hearing or speech impairments at 202-708-8339. (These are not toll-free telephone numbers.) Additional copies

of this notice are available through HUD User at 800–245–2691 for a small fee to cover duplication and mailing costs.

Copies Available Electronically: This notice and additional information about DDAs and QCTs are available electronically on the Internet at http:// www.huduser.org/datasets/qct.html. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### This Document

This notice designates DDAs for each of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The designations of DDAs in this notice are based on final Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 Fair Market Rents (FMRs), FY2009 income limits, and 2000 Census population counts, as explained below. This notice also lists those areas treated as DDAs under the Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005 (GO Zone Act) (Pub. L. 109–135; the GO Zone Act, as amended by the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act of 2007). Specifically, the GO Zone Act provides that areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the federal government under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act)" as a result of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma: (1) Shall be treated as DDAs designated under subclause (I) of Internal Revenue Code section 42(d)(5)(C)(iii)<sup>1</sup> (*i.e.*, areas designated by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development as having high construction, land, and utility costs relative to area median gross income (AMGI)), and (2) shall not be taken into account for purposes of applying the limitation under subclause II of such section (i.e., the 20 percent cap on the total population of designated areas). In accordance with the Go Zone Act as amended, GO Zone DDAs expire on December 31, 2010. Thus, this will be the last DDA designation containing GO Zone DDAs.

This notice also re-designates QCTs based on those newly defined MSAs published by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) since 2006 that have been included in HUD's Section 8 Income Limits though FY2009. New MSAs have been designated in Arizona and Florida, however these result only in changes to QCT designations in the new Arizona metropolitan area and the nonmetropolitan part of Arizona. The designations of QCTs under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code published September 28, 2006, (71 FR 57234) for the remainder of Arizona, the remaining 49 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, and on December 19, 2003, (68 FR 70982) for American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands, remain in effect because QCTs in these areas are not affected by the updated metropolitan area definitions.

## 2000 Census

Data from the 2000 Census on total population of metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas are used in the designation of DDAs. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) first published new metropolitan area definitions incorporating 2000 Census data in OMB Bulletin No. 03-04 on June 6, 2003, and updated them periodically through OMB Bulletin No. 08-01 on November 20, 2007. The FY2009 FMRs and FY2009 income limits used to designate DDAs are based on these new metropolitan statistical area (MSA) definitions, with modifications to account for substantial differences in rental housing markets (and, in some cases, median income levels) within MSAs. The most recent update of MSA definitions published in OMB Bulletin No. 09-01 on November 20, 2008 are inconsistent with the FY2009 FMRs and FY2009 income limits and therefore are not incorporated in these DDA and QCT designations.

### Background

The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) and its Internal Revenue Service (IRS) are authorized to interpret and enforce the provisions of the Code, including the LIHTC found at Section 42 of the Code. The Secretary of HUD is required to designate DDAs and QCTs by Section 42(d)(5)(C) (re-designated section 42(d)(5)(B) by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008) of the Code. In order to assist in understanding HUD's mandated designation of DDAs and QCTs for use in administering Section 42, a summary of the section is provided. The following summary does not purport to bind Treasury or the IRS in any way, nor does it purport to bind HUD, since HUD has authority to interpret or administer the Code only in instances where it receives explicit statutory delegation.

# Summary of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit

The LIHTC is a tax incentive intended to increase the availability of lowincome housing. Section 42 provides an income tax credit to owners of newly

constructed or substantially rehabilitated low-income rental housing projects. The dollar amount of the LIHTC available for allocation by each state (credit ceiling) is limited by population. Each state is allowed a credit ceiling based on a statutory formula indicated at Section 42(h)(3). States may carry forward unallocated credits derived from the credit ceiling for one year; however, to the extent such unallocated credits are not used by then, the credits go into a national pool to be redistributed to states as additional credit. State and local housing agencies allocate the state's credit ceiling among low-income housing buildings whose owners have applied for the credit. Besides Section 42 credits derived from the credit ceiling, states may also provide Section 42 credits to owners of buildings based on the percentage of certain building costs financed by taxexempt bond proceeds. Credits provided under the tax-exempt bond "volume cap" do not reduce the credits available from the credit ceiling.

The credits allocated to a building are based on the cost of units placed in service as low-income units under particular minimum occupancy and maximum rent criteria. In general, a building must meet one of two thresholds to be eligible for the LIHTC: Either 20 percent of the units must be rent-restricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 50 percent of the Area Median Gross Income (AMGI), or 40 percent of the units must be rent-restricted and occupied by tenants with incomes no higher than 60 percent of AMGI. The term "rentrestricted" means that gross rent, including an allowance for tenant-paid utilities, cannot exceed 30 percent of the tenant's imputed income limitation (*i.e.*, 50 percent or 60 percent of AMGI). The rent and occupancy thresholds remain in effect for at least 15 years, and building owners are required to enter into agreements to maintain the lowincome character of the building for at least an additional 15 years.

The LIHTC reduces income tax liability dollar-for-dollar. It is taken annually for a term of 10 years and is intended to yield a present value of either: (1) 70 percent of the "qualified basis" for new construction or substantial rehabilitation expenditures that are not federally subsidized (as defined in Section 42(i)(2), or (2) 30 percent of the qualified basis for the cost of acquiring certain existing buildings or projects that are federally subsidized. The actual credit rates are adjusted monthly for projects placed in service after 1987 under procedures specified in Section 42. Individuals can use the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 42(d)(5)(C)(iii) was re-designated section 42(d)(5)(B)(iii) by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008.

credits up to a deduction equivalent of \$25,000 (the actual maximum amount of credit that an individual can claim depends on the individual's marginal tax rate). For buildings placed in service after December 31, 2007, individuals can use the credits against the alternative minimum tax. Corporations, other than S or personal service corporations, can use the credits against ordinary income tax, and, for buildings placed in service after December 31, 2007, against the alternative minimum tax. These corporations also can deduct losses from the project.

The qualified basis represents the product of the building's "applicable fraction" and its "eligible basis." The applicable fraction is based on the number of low-income units in the building as a percentage of the total number of units, or based on the floor space of low-income units as a percentage of the total floor space of residential units in the building. The eligible basis is the adjusted basis attributable to acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction costs (depending on the type of LIHTC involved). These costs include amounts chargeable to a capital account that are incurred prior to the end of the first taxable year in which the qualified lowincome building is placed in service or, at the election of the taxpayer, the end of the succeeding taxable year. In the case of buildings located in designated DDAs or designated QCTs, eligible basis can be increased up to 130 percent from what it would otherwise be. This means that the available credits also can be increased by up to 30 percent. For example, if a 70 percent credit is available, it effectively could be increased to as much as 91 percent.

Section 42 of the Code defines a DDA as any area designated by the Secretary of HUD as an area that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the AMGI. All designated DDAs in metropolitan areas (taken together) may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all metropolitan areas, and all designated areas not in metropolitan areas may not contain more than 20 percent of the aggregate population of all nonmetropolitan areas.

Under section 42(d)(5)(B) of the Code, a Qualified Census Tract is any census tract (or equivalent geographic area defined by the Bureau of the Census) in which at least 50 percent of households have an income less than 60 percent of the AMGI or, where the poverty rate is at least 25 percent. There is a limit on the number of Qualified Census Tracts in any metropolitan statistical area that may be designated to receive an increase in eligible basis: All of the designated census tracts within a given metropolitan area may not together contain more than 20 percent of the total population of the metropolitan area. For purposes of HUD designations of Qualified Census Tracts, all nonmetropolitan areas in a state are treated as if they constituted a single nonmetropolitan area.

The GO Zone Act provides that areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma shall be treated as DDAs designated under subclause I of Internal Revenue Code section 42(d)(5)(C)(iii) (i.e., areas designated by the Secretary of HUD as having high construction, land, and utility costs relative to AMGI), and shall not be taken into account for purposes of applying the limitation under subclause II of such section (i.e., the 20 percent cap on the total population of designated areas). This notice lists the affected areas described in the GO Zone Act. Because the populations of DDAs designated under the GO Zone Act are not counted against the statutory 20 percent cap on the aggregate population of DDAs, the total population of designated metropolitan DDAs (regular and GO Zone) listed in this notice exceeds 20 percent of the total population of all MSAs, and the population of all nonmetropolitan DDAs listed in this notice exceeds 20 percent of the total population of nonmetropolitan counties. In accordance with the GO Zone Act as amended, the authorization for GO Zone DDAs expires on December 31, 2010 and consequently, this will be the last designation of GO Zone DDAs.

Section 42(d)(5)(C)(v) as added to the Code by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, and re-designated as Section 42(d)(5)(B)(v), allows states to award an increase in basis up to 30 percent to buildings located outside of federally designated DDAs and QCTs if the increase is necessary to make the building financially feasible. This state discretion applies only to buildings allocated credits under the state housing credit ceiling and is not permitted for buildings receiving credits in connection with tax-exempt bonds. Rules for such designations shall be set forth in the LIHTC-allocating agencies' qualified allocation plans (QAPs).

## Explanation of HUD Designation Methodology

### A. Difficult Development Areas

This notice lists all areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma as DDAs according to lists of counties and parishes from the Federal Emergency Management Agency Web site (*http:// www.fema.gov/*). Affected metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas are assigned the indicator "[GO Zone]" in the lists of DDAs.

In developing the list of the remaining DDAs, HUD compared housing costs with incomes. HUD used 2000 Census population data and the MSA definitions, as published in OMB Bulletin No. 08-01 on November 20, 2007, with modifications, as described below. In keeping with past practice of basing the coming year's DDA designations on data from the preceding year, the basis for these comparisons is the FY2009 HUD income limits for very low-income households (Very Low-Income Limits, or VLILs), which are based on 50 percent of AMGI, and final FY2009 FMRs used for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program. In formulating the FY2009 FMRs and VLILs, HUD modified the current OMB definitions of MSAs to account for substantial differences in rents among areas within each new MSA that were in different FMR areas under definitions used in prior years. HUD formed these "HUD Metro FMR Areas" (HMFAs) in cases where one or more of the parts of newly defined MSAs that previously were in separate FMR areas had 2000 Census base 40th-percentile recentmover rents that differed, by 5 percent or more, from the same statistic calculated at the MSA level. In addition, a few HMFAs were formed on the basis of very large differences in AMGIs among the MSA parts. All HMFAs are contained entirely within MSAs. All nonmetropolitan counties are outside of MSAs and are not broken up by HUD for purposes of setting FMRs and VLILs. (Complete details on HUD's process for determining FY2009 FMR areas and FMRs are available at http:// www.huduser.org/datasets/fmr/fmrs/ fy2009 code/index.asp?data=fmr09. Complete details on HUD's process for determining FY2009 income limits are available at http://www.huduser.org/ datasets/il/il09/index.html.)

HUD's unit of analysis for designating metropolitan DDAs, therefore, consists of: Entire MSAs, in cases where these were not broken up into HMFAs for purposes of computing FMRs and VLILs; and HMFAs within the MSAs that were broken up for such purposes. Hereafter in this notice, the unit of analysis for designating metropolitan DDAs will be called the HMFA, and the unit of analysis for nonmetropolitan DDAs will be the nonmetropolitan county or county equivalent area. The procedure used in making the DDA calculations follows:

1. For each HMFA and each nonmetropolitan county, a ratio was calculated. This calculation used the final FY2009 two-bedroom FMR and the FY2009 four-person VLIL.

a. The numerator of the ratio was the area's final FY2009 FMR. In general, the FMR is based on the 40th-percentile gross rent paid by recent movers to live in a two-bedroom apartment. In metropolitan areas granted a FMR based on the 50th-percentile rent for purposes of improving the administration of HUD's HCV program (see 71 FR 5068), the 40th-percentile rent was used to ensure nationwide consistency of comparisons.

b. The denominator of the ratio was the monthly LIHTC income-based rent limit, which was calculated as  $\frac{1}{12}$  of 30 percent of 120 percent of the area's VLIL (where the VLIL was rounded to the nearest \$50 and not allowed to exceed 80 percent of the AMGI in areas where the VLIL is adjusted upward from its 50 percent-of-AMGI base).

2. The ratios of the FMR to the LIHTC income-based rent limit were arrayed in descending order, separately, for HMFAs and for nonmetropolitan counties.

3. The non-GO Zone DDAs are those HMFAs and nonmetropolitan counties not in areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma, with the highest ratios cumulative to 20 percent of the 2000 population of all HMFAs and of all nonmetropolitan counties, respectively.

### B. Qualified Census Tracts

In developing this list of QCTs, HUD used 2000 Census 100-percent count data on total population, total households, and population in households; a special tabulation of household income at the tract level from the 2000 Census; the 2000 Census base AMGIs computed at the HMFA level as described above to determine tract eligibility; and the MSA definitions published in OMB Bulletin No. 08–01 on November 20, 2007, for determining how many eligible tracts can be designated under the statutory 20 percent population cap.

HUD uses the HMFA-level AMGIs to determine QCT eligibility because the statute, specifically 26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(iv)(II), refers to the same section of the Code that defines income for purposes of tenant eligibility and unit maximum rent, specifically 26 U.S.C. 42(g)(4). By rule, the IRS sets these income limits according to HUD's VLILs, which in FY2006 and thereafter are established at the HMFA level. Similarly, HUD uses the entire MSA to determine how many eligible tracts can be designated under the 20 percent population cap as required by the statute (26 U.S.C. 42(d)(5)(B)(ii)(III)), which states that MSAs should be treated as singular areas. The QCTs were determined as follows:

1. To be eligible to be designated a QCT, a census tract must have 50 percent of its households with incomes below 60 percent of the AMGI or have a poverty rate of 25 percent or more. In metropolitan areas, HUD calculates 60 percent of AMGI by multiplying by a factor of 0.6 the HMFA median family income for 1999, as estimated by HUD from 2000 Census data. Outside of metropolitan areas, HUD calculates 60 percent of AMGI by multiplying by a factor of 0.6 the state-specific, nonmetropolitan balance median family income for 1999, as estimated by HUD. (For a complete listing of HMFA median family incomes for 1999, see http:// www.huduser.org/datasets/il/il09/ *msacounty medians.pdf.* For a complete listing of state non-metropolitan balance median family incomes for 1999, see http://www.huduser.org/datasets/il/ il09/Medians2009.pdf.)

2. For each census tract, the percentage of households below the 60 percent income standard (income criterion) was determined by: (a) Calculating the average household size of the census tract, (b) applying the income standard after adjusting it to match the average household size, and (c) calculating the number of households with incomes below the income standard. In performing this calculation, HUD used a special tabulation of household income data from the 2000 Census that provides more detail than the data on household income distribution publicly released by the Census Bureau and used in the designation of QCTs published December 12, 2002. Therefore, even in MSAs where there was no geographic change, a different set of census tracts may be determined eligible and designated as QCTs based on these more accurate data. HUD's special tabulations of census tract household income

distribution are available for download from http://qct.huduser.org/tables/ data request.odb.

3. For each census tract, the poverty rate was determined by dividing the population with incomes below the poverty line by the population for whom poverty status has been determined.

4. QCTs are those census tracts in which 50 percent or more of the households meet the income criterion, or 25 percent or more of the population is in poverty, such that the population of all census tracts that satisfy either one or both of these criteria does not exceed 20 percent of the total population of the respective area.

5. In areas where more than 20 percent of the population resides in eligible census tracts, census tracts are designated as QCTs in accordance with the following procedure:

a. Eligible tracts are placed in one of two groups. The first group includes tracts that satisfy both the income and poverty criteria for QCTs. The second group includes tracts that satisfy either the income criterion or the poverty criterion, but not both.

b. Tracts in the first group are ranked from lowest to highest on the income criterion. Then, tracts in the first group are ranked from lowest to highest on the poverty criterion. The two ranks are averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In the event of a tie, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.

c. Tracts in the second group are ranked from lowest to highest on the income criterion. Then, tracts in the second group are ranked from lowest to highest on the poverty criterion. The two ranks are then averaged to yield a combined rank. The tracts are then sorted on the combined rank, with the census tract with the highest combined rank being placed at the top of the sorted list. In the event of a tie, more populous tracts are ranked above less populous ones.

d. The ranked first group is stacked on top of the ranked second group to yield a single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible census tracts.

e. Working down the single, concatenated, ranked list of eligible tracts, census tracts are designated until the designation of an additional tract would cause the 20 percent limit to be exceeded. If a census tract is not designated because doing so would raise the designated population percentage above 20 percent, subsequent census tracts are then considered to determine if one or more census tract(s) with smaller population(s) could be designated without exceeding the 20 percent limit.

# C. Application of Population Caps to DDA Determinations

In identifying DDAs, HUD applied caps, or limitations, as noted above. The cumulative population of metropolitan DDAs not in areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all metropolitan areas. The cumulative population of nonmetropolitan DDAs not in areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Katrina, Rita, or Wilma cannot exceed 20 percent of the cumulative population of all nonmetropolitan areas.

In applying these caps, HUD established procedures to deal with how to treat small overruns of the caps. The remainder of this section explains those procedures. In general, HUD stops selecting areas when it is impossible to choose another area without exceeding the applicable cap. The only exceptions to this policy are when the next eligible excluded area contains either a large absolute population or a large percentage of the total population, or the next excluded area's ranking ratio, as described above, was identical (to four decimal places) to the last area selected, and its inclusion resulted in only a minor overrun of the cap. Thus, for both the designated metropolitan and nonmetropolitan DDAs, there may be minimal overruns of the cap. HUD believes the designation of additional areas in the above examples of minimal overruns is consistent with the intent of the Code. As long as the apparent excess is small due to measurement errors, some latitude is justifiable because it is impossible to determine whether the 20 percent cap has been exceeded. Despite the care and effort involved in a Decennial Census, the Census Bureau and all users of the data recognize that the population counts for a given area and for the entire country are not precise. Therefore, the extent of the measurement error is unknown. There can be errors in both the numerator and denominator of the ratio of populations used in applying a 20 percent cap. In circumstances where a strict application of a 20 percent cap results in an anomalous situation, recognition of the unavoidable imprecision in the census

data justifies accepting small variances above the 20 percent limit.

# *D. Exceptions to OMB Definitions of MSAs and Other Geographic Matters*

# As stated in OMB Bulletin 08–01, defining metropolitan areas:

OMB establishes and maintains the definitions of Metropolitan \* \* \* Statistical Areas, \* \* \* solely for statistical purposes. \* \* \* OMB does not take into account or attempt to anticipate any non-statistical uses that may be made of the definitions[.] In cases where \* \* \* an agency elects to use the Metropolitan \* \* \* Area definitions in nonstatistical programs, it is the sponsoring agency's responsibility to ensure that the definitions are appropriate for such use. An agency using the statistical definitions in a nonstatistical program may modify the definitions, but only for the purposes of that program. In such cases, any modifications should be clearly identified as deviations from the OMB statistical area definitions in order to avoid confusion with OMB's official definitions of Metropolitan \* \* \* Statistical Areas.

Following OMB guidance, the estimation procedure for the FY2009 FMRs incorporates the current OMB definitions of metropolitan areas based on the Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) standards, as implemented with 2000 Census data, but makes adjustments to the definitions, in order to separate subparts of these areas in cases where FMRs (and in a few cases, VLILs) would otherwise change significantly if the new area definitions were used without modification. In CBSAs where sub-areas are established, it is HUD's view that the geographic extent of the housing markets are not yet the same as the geographic extent of the CBSAs, but may approach becoming so as the social and economic integration of the CBSA component areas increases.

The geographic baseline for the new estimation procedure is the CBSA Metropolitan Areas (referred to as Metropolitan Statistical Areas or MSAs) and CBSA Non-Metropolitan Counties (nonmetropolitan counties include the county components of Micropolitan CBSAs where the counties are generally assigned separate FMRs). The HUDmodified CBSA definitions allow for subarea FMRs within MSAs based on the boundaries of "Old FMR Areas" (OFAs) within the boundaries of new MSAs. (OFAs are the FMR areas defined for the FY2005 FMRs. Collectively, they include the June 30, 1999, OMB definitions of MSAs and Primary MSAs (old definition MSAs/PMSAs), metropolitan counties deleted from old definition MSAs/PMSAs by HUD for FMR-setting purposes, and counties and county parts outside of old definition MSAs/PMSAs referred to as nonmetropolitan counties.) Subareas of MSAs are assigned their own FMRs when the subarea 2000 Census Base FMR differs significantly from the MSA 2000 Census Base FMR (or, in some cases, where the 2000 Census base AMGI differs significantly from the MSA 2000 Census Base AMGI). MSA subareas, and the remaining portions of MSAs after subareas have been determined, are referred to as "HUD Metro FMR Areas (HMFAs)," to distinguish such areas from OMB's official definition of MSAs.

In addition, Waller County, Texas, which is part of the Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA, is not an area "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma. It is, therefore, excluded from the definition of the Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA and is assigned the FMR and VLIL of the Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA and is evaluated as if it were a separate metropolitan area for purposes of designating DDAs. The Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA is assigned the indicator "(part)" in the list of Metropolitan DDAs.

In the New England states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont), HMFAs are defined according to county subdivisions or minor civil divisions (MCDs), rather than county boundaries. However, since no part of a HMFA is outside an OMB-defined, county-based MSA, all New England nonmetropolitan counties are kept intact for purposes of designating Nonmetropolitan DDAs.

For the convenience of readers of this notice, the geographical definitions of designated Metropolitan DDAs are included in the list of DDAs.

The Census Bureau provides no tabulations of 2000 Census data for Broomfield County, Colorado, an area that was created from parts of four Colorado counties when the city of Broomfield became a county in November 2001. Broomfield County is made up of former parts of Adams, Boulder, Jefferson, and Weld Counties. The boundaries of Broomfield County are similar, but not identical to, the boundaries of the city of Broomfield at the time of the 2000 Census. In OMB metropolitan area definitions and, therefore, for purposes of this notice, Broomfield County is included as part of the Denver-Aurora, CO MSA. Census tracts in Broomfield County include the parts of the Adams, Boulder, Jefferson, and Weld County census tracts that

were within the boundaries of the city of Broomfield according to the 2000 Census, plus parts of three Adams County tracts (85.15, 85.16, and 85.28), and one Jefferson County tract (98.25) that were not within any municipality during the 2000 Census but which, according to Census Bureau maps, are within the boundaries of Broomfield County. Data for Adams, Boulder, Jefferson, and Weld Counties and their census tracts were adjusted to exclude the data assigned to Broomfield County and its census tracts.

### **Future Designations**

DDAs are designated annually as updated income and FMR data are made public. QCTs are designated periodically as new data become available, or as metropolitan area definitions change. QCTs are being updated at this time to reflect the recent changes to 2000 Census-based metropolitan area definitions (OMB Bulletin 03–04, June 6, 2003, as updated through OMB Bulletin 08–01, November 20, 2007).

### Effective Date

For DDAs designated by reason of being in areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma (the GO Zone Designation), the designation is effective:

(1) For housing credit dollar amounts allocated and buildings placed in service during the period beginning on January 1, 2006, and ending on December 31, 2010; or

(2) For purposes of Section 42(h)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, for buildings placed in service during the period beginning on January 1, 2006, and ending on December 31, 2010, but only with respect to bonds issued after December 31, 2005.

The 2010 lists of DDAs that are not part of the GO Zone Designation are effective:

(1) For allocations of credit after December 31, 2009; or

(2) For purposes of Section 42(h)(4) of the Code, if the bonds are issued and the building is placed in service after December 31, 2009.

If an area is not on a subsequent list of DDAs, the 2010 lists are effective for the area if:

(1) The allocation of credit to an applicant is made no later than the end of the 365-day period after the applicant submits a complete application to the LIHTC-allocating agency, and the submission is made before the effective date of the subsequent lists; or

(2) For purposes of Section 42(h)(4) of the Code, if:

(a) The bonds are issued or the building is placed in service no later than the end of the 365-day period after the applicant submits a complete application to the bond-issuing agency, and

(b) the submission is made before the effective date of the subsequent lists, provided that both the issuance of the bonds and the placement in service of the building occur after the application is submitted.

An application is deemed to be submitted on the date it is filed if the application is determined to be complete by the credit-allocating or bond-issuing agency. A "complete application" means that no more than *de minimis* clarification of the application is required for the agency to make a decision about the allocation of tax credits or issuance of bonds requested in the application.

In the case of a "multiphase project," the DDA or QCT status of the site of the project that applies for all phases of the project is that which applied when the project received its first allocation of LIHTC. For purposes of Section 42(h)(4) of the Code, the DDA or QCT status of the site of the project that applies for all phases of the project is that which applied when the first of the following occurred: (a) The building(s) in the first phase were placed in service or (b) the bonds were issued.

For purposes of this notice, a "multiphase project" is defined as a set of buildings to be constructed or rehabilitated under the rules of the LIHTC and meeting the following criteria:

(1) The multiphase composition of the project (*i.e.*, total number of buildings and phases in project, with a description of how many buildings are to be built in each phase and when each phase is to be completed, and any other information required by the agency) is made known by the applicant in the first application of credit for any building in the project, and that applicant identifies the buildings in the project for which credit is (or will be) sought;

(2) The aggregate amount of LIHTC applied for on behalf of, or that would eventually be allocated to, the buildings on the site exceeds the one-year limitation on credits per applicant, as defined in the QAP of the LIHTCallocating agency, or the annual per capita credit authority of the LIHTC allocating agency, and is the reason the applicant must request multiple allocations over 2 or more years; and

(3) All applications for LIHTC for buildings on the site are made in immediately consecutive years.

Members of the public are hereby reminded that the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, or the Secretary's designee, has sole legal authority to designate DDAs and QCTs by publishing lists of geographic entities as defined by, in the case of DDAs, the several states and the governments of the insular areas of the United States and, in the case of QCTs, by the Census Bureau; and to establish the effective dates of such lists. The Secretary of the Treasury, through the IRS thereof, has sole legal authority to interpret, and to determine and enforce compliance with the Code and associated regulations, including Federal Register notices published by HUD for purposes of designating DDAs and QCTs. Representations made by any other entity as to the content of HUD notices designating DDAs and QCTs that do not precisely match the language published by HUD should not be relied upon by taxpayers in determining what actions are necessary to comply with HUD notices.

### **Interpretive Examples of Effective Date**

For the convenience of readers of this notice, interpretive examples are provided below to illustrate the consequences of the effective date in areas that gain or lose DDA status. The term "regular DDA," as used below, refers to DDAs that are designated by the Secretary of HUD as having high construction, land, and utility costs relative to AMGI. The term "GO Zone DDA" refers to areas "determined by the President to warrant individual or individual and public assistance from the Federal Government" under the Stafford Act by reason of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, or Wilma. The examples covering regular DDAs are equally applicable to QCT designations.

*(Case A)* Project A is located in a 2010 regular DDA that is not a designated regular DDA in 2011. A complete application for tax credits for Project A is filed with the allocating agency on November 15, 2010. Credits are allocated to Project A on October 30, 2011. Project A is eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2010 regular DDA because the application was filed before January 1, 2011 (the assumed effective date for the 2011 regular DDA lists), and because tax credits were allocated no later than the end of the 365-day period after the filing of the complete application for an allocation of tax credits.

(Case B) Project B is located in a 2010 regular DDA that is NOT a designated regular DDA in 2011 or 2012. A complete application for tax credits for Project B is filed with the allocating agency on December 1, 2010. Credits are allocated to Project B on March 30, 2012. Project B is not eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2010 regular DDA because, although the application for an allocation of tax credits was filed before January 1, 2011 (the assumed effective date of the 2011 regular DDA lists), the tax credits were allocated later than the end of the 365day period after the filing of the complete application.

(*Case C*) Project C is located in a 2010 regular DDA that was not a DDA in 2009. Project C was placed in service on November 15, 2009. A complete application for tax-exempt bond financing for Project C is filed with the bond-issuing agency on January 15, 2010. The bonds that will support the permanent financing of Project C are issued on September 30, 2010. Project C is NOT eligible for the increase in basis otherwise accorded a project in a 2010 DDA because the project was placed in service before January 1, 2010.

(Case D) Project D is located in an area that is a regular DDA in 2010, but is NOT a regular DDA in 2011. A complete application for tax-exempt bond financing for Project D is filed with the bond-issuing agency on October 30, 2010. Bonds are issued for Project D on April 30, 2011, but Project D is not placed in service until January 30, 2012. Project D is eligible for the increase in basis available to projects located in 2010 regular DDAs because: (1) one of the two events necessary for triggering the effective date for buildings described in Section 42(h)(4)(B) of the Code (the two events being bonds issued and buildings placed in service) took place on April 30, 2011, within the 365day period after a complete application for tax-exempt bond financing was filed, (2) the application was filed during a time when the location of Project D was in a regular DDA, and (3) both the issuance of the bonds and placement in service of project D occurred after the application was submitted.

*(Case E)* Project E is located in a GO Zone DDA. The bonds used to finance Project E are issued on July 1, 2010, and Project E is placed in service July 1, 2012. Project E is not eligible for the increase in basis available to projects in GO Zone DDAs because it was not placed in service during the period that began on January 1, 2006, and ends on December 31, 2010.

(*Case F*) Project F is located in a GO Zone DDA. The bonds used to finance Project F were issued July 1, 2005, and Project F is placed in service on July 1, 2010. Project F is not eligible for the increase in basis available to projects in GO Zone DDAs because the bonds used to finance project F were issued before January 1, 2006.

(Case G) Project G is a multiphase project located in a 2010 regular DDA that is NOT a designated regular DDA in 2011. The first phase of Project G received an allocation of credits in 2010, pursuant to an application filed March 15, 2010, which describes the multiphase composition of the project. An application for tax credits for the second phase Project G is filed with the allocating agency by the same entity on March 15, 2011. The second phase of Project G is located on a contiguous site. Credits are allocated to the second phase of Project G on October 30, 2011. The aggregate amount of credits allocated to the two phases of Project G exceeds the amount of credits that may be allocated to an applicant in one year under the allocating agency's QAP and is the reason that applications were made in multiple phases. The second phase of Project G is, therefore, eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2010 regular DDA, because it meets all of the conditions to be a part of a multiphase project.

(Case H) Project H is a multiphase project located in a 2010 regular DDA that is NOT a designated regular DDA in 2011. The first phase of Project H received an allocation of credits in 2010, pursuant to an application filed March 15, 2010, which does not describe the multiphase composition of the project. An application for tax credits for the second phase of Project H is filed with the allocating agency by the same entity on March 15, 2012. Credits are allocated to the second phase of Project H on October 30, 2012. The aggregate amount of credits allocated to the two phases of Project H exceeds the amount of credits that may be allocated to an applicant in one year under the allocating agency's QAP. The second phase of Project H is,

therefore, not eligible for the increase in basis accorded a project in a 2010 regular DDA, since it does not meet all of the conditions for a multiphase project, as defined in this notice. The original application for credits for the first phase did not describe the multiphase composition of the project. Also, the application for credits for the second phase of Project H was not made in the year immediately following the first phase application year.

### **Findings and Certifications**

### Environmental Impact

In accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4 of the regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality and 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6) of HUD's regulations, the policies and procedures contained in this notice provide for the establishment of fiscal requirements or procedures that do not constitute a development decision affecting the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites and, therefore, are categorically excluded from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, except for extraordinary circumstances, and no Finding of No Significant Impact is required.

### Federalism Impact

Executive Order 13132 (entitled "Federalism") prohibits an agency from publishing any policy document that has federalism implications if the document either imposes substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments and is not required by statute, or the document preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the executive order. This notice merely designates DDAs as required under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, for the use by political subdivisions of the states in allocating the LIHTC. This notice also details the technical methodology used in making such designations. As a result, this notice is not subject to review under the order.

Dated: September 25, 2009.

### Raphael W. Bostic,

Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

State	Metropolitan Area	Metropolitan Area Components	nents		
Alabama	Mobile, AL MSA [GO Zone]	Mobile County			
	Tuscaloosa, AL MSA [GO Zone]	Greene County	Hale County	Tuscaloosa County	
Arizona	Flagstaff, AZ MSA	Coconino County			
	Prescott, AZ MSA	Yavapai County			
	Yuma, AZ MSA	Yuma County			
California	Fresno, CA MSA	Fresno County			
	Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA HMFA	Los Angeles County			
	Napa, CA MSA	Napa County			
	Orange County, CA HMFA	Orange County			
	Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA MSA	Ventura County			
	Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA MSA	Riverside County	San Bernardino County		
	Salinas, CA MSA	Monterey County			
	San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA MSA	San Diego County			
	San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles, CA MSA	San Luis Obispo County			
	Santa Barbara-Santa Maria, CA MSA	Santa Barbara County			
	Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA MSA	Santa Cruz County			
	Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA MSA	Sonoma County			
Florida	Bradenton-Sarasota-Venice, FL MSA	Manatee County	Sarasota County		
	Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL MSA [GO Zone]	Lee County			
	Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL MSA	Volusia County			
	Fort Lauderdale, FL HMFA [GO Zone]	Broward County			
	Miami-Miami Beach-Kendali, FL HMFA [GO Zone]	Miami-Dade County			
	Naples-Marco Island, FL MSA [GO Zone]	Collier County			
	Ocala, FL MSA	Marion County			
	Orlando-Kissimmee, FL MSA	Lake County	Orange County	Osceola County	Seminole County
	Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL MSA [GO Zone]	Brevard County			
	Paim Coast, FL MSA	Flagler County			
	Port St. Lucie-Fort Pierce, FL MSA [GO Zone]	Martin County	St. Lucie County		
	Punta Gorda, FL MSA	Charlotte County			
	Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL MSA [GO Zone]	Indian River County			
	Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL MSA	Hernando County	Hillsborough County	Pasco County	Pinellas County
	West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HMFA [GO Zone]	Paim Beach County			
Hawaii	Honolulu, HI MSA	Honolulu County			
Louisiana	Baton Rouge, LA HMFA [GO Zone]	Ascension Parish	East Baton Rouge Parish	East Feliciana Parish	Livingston Parish
		Pointe Coupee Parish	St. Helena Parish	West Baton Rouge Parish	West Feliciana Parish
	Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux, LA MSA [GO Zone]	Lafourche Parish	Terrebonne Parish		
	Iberville Parish, LA HMFA [GO Zone]	Iberville Parish			
	Lafayette, LA MSA [GO Zone]	Lafayette Parish	St. Martin Parish		
	Lake Charles, LA MSA [GO Zone]	Calcasieu Parish	Cameron Parish		
	New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA MSA [GO Zone]	Jefferson Parish	Orleans Parish	Plaquemines Parish	St. Bernard Parish
		St. Charles Parish	St. John the Baptist Parish	St. Tammany Parish	
Massachusetts		Barnstable County			
	Brockton, MA HMFA	Abington town	Avon town	Bridgewater town	Brockton city
		East Bridgewater town	Halifax town	Hanson town	Lakevite town
		Marion town	Mattapoisett town	Middleborough town	Plympton town

\_

	merropolitan Area Components	ents		
Gulfport-Biloxi, MS MSA [GO Zone]	Hancock County	Harrison County	Stone County	
Hattiesburg, MS MSA [GO Zone]	Forrest County	Lamar County	Perry County	
Jackson, MS HMFA [GO Zone]	Copiah County	Hinds County	Madison County	Rankin County
Pascagoula, MS MSA [GO Zone]	George County	Jackson County		
Simpson County, MS HMFA [GO Zone]	Simpson County			
Tunica County, MS HMFA	Tunica County			
Las Vegas-Paradise, NV MSA	Clark County			
c City, NJ MSA	Atlantic County			
Jersey City, NJ HMFA	Hudson County			
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ MSA	Cumberland County			
Nassau-Suffolk, NY HMFA	Nassau County	Suffolk County		
New York, NY HMFA	Bronx County	Kings County	New York County	Putnam County
	Queens County	Richmond County	Rockland County	Westchester County
Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX MSA [GO Zone]	Hardin County	Jefferson County	Orange County	
Brazoria County, TX HMFA [GO Zone]	Brazoria County			
Corpus Christi, TX HMFA	Nueces County	San Patricio County		
Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA (part) [GO Zone]	Chambers County	Fort Bend County	Galveston County	Harris County
	Liberty County	Montgomery County	San Jacinto County	
Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastián, PR MSA	Aguada Municipio	Aguadilla Municipio	Aňasco Municipio	Isabela Municipio
	Lares Municipio	Moca Municipio	Rincón Municipio	San Sebastián Municipio
Arecibo, PR HMFA	Arecibo Municipio	Camuy Municipio	Hatillo Municipio	
Barranquitas-Aibonito-Quebradillas, PR HMFA	Aibonito Municipio	Barranquitas Municipio	Ciales Municipio	Maunabo Municipio
	Orocovis Municipio	Quebradillas Municipio		
Caguas, PR HMFA	Caguas Municipio	Cayey Municipio	Cidra Municipio	Gurabo Municipio
	San Lorenzo Municipio			
Fajardo, PR MSA	Ceiba Municipio	Fajardo Municipio	Luquillo Municipio	
Guayama, PR MSA	Arroyo Municipio	Guayama Municipio	Patillas Municipio	
Mayagüez, PR MSA	Harmigueros Municipio	Mayagüez Municipio		
Ponce, PR MSA	Juana Díaz Municipio	Ponce Municipio	Villalba Município	
San Germán-Cabo Rojo, PR MSA	Cabo Rojo Municipio	Lajas Municipio	Sabana Grande Municipio	San Germán Municipio
San Juan-Guaynabo, PR HMFA	Aguas Buenas Municipio	Barceloneta Municipio	Bayamón Municipio	Canóvanas Municipio
	Carolina Municipio	Cataño Municipio	Comerio Municipio	Corozal Municipio
	Dorado Municipio	Florida Município	Guaynabo Municipio	Humacao Municipio
	Juncos Municipio	Las Piedras Municipio	Loiza Municipio	Manati Municipio
	Morovis Municipio	Naguabo Municipio	Naranjito Municipio	Rio Grande Municipio
	San Juan Municipio	Toa Alta Municipio	Toa Baja Municipio	Trujilo Alto Municipio
	Vega Alta Municipio	Vega Baja Municipio	Yabucoa Municipio	
	ISA DI, NJ MSA A [GO Zone] A [GO Zone] Jand, TX HMFA ( Jand, TX HMFA ( Jastián, PR MSA PR MSA HMFA	gas-Paradise, NV MSA Clark County   Gity, NJ MSA Clark County   City, NJ MSA Clark County   City, NJ MSA Cumberland County   City, NJ MFA Cumberland County   Suffolk, NY HMFA Cumberland County   risuffolk, NY HMFA Bronx County   ont-Port Arthur, TX MSA [GO Zone] Hardin County   Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HMFA (part) [GO Zone] Hardin County   Ill-stabela-San Sebastián, PR MSA Aguada Municipio   o, PR HMFA Aguada Municipio   o, PR MSA Aguas Buenas Municipio   o, PR MSA <td>gas-Paradise, NV MSA Clark County   City, NJ MKSA Alardic County   City, NJ HMFA Hudson County   City, NJ HMFA Hudson County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Hudson County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Cumberland County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Bronx County   Researcounty, TX HMFA (Go Zone) Hardin County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Bronx County   Researcounty, TX HMFA (Go Zone) Hardin County   Rescond Nassau County   Rescond Richarond County   Richarond County Bronx County   Richarond County&lt;</td> <td>ISA     Clark County       Atlantic County     Atlantic County       Atlantic County     Kings County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Kings County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Richmond County       Attain County     Bend County       Attain County     Neeces County       Attain County     Bend County       Attain County     Montgomery County       Attain County     Montgomery County       Attain County     Anticipio       Attain County     Anticipio       Attain Attain     Anticipio       Attainticipio     County       Atta Municipio     County  &lt;</td>	gas-Paradise, NV MSA Clark County   City, NJ MKSA Alardic County   City, NJ HMFA Hudson County   City, NJ HMFA Hudson County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Hudson County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Cumberland County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Bronx County   Researcounty, TX HMFA (Go Zone) Hardin County   Serfolk, NY HMFA Bronx County   Researcounty, TX HMFA (Go Zone) Hardin County   Rescond Nassau County   Rescond Richarond County   Richarond County Bronx County   Richarond County<	ISA     Clark County       Atlantic County     Atlantic County       Atlantic County     Kings County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Kings County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Suffolk County       Atlantic County     Richmond County       Attain County     Bend County       Attain County     Neeces County       Attain County     Bend County       Attain County     Montgomery County       Attain County     Montgomery County       Attain County     Anticipio       Attain County     Anticipio       Attain Attain     Anticipio       Attainticipio     County       Atta Municipio     County  <

2010 IRS SECTION 42(d)(5)(B) METROPOLITAN DIFFICULT DEVELOPMENT AREAS

Alabama	Baldwin County [GO Zone]	Choctaw County [GO Zone]	Clarke County [GO Zone]	Marengo County [GO Zone]
	Pickens County [GO Zone]	Sumter County [GO Zone]	Washington County [GO Zone]	•
Alaska	Aleutians East Borough	Bethel Census Area	Bristol Bay Borough	Dillingham Census Area
	Harnes Borough	Juneau City and Borough	Ketchikan Gateway Borough	Kodiak Island Borough
	Lake and Peninsula Borough	Nome Census Area	North Slape Borough	Northwest Arctic Borough
	Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan Census Area	Sitka City and Borough	Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon Census Area	
	Valdez-Cordova Census Area	Wade Hampton Census Area	Wrangell-Petersburg Census Area	
	Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area		•	•
Arizona	Apache County	Cochise County	Gila County	Graham County
	La Paz County	Navajo County	Santa Cruz County	•
Arkansas	Baxter County	Montgomery County		
California	Alpine County	Amador County	Calaveras County	Colusa County
	Del Norte County	Glenn County	Humboldt County	Invo County
	Lake County	Lassen County	Mariposa County	Mendocino County
	Modoc County	Mono County	Nevada County	Plumas County
	Sierra County	Siskiyou County	Tehama County	Trinity County
	Tuolumne County			
Colorado	Archuleta County	Custer County	Dolores County	Eagle County
	Garfield County	Hinsdale County	Jackson County	Lake County
	Mineral County	Ouray County	Pitkin County	Rio Blanco County
	Routt County	San Juan County	San Miguel County	Summit County
Florida	Calhoun County	Citrus County	Columbia County	DeSoto County
	Franklin County	Glades County [GO Zone]	Gulf County	Hardee County
	Hendry County [GO Zone]	Highlands County	Holmes County	Liberty County
	Madison County	Monroe County [GO Zone]	Okeechobee County [GO Zone]	Putnam County
	Taylor County	Union County	Walton County	
Georgia	Decatur County	Gilmer County	Gordon County	Polk County
	Rabun County	Taibot County	Towns County	Troup County
	Union County	White County		
Hawaii	Hawaii County	Kalawao County	Kauai County	Maui County
Idaho	Benewah County	Bonner County	Boundary County	Cassia County
	Gooding County	Idaho County	Jerome County	Lincoln County
V				
Nentucky			Elliott County	Fulton County
		Montgomery County	Nicholas County	Owen County
	Powell County	Simpson County	Todd County	Whitley County
Louisiana	Acadia Parish [GO Zone]	Allen Parish [GO Zone]	Assumption Parish [GO Zone]	Beauregard Parish [GO Zone]
	Bienville Parish	Claiborne Parish	Evangeline Parish [GO Zone]	Iberia Parish [GO Zone]
	Jenerson Davis Parish (GO Zone)	Natchitoches Parish	Red River Parish	Sabine Parish [GO Zone]
	St. James Parish [GO Zone]	St. Landry Parish [GO Zone]	St. Mary Parish [GO Zone]	Tangipahoa Parish [GO Zone]
	Vermilion Parish [GO Zone]	Vernon Parish [GO Zone]	Washington Parish [GO Zone]	
Maine	Knox County	Lincoln County	Piscataquis County	Waldo County
Massachusetts	Dukes County	Nantucket County		
Michigan	benzie County	Grand Traverse County	Otsego County	
Mississippi	Adams County [GO Zone]	Amite County [GO Zone]	Attala County [GO Zone]	Benton County
	Bolivar County	Chickasaw County	Choctaw County [GO Zone]	Claiborne County [GO Zone]
	Clarke County [GO Zone]	Coahoma County	Covington County [GO Zone]	Franklin County [GO Zone]
	Greene County [GO Zone]	Grenada County	Holmes County [GO Zone]	Humphreys County [GO Zone]
	Issaquena County	Jasper County [GO Zone]	Jefferson County [GO Zone]	Jefferson Davis County [GO Zone]
			•	

Mississippi (cont'd)	Lawrence County (GO Zone)	Leake County (GO Zone)	Leffore County	Lincoln County (GO Zone)
	Lowndes County [GO Zone]	Marion County [GO Zone]	Montaomery County	Neshoha County (GO Zone)
	Newton County (GO Zone)	Novithee County (GO Zone)	Outibheha County (CO Zona)	Danda County (OC Exite)
		Pilo County (CO Zood)		
				Scott County (SU Zone)
	Sharkey County		Suntiower County	l allahatchie County
	Tippah County	Waithall County [GO Zone]	Warren County [GO Zone]	Washington County
	Wayne County [GO Zone]	Wilkinson County [GO Zone]	Winston County [GO Zone]	Yalobusha County
	Yazoo County [GO Zone]			
Missouri	Taney County			
Montana	Beaverhead County	Madison County	Meagher County	Mineral County
Nevada	Churchill County	Douglas County		
New Hampshire	Belknap County	Carroll County	Cheshire County	Grafton County
	Merrimack County			
New Mexico	Guadalupe County	McKinley County	Mora County	San Miguel County
	Taos County			
New York	Clinton County	Columbia County	Cortland County	Delaware County
	Essex County	Fulton County	Genesee County	Greene County
	Hamilton County	Jefferson County	Otsego County	Schuyler County
	Seneca County	Sullivan County	Yates County	
North Carolina	Avery County	Chowan County	Cleveland County	Dare County
	Gates County	Hyde County	Jones County	McDowell County
	Mitchell County	Pasquotank County	Perquimans County	Rutherford County
	Transylvania County	Tyrrell County	Watauga County	Wilson County
Oklahoma	Hughes County	Muskogee County	Okfuskee County	Payne County
Oregon	Coos County	Crook County	Curry County	Douglas County
	Grant County	Hood River County	Josephine County	Lincoln County
	Linn County	Morrow County	Tillamook County	Wheeler County
Pennsylvania	Monroe County	Wayne County		
South Carolina	Beaufort County	Jasper County		
Tennessee	Bedford County	Haywood County		
Texas	Anderson County	Angelina County [GO Zone]	Bailey County	Baylor County
	Bee County	Borden County	Brewster County	Briscoe County
	Brooks County	Brown County	Burnet County	Camp County
	Cass County	Castro County	Cherokee County	Childress County
	Cochran County	Coke County	Coleman County	Collingsworth County
	Comanche County	Cottle County	Crockett County	Culberson County
	Dallam County	Dawson County	Deaf Smith County	DeWitt County
	Dickens County	Dimmit County	Duval County	Eastland County
	Edwards County	Erath County	Falls County	Fisher County
	Floyd County	Foard County	Franklin County	Frio County
	Gaines County	Garza County	Gillespie County	Gonzales County
	Grimes County	Hale County	Hall County	Hamilton County
	Hardeman County	Haskell County	Henderson County	Hockley County
	Hopkins County	Houston County	Hudspeth County	Jasper County [GO Zone]
	Jim Hogg County	Jim Wells County	Karnes County	Kenedy County
	Kent County	Kerr County	Kimble County	Kinney County
	Kleberg County	Knox County	Lamar County	Lamb County
	La Salle County	Lavaca County	Leon County	Llano County
	Lynn County	McCulloch County	McMullen County	Madison County

State Texas (cont'd)				
Texas (cont'd)	NONIMETROPONIAN COUNTIES OF COUNTY EQUIVAIENTS	livalents		
	Mills County	Mitchell County	Montague County	Morris County
	Motley County	Nacogdoches County [GO Zone]	Navarro County	Newton County [GO Zone]
	Noian County	Palo Pinto County	Parmer County	Pecos County
	Polk County [GO Zone]	Presidio County	Real County	Red River County
	Reeves County	Refugio County	Runnels County	Sabine County [GO Zone]
	San Augustine County [GO Zone]	San Saba County	Shelby County [GO Zone]	Starr County
	Stephens County	Stonewall County	Swisher County	Terrell County
	Terry County	Throckmorton County	Titus County	Trinity County [GO Zone]
	Tyler County [GO Zone]	Uvalde County	Val Verde County	Walker County [GO Zone]
	Ward County	Willacy County	Winkter County	Yoakum County
	Young County	Zapata County	Zavala County	
Utah	Duchesne County	Uintah County		
Vermont	Addison County	Bennington County	Lamoille County	Orange County
	Rutland County	Windham County	Windsor County	
Virginia	Northampton County			
Washington	Clallam County	Jefferson County	Lewis County	San Juan County
West Virginia	Barbour County	Braxton County	Calhoun County	Doddridge County
	Fayette County	Gilmer County	Grant County	Lewis County
	Logan County	McDowell County	Mingo County	Nicholas County
	Pendleton County	Pocahontas County	Roane County	Summers County
	Taylor County	Upshur County	Webster County	Wyoming County
Wyoming	Teton County			
American Samoa	Eastern District	Manu'a District	Swains Island	Western District
Guam	Guam			
Northern Mariana Islands	Northern Islands Municipality	Rota Municipality	Saipan Municipality	Tinian Municipality
Virgin Islands	St. Croix	St. John	St. Thomas	

Nonmetropolitan Difficult Development Areas labeled "[GO Zone]" are designated through 2010 in accordance with the Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005, as amended by the U.S. Troops Readiness, Veteran's Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act of 2007. Their populations do not count against the 20 percent population cap on nonmetropolitan areas designated as Difficult Development Areas by reason of high contruction, land, and utility costs relative to Area Median Gross Income.

[FR Doc. E9–23967 Filed 10–5–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### **Minerals Management Service**

[Docket No. MMS-2009-OMM-0013]

### MMS Information Collection Activity: 1010–0006, Leasing of Sulphur or Oil and Gas in the Outer Continental Shelf and Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing, Extension of a Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of an information collection (1010–0006).

**SUMMARY:** To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), MMS is inviting comments on a collection of information that we will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The information collection request (ICR) concerns the paperwork requirements in the regulations under 30 CFR 256, "Leasing of Sulphur or Oil and Gas in the Outer Continental Shelf," and 30 CFR 260, "Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing."

**DATES:** Submit written comments by December 7, 2009.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Blundon, Regulations and Standards Branch at (703) 787–1607. You may also contact Cheryl Blundon to obtain a copy, at no cost, of the regulations and the forms that require the subject collection of information.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either of the following methods listed below.

• Electronically: go to *http:// www.regulations.gov.* In the entry titled "Enter Keyword or ID," enter docket ID MMS–2009–OMM–0013 then click search. Under the tab "View by Docket Folder" you can submit public comments and view supporting and related materials available for this collection of information. The MMS will post all comments.

• Mail or hand-carry comments to the Department of the Interior; Minerals Management Service; Attention: Cheryl Blundon; 381 Elden Street, MS–4024; Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817. Please reference Information Collection 1010– 0006 in your subject line and include your name and return address.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* 30 CFR Part 256, "Leasing of Sulphur or Oil and Gas in the Outer Continental Shelf," and 30 CFR Part 260, "Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Leasing."

*Form(s):* MMS–150, MMS–151, MMS–152, MMS–2028, and MMS–2028A.

OMB Control Number: 1010-0006. Abstract: The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1331 et seq., and 43 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), authorizes the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to prescribe rules and regulations to administer leasing of the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease. Operations on the OCS must preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; and to preserve and maintain free enterprise competition. Also, the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975 (EPCA) prohibits certain lease bidding arrangements (42 U.S.C. 6213(c)).

The Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952 (IOAA), 31 U.S.C. 9701, authorizes Federal agencies to recover the full cost of services that provide special benefits. Under the Department of the Interior's (DOI) policy implementing the IOAA, the Minerals Management Service (MMS) is required to charge the full cost for services that provide special benefits or privileges to an identifiable non-Federal recipient above and beyond those that accrue to the public at large. Instruments of transfer of a lease or interest are subject to cost recovery, and MMS regulations specify the filing fee for these transfer applications.

These authorities and responsibilities are among those delegated to the MMS under which we issue regulations

governing oil and gas and sulphur operations in the OCS. This ICR addresses the regulations at 30 CFR Part 256, "Leasing of Sulphur or Oil and Gas in the OCS," 30 CFR Part 260, "OCS Oil and Gas Leasing," and the associated supplementary Notices to Lessees and Operators (NTLs) intended to provide clarification, description, or explanation of these regulations. This ICR also concerns the use of forms to process bonds per subpart I, Bonding, the transfer of interest in leases per subpart J, Assignments, Transfers and Extensions, and the filing of relinquishments per subpart K, Termination of Leases. The forms are:

• MMS–2028, OCS Mineral Lessee's and Operator's Bond,

• MMS–2028A, OCS Mineral Lessee's and Operator's Supplemental Plugging and Abandonment Bond,

• MMS–150, Assignment of Record Title Interest in Federal OCS Oil and Gas Lease,

• MMS–151, Assignment of Operating Rights Interest in Federal OCS Oil and Gas Lease,

• MMS–152, Relinquishment of Federal OCS Oil and Gas Lease.

We will protect specific individual replies from disclosure as proprietary information according to section 26 of the OCS Lands Act, the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and its implementing regulations (43 CFR part 2), and 30 CFR 256.10(d). No items of a sensitive nature are collected. Responses are mandatory or are required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency:* The frequency of response is mostly on occasion, annual.

Description of Respondents: Respondents comprise Federal oil and gas or sulphur lessees and/or operators.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: The currently approved annual reporting burden for this collection is 17,103 hours. The following chart details the individual components and respective hour burden estimates of this ICR. In calculating the burdens, we assumed that respondents perform certain requirements in the normal course of their activities. We consider these to be usual and customary and took that into account in estimating the burden.

Citation 30 CFR part 256 and NTLs	Reporting and/or recordkeeping requirement	Hour burden
		Non-hour cost burden
Subparts A, C, E, H, L, M	None	0