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I. Overview of HUD Section 8 Income Limits

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is required by law to set income limits that determine the eligibility of applicants for HUD's assisted housing programs. The major active assisted housing programs are the Public Housing program, the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program, Section 202 housing for the elderly program, and Section 811 housing for persons with disabilities program.

Beginning with FY 2010 Income Limits published on May 14, 2010, HUD eliminated its long standing “hold harmless” policy but limited all annual decreases to 5 percent and will limit all annual increases to 5 percent or twice the change in the national median family income, whichever is greater. HUD has maintained these limits to increases and decreases in income limits for FY 2011. HOME Investment Partnerships program (HOME) rents, based in part on HUD Section 8 Income Limits, as well as rents for certain FDIC programs will continue to be held harmless and income limits for rural housing programs will continue their current hold-harmless policy at the request of the Rural Housing Service, because these limits are based on area definitions and program rules specified by the Rural Housing Service of the Department of Agriculture.

HUD Section 8 Income Limits begin with the production of Median Family Income estimates. HUD uses the Section 8 program’s Fair Market Rent (FMR) area definitions in developing median family income estimates (MFIs), which means that income estimates are developed for each metropolitan area, parts of some metropolitan areas, and each non-metropolitan county. HUD Section 8 Income Limits are calculated for every FMR area with adjustments for family size and for areas that have unusually high or low income-to-housing-cost relationships.

The statutory basis for HUD's income limit policies is Section 3 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended. Attachment 1 provides the key excerpts relevant to income limits, which may be summarized as follows:

- Low-income families are defined as families whose incomes do not exceed 80 percent of the median family income for the area.

- Very low-income families are defined as families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of the median family income for the area.

---

1 Also known as HUD Public Housing/Section 8 Income Limits
2 HUD’s “hold harmless” policy maintained Section 8 income limits for certain areas at previously published levels when reductions would otherwise have resulted from changes in median family income estimates, housing cost adjustment data, median family income update methodology, income limit methodology, or metropolitan area definitions.
3 42 U.S.C. 1437b
The amendments in the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 (the 1998 Act) establish a 30 percent of median family income program targeting standard.

Income limits for non-metropolitan areas may not be less than limits based on the State non-metropolitan median family income level.

Income limits are adjusted for family size.

Income limits are adjusted for areas with unusually high or low family income or housing-cost-to-income relationships.

The Secretary of Agriculture is to be consulted prior to establishing income limits for rural areas, since these limits also apply to certain Rural Housing and Community Development Service programs.
II. FY 2011 Median Family Income Estimates

A. Overview

HUD has updated the methodology used to produce FY 2011 Median Family Income estimates to take advantage of new data available from the Bureau of the Census’ American Community Survey (ACS). In December 2010, the first set of 5-year ACS data was published. These 5-year aggregations, covering surveys administered in 2005 through 2009, are unique because they are available for most areas of geography. Because of the increase in the geographic coverage of the 5-year data, HUD’s methodology for calculating FY 2011 MFI no longer is based on 2000 Decennial Census data, but rather, is now based on the 2005 – 2009 ACS data. The factor used to trend the 2009 estimates to the midpoint of FY 2011 MFIs is unchanged at 3 percent per year.

B. ACS Data and its Use in the Production of Median Family Incomes

The ACS, conducted annually, was designed to produce estimates similar to the long-form sample survey previously conducted with the Decennial Census when 5 years of data became available to be aggregated together for a given area. Each year since full implementation of the survey in 2005, the Census Bureau collected an ACS sample sufficient to provide estimates of most survey items for areas with populations of 65,000 or more. After the 2007 ACS, the Census Bureau released data aggregated from the ACS samples collected over the three years, 2005, 2006, and 2007. This allowed the Census Bureau to release estimates for most items for areas with populations of 20,000 or more. FY 2010 MFIs reflected ACS survey data aggregated over 2006, 2007 and 2008. After the 2009 ACS sample, the Census Bureau now has sufficient data to release aggregated five-year estimates. Five year estimates are designed to provide estimates for areas of all sizes relevant to MFI and income limit production.

As mentioned above, for FY 2011 MFIs, HUD is incorporating 2005-2009 5 year ACS data into the calculation process. Specifically, for each metropolitan area, subarea of a metropolitan and non-metropolitan county, 5-year ACS data is used as the new basis for calculating MFI estimates. HUD is incorporating the 5-year data in this way to eliminate the reliance on the data collected during the 2000 Decennial Census as it is more than a decade old. In areas where there is a valid 1-year ACS survey median family income result, HUD endeavors

---

4 The ACS covers the 50 United States, and a separate survey called the Puerto Rico Community Survey (PRCS) covers Puerto Rico. The US Virgin Islands and the Pacific Islands (American Samoa, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam) are not covered by the ACS or PRCS. Detailed demographic and socio-economic information covering these island areas have been collected by a special Long Form survey conducted in conjunction with the 2010 Decennial Census. These data are scheduled to be available in the Fall of 2012. For FY 2011 median family income calculations, HUD continues to use the change in the national median income between the 2000 Decennial Census and the latest ACS data as the update factor for the US Virgin Islands and the Pacific Islands.

5 This average annual trend factor is unchanged from last year and relies on a comparison of the nation ACS income in 2000 compared with the income for 2008. HUD is currently evaluating alternative trend factors and may update or change this trend factor for next year, after this evaluation has been completed.
to use this data as well to take advantage of more recent survey information. By using both the 5-year data and the 1-year data, where available, HUD is establishing a new basis for median family income estimates while also capturing the most recent information available.

C. Margin of Error

Because HUD is setting a new base value for areas covered by the ACS, HUD is changing the way that margins of error are used in the FY 2011 from how they have been used in prior years. HUD begins by setting the base median family income equal to the 2005-2009 5-year ACS survey value. For areas with a valid 2009 1-year survey result, HUD uses the margin of error for the 1-year data in conjunction with the margin of error for the 5-year survey result to determine if the two survey results are statistically different. If they are statistically different, HUD uses the 1-year survey result. In the few cases where the margin of error exceeds the survey estimate, the state nonmetropolitan median is applied\(^6\). For more information, please see section E – Median Family Income Estimate Calculations.

D. Trend Factor

MFI estimates are based on the most currently available data, but the delay in collecting and reporting the survey data mean that 2009 ACS income data is used for FY 2011 estimates that have an as-of date of April 1, 2011. A trend factor based on historic patterns of nominal income growth is used to inflate the estimate from the end of 2009 to April, 2011. As in previous years, HUD is maintaining the use of a 3 percent trend factor.

E. Median Family Income Estimate Calculations

Median family\(^7\) incomes start with the development of estimates of median family income for the metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR/income limit areas (including U.S. territories). Attachment 2 provides a detailed explanation of how median family income estimates are calculated. The major steps are as follows:

2005-2009 5-year ACS estimates of median family income calculated for the areas used for FMRs and income limits are used as the new basis for FY 2011. In areas where there is also a valid 2009 1 year ACS estimate of median family income, a statistical comparison is made between the 5-year median family income and the 1-year median family income available from the ACS. If the 1 year data are statistically different then the 5-year data, HUD calculates an update factor between the 5-year data and the 1-year data and applies this to the 5 year data. Once the appropriate 2009 ACS data has been selected, the data are set as of December 2009 using the December national CPI value divided by the 2009 National CPI value.

---

\(^6\) For the FY 2011 MFI estimates, the state nonmetropolitan medium is used for Kalawao County, HI and Kenedy County, TX.

\(^7\) Family refers to the Census definition of a family, which is a householder with one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The definition of family excludes one-person households and multi-person households of unrelated individuals.
All places:

All estimates (using either 5 year data or 5 year data augmented with 1 year data) are then trended from December, 2009 to April, 2011 (1 ¼ year) with a trending factor of 3 percent per year.

For the outlying territories,\(^8\) which currently lack ACS coverage, national ACS income changes are used as surrogates.

---
\(^8\) The areas without ACS coverage are the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas Islands. Puerto Rico is covered by the ACS-equivalent Puerto Rico Community Survey.
III. FY 2011 Income Limits

A. Overview

HUD's Section 8 very low-income and low-income limits are calculated in accordance with Section 3(b)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended. The very low-income limits (usually based on 50 percent of MFI) are considered to have the strongest statutory basis. They are the best-defined income limits and have been the subject of specific, limited legislative adjustments subsequent to reviews of the HUD calculation methodology. In addition, a number of other income limit calculations are tied by legislation to their calculation.

There are currently several legislated income limit standards (e.g., 30%, 50%, 60%, 65%, 80%, 95%, 100%, 115%, 125%) that were intended to have progressive relationships. To ensure that this occurs, the very low-income limits have been used as the basis for deriving other income limits unless that relevant statutory language has no references or relationship to low- and very low-income limits as defined by the U.S. Housing Act of 1937. If this were not done, for instance, HUD low-income limits would be less than very low-income limits in areas where very low-income limits had been adjusted upward by more than 60 percent because of unusually low area median family incomes relative to the Section 8 Fair Market Rents (FMRs).

B. Very Low-Income Limits

Very low-income limits are calculated using a set of formula relationships. The first step is to calculate a four-person income limit equal to 50 percent of the estimated area median family income. Adjustments are then made if this estimate is outside formula constraints.

More specifically, the very low-income limit for a four-person family is calculated as follows:

1. 50 percent of the area median family income is calculated and set as the preliminary four-person family income limit;

2. The four-person very low-income limit is increased if it would otherwise be less than the amount at which 35 percent of it equals 85 percent of the annualized two-bedroom Section 8 FMR (this adjusts income limits upward for areas where rental housing costs are unusually high in relation to the median income);

3. The four-person very low-income limit is reduced to the greater of 80 percent of the U.S. median family income level, or the amount at which 30 percent of a four-person family’s income equals 100 percent of the two-bedroom FMR (this adjusts income limits downward for areas of unusually high median family incomes);
(4) the four person income limit is increased if it is less than the relevant State non-metropolitan median family income level,\textsuperscript{9} and;

(5) the four person income limit is increased if it is less than 95 percent of last year’s very low income limit and reduced to the greater of 105 percent of last year’s very low income limit or twice the change in the national median family income estimate if that change would be larger than 5 percent. Between FY 2010 and FY 2011, the estimate of national median family income decreased by 0.3 percent. Therefore, the upward change in income limits is capped at 105 percent of last year’s very low income limit.

Table 1 summarizes the rules governing very low-income limit determinations:

\footnotetext{\textsuperscript{9} A Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 amendment directed that non-metropolitan area income limits should never be set at less than if they were based on the State non-metropolitan median family income level. In implementing this provision, HUD used its discretion to apply this policy to metropolitan areas to avoid inequities that would otherwise result. Doing so avoids the anomaly of assigning higher income limits to a non-metropolitan county than are assigned to an adjacent metropolitan area where the median family income is less than the State non-metro level but above the level for the non-metro county.}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Income Limit Calculation</th>
<th>Non-metro Counties</th>
<th>Metropolitan Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Limits based on 50% of local median family income</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Limits based on State non-metropolitan median family income level</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Limits increased to the amount at which 35% of 4-person family’s income equals 85% of the 2-bedroom Section 8 FMR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Limits decreased to the greater of 80% of the U.S. median family income or the amount at which 30% of a 4-person family’s income equals 100% of the 2-bedroom FMR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Limits increased if they were less than 95% of last year’s limit</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Limits decreased if they were otherwise more than 105% of last year’s limit</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Low-Income Limits

Most four-person low-income limits are the higher of 80 percent of the area median family income or 80 percent of the State non-metropolitan median family income level. Because the very low-income limits are not always based on 50 percent of median, strictly calculating low-income limits as 80 percent of median could produce anomalies inconsistent with statutory intent (e.g., very low-income limits could be higher than low-income limits). The calculation normally used, therefore, is to set the four-person low-income limit at 1.6 (i.e., 80%/50%) times the relevant four-person very low-income limit. The only exceptions are that the resulting income limit may not exceed the U.S. median family income level ($64,200 for FY 2011) except when justified by high housing costs and that income limit changes are now restricted to 5 percent in either direction or an increase of twice the national change if that change is larger than 5 percent. Use of very low-income limits as a starting point for calculating other income limits tied to Section (3)(b)(2) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 has the effect of adjusting low-income limits in areas where the very low-income limits have been adjusted because of unusually high or low housing-cost-to-income relationships.

Table 2 summarizes the rules governing low-income limit determinations and how many
areas are affected by each provision:

Table 2
Summary of Income Limits Determinations
for FY 2011 Low-income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Income Limit Calculation</th>
<th>Non-metro Counties</th>
<th>Metropolitan Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Limits based on 80% of local median family income</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Limits based on State nonmetropolitan median family income level</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Limits increased for high housing costs proportional to such increases for very low-income limits (i.e., set at 80/50ths of the adjusted very low-income limits)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Limits decreased because of unusually high incomes in relationship to housing costs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Four-person base low-income limit capped at the higher of the U.S. median of $64,200 or 80/50ths of the minimum 4-person very low-income limit</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Limits increased if they would otherwise be less than 95% of last year’s low income limit</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Limits decreased if they would otherwise be more than 105% of last year’s low income limit</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Totals</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HUD has adjusted low-income limits for areas of unusually high or low income since passage of the 1974 legislation that established the basic income limit system now used. Underlying the decision to set minimum and maximum low-income limits is the assumption that families in unusually poor areas should be defined as low-income if they are unable to afford standard quality housing even if their incomes exceed 80 percent of the local median family income. Similarly, families in unusually affluent areas are not considered low-income even if their income is less than 80 percent of the local median family income level unless justified by area housing costs.

D. 30 Percent of Area Median Family Income Limits

The Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 established a new income limit standard based on 30 percent of median family income, which was to be adjusted for family
size and for areas of unusually high or low family income. A statutory change was made in 1999 to clarify that these income limits should be tied to the Section 8 very low-income limits. The 30 percent income limits therefore are calculated as 30/50ths (60 percent) of the Section 8 very low-income limits. They are then compared to Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits. Since SSI benefits provide the minimum entitlement income for elderly and disabled households, the one-person 30 percent income limits are increased if they would otherwise be less than the minimum SSI level. These limits are also adjusted upward if rounding causes them to fall below 95% of last year’s limit.

E. Family Size Adjustments

The income limit statute requires adjustments for family size. The legislative history and conference committee report indicates that the Congress intended that income limits should be higher for larger families and lower for smaller families. The same family size adjustments are used for all income limits. They are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Persons in Family and Percentage Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income limits for families with more than eight persons are not included in the printed lists because of space limitations. For each person in excess of eight, the four-person income limit should be multiplied by an additional 8 percent. (For example, the nine-person limit equals 140 percent [132 + 8] of the relevant four-person income limit.) Income limits are rounded to the nearest $50. Local agencies may round income limits for nine or more persons to the nearest $50, or may use the un-rounded numbers. Family size-adjusted income limits are not re-tested for compliance with the 5 percent rule, as discussed below. Rounding anomalies produce some family size-adjusted income limits whose annual change is slightly larger or smaller than 5 percent.

F. 5 Percent Rule

As outlined earlier in these briefing materials, in response to comments received about HUD’s intention to eliminate the traditional hold-harmless provision for HUD Section 8 Income Limits received prior to the publication of FY2010 Income Limits, HUD is maintaining the constraint on the size of changes income limits can make in any one year. Specifically, the low-income and very low-income four-person limits will not be allowed to decrease more than 5 percent or increase more than 5 percent or twice the national increase in median family income, whichever of those is larger.
This policy was implemented in the following way:

(1) The four person very low income limit is computed as half of the local median family income. Median family incomes are rounded to 100 so, by definition, the raw four person income limit is rounded to 50.

(2) The cap for the four person very low income is last year’s four-person very low-income multiplied by 1.05 and rounded down to the nearest $50 or twice the change in the national median family income, also rounded down, whichever is larger. The cap is rounded down to ensure that it is less than or equal to 105 percent of last year’s four-person very low-income limit.

(3) The floor for the four-person very low-income is last year’s four-person very low-income multiplied by .95 and rounded up to the nearest $50. The floor is rounded up to ensure that it is greater than or equal to 95 percent of last year’s four person very low income.

(4) If the otherwise adjusted four-person very low-income is above the cap then it is set at the cap. If it is below the floor then it is set at the floor.

(5) Family size adjustments are made to the floored/capped four-person very low-income limit. No additional adjustments are made to families of more or less than four persons for the very low-income limit except that it is then rounded up to the nearest $50.

(6) The cap and floor are applied in an analogous way to the four-person low-income limit.

(7) No additional capping or flooring is done to any income limit based on either the very low-income limit or the low income limit.

(8) Family size adjusted limits may be slightly larger or smaller than the cap or floor imposed on the four-person low and very low incomes due to rounding.
IV. Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008

Prior to FY 2010, HUD held Section 8 Income Limits harmless primarily so that Multifamily\textsuperscript{10} Tax Subsidy Housing Projects would not be subject to reductions in income limits and maximum rents. Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) and tax exempt bond-financed housing project income limits and rents are tied by statute to HUD’s area median income estimates, and by regulation to HUD’s Section 8 Income Limits.

Section 3009 of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA) provides for holding harmless “area median gross income” for tax credit and tax-exempt bond-financed housing projects with additional inflation provisions for LIHTC and tax-exempt bond-financed projects held harmless by HUD in 2007 and 2008. Because the new law provides a statutory mechanism for achieving the effect of the income limit hold-harmless policy HUD no longer holds income limits harmless.

\textsuperscript{10}Multifamily Tax Subsidy Projects are those projects which are reliant upon Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 42 Low Income Housing Tax Credit, or use tax-exempt private activity bonds under IRC section 142 as part of their financing. In the past we have referred to this group as LIHTC Projects.
V. Income Limit Applications

HUD income limits apply to the following programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Income Limits Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>Very low-income or low-income standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Section 8 Programs</td>
<td>Very low-income or low-income standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Housing (1996 Act)</td>
<td>&quot;Low-Income&quot; is defined as the greater of 80% of the median family income for the Indian area or of the U.S. national median family income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 202 Elderly and Section 811 Handicapped programs</td>
<td>Very low-income or low-income standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 235 (Homeownership program)</td>
<td>“95 percent” of area median income, or higher cost-based income limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 236 (Rental program)</td>
<td>Low-income standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 221(d)(3) (BMIR)(Below Market Interest Rate) rental program</td>
<td>“95 percent” of area median income, defined as 95/80ths of low-income definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Planning and Development programs</td>
<td>Very low-income or low-income standards for current programs under management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME Investment Partnerships Act of 1990</td>
<td>“60 percent of median” and “65 percent of median” are used as income targeting and qualification requirements; both limits are tied to Section 8 income limit determinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Homeownership Trust Act of 1990</td>
<td>“95 percent” of median is referenced as the eligibility standard, with a “115 percent” of median standard for high cost areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990</td>
<td>Affordability of units for current occupant of “moderate income” affects terms under which mortgage may be prepaid; “moderate income” is defined as 80-95 percent of median, with “80 percent” defined as the Section 8 low-income standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Rural Housing and Community Development Service</td>
<td>Rental and ownership assistance programs based on HUD Section 8 very low-income or low-income standards, or income limits tied to these standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Treasury Programs</td>
<td>Multifamily Tax Subsidy Projects: Current standard is Section 8 very low-income standard or 120% of that definition (i.e., the “60%” of median standard) for projects determining income eligibility and rents who haven’t used income limits prior to FY 2011. Income Limits for projects using income limits in FY 2010 or before will no longer be Section 8 Income Limits. A separate income limits publication will be produced for this program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax-exempt Mortgage Revenue Bonds for homeownership financing</td>
<td>Generally set at 115% of area median income, with “115%” defined as 230% of the Section 8 very low-income standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Difficult Development Area” Designation (Low-Income Housing Tax Credit)</td>
<td>Areas with the worst housing cost problems as measured by the FMR to 60% of median family income ratio; this designation is awarded to 20 percent of the metro and non-metro areas (using HUD area definitions) with the most severe problems and is recalculated annually; such areas receive special additional tax benefits under this program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### “Qualified Census Tract” (Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program Definition)

Areas, as defined by the Census and designated by HUD, where 50% of all households have incomes less than 60 percent of the area median family income, adjusted for household size, or the poverty rate is 25% or higher; such areas receive special additional tax benefits under this program; this calculation is based on 2000 Census data and income limit policies and area definitions in effect as of the date estimates are prepared.

### “Qualified Census Tract” (Mortgage Revenue Bond Program)

Areas, as defined by the Census, where 70% of all families have incomes less than 80 percent of the state median family income, based on 2000 Census data.

---

### D. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

**Disposition of Multifamily Housing to Non-profit and Public Agencies**

Not less than 35 percent of all dwelling units must be made available for occupancy and be affordable for low-income families, and at least 20 percent must be made available for occupancy and be affordable for very low-income families. An “affordable rent” is defined as the rent that would be paid by a family paying 30 percent of income for rent whose income is “65 percent of median”. This 65 percent figure is defined in relation to the very low-income standard (i.e., normally as 65/50ths of the standard).

**Disposition of Single Family Housing**

For rentals, priority is given to non-profits and public agencies that make the dwellings affordable to low-income households. Households who intend to occupy a dwelling as their primary residence whose adjusted income does not exceed 115 percent of area median income, as determined by the Secretary of HUD, are given a purchase priority for the first 3 months a property is for sale.

---

### E. Federal Housing Finance Board

**Rental program funding Priorities**

Very low-income, “60 percent of median” (defined as 120% of very low-income), and low-income standards used.

**Homeownership funding priorities**

115 percent and 140 percent of median family income limits are used.
F. Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE’s)

Income-based Housing
Goals of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae

Goals for percentages of loans are established for households with incomes at or below specified percentages of the HUD-published median family income for metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas, as detailed in 12 CFR, Part 1252. The area definitions used relate to OMB metropolitan area definitions and the median family income estimates for the nonmetropolitan portions of each state.

G. Other Federal Banking Regulatory Provisions

Targeting of loan funds to low-income households and areas

Varies by agency

H. Uniform Relocation Act

Reimbursement to households forced to relocate from their residence by Federal agency

Extent of replacement housing assistance dependent on qualifying as Low-income, as defined by HUD; Act applies to all Federal agencies that initiate action that forces households to relocate from their residence

I. Department of Veterans Affairs

Eligibility for disability income support payments to veterans

Eligibility for non-service related income support payments is restricted to families with incomes below the HUD low-income standard
ATTACHMENT 1

U.S. HOUSING ACT OF 1937 PROVISIONS RELATED TO INCOME LIMITS
(As Amended through 1999)

Section 3:

(a)(1) Dwelling units assisted under this Act shall be rented only to families who are low-income families at the time of their initial occupancy of such units.....

(b) When used in this Act:

(1) The term "low-income housing" means decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings assisted under this Act....

(2) The term "low-income families" means those families whose incomes do not exceed 80 per centum of the median income for the area, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families, except that the Secretary may establish income ceiling higher or lower than 80 per centum of the median for the area on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of prevailing levels of construction costs or unusually high or low family incomes. The term "very low-income families" means lower income families whose incomes do not exceed 50 per centum of the median family income for the area, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families, except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 50 per centum of the median for the area on the basis of the Secretary's findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes. Such ceilings shall be established in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture for any rural area, as defined in section 520 of the Housing Act of 1949, taking into account the subsidy characteristics and types of programs to which such ceilings apply. In determining median incomes (of persons, families, or households) for an area or establishing any ceilings or limits based on income under this Act, the Secretary shall determine or establish area median incomes and income ceilings and limits for Westchester and Rockland Counties, in the State of New York, as if each such county were an area not contained within the metropolitan statistical area in which it is located. In determining such area median incomes or establishing such income ceilings or limits for the portions of such metropolitan statistical area that does not include Westchester or Rockland Counties, the Secretary shall determine or establish area median incomes and income ceilings and limits as if such portion included Westchester and Rockland Counties. In determining areas that are designated as difficult development areas for the purposes of the low-income housing tax credit, the Secretary shall include Westchester and Rockland Counties, New York, in the New York City metropolitan area.
Section 16:

Sec. 16. (a) Income Eligibility for Public Housing

(2)(A) Targeting. - Except as provided in paragraph 4, of the public housing dwelling units of a public housing agency made available for occupancy in any fiscal year by eligible families, not less than 40 percent shall be occupied by families whose incomes at the time of commencement of occupancy do not exceed 30 percent of the area median income, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families.

(4)(D) Fungibility Floor. - Notwithstanding any authority under subparagraph (A), of the public housing dwelling units of a public housing agency made available for occupancy in any fiscal year by eligible families, not less than 30 percent shall be occupied by families whose incomes at the time of commencement of occupancy do not exceed 30 percent of the area median income, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families; except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 30 percent of the area median income on the basis of the Secretary’s findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes.

Sec. 16. (b) Income eligibility for Tenant-Based Section 8 Assistance

(1) IN GENERAL. - Of the families initially provided tenant-based assistance under section 8 by a public housing agency in any fiscal year, not less than 75 percent shall be families whose incomes do not exceed 30 percent of the area median income, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families; except that the Secretary may establish income ceilings higher or lower than 30 percent of the area median income on the basis of the Secretary’s findings that such variations are necessary because of unusually high or low family incomes.

Sec. 16. (c) Income Eligibility for Project-Based Section 8 Assistance

(1) Pre-1981 Act Projects. - Not more than 25 percent of the dwelling units that were available for occupancy under section 8 housing assistance payments contracts under this Act before the effective date of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1981, and which will be leased on or after such effective date shall be available for leasing by lower income families other than very low-income families.

(2) Post-1981 Act Projects. - Not more than 15 per cent of the dwelling units which became available for occupancy under section 8 housing assistance payments contracts under this Act on or after the effective date of the Housing and Community Development Amendments of 1981 shall be available for leasing by lower income families other than very low-income families.
(3) Targeting.-For each project assisted under a contract for project-based assistance, of the dwelling units that become available for occupancy in any fiscal year that are assisted under the contract, not less than 40 percent shall be available for leasing only by families whose incomes at the time of commencement of occupancy do not exceed 30 percent of the area median income, as determined by the Secretary with adjustments for smaller and larger families.

(5) Exception.-The limitations established in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) shall not apply to dwelling units made available under project-based contracts under section 8 for the purpose of preventing displacement, or ameliorating the effects of displacement.

Section 567 of the HCD Act of 1987 Amendment Affecting Section 3 of the 1937 Act:

"For purposes of calculating the median income for any area that is not within a metropolitan statistical area (as established by the Office of Management and Budget) for programs under title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, the United States Housing Act of 1937, the National Housing Act, or title V of the Housing Act of 1949, the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development or the Secretary of Agriculture (as appropriate) shall use whichever of the following is higher:

(1) the median income of the county in which the area is located; or,

(2) the median income of the entire non-metropolitan area of the State."
HUD METHODOLOGY FOR ESTIMATING FY 2011 MEDIAN FAMILY INCOMES

HUD has updated the methodology used to produce FY 2011 Median Family Income (MFI) estimates to take advantage of new data available from the Bureau of the Census’ American Community Survey (ACS). In December 2010, the first set of 5-year ACS data was published. These 5-year aggregations, covering surveys administered in 2005 through 2009, are unique because they are available for most areas of geography\textsuperscript{11}. Because of the increase in the geographic coverage of the 5-year data, HUD’s methodology for calculating FY 2011 MFI no longer is based on 2000 Decennial Census data, but rather, is now based on the 2005 – 2009 ACS data. The factor used to trend the 2009 estimates to the midpoint of FY 2011 MFIs is unchanged at 3 percent per year\textsuperscript{12}. Separate HUD MFI estimates are calculated for all Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), HUD Metro FMR Areas, and nonmetropolitan counties.

The ACS, conducted annually, was designed to produce estimates similar to the long-form sample survey previously conducted with the Decennial Census when 5 years of data became available to be aggregated together for a given area. Each year since full implementation of the survey in 2005, the Census Bureau collected an ACS sample sufficient to provide estimates of most survey items for areas with populations of 65,000 or more. After the 2007 ACS, the Census Bureau released data aggregated from the ACS samples collected over the three years, 2005, 2006, and 2007. This allowed the Census Bureau to release estimates for most items for areas with populations of 20,000 or more. FY 2010 MFIs reflected ACS survey data aggregated over 2006, 2007 and 2008. After the 2009 ACS sample, the Census Bureau now has sufficient data to release aggregated five-year estimates. Five year estimates are designed to provide estimates for areas of all sizes relevant to MFI and income limit production.

As mentioned above, for FY 2011 MFIs, HUD is incorporating 2005-2009 5 year ACS data into the calculation process. Specifically, for each metropolitan area, subarea of a metropolitan and non-metropolitan county, 5-year ACS data is used as the new basis for calculating MFI estimates. HUD is incorporating the 5-year data in this way to eliminate the reliance on the data collected during the 2000 Decennial Census as it is more than a decade old. In areas where there is a valid 1-year ACS survey median family income result, HUD endeavors to use this data as well to take advantage of more recent survey information. By using both the 5-year data and the 1-year data, where available, HUD is establishing a new basis for MFI estimates while also capturing the most

\textsuperscript{11} The ACS covers the 50 United States, and a separate survey called the Puerto Rico Community Survey (PRCS) covers Puerto Rico. The US Virgin Islands and the Pacific Islands (American Samoa, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam) are not covered by the ACS or PRCS. Detailed demographic and socio-economic information covering these island areas have been collected by a special Long Form survey conducted in conjunction with the 2010 Decennial Census. These data are scheduled to be available in the Fall of 2012. For FY 2011 median family income calculations, HUD continues to use the change in the national median income between the 2000 Decennial Census and the latest ACS data as the update factor for the US Virgin Islands and the Pacific Islands.

\textsuperscript{12} This average annual trend factor is unchanged from last year and relies on a comparison of the nation ACS income in 2000 compared with the income for 2008. HUD is currently evaluating alternative trend factors and may update or change this trend factor for next year, after this evaluation has been completed.
recent information available.

Because HUD is setting a new base value for areas covered by the ACS, HUD is changing the way that margins of error are used in the FY 2011 from how they have been used in prior years. HUD begins by setting the base MFI equal to the 2005-2009 5-year ACS survey value. For areas with a valid 2009 1-year survey result, HUD uses the margin of error for the 1-year data in conjunction with the margin of error for the 5-year survey result to determine if the two survey results are statistically different. If they are statistically different, HUD uses the 1-year survey result. In the few cases where the margin of error exceeds the survey estimate, the state nonmetropolitan median is applied\(^{13}\).

MFI estimates are based on the most currently available data, but the delay in collecting and reporting the survey data mean that 2009 ACS income data is used for FY 2011 estimates that have an as-of date of April 1, 2011. A trend factor based on historic patterns of nominal income growth is used to inflate the estimate from the end of 2009 to April, 2011. As in previous years, HUD is maintaining the use of a 3 percent trend factor.

Median family\(^ {14}\) incomes start with the development of estimates of MFI for the metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan FMR/income limit areas (including U.S. territories). Attachment 2 provides a detailed explanation of how median family income estimates are calculated. The major steps are as follows:

2005-2009 5-year ACS estimates of median family income calculated for the areas used for FMRs and income limits are used as the new basis for FY 2011. In areas where there is also a valid 2009 1 year ACS estimate of median family income, a statistical comparison is made between the 5-year median family income and the 1-year median family income available from the ACS. If the 1 year data are statistically different then the 5-year data, HUD calculates an update factor between the 5-year data and the 1-year data and applies this to the 5 year data. Once the appropriate 2009 ACS data has been selected, the data are set as of December 2009 using the December national CPI value divided by the 2009 National CPI value.

\(^{13}\) For the FY 2011 MFI estimates, the state nonmetropolitan medium is used for Kalawao County, HI and Kenedy County, TX.

\(^{14}\) Family refers to the Census definition of a family, which is a householder with one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The definition of family excludes one-person households and multi-person households of unrelated individuals.
All places:

All estimates (using either 5 year data or 5 year data augmented with 1 year data) are then trended from December, 2009 to April, 2011 (1 ¼ year) with a trending factor of 3 percent per year.

For the outlying territories,\textsuperscript{15} which currently lack ACS coverage, national ACS income changes are used as surrogates.

\textsuperscript{15} The areas without ACS coverage are the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Marianas Islands. Puerto Rico is covered by the ACS-equivalent Puerto Rico Community Survey.
### ATTACHMENT 3

**Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Very Low Income Limits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METROPOLITAN AREA</th>
<th>FY2011 MEDIAN 50% OF INCOME LIMIT</th>
<th>4-PERSON VLI LIMIT</th>
<th>TYPE OF VLI ADJUSTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastián, PR MSA</td>
<td>16300 8150 12450</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, IR MSA</td>
<td>57000 28500 28950</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anson County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>38600 19300 24750</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>54000 27000 24400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arecibo, PR HMFA</td>
<td>19100 9550 13150</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong County, PA HMFA</td>
<td>53100 26550 27450</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>51100 25550 25300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>64200 32100 31050</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield-Delano, CA MSA</td>
<td>53300 26650 28600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baranquitas-Aibonito-Quebradillas, PR H</td>
<td>16500 8250 12900</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry County, MI HMFA</td>
<td>65100 32550 32200</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>52200 26100 24400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek, MI MSA</td>
<td>48800 24400 26300</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkshire County, MA (part) HMFA</td>
<td>71500 35750 41050</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck, ND MSA</td>
<td>76700 38350 35700</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>61300 30650 29850</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone County, WY HMFA</td>
<td>52800 26400 22750</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH HMFA</td>
<td>96500 48250 48150</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Green, KY MSA</td>
<td>56600 28300 28200</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremer County, IA HMFA</td>
<td>69200 34600 34300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brockton, MA HMFA</td>
<td>82600 41300 41850</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownsville-Harlingen, TX MSA</td>
<td>33700 16850 24300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick, GA MSA</td>
<td>59400 29700 28700</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butts County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>63400 31700 29300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caguas, PR HMFA</td>
<td>25700 12850 14450</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>56300 28150 27100</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL MSA</td>
<td>56200 28100 29300</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, NV MSA</td>
<td>68300 34150 34100</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casper, WY MSA</td>
<td>66100 33050 33700</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne, WY MSA</td>
<td>65300 32650 33700</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>66200 33100 32300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland, MD-WV MSA</td>
<td>52300 26150 35800</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>45200 22600 23600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville, IL MSA</td>
<td>52600 26300 28300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville, VA MSA</td>
<td>48000 24000 25950</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI HMFA</td>
<td>64400 32200 33200</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover, DE MSA</td>
<td>62400 31200 31000</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Worcester County, MA HMFA</td>
<td>107700 53850 51350</td>
<td>Low Housing Cost USM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Centro, CA MSA</td>
<td>44400 22200 28600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso, TX MSA</td>
<td>41100 20550 24300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkhart-Goshen, IN MSA</td>
<td>51100 25550 27850</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks, AK MSA</td>
<td>91700 45850 40300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fajardo, PR HMFA</td>
<td>23200 11600 15000</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington, NM MSA</td>
<td>56200 28100 26950</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitchburg-Leominster, MA HMFA</td>
<td>72000 36000 41050</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagstaff, AZ MSA</td>
<td>60900 30450 33100</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale, FL HMFA</td>
<td>61800 30900 37650</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>41200 20600 21850</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, KS HMFA</td>
<td>62100 31050 30500</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Very Low Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>FY2011 Median 50% of Income</th>
<th>4-Person VLI Limit</th>
<th>Type of VLI Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, MA (part) HMFA</td>
<td>68900</td>
<td>34450</td>
<td>41050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, CA MSA</td>
<td>55400</td>
<td>27700</td>
<td>28000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainesville, FL MSA</td>
<td>46900</td>
<td>23450</td>
<td>23500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>34800</td>
<td>17300</td>
<td>17650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giles County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>50900</td>
<td>25450</td>
<td>25500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grady County, OK HMFA</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>27950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Junction, CO MSA</td>
<td>64300</td>
<td>32150</td>
<td>31500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>60400</td>
<td>30200</td>
<td>28500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County, KY HMFA</td>
<td>47900</td>
<td>23950</td>
<td>26450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadsden, AL MSA</td>
<td>55400</td>
<td>27700</td>
<td>28000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grady County, OK HMFA</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>27950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>50900</td>
<td>25450</td>
<td>27400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>54800</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td>29150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>47300</td>
<td>23650</td>
<td>24750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guayama, PR MSA</td>
<td>52300</td>
<td>26150</td>
<td>25050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagerstown, MD HMFA</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>13150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallandale Beach, FL MSA</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>27300</td>
<td>27550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanford-Corcoran, CA MSA</td>
<td>53600</td>
<td>26800</td>
<td>28600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haywood County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>54100</td>
<td>27050</td>
<td>26450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haddonfield, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>65100</td>
<td>32550</td>
<td>32650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu, HI MSA</td>
<td>81600</td>
<td>40800</td>
<td>49600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iberville Parish, LA HMFA</td>
<td>52300</td>
<td>26150</td>
<td>25050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa County, WI HMFA</td>
<td>69700</td>
<td>34850</td>
<td>34700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>48600</td>
<td>24300</td>
<td>24750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County, NV HMFA</td>
<td>46800</td>
<td>23200</td>
<td>23550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>78200</td>
<td>39100</td>
<td>38250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson County, CO MSA</td>
<td>60400</td>
<td>30200</td>
<td>35050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson City, TN MSA</td>
<td>50500</td>
<td>25250</td>
<td>25100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kankakee County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>62400</td>
<td>31200</td>
<td>30050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>43800</td>
<td>21900</td>
<td>22750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Crosse, WI-MN MSA</td>
<td>67500</td>
<td>33750</td>
<td>33300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles, LA MSA</td>
<td>61600</td>
<td>30800</td>
<td>28850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ MSA</td>
<td>50500</td>
<td>25250</td>
<td>26700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar County, LS HMFA</td>
<td>42700</td>
<td>21350</td>
<td>25700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laredo, TX MSA</td>
<td>39100</td>
<td>19550</td>
<td>24300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas-Paradise, NV MSA</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>27300</td>
<td>27500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence, MA-NH HMFA</td>
<td>87100</td>
<td>43550</td>
<td>44150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan County, KY HMFA</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>28400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA HMFA</td>
<td>64000</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>42700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macoupin County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>62500</td>
<td>31250</td>
<td>29200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ MSA</td>
<td>50500</td>
<td>25250</td>
<td>26700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>42700</td>
<td>21350</td>
<td>25700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT 3

### Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Very Low Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METROPOLITAN AREA</th>
<th>FY2011 4-PERSON MEDIAN 50% OF VLI TYPE OF VLI ADJUSTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meade County, SD HMFA</td>
<td>51400 25700 27800 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>58100 29050 27200 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced, CA MSA</td>
<td>50500 25250 28600 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami–Miami Beach–Kendall, FL HMFA</td>
<td>51900 25950 34500 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moniteau County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>59300 29650 28400 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe, MI MSA</td>
<td>63000 31500 32800 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muncie, IN MSA</td>
<td>52300 26150 26450 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>47100 23550 24950 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI MSA</td>
<td>54200 27100 28050 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bedford, MA HMFA</td>
<td>63600 31800 33100 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, NY HMFA</td>
<td>64200 32100 40900 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport–Middleton–Portsmouth, RI HMFA</td>
<td>89200 44600 44000 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Port–Bradenton–Sarasota, FL MSA</td>
<td>59100 29550 32400 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oconto County, WI HMFA</td>
<td>58700 29350 29550 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odessa, TX MSA</td>
<td>55700 27850 26950 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okmulgee County, OK HMFA</td>
<td>45800 22900 24400 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County, CA HMFA</td>
<td>84200 42100 46150 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando–Kissimmee–Sanford, FL MSA</td>
<td>57400 28700 29150 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshkosh–Neenah, WI MSA</td>
<td>61200 30600 32500 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Bay–Melbourne–Titusville, FL MSA</td>
<td>57800 28900 29900 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Coast, FL MSA</td>
<td>56800 28400 29250 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penobscot County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>48900 24450 27100 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsfield, MA HMFA</td>
<td>68900 34450 41050 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poinsett County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>41000 20500 21650 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>48800 24400 24000 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponce, PR MSA</td>
<td>20300 10150 15250 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port St. Lucie, FL MSA</td>
<td>56200 28100 28350 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth-Rochester, NH HMFA</td>
<td>83100 41550 42800 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescott, AZ MSA</td>
<td>56900 28450 28350 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo, CO MSA</td>
<td>52800 26400 29600 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>48700 24350 27500 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>61300 30650 29400 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside–San Bernardino–Ontario, CA MSA</td>
<td>62500 31250 32750 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mount, NC MSA</td>
<td>49000 24500 24750 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salisbury, MD HMFA</td>
<td>63500 31750 35800 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Angelo, TX MSA</td>
<td>55000 27500 27150 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego–Carlsbad–San Marcos, CA MSA</td>
<td>74900 37450 41200 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA HMFA</td>
<td>101600 50800 53400 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San German–Cabo Rojo, PR MSA</td>
<td>19300 9650 12300 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan–Guaynabo, PR HMFA</td>
<td>26900 13450 16250 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara–Santa Maria–Goleta, CA MSA</td>
<td>72300 36150 38850 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz–Watsonville, CA MSA</td>
<td>85800 42900 50400 High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saunders County, NE HMFA</td>
<td>69400 34700 34000 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian–Vero Beach, FL MSA</td>
<td>53100 26550 28350 Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward County, NE HMFA</td>
<td>73200 36600 35400 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>44600 22300 22250 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County, TN HMFA</td>
<td>56100 28050 27450 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset County, MD HMFA</td>
<td>52300 26150 35800 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield, MA HMFA</td>
<td>69300 34650 41050 Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George, UT MSA</td>
<td>56300 28150 28400 State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Area</td>
<td>FY2011 4-Person Median Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV MSA</td>
<td>51000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>52200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumner County, KS HMFA</td>
<td>64300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumter, SC MSA</td>
<td>47100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL MSA</td>
<td>55700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tate County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>46100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taunton-Mansfield-Norton, MA HMFA</td>
<td>87400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunica County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>31500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County, OH HMFA</td>
<td>81400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia-Porterville, CA MSA</td>
<td>48800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakulla County, FL HMFA</td>
<td>65100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Robins, GA MSA</td>
<td>70400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>77300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, IA HMFA</td>
<td>63500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>47800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>43300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury, CT HMFA</td>
<td>67200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HMFA</td>
<td>63300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerly-Hopkinton-New Shoreham, RI HMFA</td>
<td>84400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Worcester County, MA HMFA</td>
<td>69200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport, PA MSA</td>
<td>54100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester, MA HMFA</td>
<td>82500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima, WA MSA</td>
<td>50500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yauco, PR MSA</td>
<td>16800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>67000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma, AZ MSA</td>
<td>43900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Low Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>FY2011 Median 80% of 4-Person Income</th>
<th>Median LI Limit</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastián, PR MSA</td>
<td>16300</td>
<td>8150</td>
<td>19900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, AK HMFA</td>
<td>84000</td>
<td>42000</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, IN MSA</td>
<td>57000</td>
<td>28500</td>
<td>46350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor, MI MSA</td>
<td>86300</td>
<td>43150</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anson County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>38600</td>
<td>19300</td>
<td>39600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>54000</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>39050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arecibo, PR HMFA</td>
<td>19100</td>
<td>9550</td>
<td>21050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong County, PA HMFA</td>
<td>53100</td>
<td>26550</td>
<td>43900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atascosa County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>51100</td>
<td>25550</td>
<td>46350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>64200</td>
<td>32100</td>
<td>49700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield-Delano, CA MSA</td>
<td>53300</td>
<td>26650</td>
<td>45750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore-Towson, MD HMFA</td>
<td>84500</td>
<td>42250</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartow County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>59400</td>
<td>29700</td>
<td>45900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barranquitas-Aibonito-Quebradillas, PR HMFA</td>
<td>16500</td>
<td>8250</td>
<td>20650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry County, MI HMFA</td>
<td>65100</td>
<td>32550</td>
<td>51500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bates County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>52200</td>
<td>26100</td>
<td>39050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassett County, VA MSA</td>
<td>48800</td>
<td>24400</td>
<td>42100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle Creek, MI MSA</td>
<td>48800</td>
<td>24400</td>
<td>42100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bensalem, PA HMFA</td>
<td>59400</td>
<td>29700</td>
<td>45900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binghamton, NY HMFA</td>
<td>61300</td>
<td>30650</td>
<td>47750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>52800</td>
<td>26400</td>
<td>36400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brevard County, FL HMFA</td>
<td>96500</td>
<td>48250</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulder, CO MSA</td>
<td>92500</td>
<td>46250</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling Green, KY MSA</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>45100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremer County, IA HMFA</td>
<td>69200</td>
<td>34600</td>
<td>54900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport, CT HMFA</td>
<td>86000</td>
<td>43000</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brockton, MA HMFA</td>
<td>82600</td>
<td>41300</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownsville-Harlingen, TX MSA</td>
<td>33700</td>
<td>16850</td>
<td>38900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick, GA MSA</td>
<td>59400</td>
<td>29700</td>
<td>45900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butts County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>63400</td>
<td>31700</td>
<td>46900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caguas, PR HMFA</td>
<td>25700</td>
<td>12850</td>
<td>23100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>56300</td>
<td>28150</td>
<td>43350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL MSA</td>
<td>56200</td>
<td>28100</td>
<td>46900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carson City, NV MSA</td>
<td>68300</td>
<td>34150</td>
<td>55450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casper, WY MSA</td>
<td>66100</td>
<td>33050</td>
<td>53900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne, WY MSA</td>
<td>65300</td>
<td>32650</td>
<td>53900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colchester-Lebanon, CT HMFA</td>
<td>98700</td>
<td>49350</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>66200</td>
<td>33100</td>
<td>51700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland, MD-WV HMFA</td>
<td>52300</td>
<td>26150</td>
<td>57300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>45200</td>
<td>22600</td>
<td>37750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danbury, CT HMFA</td>
<td>108900</td>
<td>54450</td>
<td>74650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville, IL MSA</td>
<td>52600</td>
<td>26300</td>
<td>45300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danville, VA MSA</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>41500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI HMFA</td>
<td>64400</td>
<td>32200</td>
<td>53100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dover, DE MSA</td>
<td>62400</td>
<td>31200</td>
<td>49600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Worcester County, MA HMFA</td>
<td>107700</td>
<td>53850</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton-Raynham, MA HMFA</td>
<td>103000</td>
<td>51500</td>
<td>64200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Centro, CA MSA</td>
<td>44400</td>
<td>22200</td>
<td>45750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso, TX MSA</td>
<td>41100</td>
<td>20550</td>
<td>38900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Low Income Limits

**FY2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METROPOLITAN AREA</th>
<th>FY2011 MEDIAN 80% OF 4-PERSON</th>
<th>TYPE OF LI ADJUSTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elkhart-Goshen, IN MSA</td>
<td>51100 25550 46400</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks, AK MSA</td>
<td>91700 45850 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pajaro, PR MSA</td>
<td>23200 11600 24000</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmington, NM MSA</td>
<td>56200 28100 43100</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitchburg-Leominster, MA HMFA</td>
<td>72000 36000 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagstaff, AZ MSA</td>
<td>60900 30450 52950</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale, FL HMFA</td>
<td>61800 30900 60250</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>41200 20600 34950</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, KS HMFA</td>
<td>62100 31050 48800</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, MA (part) HMFA</td>
<td>68900 34450 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>55400 27700 44800</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, CA MSA</td>
<td>54700 27300 45750</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadsden, AL MSA</td>
<td>46900 23450 37600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gainesville, FL MSA</td>
<td>54800 27400 46650</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>62900 31450 49850</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giles County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>50900 25450 38350</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grady County, OK HMFA</td>
<td>56600 28300 44700</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Junction, CO MSA</td>
<td>64300 32150 50400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>60400 30200 45600</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County, KY HMFA</td>
<td>47900 23950 42300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Falls, MT MSA</td>
<td>55500 27750 44700</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>52000 26000 32300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>47300 23650 39600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro-High Point, NC HMFA</td>
<td>54600 27300 44100</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grundy County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>78600 39300 62850</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guayama, PR MSA</td>
<td>20000 10000 21050</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagerstown, MD HMFA</td>
<td>66800 33400 57300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanford-Corcoran, CA MSA</td>
<td>53600 26800 45750</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT</td>
<td>86500 43250 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haywood County, NC HMFA</td>
<td>54100 27050 42300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland-Grand Haven, MI MSA</td>
<td>65100 32550 52250</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu, HI MSA</td>
<td>81600 40800 79350</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iberville Parish, LA HMFA</td>
<td>52300 26150 40100</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa County, WI HMFA</td>
<td>69700 34850 55500</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville, NC MSA</td>
<td>48600 24300 39600</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>64800 32400 50950</td>
<td>CAPPED at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County, WV HMFA</td>
<td>78200 39100 61200</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>60400 30200 56100</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson City, TN MSA</td>
<td>50500 25250 40150</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnstown, PA MSA</td>
<td>51700 25850 43900</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones County, IA HMFA</td>
<td>62400 31200 48100</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kankakee-Bradley, IL MSA</td>
<td>64400 32200 51300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>91000 45500 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kendall County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>85600 42800 62950</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Crosse, WI-MN MSA</td>
<td>67500 33750 53300</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Charles, LA MSA</td>
<td>61600 30800 46150</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ MSA</td>
<td>50500 25250 42750</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamar County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>42700 21350 41150</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laredo, TX MSA</td>
<td>39100 19550 38900</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Cruces, NM MSA</td>
<td>43200 21600 37650</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METROPOLITAN AREA</td>
<td>FY2011 MEDIAN 80% OF 4-PERSON INCOME</td>
<td>MEDIAN LI LIMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas-Paradise, NV MSA</td>
<td>63400</td>
<td>31700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence, MA-NH HMFA</td>
<td>87100</td>
<td>43550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livingston County, MI HMFA</td>
<td>83200</td>
<td>41600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan, UT-ID MSA</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>28300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA HMFA</td>
<td>64000</td>
<td>32000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowell, MA HMFA</td>
<td>91700</td>
<td>45850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macon County, TN HMFA</td>
<td>42900</td>
<td>21450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macoupin County, IL HMFA</td>
<td>62500</td>
<td>31250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madera-Chowchilla, CA MSA</td>
<td>53800</td>
<td>26900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison, WI HMFA</td>
<td>81800</td>
<td>40900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>43200</td>
<td>21600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinsburg, WV HMFA</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>31500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK HMFA</td>
<td>89400</td>
<td>44700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayagüez, PR MSA</td>
<td>19200</td>
<td>9600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX MSA</td>
<td>33700</td>
<td>16850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade County, SD HMFA</td>
<td>51400</td>
<td>25700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina County, TX HMFA</td>
<td>58100</td>
<td>29050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced, CA MSA</td>
<td>50500</td>
<td>25250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami-Miami Beach-Kendall, FL HMFA</td>
<td>51900</td>
<td>25950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>103600</td>
<td>51800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milford-Ansonia-Seymour, CT HMFA</td>
<td>91000</td>
<td>45500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI</td>
<td>82700</td>
<td>41350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moniteau County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>59300</td>
<td>29650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monmouth-Ocean, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>90500</td>
<td>45250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe, MI MSA</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>31500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muncie, IN MSA</td>
<td>52300</td>
<td>26150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray County, GA HMFA</td>
<td>47100</td>
<td>23550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI MSA</td>
<td>54200</td>
<td>27100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa, CA MSA</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>42500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashua, NH HMFA</td>
<td>92700</td>
<td>46350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau-Suffolk, NY HMFA</td>
<td>106100</td>
<td>53050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bedford, MA HMFA</td>
<td>63600</td>
<td>31800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven-Meriden, CT HMFA</td>
<td>83700</td>
<td>41850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, NY HMFA</td>
<td>64200</td>
<td>32100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>89500</td>
<td>44750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport-Middletown-Portsmouth, RI HMFA</td>
<td>89200</td>
<td>44600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Port-Bradenton-Sarasota, FL MSA</td>
<td>59100</td>
<td>29550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwich-New London, CT HMFA</td>
<td>83200</td>
<td>41600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland-Fremont, CA HMFA</td>
<td>92300</td>
<td>46150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oconto County, WI HMFA</td>
<td>58700</td>
<td>29350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odessa, TX MSA</td>
<td>55700</td>
<td>27850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okmulgee County, OK HMFA</td>
<td>45800</td>
<td>22900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange County, CA HMFA</td>
<td>84200</td>
<td>42100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, FL MSA</td>
<td>57400</td>
<td>28700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshkosh-Neenah, WI MSA</td>
<td>61200</td>
<td>30600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA MSA</td>
<td>88100</td>
<td>44050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL MSA</td>
<td>57800</td>
<td>28900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Coast, FL MSA</td>
<td>56800</td>
<td>28400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penobscot County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>48900</td>
<td>24450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE</td>
<td>80400</td>
<td>40200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT 4

### Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Low Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METROPOLITAN AREA</th>
<th>FY2011 MEDIAN 80% OF 4-PERSON INCOME LIMIT</th>
<th>TYPE OF LI ADJUSTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pittsfield, MA HMFA</td>
<td>68900 34450 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poinsett County, AR HMFA</td>
<td>41000 20500 34650</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>48800 24400 38400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponce, PR MSA</td>
<td>20300 10150 24400</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port St. Lucie, FL MSA</td>
<td>56200 28100 45350</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth-Rochester, NH HMFA</td>
<td>83100 41550 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY MSA</td>
<td>86100 40500 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescott, AZ MSA</td>
<td>56900 28450 45350</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo, CO MSA</td>
<td>52800 26400 47350</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>62500 31250 52400</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putnam County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>101700 50850 65450</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockland County, NY HMFA</td>
<td>85000 42500 57300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Angelo, TX MSA</td>
<td>55000 27500 43450</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA MSA</td>
<td>101600 50800 65450</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA HMFA</td>
<td>19300 9650 19700</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA HMFA</td>
<td>103600 51800 79350</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan-Guaynabo, PR HMFA</td>
<td>26900 13450 26000</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Goleta, CA MSA</td>
<td>72300 36150 62150</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA MSA</td>
<td>85800 49200 80650</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA MSA</td>
<td>81500 40750 64650</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saunders County, NE HMFA</td>
<td>69400 34700 54400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle-Bellevue, WA HMFA</td>
<td>86800 43400 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL MSA</td>
<td>53100 26550 45350</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seward County, NE HMFA</td>
<td>73200 36600 56650</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>44600 22300 35550</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County, TN HMFA</td>
<td>56100 28050 43900</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerset County, MD HMFA</td>
<td>52300 26150 57300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Middlesex County, CT HMFA</td>
<td>97300 48650 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield, MA HMFA</td>
<td>69300 34650 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George, UT MSA</td>
<td>56300 28150 45450</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford-Norwalk, CT HMFA</td>
<td>126600 63300 84500</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV MSA</td>
<td>51000 25500 42300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>52200 26100 42300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit County, UT HMFA</td>
<td>99000 49500 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunner County, KS HMFA</td>
<td>64300 32150 50150</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumter, SC MSA</td>
<td>47100 23550 38150</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL MSA</td>
<td>55700 27850 45200</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tate County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>46100 23050 39850</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taunton-Mansfield-Norton, MA HMFA</td>
<td>87400 43700 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton-Ewing, NJ MSA</td>
<td>94400 47200 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunkin County, MS HMFA</td>
<td>31500 15750 36300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County, OH HMFA</td>
<td>81400 40700 61700</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vallejo-Fairfield, CA MSA</td>
<td>81500 40750 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia-Porterville, CA MSA</td>
<td>48800 24400 45750</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakulla County, FL HMFA</td>
<td>65100 32550 47850</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Robins, GA MSA</td>
<td>70400 35200 55400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT 4

Metropolitan FMR Areas with Adjusted Low Income Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>FY2011 Median 80% of 4-Person Income</th>
<th>Median Li Limit</th>
<th>Type of Li Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warren County, NJ HMFA</td>
<td>88000 44000 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren County, VA HMFA</td>
<td>77300 38650 58000</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, IA HMFA</td>
<td>63500 31750 50400</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, IN HMFA</td>
<td>47800 23900 39300</td>
<td>Floored at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County, MO HMFA</td>
<td>43300 21650 37750</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-M</td>
<td>106100 53050 67600</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury, CT HMFA</td>
<td>67200 33600 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL HMFA</td>
<td>63300 31650 59200</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester County, NY Statutory Excepti</td>
<td>106500 53250 72800</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerly-Hopkinton-New Shoreham, RI HMFA</td>
<td>84400 42200 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Rockingham County, NH HMFA</td>
<td>99700 49850 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Worcester County, MA HMFA</td>
<td>69200 34600 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport, PA MSA</td>
<td>54100 27050 43900</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester, MA HMFA</td>
<td>82500 41250 64200</td>
<td>Capped at US Med</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakima, WA MSA</td>
<td>50500 25250 45300</td>
<td>State Median Based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yauco, PR MSA</td>
<td>16800 8400 19450</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County, ME (part) HMFA</td>
<td>67000 33500 53050</td>
<td>Capped at 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuma, AZ MSA</td>
<td>43900 21950 38950</td>
<td>High Housing Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT 5

FY 2010 - 2011 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income
(100 Percent = FY 2010 Income Level)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>less than 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT 5A

**FY 2010 - 2011 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income**

(100 Percent = FY 2010 Income Level)

**Metropolitan Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>less than 80%</th>
<th>80% to 84.9%</th>
<th>85% to 89.9%</th>
<th>90% to 94.9%</th>
<th>95 to 99.9%</th>
<th>100% to 105%</th>
<th>105.1% to 110%</th>
<th>110.1% to 115%</th>
<th>115.1% to 120%</th>
<th>120.1% to 125%</th>
<th>125.1% or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATTACHMENT 5B

**FY 2010 - 2011 Distribution of changes in Area Median Income**

(100 Percent = FY 2010 Income Level)

Non-metropolitan Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>less than 80%</td>
<td>80% to 84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>1  1  4  5  5  5  1  1  1  2  1  96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1  2  9  8  13  4  2  2  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1  3  5  13  20  9  4  2  3  2  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>1  2  4  4  2  4  2  1  2  2  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1  4  4  9  17  3  1  5  3  107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1  4  4  9  17  3  1  5  3  107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>1  2  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1  1  106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2  4  11  5  4  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>1  4  14  21  22  15  7  1  1  3  101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU</td>
<td>1  1  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>1  2  2  1  1  1  105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>6  12  36  15  4  2  4  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>1  1  2  9  10  4  4  1  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>1  1  9  32  14  6  2  1  2  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>1  1  15  22  6  2  1  2  1  101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1  2  3  3  15  26  17  12  5  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1  2  4  13  9  2  2  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>1  1  2  112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>1  1  6  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>1  1  6  2  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>2  31  17  4  3  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>3  8  37  10  3  2  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>2  5  8  29  24  9  2  1  1  105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1  1  2  10  16  7  3  3  2  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>1  1  2  10  12  8  5  4  2  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1  1  6  4  18  12  3  2  1  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1  1  6  4  18  12  3  2  1  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>6  9  31  18  4  5  5  6  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>1  6  112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1  1  1  2  13  3  4  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>2  4  3  3  1  1  101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>2  4  17  4  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2  8  30  8  1  1  102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>1  2  11  22  8  8  4  2  2  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>1  1  6  9  6  2  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1  1  6  26  2  1  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>1  1  7  2  9  4  1  1  1  96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1  1  7  20  11  7  7  3  3  1  106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>1  1  7  14  18  7  3  1  1  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>2  2  5  8  23  48  22  26  16  10  15  106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>1  4  7  3  3  1  1  1  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>1  3  9  11  12  6  2  1  1  97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>1  2  100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>1  6  3  1  1  1  104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>1  3  5  12  1  1  1  1  1  101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1  2  3  10  10  1  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1  5  4  14  5  3  2  1  2  102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>9  20  62  153  336  776  343  166  71  56  45  103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ATTACHMENT 6

**FY 2011 Median Family Incomes for States, Metropolitan and Nonmetropolitan Portions of States**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>METRO</th>
<th>NONMETRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>58100</td>
<td>47000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>79300</td>
<td>83400</td>
<td>70100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>60800</td>
<td>62000</td>
<td>46200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>50200</td>
<td>55900</td>
<td>43300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>70400</td>
<td>70900</td>
<td>57200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>73100</td>
<td>75600</td>
<td>60200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>88000</td>
<td>88800</td>
<td>82000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>72400</td>
<td>76300</td>
<td>61800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>70400</td>
<td>70400</td>
<td>51600*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>56200</td>
<td>57000</td>
<td>45300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>62900</td>
<td>44600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>78300</td>
<td>81600</td>
<td>72400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>54500</td>
<td>57600</td>
<td>49800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>71100</td>
<td>74200</td>
<td>56600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>59300</td>
<td>61500</td>
<td>52900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>64000</td>
<td>69400</td>
<td>58500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>64300</td>
<td>71100</td>
<td>53700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>52300</td>
<td>60900</td>
<td>43000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>58800</td>
<td>46300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>60200</td>
<td>65500</td>
<td>54200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>88100</td>
<td>89300</td>
<td>71600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>84900</td>
<td>84900</td>
<td>88300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>59600</td>
<td>62000</td>
<td>51400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>72900</td>
<td>79100</td>
<td>59200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>56900</td>
<td>41600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>59900</td>
<td>65700</td>
<td>47200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>57200</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>55900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>63500</td>
<td>70500</td>
<td>56200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>63900</td>
<td>63800</td>
<td>65100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>79400</td>
<td>86300</td>
<td>69400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>88200</td>
<td>88200</td>
<td>51600*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>53800</td>
<td>58200</td>
<td>47100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>70400</td>
<td>72300</td>
<td>56100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>57000</td>
<td>60800</td>
<td>49500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>66700</td>
<td>71900</td>
<td>63000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>60300</td>
<td>62400</td>
<td>52900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>59100</td>
<td>48800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>63100</td>
<td>66800</td>
<td>52700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>65700</td>
<td>68400</td>
<td>54900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>74600</td>
<td>74600</td>
<td>51600*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>55100</td>
<td>57600</td>
<td>47700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>59600</td>
<td>64800</td>
<td>55600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>53900</td>
<td>58200</td>
<td>45400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>59500</td>
<td>61500</td>
<td>48600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>66600</td>
<td>68100</td>
<td>56800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>66700</td>
<td>75700</td>
<td>62900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>75800</td>
<td>81700</td>
<td>51900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>71900</td>
<td>74600</td>
<td>56600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>44800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>65800</td>
<td>69200</td>
<td>59100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>66800</td>
<td>65700</td>
<td>67400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>64200</td>
<td>66700</td>
<td>51600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* US non-metropolitan median