

Family Options Study: Effects on Family Living Situation

Daniel Gubits
Tom McCall
Michelle Wood
Abt Associates Inc.

July 17, 2017

Appendix B: Additional Exhibits

Appendix B: Additional exhibits

Exhibit B-1: SUB vs. UC: Impacts on housing status at in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>			<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	1.5	3.0	-1.5	0.2	0.3	-0.2
Month 1	16.6	12.3	4.3 *	0.2	2.3	-2.1 ***
Month 2	38.8	20.3	18.5 ***	0.6	4.1	-3.5 ***
Month 3	55.4	30.4	25.0 ***	0.7	5.4	-4.7 ***
Month 4	69.4	35.1	34.3 ***	1.1	6.8	-5.7 ***
Month 5	76.3	39.8	36.5 ***	1.6	8.1	-6.6 ***
Month 6	81.4	42.0	39.4 ***	2.0	10.3	-8.2 ***
Month 7	83.8	45.1	38.7 ***	2.6	12.2	-9.6 ***
Month 8	86.3	46.5	39.8 ***	2.7	14.0	-11.3 ***
Month 9	88.0	49.0	39.0 ***	3.4	14.7	-11.2 ***
Month 10	88.2	50.7	37.5 ***	3.7	14.6	-10.9 ***
Month 11	88.3	50.9	37.4 ***	3.7	14.7	-11.0 ***
Month 12	88.5	51.7	36.8 ***	3.8	16.1	-12.3 ***
Month 13	89.1	53.8	35.3 ***	4.6	16.6	-12.0 ***
Month 14	88.5	53.4	35.1 ***	4.8	17.1	-12.2 ***
Month 15	88.8	53.5	35.3 ***	5.0	16.7	-11.6 ***
Month 16	88.5	54.3	34.2 ***	5.3	16.9	-11.5 ***
Month 17	88.6	54.8	33.8 ***	5.4	17.2	-11.9 ***
Month 18	88.0	55.7	32.3 ***	5.1	18.2	-13.1 ***
Month 19	88.6	54.8	33.8 ***	5.7	18.5	-12.8 ***
Month 20	87.4	53.5	33.8 ***	6.9	17.9	-11.0 ***
Month 21	86.8	55.6	31.2 ***	7.0	17.3	-10.3 ***
Month 22	87.7	56.5	31.2 ***	6.9	17.4	-10.5 ***
Month 23	88.4	57.9	30.5 ***	6.7	18.1	-11.4 ***
Month 24	88.2	58.3	29.9 ***	6.1	17.7	-11.5 ***
Month 25	88.4	58.3	30.1 ***	6.7	17.5	-10.8 ***
Month 26	87.7	60.5	27.2 ***	6.7	17.6	-11.0 ***
Month 27	87.5	60.7	26.8 ***	6.5	16.8	-10.3 ***
Month 28	87.5	62.9	24.6 ***	6.4	16.3	-9.9 ***
Month 29	87.4	63.9	23.5 ***	6.8	16.9	-10.1 ***
Month 30	87.3	66.4	20.9 ***	7.3	16.9	-9.6 ***
Month 31	86.6	67.7	18.9 ***	7.5	18.0	-10.5 ***
Month 32	87.1	68.2	19.0 ***	7.7	17.4	-9.7 ***

SUB = priority access to Long-term rent subsidy. UC = usual care.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: SUB = 501. UC = 395.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-2: SUB versus UC: Percent of families exiting status of living in own place, by 4-month period after random assignment




Exhibit B-3: SUB vs. UC: Impacts on exit from living in own place

<i>Exit from status of living in own place during period after RA</i>	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Months 1 to 4	0.1	1.2	-1.1
Months 5 to 8	2.0	2.8	-0.7
Months 9 to 12	2.3	4.8	-2.4 *
Months 13 to 16	2.5	4.7	-2.2 *
Months 17 to 20	3.6	7.2	-3.7 **
Months 21 to 24	1.3	4.3	-3.0 **
Months 25 to 28	2.3	3.0	-0.7
Months 29 to 32	2.9	2.8	0.0
Months 1 to 32	15.5	29.5	-14.0 ***

SUB = priority access to long-term rent subsidy. UC = usual care.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: SUB = 501. UC = 395.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Exit in period 1 to 4 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 3 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Exit in other 4-month periods is defined as living in own place in the last month of the previous 4-month period and not living in own place for at least one of the months in the 4-month period.

Exit in period 1 to 32 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 31 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-4: CBRR vs. UC: Impacts on housing status in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>				<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>		<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	7.8	3.2	4.6 ***		0.0	0.3	-0.3
Month 1	28.3	11.5	16.8 ***		0.8	2.2	-1.4
Month 2	45.8	19.4	26.4 ***		1.0	3.4	-2.4 **
Month 3	54.4	29.6	24.8 ***		1.8	4.1	-2.3 *
Month 4	56.7	36.6	20.1 ***		2.9	5.6	-2.7 *
Month 5	60.3	41.5	18.8 ***		4.1	7.1	-3.0 *
Month 6	59.5	44.1	15.4 ***		6.9	8.6	-1.7
Month 7	58.5	47.4	11.1 ***		8.3	11.1	-2.7
Month 8	60.1	48.8	11.3 ***		9.6	12.9	-3.3
Month 9	59.4	52.0	7.4 **		10.3	14.2	-3.9 *
Month 10	58.8	52.5	6.3 *		11.5	14.3	-2.8
Month 11	58.3	52.6	5.7		12.3	14.4	-2.0
Month 12	59.7	52.7	6.9 **		15.3	14.6	0.7
Month 13	58.0	55.1	2.9		16.9	15.9	1.1
Month 14	58.8	54.8	4.0		17.2	17.2	0.0
Month 15	59.4	54.3	5.1		16.2	17.2	-1.0
Month 16	60.2	55.0	5.2		16.5	17.1	-0.5
Month 17	60.3	54.6	5.7		16.8	17.6	-0.8
Month 18	60.6	55.5	5.1		17.7	19.1	-1.4
Month 19	63.1	54.6	8.5 **		18.9	19.0	-0.1
Month 20	62.9	54.3	8.6 **		15.9	18.3	-2.3
Month 21	64.5	57.0	7.5 **		16.8	18.3	-1.5
Month 22	65.0	57.7	7.3 **		17.2	18.6	-1.3
Month 23	64.7	58.9	5.8 *		16.6	18.4	-1.8
Month 24	65.7	59.0	6.7 *		16.8	18.0	-1.2
Month 25	65.2	59.6	5.6		16.7	17.2	-0.5
Month 26	64.8	61.0	3.8		16.1	16.9	-0.8
Month 27	66.7	61.7	5.0		16.1	16.1	0.0
Month 28	66.4	64.4	2.0		15.6	15.3	0.4
Month 29	67.6	65.6	2.1		16.1	14.8	1.3
Month 30	70.3	67.6	2.7		16.1	13.9	2.2
Month 31	71.9	69.7	2.3		16.0	15.1	0.9
Month 32	72.2	71.2	1.0		16.4	14.5	1.9

CBRR = priority access to community-based rapid re-housing.

UC = usual care. RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: CBRR = 434. UC = 434.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-5: CBRR versus UC: Percent of families exiting status of living in own place, by 4-month period after random assignment




Exhibit B-6: CBRR vs. UC: Impacts on exit from living in own place

<i>Exit from status of living in own place during period after RA</i>	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Months 1 to 4	2.3	0.4	1.9 **
Months 5 to 8	8.8	3.0	5.8 ***
Months 9 to 12	8.3	5.7	2.6
Months 13 to 16	9.3	4.1	5.2 ***
Months 17 to 20	6.4	6.9	-0.5
Months 21 to 24	2.7	4.9	-2.2
Months 25 to 28	4.9	2.4	2.5 *
Months 29 to 32	3.9	2.3	1.6
Months 1 to 32	40.0	27.9	12.1 ***

CBRR = priority access to community-based rapid re-housing.

UC = usual care. RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: CBRR = 434. UC = 434.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Exit in period 1 to 4 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 3 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Exit in other 4-month periods is defined as living in own place in the last month of the previous 4-month period and not living in own place for at least one of the months in the 4-month period.

Exit in period 1 to 32 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 31 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-7: PBTH vs. UC: Impacts on housing status in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>			<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>UC Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	1.1	2.0	-0.9	0.6	0.0	0.6
Month 1	5.9	5.3	0.6	1.2	1.9	-0.7
Month 2	8.9	14.0	-5.1 *	1.4	3.2	-1.8
Month 3	12.8	20.6	-7.7 **	2.7	4.2	-1.5
Month 4	19.5	26.5	-7.0 *	3.7	5.0	-1.3
Month 5	22.6	32.2	-9.6 **	5.4	5.4	0.0
Month 6	25.8	36.7	-10.8 ***	8.0	7.7	0.3
Month 7	29.3	40.4	-11.1 ***	10.6	11.1	-0.4
Month 8	30.0	43.1	-13.2 ***	13.1	13.6	-0.5
Month 9	30.5	46.5	-16.0 ***	13.1	14.7	-1.6
Month 10	32.0	48.6	-16.6 ***	14.1	14.2	-0.1
Month 11	35.0	49.2	-14.2 ***	15.1	14.0	1.1
Month 12	37.0	49.7	-12.7 ***	15.9	14.0	1.9
Month 13	39.3	52.1	-12.8 ***	20.9	14.2	6.7 **
Month 14	38.1	53.7	-15.6 ***	20.6	16.8	3.8
Month 15	43.1	53.7	-10.7 **	20.1	16.9	3.3
Month 16	44.8	54.5	-9.6 **	19.5	16.9	2.5
Month 17	45.9	55.4	-9.4 **	19.5	17.6	1.9
Month 18	47.4	55.4	-8.0 *	20.4	18.0	2.4
Month 19	48.9	55.1	-6.2	21.8	18.2	3.5
Month 20	52.0	54.7	-2.7	21.1	17.8	3.3
Month 21	52.0	58.6	-6.7	20.2	17.7	2.4
Month 22	52.9	59.1	-6.2	20.8	16.4	4.4
Month 23	52.4	59.6	-7.2	20.8	16.1	4.7
Month 24	52.2	61.7	-9.5 **	20.2	15.6	4.6
Month 25	53.4	61.4	-8.0 *	20.1	15.8	4.4
Month 26	54.5	61.1	-6.6	20.3	16.2	4.2
Month 27	54.6	62.3	-7.8 *	20.3	16.2	4.2
Month 28	55.4	64.9	-9.5 **	20.6	15.8	4.8
Month 29	57.9	65.2	-7.3 *	21.1	15.8	5.4
Month 30	57.9	69.4	-11.6 ***	20.8	16.0	4.8
Month 31	60.8	71.5	-10.7 ***	20.7	16.9	3.8
Month 32	63.0	71.9	-8.9 **	19.9	14.9	5.0

PBTH = priority access to project-based transitional housing.

UC = usual care. RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: PBTH = 293. UC = 259.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-8: SUB vs. CBRR: Impacts on housing status in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>			<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	1.6	10.4	-8.7 ***	0.2	0.1	0.1
Month 1	17.1	30.8	-13.7 ***	0.2	0.6	-0.5
Month 2	40.4	50.3	-9.9 **	0.6	0.6	0.0
Month 3	55.1	58.0	-2.9	0.8	1.1	-0.2
Month 4	68.4	59.4	8.9 **	1.1	2.3	-1.2
Month 5	75.5	62.6	12.9 ***	1.6	2.8	-1.2
Month 6	80.4	61.0	19.3 ***	1.7	4.9	-3.2 **
Month 7	83.0	59.9	23.1 ***	2.5	6.7	-4.2 **
Month 8	85.1	61.8	23.2 ***	2.7	8.3	-5.6 ***
Month 9	87.1	60.4	26.7 ***	3.3	9.7	-6.4 ***
Month 10	87.0	58.4	28.6 ***	3.6	10.5	-6.9 ***
Month 11	87.1	57.5	29.6 ***	3.7	11.9	-8.1 ***
Month 12	86.8	59.9	26.9 ***	3.8	16.3	-12.5 ***
Month 13	87.9	57.3	30.6 ***	5.1	18.6	-13.5 ***
Month 14	86.9	59.0	27.9 ***	5.4	18.4	-12.9 ***
Month 15	87.8	58.8	29.0 ***	5.6	16.4	-10.7 ***
Month 16	87.5	60.4	27.1 ***	5.4	16.9	-11.5 ***
Month 17	88.1	61.3	26.8 ***	5.6	17.2	-11.6 ***
Month 18	87.2	61.6	25.6 ***	5.2	17.3	-12.1 ***
Month 19	87.3	65.4	21.9 ***	6.1	18.5	-12.4 ***
Month 20	85.8	65.4	20.4 ***	7.5	14.7	-7.2 **
Month 21	85.0	67.3	17.7 ***	8.0	13.9	-5.9 **
Month 22	86.3	68.6	17.7 ***	7.7	14.1	-6.4 **
Month 23	87.0	67.9	19.1 ***	7.5	13.2	-5.6 **
Month 24	86.2	68.6	17.6 ***	6.9	13.2	-6.3 **
Month 25	86.7	67.4	19.3 ***	7.3	12.6	-5.2 **
Month 26	86.3	68.2	18.1 ***	7.3	12.2	-4.8 *
Month 27	86.2	70.1	16.2 ***	6.5	12.4	-5.9 **
Month 28	86.2	70.0	16.2 ***	6.3	12.1	-5.8 **
Month 29	86.2	70.7	15.4 ***	6.5	12.3	-5.8 **
Month 30	86.2	72.7	13.5 ***	7.0	12.9	-5.9 **
Month 31	85.6	74.1	11.5 ***	7.0	13.8	-6.8 **
Month 32	87.1	73.5	13.6 ***	7.1	14.4	-7.3 ***

SUB = priority access to Long-term rent subsidy.

CBRR = priority access to community-based rapid re-housing.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: SUB = 362. CBRR = 290.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-9: SUB versus CBRR: Percent of families exiting status of living in own place, by 4-month period after random assignment




Exhibit B-10: SUB vs. CBRR: Impacts on exit from living in own place

<i>Exit from status of living in own place during period after RA</i>	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Months 1 to 4	0.2	2.4	-2.2 **
Months 5 to 8	2.9	9.1	-6.2 ***
Months 9 to 12	3.5	9.8	-6.3 ***
Months 13 to 16	2.8	10.0	-7.2 ***
Months 17 to 20	3.9	5.6	-1.8
Months 21 to 24	1.8	2.7	-0.9
Months 25 to 28	2.0	3.8	-1.7
Months 29 to 32	2.7	3.7	-1.1
Months 1 to 32	17.2	41.0	-23.7 ***

SUB = priority access to Long-term rent subsidy.

CBRR = priority access to community-based rapid re-housing.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: SUB = 362. CBRR = 290.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Exit in period 1 to 4 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 3 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Exit in other 4-month periods is defined as living in own place in the last month of the previous 4-month period and not living in own place for at least one of the months in the 4-month period.

Exit in period 1 to 32 is defined as living in own place for at least one month during months 0 to 31 followed by at least one month of not living in own place.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-11: SUB vs. PBTH: Impacts on housing status at in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>				<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>		<i>SUB Mean</i>	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	1.8	1.4	0.4		0.0	0.5	-0.5
Month 1	16.9	4.6	12.2	***	0.0	1.0	-0.9
Month 2	41.4	7.3	34.2	***	0.8	1.4	-0.6
Month 3	60.0	12.1	47.9	***	0.8	3.8	-2.9 *
Month 4	75.9	17.3	58.6	***	1.7	5.2	-3.5 *
Month 5	83.6	20.3	63.3	***	1.7	7.5	-5.7 **
Month 6	85.3	23.8	61.4	***	2.1	9.7	-7.6 ***
Month 7	86.6	27.9	58.7	***	3.1	11.8	-8.7 ***
Month 8	87.5	27.7	59.8	***	3.6	14.9	-11.4 ***
Month 9	87.4	28.5	58.9	***	4.5	15.3	-10.8 ***
Month 10	87.0	31.6	55.4	***	4.9	15.8	-10.9 ***
Month 11	87.0	34.1	52.9	***	4.9	16.7	-11.8 ***
Month 12	88.0	35.8	52.1	***	4.5	17.1	-12.6 ***
Month 13	87.9	38.7	49.2	***	5.0	21.3	-16.3 ***
Month 14	87.3	38.3	49.0	***	5.4	20.9	-15.4 ***
Month 15	87.2	41.8	45.4	***	5.7	20.5	-14.8 ***
Month 16	85.9	43.8	42.2	***	5.7	19.6	-13.9 ***
Month 17	86.1	45.8	40.3	***	5.7	19.5	-13.8 ***
Month 18	86.6	47.5	39.1	***	5.7	19.5	-13.8 ***
Month 19	88.0	47.9	40.0	***	6.1	20.4	-14.3 ***
Month 20	87.5	51.4	36.1	***	7.0	20.4	-13.4 ***
Month 21	87.1	51.6	35.4	***	6.9	19.3	-12.4 ***
Month 22	86.6	52.9	33.7	***	6.5	19.6	-13.1 ***
Month 23	87.7	52.7	35.0	***	6.5	20.1	-13.6 ***
Month 24	88.6	52.6	35.9	***	5.7	20.1	-14.4 ***
Month 25	88.8	53.9	34.9	***	6.2	19.9	-13.7 ***
Month 26	88.0	54.7	33.3	***	6.2	20.3	-14.1 ***
Month 27	88.0	55.2	32.9	***	6.6	19.8	-13.2 ***
Month 28	87.9	55.8	32.1	***	7.4	19.8	-12.4 ***
Month 29	87.1	57.5	29.6	***	8.2	19.4	-11.1 ***
Month 30	86.7	58.0	28.6	***	8.3	19.4	-11.1 ***
Month 31	86.6	59.7	26.9	***	8.8	18.8	-10.1 ***
Month 32	85.8	62.6	23.2	***	8.7	19.0	-10.4 ***

SUB = priority access to Long-term rent subsidy.

PBTH = priority access to project-based transitional housing.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: SUB = 215. PBTH = 201.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data

Exhibit B-12: CBRR vs. PBTH: Impacts on housing status at in months 0 to 32 after month of random assignment

<i>Month after RA</i>	<i>Living in own place in month # after RA</i>			<i>Doubled up in month # after RA</i>		
	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>	<i>CBRR Mean</i>	<i>PBTH Mean</i>	<i>ITT Impact</i>
Month 0	7.2	1.1	6.1 **	0.0	0.0	0.0 ***
Month 1	27.2	7.8	19.4 ***	0.4	1.3	-1.0
Month 2	43.2	12.1	31.1 ***	0.4	1.7	-1.3
Month 3	51.1	16.7	34.4 ***	0.9	2.6	-1.7
Month 4	52.9	24.9	28.0 ***	1.3	2.6	-1.3
Month 5	55.8	27.8	27.9 ***	3.2	4.2	-1.1
Month 6	57.2	31.4	25.9 ***	6.5	7.3	-0.8
Month 7	57.0	34.1	22.9 ***	8.2	9.1	-0.9
Month 8	58.6	34.4	24.3 ***	9.7	11.8	-2.1
Month 9	58.4	35.9	22.5 ***	9.3	11.3	-2.1
Month 10	57.2	36.7	20.4 ***	10.2	12.6	-2.4
Month 11	57.1	40.3	16.8 ***	11.1	13.7	-2.6
Month 12	55.5	41.4	14.1 ***	12.7	13.5	-0.8
Month 13	53.3	43.6	9.7 *	14.7	20.2	-5.5
Month 14	54.2	40.1	14.1 **	15.3	20.6	-5.2
Month 15	56.4	46.2	10.2 *	15.4	19.6	-4.2
Month 16	56.9	47.2	9.8 *	16.7	18.7	-1.9
Month 17	56.3	48.1	8.1	17.3	19.0	-1.7
Month 18	57.5	48.7	8.8	18.5	20.9	-2.4
Month 19	58.2	48.4	9.8 *	19.7	23.1	-3.4
Month 20	58.0	54.6	3.4	19.3	22.6	-3.3
Month 21	59.8	53.8	6.0	19.3	21.1	-1.8
Month 22	60.6	53.6	7.0	18.0	22.0	-4.1
Month 23	61.0	52.3	8.7	18.9	21.6	-2.7
Month 24	62.5	52.8	9.7 *	18.5	20.7	-2.2
Month 25	63.7	54.6	9.2 *	18.3	20.6	-2.3
Month 26	63.2	57.5	5.7	17.4	20.3	-2.9
Month 27	66.3	56.7	9.6 *	18.4	20.5	-2.1
Month 28	65.3	57.1	8.2	18.3	21.5	-3.1
Month 29	63.9	59.6	4.3	18.5	21.7	-3.2
Month 30	65.7	59.9	5.8	19.7	21.1	-1.4
Month 31	66.1	62.9	3.2	18.7	20.2	-1.5
Month 32	67.1	65.3	1.8	20.3	18.6	1.7

CBRR = priority access to community-based rapid re-housing.

PBTH = priority access to project-based transitional housing.

RA = random assignment.

Sample sizes: CBRR = 180. PBTH = 184.

*/**/** Impact estimate is significantly different from 0 at the .10/.05/.01 levels, respectively, using a two-tailed t-test.

Notes: Percentages are regression adjusted, controlling for site and randomization ratio. Means and impacts are weighted for survey nonresponse to represent full comparison sample.

Source: Family Options Study Program Usage/Living Situation Data