full investigation and appropriate civil and/or criminal proceedings.

IV. Records To Be Matched

HUD will conduct the matching of tenant SSNs, full names, and dates of births (DOB) to tenant data HUD supplies from its Tenant Rental Assistance Certification System (TRACS) (HUD/H–11) and Public and Indian Housing Information Center (PIC) system (HUD/PIH–4). Program administrators utilize the form HUD–50058 module within the PIC system and the form HUD–50059 module within the TRACS to provide HUD with the tenant data.

HUD will match the tenant records included in HUD/H–11 and HUD/PIH–4 to NDNH records contained in HHS’ "Location and Collection System of Records," No. 09–90–0074. HUD will place the resulting matched data into its Enterprise Income Verification (EIV) system (HUD/PIH–5). The notice for this system was published at 72 FR 17589, and subsequently updated and published in the Federal Register on September 1, 2009, at 74 FR 45235. Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and purposes of such uses was published in that Notice.

V. Period of the Match

The matching program will become effective and the matching may commence after the respective Data Integrity Boards (DBs) of both agencies approve and sign the computer matching agreement, and after, the later of the following: (1) 40 days after report of the matching program is sent to Congress and OMB; (2) at least 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register, unless comments are received, which would result in a contrary determination. The computer matching program will be conducted according to agreement between HUD and HHS. The computer matching agreement for the planned match will terminate either when the purpose of the computer matching program is accomplished, or 18 months from the effective date. The agreement may be renewed for one 12-month period, with the mutual agreement of all involved parties, if the following conditions are met:

(1) Within three months of the expiration date, all Data Integrity Boards (DBs) review the agreement, find that the program will be conducted without change, and find a continued favorable examination of benefit/cost results; and (2) All parties certify that the program has been conducted in compliance with the agreement.

The agreement may be terminated, prior to accomplishment of the computer matching purpose or 18 months from the date the agreement is signed (whichever comes first), by the mutual agreement of all involved parties within 30 days of written notice.


Dated: July 17, 2013.

Harold E. Williams,
Acting Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–18795 Filed 8–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–5725–N–01]

Proposed Fair Market Rents for the Housing Choice Voucher Program, Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy Program and Other Programs Fiscal Year 2014

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research, HUD.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Fair Market Rents (FMRs).

SUMMARY: Section 8(c)(1) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (USHA) requires the Secretary to publish FMRs periodically, but not less than annually, adjusted to be effective on October 1 of each year. The primary uses of FMRs are to determine payment standards for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program, to determine initial rental rents for some expiring project-based Section 8 contracts, to determine initial rents for Housing Assistance payment contracts in the Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy program, and to serve as rent ceilings in the HOME program. FMRs are also used in the calculation of maximum award amounts for Continuum of Care grantees. Today’s notice provides proposed FY 2014 FMRs for all areas that reflect the estimated 40th and 50th percentile rent levels trended to April 1, 2014. The FY 2014 FMRs are based on 5-year, 2007–2011 data collected by the American Community Survey (ACS). These data are updated by one-year ACS data for areas where statistically valid one-year ACS data is available. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) rent and utility indexes are used to further update the data from 2011 to the end of 2012. HUD continues to use ACS data in different ways according to the statistical reliability of rent estimates for areas of different population sizes and counts of rental units.

The proposed FY 2014 FMRs are based on Office of Management and Budget (OMB) metropolitan area definitions as updated through December 1, 2009 and include HUD modifications that were first used in the determination of FY 2006 FMR areas. The February 28, 2013 OMB Area definition update has not been incorporated in the FMR process due to the timely of the release and the availability of ACS data. HUD will work toward incorporating these area definitions into the Proposed FY 2015 FMR calculations; however, this is dependent on the availability of ACS data conforming to the new area definitions.

The proposed FY 2014 FMRs in this notice reflect several updates to the methodology used to calculate FMRs. HUD has updated the information used to calculate FMRs in Puerto Rico. Puerto Rico FMRs are now based on 2007–2011 Puerto Rico Community Survey (PRCS) data (the PRCS is a part of the ACS program). Moreover, HUD is using Consumer Price Index data calculated specifically for Puerto Rico rather than using South Census Region CPI data. Additionally, these FMRs continue to use the annually updated trend factor calculation methodology. This trend factor for the FY2014 FMRs is based on the change in national gross rents from 2006 to 2011.

DATES: Comment Due Date: September 4, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding the proposed FMRs to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0001. Communications must refer to the above docket number and title and should contain the information specified in the “Request for Comments” section. There are two methods for submitting public comments.

1. Submission of Comments by Mail.

Comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500. Due to security measures at all federal agencies, however, submission of comments by mail often results in delayed delivery. To ensure timely receipt of comments, HUD recommends that comments submitted by mail be submitted at least two weeks in advance of the public comment deadline.
2. Electronic Submission of Comments. Interested persons may submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available to the public. Comments submitted electronically through the http://www.regulations.gov Web site can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

No Facsimile Comments. Facsimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable.

Public Inspection of Public Comments. All properly submitted comments and communications submitted to HUD will be available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays at the above address. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, an advance appointment to review the public comments must be scheduled by calling the Regulations Division at 202–708–3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339. Copies of all comments submitted are available for inspection and downloading at http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical information on the methodology used to develop FMRs or a listing of all FMRs, please call the HUD USER information line at 800–245–2691 or access the information on the HUD USER Web site http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr.html. FMRs are listed at the 40th or 50th percentile in Schedule B. For informational purposes, 40th percentile recent-mover rents for the areas with 50th percentile FMRs will be provided in the HUD FY 2014 FMR documentation system at http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr/fmars/docsys.html?data=fmr14 and 50th percentile rents for all FMR areas will be published at http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/50per.html after publication of final FY 2014 FMRs.

Section 8(c) of the USHA requires the Secretary of HUD to publish FMRs periodically, but not less frequently than annually. Section 8(c) states, in part, as follows:

Proposed fair market rentals for an area shall be published in the Federal Register with reasonable time for public comment and shall become effective upon the date of publication in final form in the Federal Register. Each fair market rental in effect under this subsection shall be adjusted to be effective on October 1 of each year to reflect changes, based on the most recent available data, so the rentals will be current for the year to which they apply, of rents for existing or newly constructed rental dwelling units, as the case may be, of various sizes and types in this section.

HUD’s regulations at 24 CFR part 888 provide that HUD will develop proposed FMRs, publish them for public comment, provide a public comment period of at least 30 days, analyze the comments, and publish final FMRs. (See 24 CFR 888.115.) In addition, HUD’s regulations at 24 CFR 888.113 set out procedures for HUD to assess whether areas are eligible for FMRs at the 50th percentile. Minimally qualified areas are reviewed each year unless not qualified to be reviewed. Areas are not qualified to be reviewed if they have been made a 50th-percentile area within the last three years or have lost 50th-percentile status for failure to de-concentrate within the last three years.

In FY 2013 there were 20 areas using 50th-percentile FMRs. Of these 20 areas, only one area, the Bergen-Passaic, NJ HMFA, has completed three years of program participation and is due for re-evaluation. Voucher tenant concentration in the Bergen-Passaic, NJ HMFA has decreased below what is required to be eligible for a 50th percentile FMR and the area has been ”graduated” from the 50th percentile program. Under current 50th percentile regulations, the Bergen-Passaic, NJ

As defined in 24 CFR 888.113(c), a minimally qualified area is an area with at least 100 census tracts where 70 percent or fewer of the census tracts with at least 10 two bedroom rental units are census tracts in which at least 30 percent of the two bedroom rental units have gross rents at or below the two bedroom FMR set at the 40th percentile. This continues to be evaluated with 2000 Decennial Census information. Although the 5-year ACS tract level data is available, HUD plans to implement new 50th percentile areas in conjunction with the implementation of new OMB area definitions.

II. Procedures for the Development of FMRs

Questions related to use of FMRs or voucher payment standards should be directed to the respective local HUD program staff. Questions on how to conduct FMR surveys or concerning further methodological explanations may be addressed to Marie L. Lihn or Peter B. Kahn, Economic and Market Analysis Division, Office of Economic Affairs, Office of Policy Development and Research, telephone 202–708–0590. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339. (Other than the HUD USER information line and TDD numbers, telephone numbers are not toll-free.)
HMFA will be evaluated annually and may return to the program in the future. In summary, there will be 19 50th-percentile FMR areas in FY 2014. These areas are indicated by an asterisk in Schedule B, where all FMRs are listed by state. The following table lists the FMR areas along with the year of their next evaluation.

**FY 2014 50TH-PERCENTILE FMR AREAS AND YEAR OF NEXT REEVALUATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth-Arlington, TX HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu, HI MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas-Paradise, NV MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Port-Bradenton-Sarasota, FL MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County, CA HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix-Mesa-Glendale, AZ MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento—Arden-Arcade—Roseville, CA HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA—NC MSA</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore-Towson, MD HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale, FL HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven-Meriden, CT HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA—NJ—DE—MD MSA</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond, VA HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HUD Metro FMR Area</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**III. FMR Methodology**

This section provides a brief overview of how the FY 2014 FMRs are computed. For complete information on how FMR areas are determined, and on how each area’s FMRs are derived, see the online documentation at [http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr/fmrs/docsys.html#data=fmr14](http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr/fmrs/docsys.html#data=fmr14).

The proposed FY 2014 FMRs are based on OMB metropolitan area definitions and standards that were first used in the FY 2006 FMRs. OMB’s changes to the area definitions through December 2009 are incorporated as are non-metropolitan county changes published by the Census Bureau through December 2011. The updated metropolitan area definitions published by OMB on February 28, 2013 were published after HUD contracted with the Census Bureau for the special tabulations of ACS data necessary to calculate FMRs; therefore, the FY 2014 area definitions are the same as those used in FY 2013. HUD anticipates that the new OMB area definitions will be incorporated into the FY 2015 or FY 2016 proposed FMRs, depending on the year that the Census Bureau incorporates these area definitions in its ACS data tabulations.

**A. Base Year Rents**

The U.S. Census Bureau released standard tabulations of 5-year ACS data collected between 2007 through 2011 in December of 2012. For FY 2014 FMRs, HUD used the 2007–2011 5-year ACS data to update the base rents as was done in FY 2012 using 2005–2009 ACS data and again in FY 2013, using 2006–

2010 data. HUD is also implementing new base rents for Puerto Rico FMRs based on 2007–2011 Puerto Rico Community Survey data collected through the American Community Survey program. HUD has not implemented the Puerto Rico Community Survey data as base rents in prior years due to concerns expressed about the adequacy of the survey results; however, when HUD implements the new OMB metropolitan area definitions, the Department will have no choice but to use the ACS data for determining FMRs in Puerto Rico. Consequently, the Department is implementing the new base rent data in FY 2014 rather than try to implement both base rent data and new area definitions at the same time.

FMRs are historically based on gross rents for recent movers (those who have moved into their current residence in the last 24 months). However, due to the way the 5-year ACS data are constructed, HUD developed a new methodology for calculating recent-mover FMRs in FY 2012. As in FY 2012, all areas are assigned as a base rent the estimated two-bedroom standard quality 5-year gross rent from the ACS. Because HUD’s regulations mandate that FMRs must be published as recent mover gross rents, HUD continues to apply a recent mover factor to the standard quality base rents assigned from the 5-year ACS data. Calculation of the recent mover factor is described below.

The ACS is not used as the base rent for seven areas where the FY 2013 FMR was adjusted based on survey data conducted by the PHA (for Hood River, OR) and by HUD (for Cheyenne, WY, Odessa, TX, Burlington, VT, Mountrail County, ND, Ward County, ND, and Williams County, ND). In addition, the ACS FMR was not the base rent for two additional areas surveyed by HUD where the FY 2013 FMR was not adjusted (Flagstaff, AZ and Rochester, MN) and for an additional PHA-surveyed area (Oakland, CA). HUD has commissioned local area surveys in Danbury, CT, Barnes County, ND, Lamoure County, ND, Ransom County, ND and Stutsman County, ND and will replace ACS base rents with survey generated base rents if the survey results provide evidence that the base rents require adjustments.

**B. Recent Mover Factor**

Following the assignment of the standard quality two-bedroom rent described above, HUD applies a recent mover factor to these rents. The calculation of the recent mover factor for FY 2014 is similar to the methodology used in FY 2013, with the only difference being the use of updated ACS data. As described below, HUD calculates a similar percentage increase as the FY 2013 factor using data from the smallest geographic area containing the FMR area where the recent mover
gros rent is statistically reliable.\textsuperscript{3} The following describes the process for determining the appropriate recent mover factor.

In general, HUD uses the 1 year ACS-based two-bedroom recent mover gross rent estimate from the smallest geographic area encompassing the FMR area for which the estimate is statistically reliable to calculate the recent mover factor. HUD calculates some areas’ recent mover factors using data collected just for the FMR area. Other areas’ recent mover factors are based on larger geographic areas. For metropolitan areas that are sub-areas of larger metropolitan areas, the order is subarea, metropolitan area, state metropolitan area, and state. Metropolitan areas that are not divided follow a similar path from FMR area, to state metropolitan areas, to state. In nonmetropolitan areas the recent mover factor is based on the FMR area, the state nonmetropolitan area, or if that is not available, on the basis of the whole state. The recent mover factor is calculated as the percentage change between the 5-year 2007–2011 standard quality two-bedroom gross rent and the 1 year 2011 recent mover two-bedroom gross rent for the recent mover factor area. Recent mover factors are not allowed to lower the standard quality base rent; therefore, if the 5-year standard quality rent is larger than the comparable 1 year recent mover rent, the recent mover factor is set to 1. The process for calculating each area’s recent mover factor is detailed in the FY 2014 Proposed FMR documentation system available at: http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr/fmrs/docsys.html?data=fmr14.

This process produces an “as of” 2011 recent mover two-bedroom base gross rent for the FMR area.\textsuperscript{4}

C. Updates From 2011 to 2012

The ACS-based “as of” 2011 rent is updated through the end of 2012 using the annual change in CPI from 2011 to 2012. As in previous years, HUD uses Local CPI data coupled with Consumer Expenditure Survey (CEX) data for FMR areas with at least 75 percent of their population within Class A metropolitan areas covered by local CPI data. HUD uses Census region CPI data for FMR areas in Class B and C size metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan areas without local CPI update factors. Additionally, HUD is using CPI data collected locally in Puerto Rico as the basis for CPI adjustments from 2011 to 2012 for all Puerto Rico FMR areas. Following the application of the appropriate CPI update factor, HUD converts the “as of” 2012 CPI adjusted rents to “as of” December 2012 rents by multiplying each rent by the national December 2012 CPI divided by the national annual 2012 CPI value. HUD does this in order to apply an exact amount of the annual trend factor to place the FY 2014 FMRs as of the mid-point of the 2014 fiscal year.

D. Trend From 2012 to 2014

As in FY 2013, HUD continues to calculate the trend factor as the annualized change in median gross rents as measured by the most recent 5 years of available 1 year ACS data. The national median gross rent in 2006 was $763 and $871 in 2011. The overall change between 2006 and 2011 is 14.15 percent and the annualized change is 2.68 percent. Over a 15-month time period, the effective trend factor is 3.365 percent.

E. Bedroom Rent Adjustments

HUD calculates the primary FMR estimates for two-bedroom units. This is generally the most common sized rental unit and, therefore, the most reliable to survey and analyze. Formerly, after each Decennial Census, HUD calculated rent relationships between two-bedroom units and other unit sizes and used them to set FMRs for other units. HUD did this because it is much easier to update two-bedroom estimates and to use pre-established cost relationships with other bedroom sizes than it is to develop independent FMR estimates for each bedroom size. When calculating FY 2013 FMRs, HUD updated the bedroom ratio adjustment factors using 2006–2010 5-year ACS data using similar methodology to what was implemented when calculating bedroom ratios using 2000 Census data to establish rent ratios. The bedroom ratios used in the calculation of FY 2014 FMRs were updated this year using the 2006–2010 ACS data in conjunction with the update of base rents to ACS based data. HUD will continue to use the same bedroom ratios until the 5-year ACS from 2011–2015 is released, probably in time for the FY 2018 FMRs. HUD established bedroom interval ranges based on an analysis of the range of such intervals for all areas with large enough samples to permit accurate bedroom ratio determinations. These ranges are: Efficiency FMRs are constrained to fall between 0.59 and 0.81 of the two-bedroom FMR; one-bedroom FMRs must be between 0.74 and 0.84 of the two-bedroom FMR; three-bedroom FMRs must be between 1.15 and 1.36 of the two-bedroom FMR; and four-bedroom FMRs must be between 1.24 and 1.64 of the two-bedroom FMR. (The maximums for the three-bedroom and four-bedroom FMRs are irrespective of the adjustments discussed in the next paragraph.) HUD adjusts bedroom rents for a given FMR area if the differentials between bedroom-size FMRs were inconsistent with normally observed patterns (i.e., efficiency rents are not allowed to be higher than one-bedroom rents and four-bedroom rents are not allowed to be lower than three-bedroom rents). The bedroom ratios for Puerto Rico follow these constraints.

HUD further adjusts the rents for three-bedroom and larger units to reflect HUD’s policy to set higher rents for these units than would result from using unadjusted market rents. This adjustment is intended to increase the likelihood that the largest families, who have the most difficulty in leasing units, will be successful in finding eligible program units. The adjustment adds 8.7 percent to the unadjusted three-bedroom FMR estimates and adds 7.7 percent to the unadjusted four-bedroom FMR estimates. The FMRs for unit sizes larger than four bedrooms are calculated by adding 15 percent to the four-bedroom FMR for each extra bedroom. For example, the FMR for a five-bedroom unit is 1.15 times the four-bedroom FMR, and the FMR for a six-bedroom unit is 1.30 times the four-bedroom FMR. FMRs for single-room occupancy units are 0.75 times the zero-bedroom (efficiency) FMR.

For low-population, nonmetropolitan counties with small or statistically insignificant 2006–2010 5-year ACS recent-mover rent, HUD uses nonmetropolitan data to determine bedroom ratios for each bedroom size. HUD made this adjustment to protect against unrealistically high or low FMRs due to insufficient sample sizes.

IV. Manufactured Home Space Surveys

The FMR used to establish payment standard amounts for the rental of manufactured home spaces in the HCV program is 40 percent of the FMR for a two-bedroom unit. HUD will consider a modification of the manufactured home space FMRs where public comments present statistically valid survey data.
V. Small Area Fair Market Rents

Public housing authorities in the Dallas, TX HMFA, along with the Housing Authority of the County of Cook (IL), the City of Long Beach (CA) Housing Authority, the Chattanooga, TN Housing Authority, the Town of Mamouneck (NY) Housing Authority, and the Laredo, TX Housing Authority, continue to be the only PHAs managing their voucher programs using Small Area Fair Market Rents (SAFMRs). These FMRs are listed in the Schedule B addendum. The department is working to secure more housing authority participants in its Small Area FMR Demonstration program.

SAFMRs are calculated using a rent ratio determined by dividing the median gross rent across all bedrooms for the small area (a ZIP code) by the similar median gross rent for the metropolitan area of the ZIP code. This rent ratio is multiplied by the current two-bedroom rent for the entire metropolitan area containing the small area to generate the current year two-bedroom rent for the small area. In small areas where the median gross rent is not statistically reliable, HUD substitutes the median gross rent for the county containing the ZIP code in the numerator of the rent ratio calculation. For proposed FY 2014 SAFMRs, HUD continues to use the rent ratios developed in conjunction with the calculation of FY 2013 FMRs based on 2006–2010 5-year ACS data.5

VI. Request for Public Comments

HUD seeks public comments on the methodology used to calculate FY 2014 Proposed FMRs and the FMR levels for specific areas. Due to its current funding levels, HUD no longer has sufficient resources to conduct local surveys of rents to address comments filed regarding the FMR levels for specific areas. Commenters submitting comments on FMR levels must include sufficient information (including local data and a full description of the rental housing survey methodology used or a description of the methodology intended to be used to collect the necessary data) to justify any proposed changes.

For small metropolitan areas without one-year ACS data and nonmetropolitan counties, HUD has developed a methodology using mail surveys that is discussed on the bottom of the FMR Web page: http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/fmr.html. This methodology allows for the collection of as few as 100 one-bedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom recent mover (tenants that moved in last 24 months) units.

While HUD has not developed a specific methodology for mail surveys in areas with one-year ACS data, HUD would apply the standard established for Random-Digit Dialing (RDD) telephone rent surveys of 200 one-bedroom and two-bedroom recent mover units and the RDD confidence interval determination of the survey statistical significance of data from large-market mail surveys. Areas with statistically reliable 1 year ACS data are not considered to be good candidates for local surveys due to the size and completeness of the ACS process.

Other survey methodologies are acceptable in providing data to support comments if the survey methodology can provide statistically reliable, unbiased estimates of the gross rent. In general, recommendations for FMR changes and supporting data must reflect the rent levels that exist within the entire FMR area and should be statistically reliable.

PHAs in nonmetropolitan areas may, in certain circumstances, conduct surveys of groups of counties. HUD must approve all county-grouped surveys in advance. PHAs are cautioned that the resulting FMRs may not be identical for the counties surveyed; each individual FMR area will have a separate FMR based on the relationship of rents in that area to the combined rents in the cluster of FMR areas. In addition, PHAs are advised that counties where FMRs are based on the combined rents in the cluster of FMR areas will not have their FMRs revised unless the survey results show a revised FMR statistically different from the combined rent level.

Survey samples should preferably be randomly drawn from a complete list of rental units for the FMR area. If this is not feasible, the selected sample must be drawn to be statistically representative of the entire rental housing stock of the FMR area. Surveys must include units at all rent levels and be representative by structure type (including single-family, duplex, and other small rental properties), age of housing unit, and geographic location.

The 2007–2011 5-year ACS data should be used as a means of verifying if a sample is representative of the FMR area’s rental housing stock.

A PHA or contractor that cannot obtain the recommended number of sample responses after reasonable efforts should consult with HUD before abandoning its survey; in such situations, HUD may find it appropriate to relax normal sample size requirements.

HUD will consider increasing manufactured home space FMRs where public housing authorities desire that the 40 percent of the two-bedroom FMR is not adequate. In order to be accepted as a basis for revising the manufactured home space FMRs, comments must include a pad rental survey of the mobile home parks in the area, identify the utilities included in each park’s rental fee, and provide a copy of the applicable public housing authority’s utility schedule.

As stated earlier in this Notice, HUD is required to use the most recent data available when calculating FMRs. Therefore, in order to re-evaluate an area’s FMR, HUD requires more current rental market data than the 2011 ACS. HUD encourages a PHA or other interested party that believes the FMR in their area is incorrect to file a comment even if they do not have the resources to provide market-wide rental data. In these instances, HUD will use the comments, should survey funding be restored, when determining the areas HUD will select for HUD-funded local area rent surveys.

VII. Environmental Impact

This Notice involves the establishment of fair market rent schedules, which do not constitute a development decision affecting the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6), this Notice is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321). Accordingly, the Fair Market Rent Schedules, which will not be codified in 24 CFR part 888, are proposed to be
amended as shown in the Appendix to this notice:

Dated: July 30, 2013.
Jean Lin Pao,
General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

Fair Market Rents for the Housing Choice Voucher Program

Schedules B and D—General Explanatory Notes

1. Geographic Coverage

a. Metropolitan Areas—Most FMRs are market-wide rent estimates that are intended to provide housing opportunities throughout the geographic area in which rental-housing units are in direct competition. HUD is using the metropolitan Core-Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs), which are made up of one or more counties, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), with some modifications. HUD is generally assigning separate FMRs to the component counties of CBSA Micropolitan Areas.

b. Modifications to OMB Definitions—Following OMB guidance, the estimation procedure for the FY 2014 proposed FMRs incorporates the OMB definitions of metropolitan areas based on the CBSA standards as implemented with 2000 Census data updated through December 1, 2009, but makes adjustments to the definitions to separate subparts of these areas where FMRs or median incomes would otherwise change significantly if the new area definitions were used without modification. In CBSAs where subareas are established, it is HUD’s view for programmatic purposes that the geographic extent of the housing markets are not yet the same as the geographic extent of the CBSAs, but may become so in the future as the social and economic integration of the CBSA component areas increases. Modifications to metropolitan CBSA definitions are made according to a formula as described below.

Metropolitan area CBSAs (referred to as MSAs) may be modified to allow for subarea FMRs within MSAs based on the boundaries of old FMR areas (OFAs) within the boundaries of new MSAs. (OFAs are the FMR areas defined for the FY 2005 FMRs. Collectively they include 1999-definition MSAs/Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas (PMSAs), metro counties deleted from 1999-definition MSAs/PMSAs by HUD for FMR purposes, and counties and county parts outside of 1999-definition MSAs/PMSAs referred to as “formerly nonmetropolitan counties.”) Subareas of MSAs are assigned their own FMRs when the subarea 2000 Census Base Rent differs by at least 5 percent from (i.e., is at most 95 percent or at least 105 percent of) the MSA 2000 Census Base Rent, or when the 2000 Census Median Family Income for the subarea differs by at least 5 percent from the MSA 2000 Census Median Income. MSA subareas, and the remaining portions of MSAs after subareas have been determined, are referred to as HUD Metropolitan FMR Areas (HMFAs) to distinguish these areas from OMB’s official definition of MSAs.

The specific counties and New England towns and cities within each state in MSAs and HMFAs are listed in Schedule B.

2. Bedroom Size Adjustments

Schedule B shows the FMRs for zero-bedroom through four-bedroom units. The Schedule B addendum shows Small Area FMRs for all PHAs operating using Small Area FMRs (please see section V of this notice for a list of participating PHAs). The FMRs for unit sizes larger than four bedrooms are calculated by adding 15 percent to the four-bedroom FMR for each extra bedroom. For example, the FMR for a five-bedroom unit is 1.15 times the four-bedroom FMR, and the FMR for a six-bedroom unit is 1.30 times the four-bedroom FMR. FMRs for single-room-occupancy (SRO) units are 0.75 times the zero-bedroom FMR.

3. Arrangement of FMR Areas and Identification of Constituent Parts

a. The FMR areas in Schedule B are listed alphabetically by metropolitan FMR area and by nonmetropolitan county within each state. The exception FMRs for manufactured home spaces in Schedule D are listed alphabetically by state.

b. The constituent counties (and New England towns and cities) included in each metropolitan FMR area are listed immediately following the listings of the FMR dollar amounts. All constituent parts of a metropolitan FMR area that are in more than one state can be identified by consulting the listings for each applicable state.

c. Two nonmetropolitan counties are listed alphabetically on each line of the non-metropolitan county listings.

d. The New England towns and cities included in a nonmetropolitan county are listed immediately following the county name.