Primary Research Questions:
- What are the strategies implemented to create well-functioning mixed-income developments and what are the early results?
- What are the perspectives and experiences of residents of the development?

Methods:
- In-depth panel interviews, focus groups
- Observations of meetings and community activities
- Review of documents

Case Study Sites:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study Site</th>
<th>Research Initiated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jazz on the Boulevard (Lakefront Properties)</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakwood Shores (Madden Wells)</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Boulevard (Stateway Gardens)</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westhaven Park (Henry Horner)</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roosevelt Square (ABLA)</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-depth panel interviews with residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- pre-relocation public housing residents</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- renters of public housing units in mixed-income developments</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- renters of affordable/market-rate housing in mixed-income</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- owners of affordable/market-rate housing in mixed-income</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth panel interviews with key stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- private developers, service providers, property managers</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- community stakeholders</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- public agency staff and other informed observers</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations of meetings and community activities</td>
<td>300+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Available papers:
The Theories Underlying Mixed-Income Development
Conceptualizing the Role of Schools in Mixed-Income Communities
Early Resident Experiences at Jazz on the Boulevard
Developer and Service Provider Perspectives on Mixed-Income Development
Building Community in Mixed-Income Developments*
Resident Perceptions of Life in Mixed-Income Developments*
Social Interaction in Mixed-Income Developments*
Public Space and Social Control in Mixed-Income Developments*
Public Housing Resident Decision-Making about Their “Right to Return”
The New Stigma of Public Housing Residents in Mixed-Income Developments

*Research Brief also available
Primary Research Questions:
- To what housing contexts have public housing residents relocated through the Chicago Housing Authority (CHA) Plan for Transformation in Chicago?
- Are there systematic background differences among households that move to different housing contexts?
- Are there early differences in indicators of well-being?

Methods:
- Analysis of administrative data using the Chapin Hall Integrated Database

Sample
- 16,826 households living in CHA at Transformation launch in October 1999
  - 9,980 households in CHA-subsidized housing in November 2008
    - 3,978 (40%) – housing choice voucher in the private market
    - 3,153 (32%) - traditional family developments
    - 1,571 (16%) - scattered-site public housing
    - 1,278 (13%) - new mixed-income developments
- 6,846 households not in CHA-subsidized housing in 2008 (2010 data)

Data Sources
- Chicago Housing Authority
- Illinois Department of Employment Security
- Illinois Department of Human Services
- Illinois Department of Children and Family Services
- Circuit Court of Cook County’s Juvenile Justice Division

Paper in revision for Cityscape:
Public Housing Transformation and Resident Relocation
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