U.S. Housing Market Conditions

August 2011

SUMMARY

Housing data for the second quarter of 2011 indicate that the recovery in the housing market continues to remain fragile. In the production sector, the number of single-family housing starts, permits, and completions all rose. Building permits for multifamily units increased, although multifamily starts and completions both fell. In the marketing sector, sales of new homes rose, but sales of existing homes declined. The Standard and Poor's Case-Shiller[®] national seasonally adjusted (SA) repeat-sales house price index, which is reported with a lag, recorded a 1.9-percent decline in the value of homes in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the previous quarter and a 4.9-percent decline from yearearlier levels. The Federal Housing Finance Agency's (FHFA) purchase-only repeat-sales index, also reported on a lagged basis, estimated a 2.5-percent (SA) decrease in home values in the first quarter and a year-over-year decline of 5.5 percent. Inventories of available homes at the current sales rate decreased for new homes but rose for existing homes in the second quarter. The months' supply of new homes dropped to an average rate of 6.4 months in the second quarter from 7.3 months in the first quarter, while the months' supply of existing homes reached 9.2 months, up from 8.1 months in the first quarter.

The national homeownership rate declined in the second quarter, as did the homeownership rate for minorities. According to the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA), seriously delinquent mortgages and newly initiated foreclosures fell in the first quarter (the data are reported with a 2-month lag), although the delinquency rate on mortgage loans overall increased slightly. According to a preliminary estimate, growth in the U.S. economy slowed in the second quarter to a sluggish 1.3-percent seasonally adjusted annual rate (SAAR), following a mere 0.4-percent growth in the first quarter, according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Residential investment rose 5.7 percent in the second quarter compared with an increase of 8.7 percent in the first quarter.

Housing Production

Housing production indicators painted a mixed picture in the second quarter of 2011. The number of singlefamily housing starts, permits issued, and completions all rose. In the multifamily sector (apartments and condominiums), the number of building permits rose, although starts and completions fell. The absorption rate was up for condominiums and co-ops but was down for apartments. Shipments of manufactured housing increased in the second quarter.

- Builders took out permits for new housing at a pace of 596,000 (SAAR) units during the second quarter, 7 percent higher than the first quarter but 1 percent lower than a year earlier. Single-family building permits were issued for 401,000 (SAAR) units, up 1 percent from the first quarter but down 10 percent from year-earlier levels. Single-family permits have increased in 6 of the last 9 quarters, after having declined for 14 consecutive quarters, ending the second quarter of 2009.
- During the second quarter, builders started construction on 576,000 new housing units (SAAR), down 1 percent from the first quarter and 4 percent from a year earlier. Single-family housing starts reached 426,000 (SAAR) units, up 3 percent from the first quarter but down 13 percent from yearearlier levels. Single-family starts have risen or remained steady in 5 of the last 9 quarters, after having fallen for 12 consecutive quarters, ending the second quarter of 2009.

Ι	n	S	i	d	e
Cor	ntents .				2
Tax	w Low Credi ilable.	t Prope	erty D		6
Nat	ional I	Data			. 15
Reg	ional A	Activit	y		.31
His	torical	Data.			. 64



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research

Contents

Summary 1 Housing Production 1 Marketing of Housing 3 Affordability, Homeownership, and 5 Foreclosures 4 Multifamily Housing 5
New Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Property Data Available 6 Overview of the LIHTC 6 Characteristics of Tax Credit Projects 7 LIHTC and Housing Markets 10 Accessing the LIHTC Database 13 Notes 13
National Data15Housing Production15Permits15Starts16Under Construction16Completions17Manufactured (Mobile) Home17
Marketing of Housing 18 Home Sales 18 Home Prices 19 Repeat Sales Price Index 20 Housing Affordability 20 Absorption of New Multifamily Units21 Manufactured (Mobile) Home Placements 22 Builders' Views of Housing Market Activity 23
Housing Finance 24 Mortgage Interest Rates 24 FHA Market Share of 1- to 4-Family 25 FHA 1- to 4-Family Mortgage 25 Insurance 26 PMI and VA Activity 26 Delinquencies and Foreclosures 27
Housing Investment
Housing Inventory29Housing Stock29Vacancy Rates30Homeownership Rates30
Regional Activity31Regional Reports32New England, HUD Region I32New York/New Jersey, HUD Region II34Mid-Atlantic, HUD Region III35Southeast/Caribbean, HUD Region IV37Midwest, HUD Region VI40Southwest, HUD Region VI40Great Plains, HUD Region VII42Rocky Mountain, HUD Region VII44Pacific, HUD Region IX45Northwest, HUD Region X47

Housing Market Profiles
Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, Texas49 Chattanooga, Tennessee-Georgia50
Cheyenne, Wyoming51
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, Ohio
Manchester-Nashua, New Hampshire53
Mobile, Alabama
Oakland-Fremont-Hayward, California56
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario,
California57
State College, Pennsylvania59
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater,
Florida
Units Authorized by Building Permits, Year to Date: HUD Regions
Year to Date: HUD Regions
and States62
Units Authorized by Building Permits,
Year to Date: 50 Most Active Core
Based Statistical Areas (Listed by Total Building Permits)63
Historical Data
Exhibit 1
New Privately Owned Housing
Units Authorized: 1967–Present64
Exhibit 2
New Privately Owned Housing
Units Started: 1967–Present65
Exhibit 3
New Privately Owned Housing Units
Under Construction: 1970–Present66
Exhibit 4 New Privately Owned Housing
Units Completed: 1970–Present
Exhibit 5
Manufactured (Mobile) Home
Shipments, Residential Placements,
Average Prices, and Units for Sale:
1977–Present
Exhibit 6 New Single-Family Home Sales:
1970–Present
Exhibit 7
Existing Home Sales: 1969–Present70
Exhibit 8
New Single-Family Home Prices:
1964–Present71
Exhibit 9
Existing Home Prices: 1969–Present72
Exhibit 10 Demost Salas Haves Prize Indew
Repeat Sales House Price Index: 1991–Present73
Exhibit 11
Housing Affordability Index:
1973–Present
Exhibit 12
Market Absorption of New Rental
Units and Median Asking Rent:
1970–Present
Exhibit 13 Builders' Views of Housing Market
Activity: 1979–Present

Exhibit 14 Mortgage Interest Rates, Average Commitment Rates, and Points: 1973–Present77
Exhibit 15 Mortgage Interest Rates, Fees, Effective Rates, and Average Term to Maturity on Conventional Loans Closed: 1982–Present
Exhibit 16 FHA Market Share of 1- to 4-Family Mortgages: 2001–Present
FHA, VA, and PMI 1- to 4-Family Mortgage Insurance Activity: 1971–Present
Exhibit 18 FHA Unassisted Multifamily Mortgage Insurance Activity: 1980–Present
Exhibit 19 Mortgage Delinquencies and Foreclosures Started: 1986–Present82
Exhibit 20 Value of New Construction Put in Place, Private Residential Buildings: 1974–Present
Exhibit 21 Gross Domestic Product and Residential Fixed Investment: 1960–Present
Exhibit 22 Net Change in Number of House- holds by Age of Householder: 1971–Present
Exhibit 23 Net Change in Number of House- holds by Type of Household: 1971–Present
Exhibit 24 Net Change in Number of House- holds by Race and Ethnicity of Householder: 1971–Present
Exhibit 25 Total U.S. Housing Stock: 1970–Present
Exhibit 26 Rental Vacancy Rates: 1979–Present90
Exhibit 27 Homeownership Rates by Age of Householder: 1982–Present
Exhibit 28 Homeownership Rates by Region and Metropolitan Status: 1983–Present92
Exhibit 29 Homeownership Rates by Race and Ethnicity: 1983–Present
Exhibit 30 Homeownership Rates by Household Type: 1983–Present94

For readers incorporating portions of the content from this publication in their own printed or electronic work, please provide full attribution to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Office of Policy Development and Research.

- Builders completed 541,000 (SAAR) new housing units in the second quarter, down 6 percent from the first quarter and 30 percent over the four-quarter period. Single-family home completions reached 433,000 (SAAR) units, up 2 percent from the previous quarter but down 26 percent over the past year. Single-family completions have increased in 3 of the last 7 quarters, after having declined for 14 consecutive quarters, ending the fourth quarter of 2009.
- Manufactured housing shipments totaled 48,300 (SAAR) units in the second quarter, up 7 percent from the first quarter but down 12 percent from a year earlier. Onsite placements of manufactured housing, which are reported with a lag, totaled 42,700 units in the first quarter, down 5 percent from the previous quarter and 9 percent from a year earlier. Manufactured housing shipments have increased in 4 of the last 5 quarters, following a downward trend that began after the hurricane-induced sales-order increases of late 2005.

Marketing <mark>of Housing</mark>

Data on the housing marketing sector were mixed in the second quarter of 2011, with the market for new homes performing better than that for existing homes. The number of new homes sold rose in the second quarter and the year-over-year median sales price of new homes was up slightly. In contrast, the number of existing homes sold in the second quarter fell and the year-over-year median sales price of existing homes was down. The (SA) S&P/Case-Shiller® and FHFA repeatsales house price indices, which are reported with a lag, estimated that house prices dropped in the first quarter of 2011 on both a quarter-over-quarter and year-overyear basis. The average months' supply of homes for sale in the first quarter fell for new homes but increased for existing homes. Home builders' confidence, as measured by the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB)/ Wells Fargo Housing Market Index, fell slightly in the second quarter.

- During the second quarter of 2011, 315,000 (SAAR) new single-family homes were sold, up 5 percent from the 299,000 (SAAR) homes sold in the first quarter but down 6 percent from the pace a year ago.
- The NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®] (NAR) reported that 4.860 million (SAAR) existing homes—including single-family homes, townhomes, condominiums, and cooperatives—were sold in the second quarter, down 5 percent from the previous quarter and 13 percent from year-earlier levels. According to a NAR practitioner survey, sales to first-time homebuyers accounted for 34 percent of all sales transactions in the second quarter, up from 32 percent in the previous quarter.

- The median price of new homes sold in the second quarter was \$225,800, virtually unchanged from the previous quarter but up 3 percent from a year earlier. The average price of new homes sold was \$266,800, also virtually the same as the previous quarter but down 1 percent over the past year. A constant-quality house would have sold for \$281,000, up 1 percent from the previous quarter but down 1 percent from a year ago.
- NAR reported that the median price of existing homes sold was \$171,600 in the second quarter, up 9 percent from the first quarter but down 3 percent from a year earlier. The average price of existing homes sold in the second quarter was \$221,300, 8 percent higher than the previous quarter but 1 percent lower than a year earlier. According to a NAR practitioner survey, distressed sales (foreclosures and short sales) represented 33 percent of all home sales in the second quarter, down from 39 percent in the first quarter but up slightly from a year ago (32 percent). Distressed sales prices are typically 15 to 20 percent below normal market prices.
- S&P/Case-Shiller[®] and the FHFA both produce repeat-sales house price indices that are reported with a 2-month lag. The S&P/Case-Shiller[®] national index (SA) estimated that home prices in the first quarter of 2011 were down 1.9 percent from the previous guarter and 4.9 percent from a year earlier. The FHFA purchase-only national index (SA) estimated that home prices were down 2.5 percent from the previous quarter and 5.5 percent from a year earlier. The FHFA index differs from the S&P/Case-Shiller[®] index mainly because it is based on sales financed with mortgages that have been sold to or guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, excludes sales transactions associated with subprime and some "jumbo" loans, and is transaction weighted instead of value weighted.
- During the second quarter of 2011, the average inventory of new homes for sale was 168,000 units, down 8 percent from the first quarter and 22 percent from a year earlier. That inventory would support 6.4 months of sales at the current sales pace, down 0.9 month from the first quarter and 1.5 months over the four-quarter period. The average inventory of existing homes for sale in the first quarter was 3.723 million units, up 8 percent from the first quarter but down 5 percent from a year earlier. That inventory would support 9.2 months of sales at the current sales pace, up 1.1 months from the first quarter and 0.7 month from 1 year ago. Of concern is the "shadow inventory" of homes as a result of the high rate of delinquencies and foreclosures, which has the potential to increase the supply of homes for sale and further depress home prices.



- The Federal Housing Administration's (FHA) share of the mortgage market, which is reported with a 2-month lag, grew in terms of both dollar volume and number of loans during the first quarter of 2011. Based on loan origination data, the FHA's dollar volume share of the mortgage market was 14.7 percent, up from 14.5 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010 but down from 16.2 percent a year earlier. For home purchase loans, the FHA's dollar volume share was 25.5 percent, down from 32.3 percent in the fourth quarter and 29.3 percent a year earlier. For refinance loans, the FHA's dollar volume share was 8.8 percent in the first quarter, down from 9.4 percent in the previous quarter and 9.2 percent a year earlier. Based on the number of loans originated, the FHA's share of the mortgage market reached 17.1 percent in the first quarter, up from 16.5 percent in the previous quarter but down from 19.2 percent a year earlier. For home purchase loans, the FHA's share of the number of new mortgage loans was 29.8 percent, down from 37.2 percent in the previous quarter and 33.7 percent a year earlier. The FHA's share of the number of new refinance loans was 9.9 percent in the first quarter, down from 10.1 percent in the previous quarter and 10.7 percent a year earlier.
- Home builders' optimism dropped slightly in the second quarter of 2011. The NAHB/Wells Fargo composite Housing Market Index was 15, down 1 point from the first quarter and 4 points from a year earlier. The fall in the index was primarily driven by expected future sales which dropped from 25 to 19 points. The composite index is based on three components—current market activity, future sales expectations, and prospective buyer traffic—and ranges from 0 to 100.

Affordability, Homeownership, and Foreclosures

Housing affordability, as measured by the NAR Housing Affordability Index, decreased in the second quarter of 2011. The NAR composite index estimates that a family earning the median income had 177.2 percent of the income needed to purchase a median-priced, existing single-family home, using standard lending guidelines. That value is down 12.8 percentage points from the previous quarter but up 10.3 percentage points over the four-quarter period. The decline in affordability is attributed to an 8-percent increase in the median sales price of existing single-family homes, which more than offset a 0.1-percent increase in median family income and a 5-basis-point decline in mortgage interest rates.

Estimates from the MBA's quarterly National Delinquency Survey, which is reported with a 2-month lag, showed that, although the delinquency rate on mortgage loans on one- to four-family units increased slightly in the first quarter of 2011, seriously delinquent mortgages (90 days or more delinquent or in the foreclosure process) and newly initiated foreclosures fell during the quarter. Delinquency rates declined for FHA loans but increased for both prime and subprime mortgages. The percentage of mortgage holders seriously delinquent on their mortgages dropped for the fifth consecutive quarter and foreclosure starts are at their lowest level since the last quarter of 2008.

According to the MBA, in the first quarter of 2011 the (SA) delinquency rate for all mortgage loans was 8.32 percent, up from 8.25 percent in the previous quarter but down from 10.06 percent a year earlier. The (SA) delinquency rate for prime mortgages was 5.50 percent, up slightly from 5.48 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010 but down from 7.32 percent a year earlier. The (SA) delinquency rate for subprime mortgage loans was 24.01 percent, up from 23.09 percent in the previous quarter but down from 27.21 percent a year earlier. For FHA loans in the MBA survey, the (SA) delinquency rate was 12.03 percent, down from 12.27 percent in the fourth quarter and 13.15 percent a year earlier.

Newly initiated foreclosures represented 1.08 percent of all mortgage loans in the first quarter, down from 1.27 percent in the fourth quarter of 2010 and 1.23 percent a year earlier. The rate of newly initiated foreclosures on prime loans was 0.86 percent, down from 1.05 percent in the previous quarter and 0.92 percent a year earlier. Foreclosures started on subprime loans fell to 3.08 percent, down from 3.37 percent in the fourth quarter and 3.35 percent a year earlier. Not all newly initiated foreclosures end in foreclosure completions. Before the recent slowdown in the processing of foreclosures, approximately 52 percent of newly initiated foreclosures were completed 6 months later, which had been the average length of time for the processing of foreclosures.

The national homeownership rate for the second quarter of 2011was 65.9 percent, down from 66.4 percent in the previous quarter and 66.9 percent a year earlier. The national homeownership rate has not been this low since 1998. The homeownership rate for minority households decreased to 47.8 percent from 48.3 percent in the previous quarter and 49.0 percent a year earlier. The decline in homeownership reflects the subprime lending crisis, the high rates of unemployment, and the recent severe recession. Servicers' emphasis on home retention actions, including those actions under the Making Home Affordable Program, is helping to keep the number of newly initiated and completed foreclosures down, despite high rates of mortgage delinquency. Foreclosure activity has also declined recently as lenders review internal procedures related to the foreclosure process.

Multifamily Housing

Performance in the multifamily housing sector (five or more units) was mixed in the second quarter of 2011. In the production sector, the number of building permits increased, although starts and completions fell. The absorption rate for condominiums and cooperatives increased. The absorption rate for apartments fell, although the rental vacancy rate for multifamily units edged down.

- During the second quarter of 2011, builders took out permits for 175,000 (SAAR) new multifamily units, up 21 percent from the first quarter and 28 percent from a year earlier.
- Builders started construction on 141,000 (SAAR) new multifamily units in the second quarter, down 9 percent from 154,000 units in the first quarter but up 41 percent from 100,000 units a year earlier. Builders completed 98,000 (SAAR) multifamily units

in the second quarter, down 31 percent from the previous quarter and 47 percent from year-earlier levels.

- Market absorption of new multifamily units declined for apartments but rose for condominiums and cooperatives in the second quarter of 2011. Of the total number of new apartments completed in the first quarter, 58 percent were leased in the first 3 months after completion, down from 64 percent in the first quarter but up from 56 percent a year earlier. Of the total number of new condos and co-ops completed in the first quarter of 2011, 51 percent were sold in the second quarter, up from 44 percent in the first quarter and 45 percent a year earlier.
- The multifamily rental vacancy rate reported by the Census Bureau was 10.0 percent in the second quarter of 2011, down from 10.5 percent in the previous quarter and 12.3 percent a year earlier.



New Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Property Data Available

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD's) Office of Policy Development and Research has just released an update of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Database, which includes the LIHTC-financed projects that were placed in service through 2009. The LIHTC Database is the only comprehensive source of information on the federal government's largest subsidy program for constructing and rehabilitating low-income rental housing. This article provides a brief synopsis of the LIHTC Program, discusses some of the findings from the recently added data, and explains how the public can access the LIHTC Database.

Although HUD has almost no direct administrative responsibility for the LIHTC Program, HUD historically collected information on the program due to its importance as a source of funding for low-income housing. In 2008, Congress included a provision in the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) that required HUD to collect data on tenants in LIHTC-financed units. Given the overlap between HUD's ongoing property data collection and the newly mandated tenant data collection, HUD decided to combine these efforts. The data reported in this article represent the first property data collected under the new authority. As expected, creation of a new data collection and methodology has caused underreporting, with some states unable to submit data. Although underreporting was more of a problem with the tenant data, precluding its public release, a number of states were also not able to report complete data for projects placed into service either. For this reason, annual comparisons of nationally aggregated LIHTC properties and units placed into service in 2008 and 2009 are not appropriate.

The LIHTC Database serves as the most complete list of LIHTC projects and provides a set of basic data on each project within the universe of projects. The database can be used in its entirety or representative samples can be drawn for more indepth analysis. The database is available to the public and is used not only by HUD but also by other federal, state, and local government agencies and by academic and private-sector researchers.

Overview of the LIHTC

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 created the low-income housing tax credit as section 42 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. The act eliminated a variety of tax

provisions that had favored rental housing and replaced them with a program of credits for producing rental housing targeted to lower income households. Under the LIHTC Program, 60 state and local agencies are authorized, subject to an annual per capita limit, to issue federal tax credits for acquiring, rehabilitating, or constructing affordable rental housing. Property owners can use the credits to reduce federal income taxes. Outside investors who contribute initial development funds for a project generally take the credits. To qualify for credits, a project must have a specific proportion of its units set aside for lower income households. The rents on the set-aside units are limited to a maximum of 30 percent of qualifying income.1 The amount of the credit that can be provided for a project is a function of the development cost (excluding land), the proportion of units set aside, and the credit rate (which varies based on the development method and whether other federal subsidies are used). Credits are provided for a period of 10 years.²

Congress initially authorized state agencies to allocate roughly \$9 billion in credits over years: 1987, 1988, and 1989.³ Subsequent legislation modified the credit, both to make technical corrections to the original act and to make substantive changes in the program.⁴ For example, the commitment period (during which qualifying units must be rented to low-income households) was extended from 15 years to 30 years.⁵ States were also required to ensure that no more tax credit was allocated to a project than was necessary for financial viability. The LIHTC was made a permanent part of the federal tax code in 1993, and, in 2000, the per capita allocation of credit authority of the states was increased from the original \$1.25 per capita to \$1.50 in 2001, \$1.75 in 2002, and indexed to inflation thereafter.

Since 1987, the first year of the credit program, the LIHTC has become the principal federal subsidy mechanism for supporting the production of new and rehabilitated rental housing for low-income households. The number of units actually developed under the program, however, is difficult to determine. Given the decentralized nature of the program, no single federal source of information on LIHTC housing production exists. Although the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) administers the program, the LIHTC data held by the IRS are oriented toward enforcing the tax code rather than measuring a housing production program. Thus, the IRS is not a potential source for compiling this information. Through competitive application processes in which LIHTC allocation decisions are made, state and local allocation agencies collect more information on the nature of the LIHTC housing than the IRS. Therefore, HUD collects the data from those state and local agencies.

Most of the data about the early implementation of the program were compiled by the National Council of State Housing Agencies, an association of state housing finance agencies, the entities responsible for allocating tax credits in most states. HUD and its contractor Abt Associates Inc. have been collecting and publishing the LIHTC Database since 1996. This latest release represents the first update under the new HERA authority. The recent update of the database makes available data on projects placed in service through 2009.

Characteristics of Tax Credit Projects

HUD's LIHTC Database contains data on projects and units placed in service between 1987 and 2009. The best data coverage is available in the 1995-through-2009 period, when data were obtained from nearly all 60 tax credit-allocating agencies and data reporting was most complete.⁶ The LIHTC Database contains the following information:

- Project location, including address, county, state, place,⁷ census tract, and latitude and longitude geocodes.
- Contact information for project sponsors.
- Number of total units and credit-eligible units.
- Unit distribution by number of bedrooms.
- New construction or rehabilitation status.
- Credit type (30 or 70 percent of present value).
- For-profit or nonprofit sponsorship status.
- Tax-exempt bond or Rural Housing Service (RHS) Section 515 financing.

- Federal Housing Administration (FHA) mortgage insurance.
- HOME Investment Partnership, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), or HOPE VI funds.
- Increased basis due to location in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT) or Difficult Development Area (DDA).
- The year credits were allocated and the year the property was placed in service.

Table 1 shows the rates of missing data for the various variables in the database for projects placed in service between 1992 and 2009. The table shows the percentage of projects and units missing the indicated data elements. For comparison purposes, the table breaks the data into two periods: one representing the best data from an earlier collection effort and one representing the years included in more recent updates. Thanks to the cooperation of the state and local agencies, data coverage for the 1995-through-2009 period is vastly improved over coverage for the 1992-through-1994 period.

Table 2 presents information on the basic characteristics of LIHTC properties by year placed in service for 1995 through 2009, the period with the most complete data coverage. Placed-in-service projects are those that have received a certificate of occupancy and for which the state has submitted the IRS Form 8609, indicating the property owner is eligible to claim LIHTCs.⁸

On average, more than 1,386 projects and nearly 103,000 units were placed into service during each year of the covered period. LIHTC projects placed in service during this period contained an average of 75 units, with the average size of the properties and, thus, the average

	1992-	-1994	1995-	-2009
Variable	Percent of Projects With Missing Data	Percent of Units With Missing Data	Percent of Projects With Missing Data	Percent of Units With Missing Data
Project address ^a	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.7
Owner contact data	9.0	8.9	4.9	3.6
Total units	1.1	—	1.1	_
Low-income units	2.1	2.8	3.1	2.6
Number of bedrooms ^b	37.8	43.4	11.0	10.5
Allocation year	5.0	5.2	1.9	2.2
Construction type (new or rehabilitation)	17.2	18.1	5.1	5.6
Credit type	42.6	42.1	9.2	7.8
Nonprofit sponsorship	26.0	23.3	10.3	9.9
Increase in basis	35.6	32.5	12.9	10.4
Use of tax-exempt bonds	19.7	20.8	5.6	5.7
Use of RHS Section 515 loans	27.5	24.4	13.3	14.0

Table 1. LIHTC Database: Percent of Missing Data by Variable, 1992-2009

LIHTC = low-income housing tax credit. RHS = Rural Housing Service.

^a Indicates only that some location was provided. Address may not be a complete street address.

^b For some properties, bedroom count was provided for most, but not all, units, in which case data are not considered missing.

The percent of units with missing bedroom count data is based on properties where no data were provided on bedroom count.

Table 2. Characteristics of LIHTC Projects, 1995-2009

2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 1.5 1 1,521 1,663 1,555 1,447 990 608 1.5 5 83.4 79.8 1,555 1,447 990 608 1.5 5 83.4 79.8 78.9 79.3 76.0 70.1 1.5 5 83.4 79.8 78.9 79.3 76.0 70.1 1.5 6 93.5 71.1 66.6 5.5 5.8 41,007 1.5 6 93.5 94.9 70.3 76.0 70.1 97.3 7 20.6 97.1 26.8 27.1 26.8 29.1 97.3 6 93.5 36.4 38.7 27.1 26.8 20.1 97.3 6 93.5 36.4 38.7 27.1 97.3 97.3 12.0 6 93.5 97.1 26.8 97.1 97.3								Year Pl	Year Placed in Service	ervice							All
382 1,366 1,397 1,434 1,365 1,521 1,521 1,565 1,447 990 608 20 127 95,899 119,315 105,670 107,491 108,664 127,613 126,396 10,696 11,439 71,689 71,689 71,090 608 20 557 703 76.8 76.3 75.2 80.5 84.4 798 78.9 76.0 70.1 1539 11.8 11.0 11.4 10.3 10.3 10.3 30.3 33.7 26.6 0.7 70.1 76.0 70.1 11.8 11.0 11.4 10.3 10.3 10.3 30.3 33.7 26.6 0.7 70.1	Characteristics	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008*	2009*	Projects 1995– 2009*
$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.7 & 5,899 & 119,315 & 105,670 & 107,491 & 108,664 & 127,613 & 126,396 & 130,696 & 121,403 & 112,592 & 71,689 & 41,007 & 1,539 \\ 7.5 & 703 & 768 & 763 & 75.2 & 80.5 & 84.5 & 83.4 & 79.8 & 789 & 79.3 & 76.0 & 701 \\ 11.8 & 11.6 & 11.4 & 11.10 & 103 & 100 & 310 & 310 & 310 & 312, 55 & 55 & 55 & 55 & 55 & 55 & 55 & 2034 \\ 12.1 & 31.6 & 35.8 & 34.3 & 39.3 & 30.3 & 310 & 310 & 313 & 33.7 & 33.6 & 35.3 & 36.4 & 38.7 & 42.1 \\ 12.2 & 21.3 & 22.3 & 23.1 & 21.8 & 23.4 & 23.4 & 23.8 & 25.9 & 277 & 27.6 & 292 & 29.4 \\ 25.9 & 95.6 & 94.8 & 94.3 & 94.3 & 92.6 & 93.5 & 93.5 & 94.9 & 96.5 & 95.8 & 97.1 & 97.3 \\ 95.9 & 95.6 & 94.8 & 94.3 & 94.3 & 92.6 & 93.5 & 93.5 & 94.9 & 96.5 & 95.8 & 97.1 & 97.3 \\ 11.2 & 11.6 & 11.3 & 11.2 & 11.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 11.2 & 11.6 & 11.3 & 11.2 & 11.7 & 10.7 & 15.8 & 26.1 & 22.0 \\ 12.2 & 15.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 56.4 & 81.1 & 87.1 & 97.3 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 57.3 & 27.4 & 21.9 & 11.2 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 90.6 & 91.5 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 90.6 & 91.5 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 91.0 & 91.6 & 1.88 & 11.2 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 91.6 & 91.6 & 91.5 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 91.0 & 91.6 & 91.5 \\ 25.6 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 91.6 & 91.6 & 91.6 \\ 21.6 & 5.5 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.3 & 7.4 & 91.6 & 91.6 & 91.6 \\ 21.9 & 1.98 & 1.95 & 1.91 & 1.89 & 1.87 & 1.95 & 1.91 & 1.90 & 1.86 & 1.88 & 1.86 \\ 20.6 & 43.4 & 42.8 & 42.2 & 41.1 & 42.2 & 39.6 & 41.3 & 38.8 & 33.3 & 34.2 & 33.7 & 4.0 \\ 20.6 & 43.4 & 42.8 & 42.2 & 41.1 & 42.2 & 39.6 & 41.3 & 38.8 & 39.3 & 36.9 & 33.7 & 4.0 \\ 20.6 & 21.6 & 21.3 & 20.3 & 21.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.3 & 33.7 & 33.4 & 33.7 & 33.7 & 4.0 \\ 20.6 & 21.6 & 21.3 & 20.3 & 21.3 & 20.3 & 20.3 & 30.4 & 30.3 & 30.4 & 30.5 & 33.7 & 4.0 \\ 20.6 & 21.6 & 43.4 & 42.8 & 42.1 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.4 & 31.3 & 33.2 & 2.6 & 2.5 & 32.4 & 41.8 & 41.3 & 41.3 & 33.8 & 33.2 & 32.4 & 31.4 & 3$	Number of projects	1,535	1,440	1,382	1,369	1,565	1,397	1,434	1,365	1,521	1,521	1,663	1,555	1,447	066	608	20,792
55.7 70.3 76.8 76.3 75.2 80.5 84.5 83.4 79.8 76.0 70.1 7.5 7.4 6.0 5.7 4.5 4.5 4.5 5.5 5.5 5.8 11.8 11.0 11.4 11.0 10.3 10.0 38.0 83.7 35.6 55.5 55.8 35.8 38.7 35.6 55.5 55.8 55.1 25.9 25.7 25.6 25.9 25.7 25.6 55.8 35.1 35.7 25.1 25.9 27.7 25.9 27.1 27.6 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.1 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.4 29.7 29.7 29.7 29.7 29.7 29.7 29.7 2	Number of units		91,361	90,127	95,899	119,315	105,670	107,491		127,613	126,396	130,696	121,403	112,592	71,689	41,007	1,539,619
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Average project size (number of units) Distribution (%)	58.5	63.6	65.7	70.3	76.8	76.3	75.2	80.5	84.5	83.4	79.8	78.9	79.3	76.0	70.1	74.8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	0–10 units	12.6	13.5	7.5	7.4	6.0	5.7	4.5	4.2	3.8	4.9	5.2	2.3	3.7	0.6	0.7	5.8
11.5 38.6 35.8 34.3 39.3 34.2 33.7 33.6 36.4 38.7 42.1 10.1 21.7 24.4 25.9 27.1 26.8 26.1 22.0 55.9 95.6 94.8 94.3 92.6 93.6 93.5 94.9 96.5 27.7 26.8 26.1 22.0 55.0 12.7 11.1 1.7 0.9 0.0 <t< td=""><td>11–20 units</td><td>11.8</td><td>11.7</td><td>11.8</td><td>11.0</td><td>11.4</td><td>11.0</td><td>10.3</td><td>10.0</td><td>8.0</td><td>8.5</td><td>7.1</td><td>6.6</td><td>5.5</td><td>5.5</td><td>5.8</td><td>9.2</td></t<>	11–20 units	11.8	11.7	11.8	11.0	11.4	11.0	10.3	10.0	8.0	8.5	7.1	6.6	5.5	5.5	5.8	9.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21–50 units	40.9	36.3	41.5	38.6	35.8	34.3	39.3	34.2	33.5	33.7	33.6	36.3	36.4	38.7	42.1	36.7
5.9 95.6 94.8 94.3 92.6 93.5 94.9 96.5 95.8 97.1 97.3 0.0	51–99 units	1/.8	18.1	19.2	21.3	22.3	23.1	21.8	23.4	24.4	23.8	25.9	2/./	27.6	29.2	29.4	23.4
95.6 94.8 94.3 92.6 93.6 94.3 92.6 93.6 93.5 94.9 96.5 97.1 97.3 0.0	or more	10.7	0.02	70.1	/.17	7.+7	6.07	74.1	1.02	0.00	1.72	0.02	1.12	0.02	1.02	0.77	7.+7
0.0 0.0 <td>Average qualifying ratio (%) Distribution (%)</td> <td>97.3</td> <td>96.5</td> <td>95.9</td> <td>95.6</td> <td>94.8</td> <td>94.3</td> <td>94.3</td> <td>92.6</td> <td>93.6</td> <td>93.5</td> <td>94.9</td> <td>96.5</td> <td>95.8</td> <td>97.1</td> <td>97.3</td> <td>95.2</td>	Average qualifying ratio (%) Distribution (%)	97.3	96.5	95.9	95.6	94.8	94.3	94.3	92.6	93.6	93.5	94.9	96.5	95.8	97.1	97.3	95.2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-20%	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.6 2.5 2.9 3.9 2.7 3.6 2.0 2.9 2.4 1.2 2.1 0.5 1.2 5.6 7.3 7.6 10.0 12.6 13.5 9.4 9.3 7.1 5.8 2.6 2.5 2.4 1.9 2.8 3.4 4.3 6.0 6.4 8.1 4.1 4.5 4.4 1.8 1.2 1.6 1.9 1.9 1.91 1.91 1.91 1.91 1.84 90.6 91.9 4.5 86.3 83.1 80.6 79.1 73.9 75.2 75.4 81.0 84.4 84.4 90.6 91.9 8.7 1.98 1.91 1.89 1.87 1.95 1.91 1.90 1.86 1.88 1.86 4.5 2.6 4.3 3.7 3.0 3.5 5.9 4.2 4.6 3.9 4.1 4.5 2.6 4.3 3.7 3.6 $3.9.3$ 34.9 36.9 33.7 4.6 4.3 $4.2.8$ 42.2 44.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 19.7 19.7 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.6 3.1 3.7 3.6 34.2 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 2.8 3.3 3.2 <	21-40%	0.7		1.2	1.6	1.3		1.1	1.7	0.9	1.5	0.8	0.2	0.9	1.4	0.8	1.1
2.4 2.2 7.0 10.0 12.0 15.3 9.4 9.5 7.1 5.3 2.0 2.3 2.0 2.5 3.4 4.3 6.0 6.4 8.1 4.1 4.5 4.4 1.8 1.2 2.5 3.4 4.3 6.0 6.4 8.1 4.1 4.5 4.4 1.8 1.2 2.5 3.4 4.3 6.0 6.4 8.1 4.1 4.5 4.4 1.8 1.2 2.5 3.0 2.6 2.6 3.0 2.5 3.0 2.5 3.0 2.5 3.0 2.6 2.6 91.9 1.96 1.96 1.86 3.6 $3.$	41-60%	2.4		2.6	2.5	2.9		2.7	3.6	12.0	2.9	2.4	1.2	2.1	0.5	1.2	2.4
1.1 1.9 2.8 3.4 2.8 2.1 2.0 2.7 2.3 2.6 2.6 3.0 2.5 86.3 83.1 80.6 79.1 73.9 75.2 75.4 81.0 84.4 90.6 91.9 87.2 83.1 80.6 79.1 73.9 75.2 75.4 81.0 84.4 90.6 91.9 4.5 1.96 1.91 1.89 1.87 1.95 1.91 1.88 1.86 4.5 2.6 4.3 3.7 3.0 3.5 5.9 4.2 4.6 3.9 4.1 5.1 4.5 30.2 27.9 31.1 28.6 31.4 31.4 31.4 33.8 39.3 36.9 38.1 35.7 33.7 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.5 19.4 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 2.8 33.3 36.9 37.2 33.7 38.1 35.9 38.1	01-00 % 81_90%	0.1 1.0		0.0 4 C	0.0	, с 0 п		10.0	0.21	C.01	7.4 1.4	0.2 1 1	4.5	0.0 4 4	2.0 1 8	с.7 С.1	- 6 2 X
87.2 86.3 83.1 80.6 79.1 73.9 75.2 75.4 81.0 84.4 90.6 91.9 1.92 1.98 1.95 1.89 1.91 1.89 1.87 1.95 1.91 1.88 1.86 4.5 2.6 4.3 3.7 3.0 3.5 5.9 4.2 4.6 3.9 4.1 5.1 4.5 30.2 29.0 27.9 31.1 28.6 31.4 31.4 31.4 31.4 33.8 34.9 36.9 34.2 33.7 42.6 43.4 42.8 42.2 44.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.4 35.9 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.5 21.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 33.7 37.9 2.0 21.6 21.3 20.5 21.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7	91–95%	1.9		1.6	1.9	2.8		2.8	2.1	2.0	2.7	2.3	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.5	2.4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	96-100%	91.2	01	87.2	86.3	83.1		79.1	73.9	75.2	75.4	81.0	84.4	84.4	90.6	91.9	83.1
4.5 2.6 4.3 3.7 3.0 3.5 5.9 4.2 4.6 3.9 4.1 5.1 4.5 30.2 29.0 27.9 31.1 28.6 31.4 31.4 31.4 33.8 34.9 36.9 34.1 5.1 4.5 42.6 43.4 42.8 42.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.4 35.9 34.1 5.1 4.5 42.6 43.4 42.8 42.2 44.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.4 35.9 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 3.2 4.0	Average number		1.94	1.92	1.98	1.95	1.89	1.91	1.89	1.87	1.95	1.91	1.90	1.86	1.88	1.86	1.91
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Distribution (%)																
30.2 29.0 27.9 31.1 28.6 31.4 31.4 31.4 31.4 33.8 34.9 36.9 34.2 33.7 42.6 43.4 42.8 42.2 44.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.4 35.9 34.1 35.7 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 20.8 3.3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 3.1 19.7 2.8 3.3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 2.7.7 19.7 2.8 3.3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.6 4.0	0 bedrooms	4.2	3.8	4.5	2.6	4.3	3.7	3.0	3.5	5.9	4.2	4.6	3.9	4.1	5.1	4.5	4.1
42.6 43.4 42.8 42.2 44.1 42.2 39.6 41.3 38.8 39.3 38.4 35.9 38.1 20.0 21.6 21.3 20.5 21.3 20.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 3.2 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 2.5 3.2 4.0	1 bedroom	30.0	29.4	30.2	29.0	27.9	31.1	28.6	31.4	31.4	31.4	33.8	34.9	36.9	34.2	33.7	31.6
20.0 21.6 21.3 20.5 21.3 20.3 20.3 19.4 19.3 18.1 21.7 19.7 2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 2.5 3.2 4.0	2 bedrooms	43.7	44.4	42.6	43.4	42.8	42.2	44.1	42.2	39.6	41.3	38.8	39.3	38.4	35.9	38.1	41.2
2.8 3.3 3.7 2.4 3.1 2.7 2.8 3.7 3.5 2.6 2.5 3.2 4.0	3 bedrooms	19.8	20.0	20.0	21.6	21.3	20.5	21.3	20.3	20.3	19.4	19.3	19.3	18.1	21.7	19.7	20.1
bedrooms	4 or more	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.3	3.7	2.4	3.1	2.7	2.8	3.7	3.5	2.6	2.5	3.2	4.0	3.0
	bedrooms																

LIHTC = low-income housing tax credi

• Of the 60 state and local allocating a<mark>gencies, 19 (Con</mark>necticut, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Maryland, Maine, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, the Virgin Islands, West Virginia, Wyoming) plus the suballocators in Illinois and New York did not submit data on properties placed into service in 2008 and 21 [Alaska, Connecticut, Georgia, Guam, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Maryland, Maine, Minnesota, North Dakota, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Texas, Utah, the Virgin Islands, West Virginia, Wyoming) plus the suballocators did not submit data on properties placed into service in 2009. This nonsubmission of data is primarily due to incomplete reporting rather than a lack of program production. Notes: The analysis data set includes 20,792 projects and 1,539,619 units placed in service between 1995 and 2009. The average number of units per property and the distribution of property size both are calculated based on the 20,571 properties with a known number of units and not on the full universe of 20,792 properties. The database contains missing data for number of units (0.3 percent), qualifying ratio (percentage of tax credit units) (2.1 percent), and bedroom count (11.4 percent). Totals may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding. number of units, increasing over the period. LIHTC properties tend to be larger than the average apartment property. More than 48 percent of LIHTC projects have more than 50 units compared with only 2.2 percent of all apartment properties nationally.⁹

Of the total units produced, most were qualifying units that is, units reserved for low-income use, with restricted rents, and for which LIHTC could be claimed. Overall, of the total units placed in service from 1995 through 2009, more than 95 percent were qualifying units. The distribution of qualifying ratios shows that most projects (83 percent) are composed almost entirely of low-income units. Only a very small proportion of the properties have lower qualifying ratios, reflecting the minimum elections set by the program (that is, a minimum of 40 percent of the units at 60 percent of median income or 20 percent of the units at 50 percent of median).

Table 2 also presents information on the size of the LIHTC units based on the number of bedrooms they contain. As shown in the table, on average, the units had 1.91 bedrooms. More than 23 percent of the LIHTC units in the study period had three or more bedrooms compared with only 11 percent of all apartment units nationally and 19 percent of all apartments built between 1995 and 2009.¹⁰ During the 15-year period, the distribution of units by bedroom count fluctuated around the average distribution for the period, with no clear trends.

Table 3 presents additional information on the characteristics of the LIHTC projects and units, beginning with the type of construction: new, rehabilitation, or a combination of new and rehabilitation (for multibuilding projects). As shown in the table, LIHTC projects placed in service from 1995 through 2009 were predominately new construction, accounting for close to two-thirds (63.4 percent) of the projects. Rehabilitation of an existing structure was used in 35 percent of the projects, while a combination of new construction and rehabilitation was used in only a small fraction of LIHTC projects.¹¹

The LIHTC Program requires that 10 percent of each state's LIHTC dollar allocation be set aside for projects with nonprofit sponsors. As shown in Table 3, overall, 27.4 percent of LIHTC projects placed in service from 1995 through 2009 had a nonprofit sponsor.

Table 3 also presents information about two common sources of additional subsidy: (1) the use of tax-exempt bonds (which generally are issued by the same agency that allocates the LIHTC) and (2) RHS¹² Section 515 loans (which imply a different regulatory regime and different compliance monitoring rules). Overall, RHS Section 515 loans were used in 9.4 percent of the projects placed in service during the study period, with the proportion of RHS projects dropping fairly steadily throughout the period related to the dramatic decrease in funding for the Section 515 program during the study period. At

							Year Pl	aced in	Servic	e						All
Characteristics	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	Projects 1995– 2009
Construction type distribu- tion (%)	(0.1	(1.2)	(1.0	(2.7	(2.1		(0.5	(2.2		<i>(</i>) 7	(1.2)	60.5	(1.0	63 0		
New Rehabilitation Both	68.1 30.6 1.0	64.3 34.5 0.9	61.8 35.3 2.5	63.7 34.8 1.2	63.1 35.1 1.7	61.4 37.4 0.9	60.5 37.9 1.6	62.3 35.7 1.8	66.8 30.8 2.1	62.7 35.2 1.6	64.2 33.7 1.8	62.5 34.7 2.4	61.9 36.3 1.1	62.8 32.0 2.0	64.4 30.1 3.2	63.4 34.5 1.7
Nonprofit sponsor (%)	16.5	23.1	30.3	32.4	30.9	28.6	31.6	26.2	25.4	27.8	26.3	30.9	27.1	23.1	31.2	27.4
RHS Section 515 (%)	21.5	13.5	11.7	10.5	9.4	8.3	10.3	6.8	4.3	7.9	4.8	6.9	6.6	8.3	9.4	9.4
Tax-exempt bonds (%)	4.0	6.5	9.0	15.2	20.5	25.2	23.7	29.1	26.5	30.7	28.8	25.9	29.7	27.7	21.0	21.5
Credit type distribution (%)																
30% 70% Both	26.7 63.6 9.7	24.0 66.5 9.5	25.5 64.7 9.8	30.5 58.4 11.1	34.2 55.6 10.2	34.2 55.5 10.4	32.8 55.0 12.2	35.9 52.3 11.8	33.8 53.9 12.3	36.5 54.7 8.8	34.6 57.1 8.3	32.8 55.8 11.4	33.3 56.7 10.0	32.8 54.2 13.0	30.5 49.9 19.6	31.9 57.3 10.8

 Table 3. Additional Characteristics of LIHTC Projects, 1995–2009

LIHTC = low-income housing tax credit. RHS = Rural Housing Service.

Notes: The analysis data set includes 20,792 projects and 1,539,619 units placed in service between 1995 and 2009. The database contains missing data for construction type (5.1 percent), nonprofit sponsor (10.3 percent), RHS Section 515 (13.3 percent), bond financing (5.6 percent), and credit type (9.2 percent). Totals may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding.



the same time, the proportion of projects with mortgages financed by tax-exempt bonds increased nearly every year compared with the earlier years. On average, 21.5 percent of projects received bond-financed mortgages during the 13-year period. Properties with bond-financed mortgages are usually eligible for tax credits outside the annual per capita state allocation limits.

The final characteristic presented in Table 3 is the credit type that LIHTC projects used. The 30-percent present value credit is used for acquisition and when other federal financing, such as tax-exempt bonds, is used for the rehabilitation or new construction; the 70-percent present value credit is available for nonfederally financed rehabilitation or construction. More than one-half (57.3 percent) of the LIHTC projects placed in service during the study period have 70-percent credits, nearly 32 percent have 30-percent credits, and a little less than 11 percent have both types of credit.

Table 4 summarizes the per-unit tax credit allocations and funding amounts for the 2006-through-2009 projects. Qualifying units are the low-income units in a project. Tax credit allocation information was available for most project records. On average, \$8,824 of LIHTCs was allocated per low-income unit. For the 2006-through-2009 projects, HOME funding received was \$30,768 per lowincome unit. Compared with HOME, fewer properties reported funding through CDBG or HOPE VI. Projects that received HOPE VI funding received high levels of HOPE VI funding, averaging \$44,496 per unit.

LIHTC and Housing Markets

As part of the Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1989, Congress added provisions to the LIHTC Program that were designed to increase production of LIHTC units in hard-to-serve areas. Specifically, the act permits projects located in DDAs or QCTs to claim a higher eligible basis (130 percent of the standard basis) for purposes of calculating the amount of tax credit that can be received. Designated by HUD, DDAs are defined by statute to be metropolitan areas or nonmetropolitan areas in which construction, land, and utility costs are high relative to incomes, and QCTs are tracts in which at least 50 percent of the households have incomes of less than 60 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) or have a poverty rate of at least 25 percent. The data are based on DDA designations for the year placed in service. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 1995 through 2002, QCT designations are from 1999¹³ and are based on 1990 census tract locations. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 2003 through 2009, QCT designations are based on 2000 census tract locations.

Table 5 presents the distribution of LIHTC projects across DDAs and QCTs. As shown in the table, 22.5 percent of projects are located in DDAs and 30.4 percent are located in QCTs, with a total of 44.4 percent in designated areas.¹⁴ When examining the number of units, the DDA and QCT proportions are similar.

Note: Not all projects located in a DDA or QCT actually received a higher eligible basis. The data indicate that nearly one-third of properties located in a DDA and about one-fourth of those in a QCT did not receive a higher eligible basis.¹⁵

Table 6 presents information on project characteristics for properties located inside and outside designated areas. As shown in the table, projects tend to be slightly larger and qualifying ratios tend to be slightly higher in nondesignated areas compared with projects in DDAs or QCTs. The table also shows minimal differences in average unit size across DDAs, QCTs, and nondesignated areas. Projects in QCTs and DDAs are considerably more likely to be rehabilitated than projects in nondesignated areas, which are more likely to be newly constructed. Projects in QCTs are more likely to have nonprofit sponsors than projects in nondesignated areas. Only 2.0 percent of projects in QCTs have RHS Section 515 financing compared with 12.6 percent of projects in nondesignated areas.

	Annual Amount of Tax Credits Allocated*	Amount of HOME Funds	Amount of CDBG Funds	Amount of HOPE VI Funds
Number of projects with funding	3,980	636	122	47
Number of qualifying units	289,236	28,191	6,171	4,072
Minimum (\$)	32	883	324	4,494
10th percentile (\$)	2,469	6,417	2,688	12,018
25th percentile (\$)	4,311	11,516	4,808	19,763
50th percentile (median) (\$)	8,122	20,000	13,444	31,137
50th percentile (mean) (\$)	8,824	30,768	17,534	44,496
75th percentile (\$)	12,188	38,545	26,724	58,498
90th percentile (\$)	16,002	73,460	35,088	87,800
Maximum (\$)	39,471	186,227	98,889	178,055

CDBG = Community Development Block Grant.

* Tax credits are provided for a period of 10 years. The full program funding is thus 10 times the annual amount listed here.

							Year Pl	Year Placed in Service	Service							All Projects
Characteristics	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	1995-2009
Number of projects	1,394	. 1,314]	1,261	1,231	1,434	1,291	1,344	1,298	1,438	1,446	1,560	1,455	1,365	878	527	19,236
DDA (%)	16.6	15.4	20.0	21.9	21.4	23.9	23.1	24.0					25.9		29.2	22.5
QCT (%)	20.6	22.8	23.8	26.6	27.1	23.8	26.1	29.5					39.2	36.1	38.1	30.4
DDA or QCT (%)	31.3	33.2	37.1	41.3	40.9	40.7	41.2	46.2				52.6	53.0	54.0	57.1	44.4
Number of units	85,454	85,454 85,756 84,863	84,863	88,304	113,086	99,478	102,375	104,294	122,048	122,039	124,178	88,304 113,086 99,478 102,375 104,294 122,048 122,039 124,178 115,022 108,303	108,303	3 64,712	36,871	1,456,783
DDA (%)	16.8	13.9	16.9	20.3	19.7	22.4	19.7						23.6	36.4		21.3
QCT (%)	20.0		23.0	22.7	27.4	22.2	23.9	26.0	35.4	34.8	37.9	39.3	40.3	38.6	37.1	30.2
DDA or QCT (%)	31.6	31.7		38.8	41.9	39.3	38.0						54.2	56.1	60.5	44.4

Table 5. Distribution of LIHTC Projects and Units by Location in DDAs and QCTs, 1995–2009

DDA = Difficult Development Area. LIHTC = low-income housing tax credit. QCT = Qualified Census Tract.

Notes: The data set used in this analysis includes only geocoded projects. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 1995 through 2002, QCT designation is based on the 1990 census tract location. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 2003 through 2007, QCT designation is based on the 2000 census tract location. Totals may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding.

	,			
Characteristics	In DDA	In QCT	Not in DDA or QCT	Total
Average project size (number of units)	72.2	75.9	76.7	76.5
Average qualifying ratio (%)	91.1	93.8	95.5	94.5
Average number of bedrooms	1.9	2.1	1.9	2.0
Distribution of units by size (%)				
0 bedrooms	7.5	7.7	2.3	4.2
1 bedroom	32.9	31.7	31.2	31.8
2 bedrooms	36.6	36.3	45.1	41.5
3 bedrooms	20.0	20.0	19.4	19.7
4 bedrooms or more	3.0	4.2	2.1	2.8
Construction type distribution (%)				
New construction	55.0	52.4	68.0	62.5
Rehabilitation	43.5	44.2	30.5	35.4
Both	1.3	2.7	1.1	1.6
Nonprofit sponsor (%)	25.4	32.1	25.3	27.4
RHS Section 515 (%)	5.0	2.0	12.6	8.7
Tax-exempt bond financing (%)	30.3	19.1	21.7	22.6
Credit type distribution (%)				
30 %	35.1	26.8	33.7	32.4
70 %	60.1	62.3	55.7	57.5
Both	4.8	10.9	10.6	10.0
DDA = Difficult Development Area. LIHTC = lo	= low-income housing tax credit. QCT = Qualified Census Tract. RHS = Rural Housing Service.	QCT = Qualified Census Tra	ict. RHS = Rural Housing Sei	rvice.

Table 6. Characteristics of LIHTC Projects by Location in DDAs or QCTs, 1995-2009

data set contains missing data for bedroom count (11.3 percent), construction type (5.0 percent), nonprofit sponsor (10.4 percent), RHS Section 515 (13.0 percent), bond financing (5.2 percent), and credit type (9.0 percent). Metropolitan areas are defined according to the metropolitan statistical area and primary metropolitan statistical area definitions published June 30, 1999. Totals may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding. Some properties are located in both a DDA and a QCT. Notes: The data set used in this analysis includes only geocoded projects. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 1995 through 2002, QCT designation is based on the 1990 census tract location. For LIHTC projects placed in service from 2003 through 2007, QCT designation is based on the 2000 census tract location. The



QCTs also have the smallest proportion of tax-exempt, bond-financed projects and projects with the 30-percent credit; the latter indicates the presence of subsidized financing. Tax-exempt bond financing is most common in DDAs, accounting for 30.3 percent of projects.

As noted previously, DDAs are defined as metropolitan areas or nonmetropolitan counties in which construction, land, and utility costs are high relative to incomes. Although developers have an incentive to place tax credit properties in DDAs because they can claim a higher eligible basis, it is assumed that, all other things being equal, developers would favor locations with low development costs relative to incomes. To test this hypothesis, it would be optimal to examine development costs relative to incomes. Local development costs are not available, but, assuming that development costs are correlated with local market rents, HUD-defined Fair Market Rents (FMRs) relative to local incomes can serve as a measure of development costs relative to incomes. The analysis uses the LIHTC maximum income limit (60 percent of AMI) as the measure of local income.¹⁶ For the analysis, non-DDA metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties in the United States were sorted based on the ratio of FMR to 30 percent of 60 percent of AMI (the maximum LIHTC rent), from lowest to highest. They were then classified into three categories, each with approximately one-third of all renter households not in DDAs: low-cost areas, moderate-cost areas, and

high-cost areas. The same sorting and classification procedures were done using multifamily building permits issued between 1994 and 2008.¹⁷ Table 7 presents the distribution of LIHTC projects and units in these categories.

As shown in Table 7, LIHTC projects are disproportionately located in favorable development cost areas; that is, metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties where development costs are low relative to incomes. As shown in the first panel of Table 7, 33.7 percent of LIHTC projects are located in areas where development costs are low compared with 23.1 percent of all U.S. renter households. Projects in these low-cost locations tend to be smaller than projects in high-cost areas, so that the proportion of LIHTC units in low-cost areas-23.7 percent—is closer to the national total. Table 7 also displays the distribution of LIHTC projects and units located in QCTs by development cost category. As shown in Table 7, 25.5 percent of LIHTC projects and 19.4 percent of LIHTC units in QCTs are located in the lowest development cost category, which is slightly lower than the distribution of all renter households.

The second panel of Table 7 presents the same analysis using multifamily building permit data instead of all renter units. Using this analysis, LIHTC projects and units are disproportionately located in areas where development costs are low. Nearly 40 percent (36.8 percent) of LIHTC properties and 26.4 percent of LIHTC

Development Cost Category Based on Renter Units	Ratio of FMR to Maximum LIHTC Rent	All U.S. Rental Units (%)	LIHTC Projects (%)	LIHTC Units (%)	LIHTC Projects in QCTs (%)	LIHTC Units in QCTs (%)
Low Moderate High (non-DDA) In DDAs Total	0.467 to 0.759 > 0.759 to 0.820 > 0.820 to 1.019	23.1 23.2 22.7 31.0 100	33.7 24.2 19.7 22.4 100	23.7 25.4 23.6 27.2 100	25.5 24.5 22.8 27.2 100	19.4 26.6 24.0 30.0 100
Development Cost Category Based on Units Issued Multifamily Building Permits	Ratio of FMR to Maximum LIHTC Rent	Multifamily Building Permit Units 1994–2006	LIHTC Projects (%)	LIHTC Units (%)	LIHTC Projects in QCTs (%)	LIHTC Units in QCTs (%)
Low Moderate High (non-DDA) In DDAs Total	0.467 to 0.765 > 0.765 to 0.824 > 0.824 to 1.019	23.1 22.7 23.5 30.8 100	36.8 21.9 18.9 22.4 100	26.4 23.7 22.7 27.2 100	28.0 23.0 21.8 27.2 100	21.4 25.6 22.9 30.0 100

Table 7. Distribution of LIHTC Units and Projects by Development Cost Category, 1995–2009

DDA = Difficult Development Area. FMR = Fair Market Rent. LIHTC = low-income housing tax credit. QCT = Qualified Census Tract.

Notes: Maximum LIHTC rent equals one-twelfth of 30 percent of 60 percent of Area Median Income (or one-twelfth of 30 percent of 120 percent of the very low-income limit). Data for "All U.S. Rental Units" are from the 2000 Census. Annual building permit data for metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties are from the Census Bureau. LIHTC units placed in service from 1995 through 2009 are compared with multifamily building permits from 1994 through 2008 because it generally takes 1 year from issuance of building permits for a multiunit residential building to be completed. The percentages for "All U.S. Rental Units" are not exactly equal for each of the three non-DDA development cost categories because metropolitan settistical areas (or nonmetropolitan counties) lying on the cutoffs for one-third and two-thirds of units could not be split up.

units are in low-cost areas compared with 23.1 percent of units that were issued multifamily building permits between 1994 and 2008.

Additional analysis of the data, including more comparisons with the earlier data and further location analysis, will soon be available for download at http:// www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/lihtc.html.

Accessing the LIHTC Database

The complete LIHTC Database is available for download through an interactive web-based system at http:// lihtc.huduser.org. The interactive system enables users to perform the following tasks:

- Select only the variables of interest.
- Retrieve data on all projects in a particular state or group of states.
- Restrict the search to projects with a particular characteristic or set of characteristics.
- Select projects only in a particular city.
- Select projects within a user-selected radius of the center of a city.

Notes

¹ Owners may elect to set aside at least 20 percent of the units for households at or below 50 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) or at least 40 percent of the units for households with incomes below 60 percent of AMI. Annual rents in low-income units are limited to a maximum of 30 percent of the elected 50 or 60 percent of AMI.

² The credit percentages are adjusted monthly but fall in the range of 4 to 9 percent of the qualifying basis (that is, the proportion of the property devoted to low-income tenants). In general, credits are intended to provide a stream of benefits with a present value equal to either 30 percent (for the 4-percent credit) or 70 percent (for the 9-percent credit) of the property's qualifying basis. The 30-percent credit is used for acquiring an existing building or for constructing or rehabilitating properties receiving other federal subsidies. The 70-percent credit is used for rehabilitating or constructing projects without additional federal subsidies.

³ Assumes approximately \$300 million in allocation authority in each year, with annual credits taken for 10 years.

⁴ See the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988, Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989, Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1990, and Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000. ⁵ The Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1989 extended the commitment period from 15 to 30 years. Project owners are permitted, however, to sell or convert the project to conventional market housing if they apply to the state tax credit allocation agency and the agency is unable to find a buyer (presumably a nonprofit) willing to maintain the property as a low-income project for the balance of the 30-year period. If no such buyer is found, tenants are protected with rental assistance for up to 3 years.

⁶ Of the 60 state and local allocating agencies, 19 agencies, plus the suballocators in Illinois and New York, did not submit data on properties placed into service in 2008 and 21 agencies, plus the suballocators, did not submit data on properties placed into service in 2009.

⁷ Place is defined by the Census Bureau as a concentration of population either legally bounded as an incorporated place or identified as a Census Designated Place (CDP). A CDP is a statistical entity, defined for each decennial census according to Census Bureau guidelines, comprising a densely settled concentration of population that is not within an incorporated place but is locally identified by a name.

⁸ Internal Revenue Service reporting is on a building-bybuilding basis. In this study, however, the Department of Housing and Urban Development uses the LIHTC project as a unit of analysis. A project could include multiple buildings and multiple phases that were part of a single financing package.

⁹ National Multi Housing Council, tabulation of unpublished data from the Census Bureau's 1995–1996 Property Owners and Managers Survey. Data do not include public housing projects.

¹⁰ Census Bureau, American Housing Survey for the United States: 2009. Data refer to renter-occupied units that are in buildings with two or more units and that were built through 2009.

¹¹ The combination of new construction and rehabilitation is possible in multibuilding properties where one building was rehabilitated and one building was newly constructed.

¹² The Rural Housing Service was formerly called the Farmers Home Administration.

¹³ Because Qualified Census Tract (QCT) designations are based on decennial census data, the designations are fairly static between decennial censuses. The 1999 QCTs are nearly identical to those in force throughout the 1995-through-2001 period. For 2002, about 2,000 additional 1990 Census tracts with poverty levels of 25 percent or more were designated as QCTs in accordance with the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000. For the 2002 projects, the 2002 QCT list was used to determine QCT status.

¹⁴ Some properties are located in both a Difficult Development Area (DDA) and a QCT.



¹⁵ In addition, according to the allocating agencies, 825 projects received a higher basis but, according to our geocoding, are located in neither a DDA nor a QCT. A portion of these projects were located in areas that were designated DDAs at some point, often designated the year a project was allocated tax credits. These projects were probably allocated credit in compliance with the "10-percent rule," allowing them to get the DDA-level allocation, although they were 1 year or more from completion and placement in service.

¹⁶ Specifically, the data used were the 2008 two-bedroom Fair Market Rents and 60 percent of 2008 AMI.

¹⁷ Data on LIHTC units placed in service from 1995 through 2009 are compared with multifamily building permits issued from 1994 through 2008 because it generally takes 1 year from the issuance of a building permit for a multiunit residential building to be completed. According to Census Bureau data on the construction of new residential multiunit buildings from 1994 through 2008, the average length of time from permit issuance to the start of construction was 1.4 to 2.2 months, and the average length of time from the start of construction to completion was 8.9 to 13.2 months.

National Data

HOUSING PRODUCTION



Permits for the construction of new housing units were up 7 percent in the second quarter of 2011, at a SAAR of 596,000 units, but were down a statistically insignificant 1 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Single-family permits, at 401,000 units, were up a statistically insignificant 1 percent from the level of the previous quarter but down 10 percent from a year earlier. Multifamily permits (5 or more units in structure), at 175,000 units, were 21 percent above the first quarter of 2011 and 28 percent above the second quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Total	596	559	600	+ 7	- 1**
One Unit	401	398	444	+ 1**	- 10
Two to Four	21	17	20	+ 22**	+ 3**
Five Plus	175	144	136	+ 21	+ 28

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce





Construction starts of new housing units in the second quarter of 2011 totaled 576,000 units at a SAAR, a statistically insignificant 1 percent below the first quarter of 2011 and a statistically insignificant 4 percent below the second quarter of 2010. Single-family starts, at 426,000 units, were a statistically insignificant 3 percent higher than the previous quarter but 13 percent lower than the second quarter level of 2010. Multifamily starts totaled 141,000 units, a statistically insignificant 9 percent below the previous quarter but 41 percent above the second quarter of 2010.

1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Total	576	582	602	- 1**	- 4**
One Unit	426	414	492	+ 3**	- 13
Five Plus	141	154	100	- 9**	+ 41

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce



Housing units under construction at the end of the second quarter of 2011 were at an SA 419,000 units, unchanged from the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant 6 percent below the second quarter of 2010. Single-family units stood at 248,000, a statistically insignificant 2 percent below the previous quarter and 12 percent below the second quarter of 2010. Multifamily units were at 161,000, up a statistically insignificant 3 percent from the previous quarter and up a statistically insignificant 4 percent from the second quarter of 2010.

-	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Total	419	420	447	_	- 6**
One Unit	248	252	282	- 2**	- 12
Five Plus	161	156	155	+ 3**	+ 4**

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Housing units completed in the second quarter of 2011, at a SAAR of 541,000 units, were down a statistically insignificant 6 percent from the previous quarter and down 30 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Single-family completions, at 433,000 units, were up a statistically insignificant 2 percent from the previous quarter but down 26 percent from the rate of a year earlier. Multifamily completions, at 98,000 units, were a statistically insignificant 31 percent below the previous quarter and 47 percent below the second quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Total	541	572	776	- 6**	- 30
One Unit	433	424	584	+ 2**	- 26
Five Plus	98	141	183	- 31**	- 47

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

Manufactured (Mobile) Home Shipments*

Shipments of new manufactured (mobile) homes were at a SAAR of 48,300 units in the second quarter of 2011, which is 7 percent above the previous quarter but 12 percent below the rate of the second quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Manufacturers' Shipments	48.3	45.3	55.0	+ 7	- 12

*Units in thousands. These shipments are for HUD-code homes only and do not include manufactured housing units built to meet local building codes, which are included in housing starts figures.

Source: National Conference of States on Building Codes and Standards



MARKETING OF HOUSING



Sales of new single-family homes totaled 315,000 (SAAR) units in the second quarter of 2011, up a statistically insignificant 5 percent from the previous quarter but down a statistically insignificant 6 percent from the second quarter of 2010. The average monthly inventory of new homes for sale during the second quarter was 168,000 units, a statistically significant 8 percent below the previous quarter and a statistically significant 22 percent below the second quarter of last year. The average months' supply of unsold homes, based on monthly inventories and sales rates for the second quarter, was 6.4 months, down a statistically significant 13 percent from the previous quarter and a statistically significant 19 percent below the second quarter of 2010.

Sales of existing homes—including single-family homes, townhomes, condominiums, and cooperatives—as reported by the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®], totaled 4,860,000 (SAAR) in the second quarter of 2011, down 5 percent from the previous quarter and down 13 percent from the second quarter of 2010. The average monthly inventory of units for sale during the second quarter was 3,723,000, up 8 percent from the previous quarter but down 5 percent from the second quarter of 2010. The average months' supply of unsold units for the second quarter was 9.2 months, up 14 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and up 9 percent from the second quarter of last year.

SOLD	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
		New Hor	nes		
New Homes Sold	315	334	+ 5**	- 6**	
For Sale	168	182	182 214		- 22
Months' Supply	6.4	7.3	7.9	- 13	- 19
		Existing H	omes		
Existing Homes Sold	4,860	5,137	5,570	- 5	- 13
For Sale	3,723	3,462	3,936	+ 8	- 5
Months' Supply	9.2	8.1	8.5	+ 14	+ 9

*Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: New Homes—Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development; Existing Homes—NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®



The median price of new homes sold during the second quarter of 2011 was \$225,800, slightly lower than the first quarter of 2011 and up 3 percent from the second quarter of 2011; both estimates are statistically insignificant. The average price of new homes sold during the second quarter was \$266,800, slightly lower than the previous quarter and 1 percent below the second quarter of 2010; both estimates are statistically insignificant. The estimated price of a constant-quality house during the second quarter of 2011 was \$281,000, 1 percent higher than the previous quarter but 1 percent lower than the second quarter of 2010; both are statistically insignificant. The set of physical characteristics used to represent a constant-quality house is based on the kinds of houses sold in 2005.

The median price of existing homes—including single-family homes, townhomes, condominiums, and cooperatives—that sold in the second quarter of 2011 was \$171,600, up 9 percent from the previous quarter but down 3 percent from second quarter of 2010, according to the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®]. The average price of existing homes sold in second quarter of 2011 was \$221,300, up 8 percent from the first quarter but down 1 percent from the second quarter of 2010.

\$	Latest Quarter (\$)	Previous Quarter (\$)	Same Quarter Previous Year (\$)	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year				
New Homes									
Median	225,800	226,900	219,500		+ 3**				
Average	266,800	268,100	268,800	_	- 1**				
Constant-Quality House ¹	281,000	278,300	282,700 + 1**		- 1 * *				
		Existing H	lomes						
Median	171,600	157,900	176,600	+ 9	- 3				
Average	221,300	205,100	222,700	+ 8	- 1				

**This change is not statistically significant.

¹ Effective with the December 2007 New Residential Sales release in January 2008, the Census Bureau began publishing the Constant Quality (Laspeyres) Price Index with 2005 as the base year. (The previous base year was 1996.) "Constant-Quality House" data are no longer published as a series but are computed for this table from price indexes published by the Census Bureau.





Repeat Sales Price Index

1 he Federal Housing Finance Agency's purchase-only House Price Index (FHFA HPI) stood at 181.02 on a seasonally adjusted (SA) basis in the first quarter of 2011, 2.5 percent below the previous quarter and 5.5 percent below the first quarter of 2010. The national Case-Shiller[®] Home Price Index was 128.29 (SA) in the first quarter of 2011, down 1.9 percent from the previous quarter and down 4.9 percent year over year.

↓%↑	Current Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
FHFA HPI ¹	181.02	185.65	191.55	- 2.5	- 5.5
Case-Shiller [®] HPI ²	128.29	130.84	134.97	- 1.9	- 4.9

¹ First quarter 1991 equals 100.

² First quarter 2000 equals 100.

Sources: Federal Housing Finance Agency; S&P/Case-Shiller® National Home Price Index



Housing affordability is the ratio of median family income to the income needed to purchase the medianpriced home based on current interest rates and underwriting standards, expressed as an index. The NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®] composite index of housing affordability for the second quarter of 2011 shows that families earning the median income have 177.2 percent of the income needed to purchase the median-priced existing single-family home. This figure is 7 percent lower than in the first quarter of 2011 but 6 percent higher than in the second quarter of 2010.

The increase in the housing affordability index in the second quarter of 2011 reflects changes in the marketplace. Median family income rose less than 0.1 percent from the previous quarter to \$61,537. The median sales price of existing single-family homes in the second quarter of 2011 increased to \$171,900, which is 8 percent higher than in the previous quarter. The national average home mortgage interest rate of 4.85 percent in the second quarter of 2011 is 5 basis points lower than in the previous quarter. The increase in median sales price contributed to a decrease in housing affordability.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Composite Index	177.2	190.0	166.9	- 7	+ 6
Fixed-Rate Index	174.9	188.4	166.2	- 7	+ 5
Adjustable-Rate Index	NA	NA	NA	—	_

NA = Data are not available.

Note: Adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) affordability indexes were not derived because data on ARM rates were not available. Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®]

Absorption of New Multifamily Units

In the first quarter of 2011, 20,200 new, unsubsidized, unfurnished, multifamily (five or more units in structure) rental apartments were completed, up 36 percent from the previous quarter but down 27 percent from the first quarter of 2010. Of the apartments completed in the first quarter of 2011, 58 percent were rented within 3 months. This absorption rate is 6 percentage points lower than the previous quarter but is 2 percentage points higher than the first quarter of 2010. The median asking rent for apartments completed in the first quarter was \$1,011, a statistically insignificant increase of 2 percent from the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant decrease of 4 percent from the first quarter of 2010.

In the first quarter of 2011, 2,800 new condominium or cooperative units were completed, down 35 percent from the previous quarter and down 18 percent from units completed in the first quarter of 2010. Of these, 51 percent were sold within 3 months. This absorption rate is 7 percentage points higher than in the previous quarter and 6 percentage points higher than in the first quarter of 2010.

.	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Apartments Completed*	20.2	14.9	27.5	+ 36	- 27
Percent Absorbed Next Quarter	58	64	56	- 9	+ 4
Median Asking Rent	\$1,011	\$996	\$1,050	+ 2**	- 4**
Condos and Co-ops Completed	2.8	4.3	3.4	- 35	- 18
Percent Absorbed Next Quarter	51	44	45	+ 16	+ 13

*Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Note: Data are from the Survey of Market Absorption, which samples nonsubsidized, privately financed, unfurnished apartments in buildings of five or more units.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Manufactured (Mobile) Home Placements

Manufactured homes placed on site ready for occupancy in the first quarter of 2011 totaled 42,700 units at a SAAR, a statistically insignificant 5 percent below the level of the previous quarter and 9 percent below the first quarter of 2010. The number of homes for sale on dealers' lots at the end of the first quarter totaled 22,000 units, unchanged from the previous quarter but 8 percent below the same quarter of 2010. The average sales price of the units sold in the first quarter was \$60,200, a statistically insignificant 5 percent below the price in the previous quarter and 7 percent below the price in the first quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Placements*	42.7	44.7	47.0	- 5**	- 9
On Dealers' Lots*	22.0	22.0	24.0		- 8
Average Sales Price	\$60,200	\$63,100	\$64,700	- 5**	- 7

*Units in thousands. These placements are for HUD-code homes only and do not include manufactured housing units built to meet local building codes, which are included in housing completions figures.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Note: Percentage changes are based on unrounded numbers.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



The National Association of Home Builders (NAHB)/Wells Fargo conducts a monthly survey focusing on builders' views of the level of sales activity and their expectations for the near future. NAHB uses these survey responses to construct indices of housing market activity. (The index values range from 0 to 100.) For the second quarter of 2011, the current market activity index for single-family detached houses stood at 14, down 2 points from the previous quarter and down 6 points from the second quarter of 2010. The index for expected future sales expectations decreased to 19, down 6 points from the first quarter of 2011 and down 6 points from the second quarter of last year. Prospective buyer traffic had an index value of 13, which is up 1 point from the previous quarter but down 1 point from the second quarter of last year. NAHB combines these separate indices into a single housing market index that mirrors the three components quite closely. For the second quarter of 2011, this index stood at 15, 1 point lower than the first quarter of 2011 and 4 points below the same quarter of last year.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Housing Market Index	15	16	19	- 6	- 21
Current Sales Activity— Single-Family Detached	14	16	20	- 12	- 30
Future Sales Expectations— Single-Family Detached	19	25	25	- 24	- 24
Prospective Buyer Traffic	13	12	14	+ 8	- 7

Source: Builders Economic Council Survey, National Association of Home Builders

HOUSING FINANCE



The contract mortgage interest rate for 30-year, fixed-rate, conventional mortgages reported by Freddie Mac decreased to 4.66 percent in the second quarter of 2011, 19 basis points lower than the previous quarter and 25 basis points lower than the second quarter of 2010. Adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs) in the second quarter of 2011 were going for 3.11 percent, 16 basis points lower than the previous quarter and 90 basis points below the second quarter of 2010. Fixed-rate, 15-year mortgages, at 3.86 percent, were down 27 basis points from the previous quarter and down 43 basis points from the second quarter of 2010.

↓ %↑	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Conventional, Fixed-Rate, 30-Year	4.66	4.85	4.91	- 4	- 5
Conventional ARMs	3.11	3.27	4.01	- 5	- 22
Conventional, Fixed-Rate, 15-Year	3.86	4.13	4.29	- 7	- 10

Source: Freddie Mac



The Federal Housing Administration's (FHA's) dollar volume share of the 1- to 4-family mortgage market was 14.7 percent in the first quarter of 2011, up 0.2 percentage points from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 1.5 percentage points from the first quarter of 2010. For home purchase loans, FHA's dollar volume share was 25.5 percent in the first quarter of 2011, down 6.8 percentage points from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 3.8 percentage points from the first quarter of 2010. For mortgage refinance loans, FHA's dollar volume share was 8.8 percent in the first quarter of 2011, down 0.6 percentage points from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 0.4 percentage points from the first quarter of 2010.

FHA's share of the 1- to 4-family mortgage market by loan count was 17.1 percent in the first quarter of 2011, up 0.6 percentage point from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 2.1 percentage points from the first quarter of 2010. For home purchase loans, FHA's market share by loan count was 29.8 percent in the first quarter of 2011, down 7.4 percentage points from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 3.9 percentage points from the first quarter of 2010. For mortgage refinance loans, FHA's market share by loan count was 9.9 percent in the first quarter of 2011, down 0.2 percentage point from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 0.8 percentage point from the first quarter of 2010.

Loans	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year			
Mortgage Market Share by Dollar Volume (%)								
All Loans	14.7	14.5	16.2	+ 1	- 10			
Purchase	25.5	32.3	29.3	- 21	- 13			
Refinance	8.8	9.4	9.2	- 7	- 5			
	Mortgage Market Share by Loan Count (%)							
All Loans	17.1	16.5	19.2	+ 4	- 11			
Purchase	29.8	37.2	33.7	- 20	- 12			
Refinance	9.9	10.1	10.7	- 2	- 8			

*This analysis includes first-lien mortgages originated in each time period. The amounts represented here are based on date of loan origination and thus will vary from what are shown in reports that summarize FHA insurance activity by insurance endorsement date. Sources: Department of Housing and Urban Development; data from FHA, Mortgage Bankers Association "MBA Mortgage Finance Forecast" report; and Loan Performance True Standings Servicing data system



Applications for FHA mortgage insurance on 1- to 4-family homes were received for 410,766 properties in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of 9 percent from the first quarter of 2011 but 27 percent below the second quarter of 2010. Total endorsements or insurance policies issued totaled 290,770, down 5 percent from the previous quarter and down 28 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Purchase endorsements, at 201,233, were up 19 percent from the first quarter of 2011 but down 31 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Endorsements for refinancing decreased to 89,547, down 35 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and down 20 percent from the second quarter of 2010. These numbers are not seasonally adjusted.

Loans	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Applications Received	410.8	376.7	566.0	+ 9	- 27
Total Endorsements	290.8	306.9	402.0	- 5	- 28
Purchase Endorsements	201.2	168.8	289.8	+ 19	- 31
Refinancing Endorsements	89.5	138.1	112.1	- 35	- 20

*Units in thousands of properties.

Source: Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Private mortgage insurers issued 61,609 policies or certificates of insurance on conventional mortgage loans during the second quarter of 2011, up 12 percent from the first quarter of 2011 but down 21 percent from the second quarter of 2010. The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) reported the issuance of mortgage loan guaranties on 77,305 single-family properties in the second quarter of 2011, down 12 percent from the previous quarter but up 4 percent from the second quarter of 2010. These numbers are not seasonally adjusted.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Total PMI Certificates	61.6	55.1	77.7	+ 12	- 21
Total VA Guaranties	77.3	87.5	74.4	- 12	+ 4

*Units in thousands of properties. PMI = Private mortgage insurance.

Sources: PMI-Mortgage Insurance Companies of America; VA-Department of Veterans Affairs



Total delinquencies for all loans past due were at 8.32 percent in the first quarter of 2011, up 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 17 percent from the first quarter of 2010. Delinquencies for past due conventional subprime loans were at 24.01 percent, up 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 12 percent from the first quarter of the previous year. Conventional subprime adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) loans that were past due stood at 26.31 percent in the first quarter of 2011, up 4 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 10 percent from the first quarter of 2010.

In the first quarter of 2011, 90-day delinquencies for all loans were at 3.62 percent, down 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 28 percent from the first quarter a year earlier. Conventional subprime loans that were 90 days past due stood at 11.86 percent in the first quarter of 2011, up 1 percent from the previous quarter but down 21 percent from the first quarter of 2010. Conventional subprime ARM loans that were 90 days past due were at 15.43 percent in the first quarter of 2011, unchanged from the fourth quarter of 2010 but down 14 percent from the first quarter of 2010.

During the first quarter of 2011, 1.08 percent of all loans entered foreclosure, down 15 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 and down 12 percent from the first quarter of the previous year. In the conventional subprime category, 3.08 percent of loans entered foreclosure in the first quarter of 2011, a decrease of 9 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 and a decrease of 8 percent from the first quarter of 2010. In the conventional subprime ARM category, 3.67 percent of loans went into foreclosure in the first quarter of 2011, a decrease of 13 percent from the fourth quarter of 2010 and a decrease of 15 percent from the first quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year				
Total Past Due (%)									
All Loans	8.32	8.25	10.06	+ 1	- 17				
Conventional Subprime Loans	24.01	23.09	27.21	+ 4	- 12				
Conventional Subprime ARMs	26.31	25.36	29.09	+ 4	- 10				
90 Days Past Due (%)									
All Loans	3.62	3.65	5.02	- 1	- 28				
Conventional Subprime Loans	11.86	11.80	14.97	+ 1	- 21				
Conventional Subprime ARMs	15.43	15.42	17.91	—	- 14				
Foreclosures Started (%)									
All Loans	1.08	1.27	1.23	- 15	- 12				
Conventional Subprime Loans	3.08	3.37	3.35	- 9	- 8				
Conventional Subprime ARMs	3.67	4.24	4.32	- 13	- 15				

Source: National Delinquency Survey, Mortgage Bankers Association



HOUSING INVESTMENT



Residential Fixed Investment and Gross Domestic Product*

Residential Fixed Investment (RFI) for the second quarter of 2011 was at a SAAR of \$335.0 billion, 1 percent above the value from the first quarter of 2011 but 6 percent below the second quarter of 2010. As a percentage of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), RFI for the second quarter of 2011 was 2.2 percent, unchanged from the previous quarter but 0.3 percentage point below the same quarter a year ago.

GOP P/o	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
GDP	15,003.8	14,867.8	14,467.8	+ 1	+ 4
RFI	335.0	330.6	354.5	+ 1	- 6
RFI/GDP (%)	2.2	2.2	2.5	_	- 12

*Billions of dollars.

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce

HOUSING INVENTORY



At the end of the second quarter of 2011, the estimate of the total housing stock, 131,173,000 units, was up a statistically insignificant 0.1 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and up a statistically insignificant 0.5 percent above the second quarter of 2010. The number of all occupied units increased a statistically insignificant 0.3 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 0.7 percent from last year's second quarter. The number of owner-occupied units decreased a statistically insignificant 0.5 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and decreased a statistically insignificant 0.8 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Renter-occupied units increased a statistically insignificant 1.8 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 1.8 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 0.4 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 1.8 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 0.8 percent from the first quarter of 2010. Necent from the second quarter of 2010 and increased a statistically insignificant 1.8 percent from the first quarter of 2011 and increased a statistically insignificant 0.8 percent from the first quarter of 2010. Necent from the second quarter of 2010. Necent from the second quarter of 2010 and increased a statistically insignificant 3.8 percent from the second quarter of 2010. Vacant units were down a statistically insignificant 0.8 percent from the last quarter and decreased a statistically insignificant 0.8 percent from the second quarter of 2010.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
All Housing Units	131,173	131,009	130,517	+ 0.1**	+ 0.5**
Occupied Units	112,473	112,164	111,667	+ 0.3**	+ 0.7**
Owner Occupied	74,131	74,491	74,735	- 0.5**	- 0.8**
Renter Occupied	38,342	37,674	36,933	+ 1.8**	+ 3.8
Vacant Units	18,700	18,845	18,850	- 0.8**	- 0.8**

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

**This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce





Vacancy Rates

 \mathbf{I} he homeowner vacancy rate for the second quarter of 2011, at 2.5 percent, was 0.1 percentage point lower than the first quarter of 2011 but was essentially unchanged from the second quarter of 2010.

The 2011 second quarter national rental vacancy rate, at 9.2 percent, was 0.5 percentage point lower than the previous quarter and 1.4 percentage points lower than the second quarter of 2010.

TOR TOR	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
Homeowner Rate	2.5	2.6	2.5	- 4**	_
Rental Rate	9.2	9.7	10.6	- 5**	- 13

**This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce



The national homeownership rate for all households was 65.9 percent in the second quarter of 2011, down a statistically significant 0.5 percentage point from the previous quarter and down a statistically significant 1.0 percentage point from the second quarter of 2010. The homeownership rate for minority households, at 47.8 percent, decreased a statistically significant 0.5 percentage point from the first quarter of 2011 and was down a statistically significant 1.2 percentage points from the second quarter of 2010. The homeownership rate for young married-couple households, at 57.9 percent, was up a statistically insignificant 0.7 percentage point from the previous quarter and was up a statistically insignificant 0.2 percentage point from the second quarter of last year.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
All Households	65.9	66.4	66.9	- 0.8	- 1.5
Minority Households	47.8	48.3	49.0	- 1.0	- 2.4
Young Married-Couple Households	57.9	57.2	57.7	+ 1.2**	+ 0.3**

**This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce













he following summaries of housing market conditions and activities have been prepared by economists in the U.S. Department

of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD's) field offices. The reports provide overviews of economic and housing market trends within each region of HUD management. Also included are profiles of selected local housing market areas that provide a perspective of current economic conditions and their impact on the housing market. The reports and profiles are based on information obtained by HUD economists from state and local governments, from housing industry sources, and from their ongoing investigations of housing market conditions carried out in support of HUD's programs.



Regional Reports

New England



HUD Region I*

After declining from 2008 through 2010, nonfarm payrolls in the New England region grew by 50,300 jobs, or 0.7 percent, during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with a decrease of 174,700 jobs, or 2.5 percent, during the previous 12 months. The professional and business services sector, which added 19,100 new jobs, increasing by 2.3 percent, produced the most significant turnaround in the region after losing 29,800 jobs, a 3.4percent decrease, during the previous 12 months. The education and health services and the leisure and hospitality sectors grew by 22,700 and 17,700 jobs, or 1.7 and 2.8 percent, respectively. The retail trade subsector and the manufacturing sector added 7,900 and 3,500 jobs, or 1.0 and 0.6 percent, respectively. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the government sector contracted by 1.2 percent, or 11,500 jobs, nearly 60 percent of which were in the local government subsector, which declined by 6,800 jobs, or 1.1 percent. Job losses in the construction sector slowed to 4,000 jobs, or 1.7 percent, following a decrease of 33,200, or 12.4 percent, during the previous 12 months, as capital markets stabilize throughout the region. The financial activities sector lost 2,900 jobs, a 0.6-percent decrease, compared with a decline of 18,400 jobs, or 3.9 percent, a year earlier.

For the first time since 2007, nonfarm payrolls increased in every New England state during the 12 months ending June 2011. Payrolls increased at the fastest rate in Vermont, which added 4,300 jobs, a 1.4-percent gain, after losing 6,200 jobs, a 2.0-percent decline, during the previous 12 months. The most significant gains were in the leisure and hospitality and professional and business services sectors, up 1,600 and 1,200 jobs, or 5.0 and 5.5 percent, respectively. Massachusetts accounted for 53 percent of the net job gain in the region during the 12 months ending June 2011. Massachusetts payrolls increased by 26,500 jobs, or 0.8 percent, compared with a loss of 65,800 jobs, or 2.0 percent, during the previous 12 months. The education and health services, leisure and hospitality, and professional and business services sectors increased by 12,100, 7,200, and 7,200 jobs, or 1.8, 2.4, and 1.6 percent, respectively. Connecticut gained 11,600 jobs, a 0.7-percent increase, compared with a loss of 59,000 jobs, a 3.5-percent

decrease, during the previous 12 months. The government sector and the construction subsector declined by 2,500 and 1,300 jobs, or 2.3 and 2.6 percent, respectively, partially offsetting gains of 7,000 and 5,900 jobs, or 2.3 and 3.2 percent, respectively, in the education and health services and professional and business services sectors. Nonfarm payrolls in New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Maine grew at a moderate pace, up 4,000, 2,200, and 1,800 jobs, or 0.6, 0.5, and 0.3 percent, respectively, during the 12 months ending June 2011. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the unemployment rate in the New England region averaged 8.2 percent, down from the 8.6percent rate during the previous 12 months and below the 9.3-percent national average. Average unemployment rates in each state ranged from 5.4 percent in New Hampshire to 11.2 percent in Rhode Island.

Despite moderate employment gains and low interest rates, New England home sales markets have remained soft since the middle of 2010. During the 12 months ending June 2011, sales declined in all six states in the region compared with the sales during the previous 12 months, when homebuyer tax credits helped stimulate sales. According to the Massachusetts Association of REALTORS[®] (MAR), during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing home sales totaled 34,550, down 18 percent compared with sales during the previous 12 months, but unchanged from the number of homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2009. The median sales price in June 2011 declined 2 percent to \$325,850 compared with June 2010 prices. In Connecticut, during the 12 months ending June 2011, Hanley Wood, LLC reported 23,000 existing home sales, a decrease of 22 percent compared with sales during the previous 12 months. In June 2011, the median sales price declined by 3 percent, to \$263,400, compared with prices in June 2010. The Rhode Island Association of REALTORS® (RIAR) reported that, during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing home sales decreased by 23 percent to 6,225, compared with sales during the previous 12 months. In the second quarter of 2011, the median sales price declined 2 percent to \$205,000 compared with the median price during the second quarter of 2010.

The Maine Real Estate Information System, Inc., reported that, during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing home sales totaled 9,275, down 20 percent compared with sales in the previous 12 months. During the second quarter of 2011, the median sales price was \$167,000, down 2 percent from a year earlier. According to the Northern New England Real Estate Network (NNEREN), during the 12 months ending June 2011, new and existing homes sales in New Hampshire and Vermont decreased by 14 and 15 percent to 10,000 and 3,775, respectively, compared with the previous 12 months. In June 2011, the median sales price declined 4 percent in New Hampshire, to \$220,000, but increased 5 percent in Vermont, to \$211,000.

^{*}For an explanation of HUD's regions, please turn to page 49 at the end of the Regional Reports section.

According to the Federal Housing Finance Agency, in the first quarter of 2011 (the most recent data available), home prices in the New England census region decreased by 3.3 percent compared with prices during the first quarter of 2010. This decrease represents the second lowest rate of price depreciation of the nine census regions, behind West South Central (Texas), and compares with a decline of 5.5 percent for the nation. According to LPS Applied Analytics, in June 2011, 100,500 loans were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), a 3.7-percent decline from June 2010. That number represents 6.4 percent of all home loans in the region, down from 6.5 percent in June 2010. The largest percentage-point declines were in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, from 6.4 and 5.4 percent in June 2010 to 5.9 and 4.9 percent, respectively, in June 2011. Vermont had the lowest rate in the region at 4.5 percent. The comparable national rate was 7.8 percent.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, condominium markets in New England suffered double-digit percent sales declines. According to the MAR, condominium sales in Massachusetts totaled 13,600 during the 12 months ending June 2011, down 23 percent compared with the previous 12 months and down 4 percent compared with the 12 months ending June 2009. In June 2011, the median condominium sales price increased 5 percent to \$298,750, compared with the median price in June 2010. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, condominium sales in Connecticut decreased by 29 percent, to 6,100, during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with sales during the previous 12 months. In June 2011, the median sales price declined by 3 percent to \$175,000. In Rhode Island, the RIAR reported that condominium sales declined by 19 percent, to 1,075, during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with the previous 12 months' total. In the second quarter of 2011, the median sales price was down 4 percent to \$173,000 compared with the median price a year earlier. During the 12 months ending June 2011, NNEREN reported that condominium sales in New Hampshire and Vermont decreased by 21 and 20 percent to 2,425 and 890, respectively. In June 2011, median prices in the two states declined by 12 and 9 percent to \$155,000 and \$179,450, respectively.

Decreasing sales and continued tight credit standards caused a decline in single-family home construction activity, as measured by the number of building permits issued. During the 12 months ending June 2011, based on preliminary data, 10,200 new single-family homes were permitted, a 12-percent decrease compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months, but a 4-percent increase from a year earlier. All six states posted declines in single-family home construction, with Rhode Island and Maine declining 23 and 18 percent, to 630 and 1,450 homes, respectively. New construction in New Hampshire and Vermont decreased 15 and 10 percent, to 1,340 and 490 homes permitted, respectively. Despite declines of 9 percent each, to 4,290 homes and 2,000 homes, respectively, Massachusetts and Connecticut contain more than 60 percent of single-family home construction in the region.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, multifamily construction, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, decreased in the region by 2 percent, to 5,300 units, compared with a decline of 4 percent to 5,400 units during the previous 12 months. Based on preliminary data, the number of multifamily units permitted increased 12 percent in Massachusetts, to 2,930 units, and increased minimally in Vermont and Rhode Island, to 150 and 70 units, respectively. Losses in Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Maine of 37, 17, and 6 percent to 855, 655, and 205 units, respectively, more than offset those gains. The number of multifamily units permitted in the Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH metropolitan area increased by 14 percent to 2,675 units and represent more than 50 percent of multifamily building activity in the region.

New England rental markets were primarily balanced during the second quarter of 2011 but are tightening because production levels continue to be moderate. According to Reis, Inc., the Boston metropolitan area rental market had an apartment vacancy rate of 4.4 percent in the second quarter of 2011 compared with a 6.2-percent rate a year earlier. The addition of 1,040 new units in 2010 represented only 23 percent of the 4,500-unit average annual increase from 2006 through 2009. Fewer than 500 rental units are anticipated to enter the market during 2011. The market rent in Boston averaged \$1,754 in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of 2 percent, consistent with the annual average increase for the past 5 years. In Fairfield County, Connecticut, the apartment vacancy rate was 5.1 percent, up slightly from 4.9 percent a year earlier, primarily because 1,200 new units entered the market in 2010, more than 3 times the average annual production level for the past 3 years. The average market rent was \$1,812, an increase of almost 4 percent compared with rent during the second quarter of 2010. In Providence, during the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate decreased to 5.2 percent from 7.5 percent during the second quarter of 2010. After averaging nearly 500 new units annually from 2006 through 2009, Providence added virtually no new units in 2010 and none are anticipated for 2011. During the past 12 months, rents have been almost flat. In central and southern Connecticut, the Hartford and New Haven apartment markets are tight. The apartment vacancy rates in Hartford and New Haven are 3.8 and 2.5 percent, down from 5.6 and 2.9 percent, respectively, in the previous 12-month period. New production in Hartford was moderate after averaging about 400 new units annually from 2006 through 2009. One new downtown project of 500 units in 2010 accounts for almost all recent rental production in New Haven. Rent increases in Hartford and New Haven during the past 12 months were just under 2 percent each, to \$987 and \$1,120, respectively.



New York/ New Jersey



HUD Region II

In the New York/New Jersey region, nonfarm payroll jobs increased in the past 12 months but remain below the peak of more than 12.8 million jobs recorded during the third quarter of 2008. During the 12 months ending June 2011, nonfarm payrolls increased by 33,900 jobs, or 0.3 percent, to 12.4 million, a significant improvement compared with the loss of nearly 260,600 jobs, or 2.1 percent, during the previous 12 months. New York State added 47,700 jobs, amounting to 0.6 percent growth, and nonfarm payrolls increased to an average of 8.6 million jobs. In New Jersey, the decline that began in 2008 continued with a loss of 13,800 jobs, or 0.4 percent, to an average of 3.8 million jobs. The decrease in New Jersey was significantly less than the loss of 106,700 jobs, a 2.7-percent decline, during the 12 months ending June 2010. In New York City (NYC), nonfarm payrolls increased by 33,100 jobs, or 0.9 percent, to 3.7 million jobs during the 12 months ending June 2011.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, nonfarm payroll gains in the New York/New Jersey region were greatest in the education and health services, professional and business services, and leisure and hospitality sectors. The education and health services sector recorded an increase of nearly 46,100 jobs, or 2.0 percent, with gains of nearly 38,400 jobs, or 2.3 percent, in New York and 7,700 jobs, or 1.3 percent, in New Jersey. The professional and business services sector increased by 35,700 jobs, or 2.1 percent, with New York accounting for more than 80 percent of the job gain. The leisure and hospitality sector expanded by 22,000 jobs, a 2.1-percent increase, adding 19,900 jobs in New York and 2,075 jobs in New Jersey, increases of 2.8 and 0.6 percent, respectively. In NYC, the education and health services and professional and business services sectors led job growth, gaining 20,150 jobs, or 2.7 percent, and 19,350 jobs, or 3.4 percent, respectively. Offsetting part of the job gain in the region, the government sector declined by 62,600 jobs, or 2.9 percent, with decreases of 39,600 jobs, or 2.6 percent, in New York and 22,950 jobs, or 3.5 percent, in New Jersey. All levels of government recorded losses, but more than 60 percent of the decline in the region occurred because of budget cuts in the local government subsector. The construction subsector and manufacturing sector registered job declines of 15,600 and 11,400, or 3.5 and 1.6 percent, respectively. The construction subsector decreased by 11,250 jobs, or 3.6 percent, in New York and by 4,350 jobs, or 3.3 percent, in New Jersey. The manufacturing sector recorded a 1.7-percent, or 4,475-job, decrease in New Jersey and a

1.5-percent, or 6,900-job, decline in New York. During the 12 months ending June 2011, average unemployment rates decreased from 9.0 to 8.6 percent in the region, from 8.8 to 8.2 percent in New York, and from 9.6 to 9.3 percent in New Jersey.

In the second quarter of 2011, sales housing markets in the region were softer than they were in the preceding quarter but were relatively unchanged from a year earlier. According to data from the New York State Association of REALTORS[®], during the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of existing single-family home sales in the state (excluding parts of NYC) declined by nearly 23 percent, to 67,250 homes, compared with the number sold during the same period a year earlier. The median price of an existing home increased 5 percent to \$210,000 as of June 2011. The Greater Rochester Association of REALTORS[®] reported a 27-percent decline in sales, to 8,800 homes sold, and an unchanged median price of \$120,000 in the Rochester metropolitan area during the 12 months ending June 2011. In the Albany-Schenectady-Troy metropolitan area, the Greater Capital Association of RÉALTORS[®] reported that home sales declined 27 percent to nearly 6,550, and that the median sales price remained unchanged at \$190,000 during the 12 months ending June 2011. According to the Buffalo Niagara Association of REALTORS[®], during the 12 months ending June 2011, home sales declined by 24 percent to 7,750 homes and the median price decreased by 1 percent to \$118,000.

The NYC home sales market was slightly soft during the second quarter of 2011, relatively unchanged compared with the second quarter of 2010. Prices decreased in Manhattan and sales fell significantly in Queens. Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate reported that, during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing condominium and cooperative unit sales in Manhattan, Brooklyn, and Queens decreased 11 percent to 28,200 units compared with the number sold during the 12 months ending June 2010. The average sales price rose by more than 11 percent to \$814,500 in June 2011, and the average number of days a home remained on the market declined to 119, which is 5 days fewer than the average during the 12 months ending June 2010. During the past year, home sales increased nearly 2 percent to 10,000 in Manhattan, and the median price declined more than 5 percent to \$850,000. The current level of home sales in Manhattan is 3 percent less than the average annual rate of 10.350 homes sold from 2007 through 2009. Home sales declined by 1 percent to 7,675 in Brooklyn, where the median price increased nearly 4 percent to \$480,000. In Queens, home sales declined 25 percent to 10,550 in response to the expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit, and the median price increased by 2 percent to \$342,000.

In New Jersey, home sales markets are increasingly soft compared with the previous quarter and the previous year because of declining sales and prices. According to data from the New Jersey Association of REALTORS[®], the number of existing homes sold during the 12 months ending March 2011 (the latest information available) decreased by 9,400 homes, or 8 percent, to 109,400 homes sold compared with the 118,800 homes sold during the previous 12 months. The median sales price of a home in New Jersey decreased 4 percent to \$280,900. All three regions of the state reported decreased home sales, with declines of 13 percent in Southern New Jersey and 6 percent in both Central and Northern New Jersey, to 26,900, 29,100, and 53,400 homes sold, respectively. Median sales prices declined by 5 percent in Central New Jersey, to \$298,600, and by 4 percent in both Northern and Southern New Jersey, to \$360,000 and \$198,700, respectively.

In June 2011, according to LPS Applied Analytics, the number of loans in the region that were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) increased by 15,700, or 7 percent, to 248,500 compared with the number of distressed loans in June 2010. This level represents a rate of 8.7 percent of all loans in June 2011 compared with a rate of 8.2 percent a year earlier. The rates rose from 9.1 to 9.9 percent in New Jersey and from 7.6 to 7.9 percent in New York. In June 2011, the national rate was 7.8 percent.

Builders responded to softer sales market conditions in the New York/New Jersey region by reducing singlefamily homebuilding; however, construction of multifamily units increased in both states. During the 12 months ending June 2011, based on preliminary data, the number of single-family homes permitted in the region decreased by 11 percent, to 12,525 homes, compared with a 1-percent increase recorded during the same period a year earlier. The current level of single-family permits issued amounts to approximately 55 percent of the average annual 23,000 homes permitted in the region from 2007 through 2009. Single-family home construction decreased by 820, or 13 percent, to 5,550 homes in New York and by 660, or 9 percent, to 6,975 homes in New Jersey. During the 12 months ending June 2011, multifamily building activity, as measured by the number of units permitted, increased by 4,975 units, or 40 percent, to more than 17,550 units compared with a 27-percent decrease during the previous 12 months, based on preliminary data. The number of permits issued for multifamily units increased by 3,075, to a total of 10,900 units, in New York and by 1,900, to 6,675 units, in New Jersey, increases of approximately 40 percent in each state. The current level of multifamily units permitted in the region amounts to 47 percent of the average annual 37,100 units permitted from 2007 through 2009. As indicated by the McGraw-Hill Construction Pipeline database, apartments account for 75 percent of the 20,200 multifamily units under construction in the region and 95 percent of the 8,700 units under way in NYC.

Tight rental market conditions in the region became tighter compared with conditions during the first quarter of 2011 and a year earlier, as shown by declining vacancy rates and rising rents. Conditions were balanced to tight in Upstate New York and New Jersey, and NYC remained one of the tightest markets in the country. According to Reis, Inc., in the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate in NYC was 2.8 percent, down from the 3.0-percent rate recorded a year earlier, and the average asking rent increased by 4 percent to more than \$2,900. On Long Island, the vacancy rate declined slightly from 3.7 to 3.6 percent and rents increased by more than 2 percent to \$1,580. In Westchester, where the market tightened, the vacancy rate fell from 4.5 to 3.3 percent and rents increased by more than 2 percent to \$1,880. In the Rochester metropolitan area, the vacancy rate decreased from 4.7 to 3.6 percent and the average rent increased nearly 3 percent to \$780. The Syracuse metropolitan area market tightened, with the vacancy rate declining from 5.8 to 3.4 percent and the average rent increasing 2 percent to \$700. In the Buffalo metropolitan area, the vacancy rate decreased from 5.7 to 4.1 percent and the average rent increased nearly 2 percent to \$740. In Central New Jersey, the vacancy rate declined from 4.1 to 3.5 percent and the average rent increased by nearly 2 percent to \$1,170. In Northern New Jersey, the vacancy rate decreased from 5.1 to 4.4 percent and the average rent increased by more than 2 percent to \$1,525.





After a 2-year period of decline, the Mid-Atlantic region added 100,400 nonfarm payroll jobs during the 12 months ending June 2011 to average nearly 13.7 million jobs, up from 13.6 million jobs, less than a 1-percent increase from the previous year. The education and health services and the professional and business services sectors added 41,130 and 40,980 jobs, 1.8- and 2.1-percent gains, respectively. After declining during 2009 and 2010, the retail trade subsector slowly began to add jobs at the beginning of 2011. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the subsector added 10,700 jobs, a nearly 1-percent increase from the previous 12-month period. The wholesale trade subsector added 5,800 jobs, up more than 1 percent from the previous year. The leisure and hospitality sector added 9,500 jobs, up 0.8 percent, and the federal government subsector increased by 0.9 percent, adding 6,130 jobs. The manufacturing sector reported a job gain for the first time in more than a decade, adding 1,630 jobs, an increase of nearly 0.2 percent. The construction subsector reported a decline of slightly more than 0.5 percent, or 3,250 jobs.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, Pennsylvania added nearly 50,300 jobs, up 1 percent from the previous year. The state added 23,200 jobs in the education and



health services sector, an increase of more than 2 percent, which accounted for 56 percent of all job gains in the sector in the region. Pennsylvania also added 6,500 manufacturing jobs, a 1-percent increase over jobs in the sector during the 12-month period ending June 2010, which offset the net sector job loss in the rest of the region. Manufacturing payroll gains were concentrated in Pittsburgh and small metropolitan areas in the central and western part of the state. Nonfarm payroll jobs in Virginia increased by 0.5 percent during the 12-month period ending June 2011, up 18,760 jobs from the previous year. The state added 16,800 professional and business services jobs, an increase of nearly 3 percent. West Virginia added 6,650 jobs, a 0.9-percent gain, with the most significant growth of 2,110 jobs, or nearly 2 percent, occurring in the education and health services sector. Delaware reported a 0.5-percent increase in nonfarm payrolls, up 2,080 jobs, with the largest increase of 2,150 new jobs, or more than 5 percent, in the leisure and hospitality sector. Gains in the aforementioned states are significant because, for the second consecutive quarter, these states also reported 3-month gains over the corresponding quarter of 2010. The District of Columbia and Maryland added 6,470 and 6,190 jobs, 0.9- and 0.3-percent increases, respectively, during the 12 months ending June 2011. Both, however, reported job declines during the 3 months ending June 2011 compared with the 3 months ending June 2010. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the unemployment rate in the region averaged 7.6 percent, down from the 8-percent rate recorded during the 12 months ending June 2010. Average unemployment rates among the states in the region ranged from 6.5 percent in Virginia to 9.9 percent in the District of Columbia.

Home sales market conditions in the Mid-Atlantic region were soft in the second quarter of 2011, unchanged from the preceding quarter. During the 12 months ending June 2011, total sales declined as the market adjusted to the expiration of the homebuyer tax credit that stimulated sales during 2010. According to the Maryland Association of REALTORS[®], existing home sales in Maryland totaled 49,700, down 15 percent compared with the 57,300 homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2010, and the average sales price declined 2 percent to \$286,700 from \$292,700. In the Baltimore metropolitan area, 21,900 homes sold, down 15 percent from the 25,800 sold the previous year, according to Metropolitan Regional Information Systems, Inc. (MRIS®). Prices declined by nearly 3 percent to average \$268,000 compared with \$276,000 during the previous year.

Sales market conditions also were soft in Virginia. For the second quarter of 2011, the Virginia Association of REALTORS[®] reported a 15-percent decline in existing homes sales, to 23,400 homes sold, while the median sale price decreased 2 percent to \$235,000 from \$239,900. In the greater Richmond area, during the second quarter of 2011, home sales declined by 18 percent to 3,500 homes, and the median price decreased by 7 percent to \$178,700. During the first quarter of 2011 (the most recent data available), the resale markets declined in Delaware and Pennsylvania but increased in West Virginia, according to the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®]. The seasonally adjusted annual home sales rate decreased nearly 7 percent in Delaware and 4 percent in Pennsylvania, to 11,200 and 160,000 homes, respectively. Home sales increased in West Virginia by nearly 8 percent to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 28,400 homes sold, still less than the 16-percent increase during the previous year. According to MRIS[®], 6,200 homes sold in the District of Columbia during the 12 months ending June 2011, down 15 percent from the 7,300 homes sold during the previous year. Despite the decline, average home prices increased by 7 percent to \$511,800 from \$479,500. According to LPS Applied Analytics, during June 2011, the percentage of home loans that were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) in the region declined to 5.8 from 6.3 percent during June 2010, significantly less than the 7.8-percent rate for the nation.

Single-family home construction declined in the region as a result of soft sales market conditions. Homebuilding activity, as measured by the number of single-family building permits issued, decreased by 3,925, or 10 percent, to a total of 35,900 homes during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with a 9-percent increase during the previous 12-month period, based on preliminary data. The largest percentage declines occurred in Delaware, down 20 percent to 2,400 homes, and West Virginia, down 22 percent to 1,175 homes. Single-family home construction decreased 9 percent each in Virginia, Maryland, and Pennsylvania, to 13,500, 7,500, and 11,000 homes, respectively. In contrast, construction activity in the District of Columbia increased by 110 homes to total 250. Overall, construction activity declined in the region's major metropolitan areas. The largest decreases occurred in the Philadelphia metropolitan area, down 12 percent to 4,800 homes, followed by the Baltimore metropolitan area, down 8 percent to 3,300 homes, and the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, down 6 percent to 9,100 homes.

According to preliminary data for the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted in the Mid-Atlantic region increased by 2,480 to 13,600 units, up 22 percent compared with a 10-percent decline during the 12 months ending June 2010. All of the increased construction, however, occurred in Virginia and the District of Columbia, where the number of multifamily units permitted increased by 46 and 120 percent, to totals of 5,860 and 2,300 units, respectively. Reductions in multifamily production occurred in Maryland, Pennsylvania, Delaware, and West Virginia, which recorded 14-, 5-, 9-, and 27-percent decreases to totals of 2,590, 2,240, 480, and 130 units permitted, respectively. According to the McGraw-Hill Construction Pipeline database, approximately one-half of the multifamily units under construction in the region are condominiums
and nearly 40 percent of the condominiums under construction are located in Virginia and the District of Columbia.

Apartment market conditions throughout the region were mixed during the second quarter of 2011 but, in general, were tighter than during the first quarter of 2011 and the second quarter of 2010. In the Baltimore metropolitan area, vacancies rose in the suburban counties, where 700 units were in lease-up, when compared with the second quarter of 2010, but tightened in the city of Baltimore. Delta Associates reported that, from June 2010 to June 2011, the apartment vacancy rate increased from 4.0 to 6.9 percent in the suburban areas, where conditions are slightly soft. During the same period, vacancy rates fell from 13.5 to 5.5 percent in Baltimore city, where conditions are now balanced. Average rents in Baltimore city increased 4 percent, from \$1,620 to \$1,680, between June 2010 and June 2011. In the suburban areas, rents declined 1 percent, from \$1,420 to \$1,410. The apartment market in the Philadelphia metropolitan area was tight in the second quarter of 2011, unchanged from the previous guarter. Delta Associates reported that, from June 2010 to June 2011, vacancy rates in the Philadelphia suburbs decreased from 5.9 to 2.9 percent, and the average rent rose 3 percent, from \$1,400 to \$1,440. In the Center City Philadelphia submarket, during the same period, vacancy rates rose slightly from 1.9 to 2.4 percent, and conditions are still tight. Average rents in Center City increased nearly 9 percent, from \$2,000 to \$2,170.

In the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area, the suburban garden rental market was balanced in the second quarter of 2011, and, although the highrise market in the District of Columbia and suburban Maryland improved, it remained soft. According to Delta Associates, vacancy rates in Class A garden apartments in the Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland submarkets were 5.5 and 5.1 percent, respectively, in June 2011, down from 9.1 and 5.2 percent, respectively, in June 2010. During the 12 months ending June 2011, garden apartment rents rose approximately 6 percent in Northern Virginia to average \$1,600 and increased nearly 3 percent in the Maryland suburbs close to Washington, D.C., to average \$1,575. Vacancy rates in highrise units during the same period decreased from 9.0 to 5.5 percent in Northern Virginia, from 13.8 to 8.5 percent in suburban Maryland, and from 16.2 to 10.9 percent in the District of Columbia. In June 2011, rents for highrise apartments averaged \$2,310 in Northern Virginia, \$2,280 in suburban Maryland, and \$2,600 in the District of Columbia, up 2, 3, and 2 percent, respectively, from June 2010.

SOUTHEAST/ CARIBBEAN HUD Region IV



In the Southeast/Caribbean region during the 12-month period ending June 2011, nonfarm payrolls averaged about 25 million jobs, an increase of 50,100 jobs, or 0.2 percent, from the same period a year earlier. Job growth was mixed within the region, with the largest payroll gains occurring in Florida, Tennessee, and Kentucky, which increased by 26,400, 22,300, and 21,100 jobs, or 0.4, 0.9, and 1.2 percent, respectively. South Carolina, North Carolina, and Mississippi recorded job gains of 14,300, 11,500, and 5,800 jobs, or 0.8, 0.3, and 0.5 percent, respectively, during the same period. Nonfarm payrolls in Puerto Rico and Georgia declined by 19,000 and 5,100 jobs, or 2.0 and 0.1 percent, respectively. In Alabama, average nonfarm payroll jobs increased by only 500 compared with the average during the 12 months ending June 2010. The average number of jobs during second quarter of 2011 was down 3,600, or 0.2 percent, compared with the second quarter of 2010, however, reflecting the effects of the tornadoes that hit the state on April 27, 2011.

Three sectors led job gains in the Southeast/Caribbean region during the 12 months ending June 2011. The largest increase in payrolls, 108,600 jobs, or 3.5 percent, came from the professional and business services sector, which is often viewed by labor economists as a leading indicator of overall payroll growth because it includes employment by temporary employment agencies. All eight states, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands recorded employment increases in the sector. The education and health services sector and the leisure and hospitality sector followed, with increases of 47,400 and 45,200 jobs, or 1.4 and 1.7 percent, respectively. Job losses in the region during the 12 months ending June 2011 primarily occurred in the government sector, which fell by 68,400 jobs, or 1.5 percent, and the construction subsector, which declined by 55,500 jobs, or 6 percent. Most of the government job losses occurred in the state and local government subsectors, which cut costs in response to budget shortfalls. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the average unemployment rate for the region decreased to 10.6 percent from 11 percent during the previous 12-month period. Florida was the only state to report an increase in the average unemployment rate, which rose from 11.1 percent to 11.4 percent in the state. During the past 12 months, unemployment rates ranged from 9.3 percent in Alabama to 15.9 percent in Puerto Rico.

Throughout the Southeast/Caribbean region, most sales housing markets are soft and will likely remain soft for some time because numerous distressed properties



remain available. During the past 12 months, however, the percentage of distressed loans declined in every state in the region. According to LPS Applied Analytics, in June 2011, 10.9 percent of home loans in the region were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), down from 11.7 percent in June 2010. The rates of distressed loans in June 2011 ranged from 5.9 percent in Kentucky to 17.8 percent in Florida. The large number of distressed home loans and falling home prices in Florida has led to a rise in investor purchases in the state, resulting in increased home sales. According to data from Florida Realtors[®], during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing single-family home and condominium sales in Florida increased by 4 and 16 percent, to 177,600 and 82,800 units sold, respectively, compared with the sales during the previous 12-month period. The year-to-date median sales price through June 2011 for single-family homes in the state decreased by 6 percent to \$129,400 compared with the median price during the same period in 2010; the year-to-date median price for condominiums decreased by 8 percent to \$87,500. In Miami, existing single-family home sales increased by 23 percent to 8,650. The median price of a home sold in Miami decreased by 9 percent to \$185,400 during June 2011 compared with June 2010. Condominium sales increased by 59 percent to 13,100, and the median price decreased by 7 percent to \$119,800 in June 2011.

The Alabama Center for Real Estate reported that approximately 34,000 new and existing homes and condominiums sold statewide during the 12 months ending June 2011, a 17-percent decrease from sales during the 12 months ending June 2010. The average sales price for homes and condominiums was about \$144,800, virtually unchanged from the 12 months ending June 2010. According to the Greater Louisville Association of REALTORS[®], approximately 2,750 new and existing single-family homes and 300 condominiums sold in the Louisville metropolitan area during the second quarter of 2011, decreases of 22 and 27 percent, respectively, from the second quarter of 2010. The median prices for single-family homes and condominiums sold during the second quarter of 2011 decreased by 2 and 1 percent, to \$137,000 and \$120,800, respectively, compared with prices during the second quarter of 2010. The Lexington Bluegrass Association of REALTORS[®] reported that about 5,700 new and existing homes were sold in the Lexington metropolitan area during the 12 months ending June 2011, a 25-percent decrease from the previous 12 months. The average home sales price increased 1 percent to \$169,600. Approximately 450 condominiums and townhomes sold in Lexington during the 12 months ending June 2011, down 30 percent from sales during the previous 12 months, but the average price increased 14 percent to \$155,600.

According to the North Carolina Association of REAL-TORS[®], Inc., during the 12 months ending June 2011, 76,500 existing homes sold in 21 reported areas, a 13percent decrease compared with the previous 12-month period. The statewide average price for homes sold remained virtually unchanged at approximately \$201,300. The number of homes sold decreased in the three largest metropolitan areas of the state; Charlotte, Greensboro-Winston Salem, and Raleigh recorded 10-, 16-, and 20percent declines, respectively. The average home price in Charlotte and Raleigh increased by less than 1 and 2 percent, respectively, but in Greensboro-Winston Salem decreased by less than 1 percent. South Carolina REALTORS[®] reported 43,950 homes sold statewide during the 12 months ending June 2011, a 15-percent decrease from the number sold during the previous 12 months. The number of homes sold declined in 13 of the 15 reported areas. The statewide median price for homes sold, year to date through June 2011, decreased by nearly 2 percent to \$146,000. Median home prices decreased in 9 of the 15 markets reported.

According to the Knoxville Area Association of REAL-TORS[®], in the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of new and existing single-family homes sold in the Knoxville area decreased by 21 percent, to 8,050, but the average sales price increased by 5 percent to about \$175,800. Knoxville condominium sales decreased by 20 percent to 880, and the average price declined by 4 percent to \$153,400. According to the Greater Nashville Association of REALTORS® new and existing single-family home and condominium sales decreased by 18 and 28 percent, to 15,750 and 2,050 units sold, respectively, during the 12 months ending June 2011. The median price for single-family homes decreased by 3 percent, from \$181,300 in June 2010 to \$176,300 in June 2011. The median price for condominiums increased by 3 percent, from \$145,000 in June 2010 to \$150,000 in June 2011.

Single-family home production continued a 6-year decline in the Southeast/Caribbean region during the past 12 months because of weak economic conditions and tight lending standards. Based on preliminary data, during the 12-month period ending June 2011, single-family homebuilding, as measured by the number of building permits issued, decreased in the region by 16,800 homes, or 15 percent, to 97,350, well below the average of 559,200 homes permitted annually from 2004 through 2007. Every state in the region recorded a decrease, ranging from a decline of approximately 3,750 homes, or 14 percent, in North Carolina to a decrease of 850 homes, or 20 percent, in Mississippi. The number of permits issued in the region remains near the lowest number recorded in more than 20 years.

Multifamily construction, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, also remained near historic lows in the Southeast/Caribbean region but appeared to be stabilizing following steep declines that began in 2007. According to preliminary data, during the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted in the region remained relatively unchanged at about 27,500 units, only 100 units fewer than during the previous 12 months. By comparison, multifamily construction averaged about 121,300 units annually from 2004 through 2007. Permitting trends in the past 12 months varied widely throughout the region. Permits decreased by 1,450 units, or 50 percent, to 1,475 units in Alabama but increased by 1,750 units, or 23 percent, to 9,175 units in Florida and by 824 units, or 85 percent, in Kentucky.

Continued low levels of apartment construction and increased demand allowed many rental markets in the region to reach or approach balanced conditions during the second quarter of 2011. According to Reis, Inc., between the second quarter of 2010 and the second quarter of 2011, vacancy rates decreased in 19 of the 20 largest metropolitan areas in the region, with 15 markets reporting vacancy rates below 8 percent and 9 markets reporting vacancy rates below 7 percent. During the second quarter of 2011, Louisville recorded the lowest apartment vacancy rate in the region, 4.9 percent, down from 6.7 percent during the second quarter of 2010. Soft market conditions continued in Atlanta, Columbia, Greensboro-Winston Salem, Jacksonville, and Memphis despite vacancy rate declines ranging from 1.8 to 3.5 percentage points. In Atlanta and Miami, during the second quarter of 2011, the vacancy rates were 8.7 and 5.4 percent, respectively, down from 11.3 and 6.2 percent, respectively, during the second quarter of 2010. Between the second quarter of 2010 and the second quarter of 2011, average monthly rents increased or remained unchanged in all 20 markets. Average rents were up by more than 1 percent in Atlanta and Miami to \$853 and \$1,089, respectively. Of the 20 markets, 11 recorded rent increases between 1 and 2 percent.

MIDWEST



HUD Region V

Nonfarm payrolls increased in the Midwest region during the second quarter of 2011, the second consecutive quarter of job gains. In the 12 months ending June 2011, nonfarm payrolls increased 1.0 percent to 22.8 million jobs, a gain of nearly 189,000 jobs and only 6 percent below the 2007 peak of 24.3 million jobs. The professional and business services sector, up 3.9 percent, or 106,400 jobs, led the gains along with the manufacturing and the education and health services sectors, which increased by 2.4 and 2.0 percent, or 67,800 and 71,400 jobs, respectively. The construction subsector, down 2.2 percent or 17,300 jobs, the government sector, down 1.2 percent or 44,100 jobs, and the financial activities sector, down 1.2 percent or 15,000 jobs, showed the largest decreases in the region. Each of the six states in the Midwest region posted job gains in the second quarter of 2011. Nonfarm payroll increases ranged from 13,100 jobs, or 0.5 percent, in Minnesota to 48,300 jobs, or 1.3 percent, in Michigan. Nonfarm payroll gains measured 18,500 jobs, or 0.7 percent, in Wisconsin and 22,400 jobs, or 0.8 percent, in Indiana. Two states reported larger gains: 42,100 jobs, or 0.8 percent, in Illinois and 44,200 jobs, or 0.9 percent, in Ohio. Stronger economic conditions led to lower unemployment rates in all six states, with rates ranging from 7.7 percent in Wisconsin to 11.1 percent in Michigan. For the region, the average unemployment rate for the 12 months ending June 2011 was 9.2 percent, down from the 10.6-percent rate reported for the 12 months ending June 2010.

Despite the stronger economy, home sales markets, which are soft in all six states, have softened since the previous quarter as new and existing home sales continue to decline. The Michigan Association of REALTORS[®] reported that, for the 12 months ending May 2011, home sales in the state fell 12 percent to 103,000 (the latest data available), but the average sales price increased 5 percent to \$107,100. In Indiana, home sales declined by 18 percent to 53,650 for the 12 months ending June 2011, while the average sales price increased 3 percent to \$133,900. In Wisconsin, the multiple listing service reported a 28-percent decrease in home sales for the four-county Milwaukee metropolitan area, to 10,250 sales, and the average sales price declined slightly, to \$202,700 from \$203,400, also for the 12 months ending June 2011. The Ohio Association of REALTORS[®] reported an 18-percent decrease in home sales to 91,250 and a 3-percent decline in the average sales price to \$129,700.

In Illinois and the Chicago metropolitan area, home sales and prices declined in the 12 months ending June 2011, according to the Illinois Association of REALTORS[®]. For the state, sales declined 21 percent to 94,850, and the median sales price dropped 12 percent to \$150,000 compared with sales and prices the previous year. In the nine-county Chicago metropolitan area, sales were down almost 20 percent, to 63,650, and the median sales price declined by 13 percent to \$180,000. In Minnesota, approximately 60,650 homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2011, down nearly 20 percent from the 75,600 homes sold the previous year, according to the Minnesota Association of REALTORS[®], while the average sales price declined 3 percent to \$129,700. Foreclosure activity in the Midwest region was lower in June 2011 than in the previous year, with approximately 8 percent of home mortgages 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure or in REO (Real Estate Owned), according to LPS Applied Analytics, down from 8.6 percent of all home loans in June 2010.

Single-family homebuilding, as measured by the number of building permits issued, declined in the Midwest region, based on preliminary data. The number of single-family



homes permitted decreased by 14 percent to 38,500 compared with the number permitted during the 12 months ending June 2010. During the 12 months ending June 2011, single-family home construction activity declined in every state in the region except Michigan, where singlefamily homes permitted increased 6 percent to 6,125. In Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio, the number of homes permitted decreased by 16 percent each, to 5,325, 8,025, and 8,200 homes, respectively. In Wisconsin and Minnesota, the single-family homebuilding declines were 19 and 21 percent, to 5,550 and 5,275, respectively, for the 12 months ending June 2011.

Major metropolitan areas in the region also reported decreased single-family homebuilding activity during the 12 months ending June 2011, with the exception of Detroit, where the number of single-family homes permitted increased 49 percent, to 2,650 homes, according to preliminary data. Elsewhere in the region, declines in single-family construction activity ranged from 8 percent, to 900 homes, in Milwaukee, to 25 percent, to 2,500 homes, in Cincinnati. Compared with totals for the previous year, homes permitted declined 13 percent each in Columbus and Indianapolis, to 2,550 homes and 3,525 homes, respectively; declined 17 percent to 3,875 homes in Chicago; declined 19 percent to 3,425 homes in Minneapolis; and declined 20 percent to 1,625 homes in Cleveland.

Multifamily construction activity, as measured by the number of units permitted, increased by 6 percent to 15,400 units for the Midwest region during the 12 months ending June 2011, according to preliminary data. Multifamily construction in Michigan increased 83 percent, to 1,400 units, but remains below the 1,725-unit average annual change from 2007 through 2009. Multifamily construction also increased in Wisconsin, by 20 percent to 3,100 units; in Ohio, by 15 percent to 2,750 units; and in Illinois, by 4 percent to 3,500. Multifamily units permitted declined in both Minnesota and Indiana, by 4 and 21 percent, to 2,200 and 2,450 units, respectively. Metropolitan areas in the Midwest region reported increased construction activity for the 12 months ending June 2011, except for Indianapolis, where multifamily units permitted declined by 41 percent to 1,375 units. According to preliminary data, reported gains were 4 percent, to 2,600 units, in Chicago; 7 percent, to 1,650 units, in Columbus; 14 percent, to 880 units, in Milwaukee; 59 percent, to 130, in Cleveland; 63 percent, to 660, in Detroit; and 91 percent, to 580, in Cincinnati,

Rental market conditions were balanced in most major metropolitan areas of the Midwest region during the second quarter of 2011 and were stronger than during the first quarter of 2011 in all metropolitan areas. In Cleveland and Cincinnati, in the second quarter of 2011, apartment vacancy rates were 5.1 and 6.0 percent, down from 6.7 and 7.7 percent, respectively. During the same period, average rents rose by 1 and 2 percent, to \$740 and \$720, respectively, according to Reis, Inc. In Detroit, the apartment vacancy rate was 6.2 percent, down from 7.9 percent a year earlier, and the average rent rose 1 percent to \$830. The Columbus market was soft, with a vacancy rate of 8 percent, down from 9.7 percent the previous year, and the average rent rose almost 2 percent, to \$700, according to Reis, Inc. The Indianapolis market was also slightly soft; the apartment vacancy rate was 6.9 percent, down from 9.6 percent a year earlier, and the average rent rose 2 percent to \$690. In Chicago, Reis, Inc., estimates the second quarter 2011 apartment vacancy rate at 5.1 percent, down from 6.6 percent the previous year, and the average rent at \$1,076, up almost 2 percent. The downtown Chicago rental market is balanced, according to Appraisal Research Counselors, with a 6.1-percent first quarter 2011 vacancy rate, compared with a 6.2-percent rate during the first quarter of 2010. The rental market in Chicago absorbed approximately 480 units during the first quarter of 2011, and net effective rents increased 6 percent compared with rents during the first quarter of 2010.

The apartment market is tight in Milwaukee, with an apartment vacancy rate of 4.0 percent, down from the 5.0-percent rate as of the second quarter of 2010, according to Reis, Inc. During the same period, the average rent rose almost 2 percent to \$840. Rental market conditions are tight in Minneapolis, according to GVA Marquette Advisors, with an estimated vacancy rate of 2.4 percent during the second quarter of 2011, down from 5.0 percent a year earlier, and the average rent is \$920, up 2 percent from the same period. In downtown Minneapolis, the vacancy rate is 1.2 percent, down from 6.0 percent a year earlier, and the average rent increased 7 percent to \$1,225.

SOUTHWEST HUD Region VI



For the second consecutive quarter, nonfarm payroll jobs in the Southwest region recorded year-over-year increases following 2 years of declines. During the 12 months ending June 2011, average nonfarm payrolls increased by 1.4 percent, or 217,900 jobs, to 15.9 million jobs. By comparison, for the 12 months ending June 2010, average nonfarm payrolls declined by 2.4 percent, or 384,300 jobs. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the education and health services and the professional and business services sectors recorded the largest growth, adding 59,200 and 55,500 jobs, respectively. The mining and logging subsector, which benefited from rising oil and gas prices, increased by 32,800 jobs, or 10.4 percent. The construction subsector recorded job growth for the first time in 2 years, with an increase of 6,400 jobs, or 0.8 percent, as housing markets in parts of the region began to recover.

The information, government, and financial activities sectors lost 6,500, 3,900, and 1,000 jobs, respectively. The decline in government jobs during the most recent 12 months represents the first year-over-year employment loss in the sector in more than 10 years, a result of reduced staffing levels as many state and local governments in the region responded to decreased tax revenues.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, a decline of 4,400 jobs, or 0.6 percent, in New Mexico was more than offset by nonfarm payroll gains in every other state in the region. Texas led job growth during that period with an increase of 194,100 jobs spread throughout all sectors except information, which declined by 7,200 jobs. In Arkansas, nonfarm payrolls increased by 12,200 jobs, or 1.1 percent, because losses in the goods-producing sectors and government were offset by gains in most private service-providing sectors. In Oklahoma, nonfarm payrolls increased by 10,900 jobs, or 0.7 percent, with a decline of 6,000 jobs in the government sector offset by gains in most other sectors, including the manufacturing sector, which added 4,500 jobs, the largest year-over-year increase in the sector since 2006. In Louisiana, payrolls increased by 5,100 jobs, or 0.3 percent, the first yearover-year increase in 2 years; however, total gains were partially offset by a decline of 10,000 jobs, or 2.7 percent, in the government sector. For the 12 months ending June 2011, the unemployment rate in the region increased slightly to 7.9 percent, up from the 7.8-percent rate recorded during the previous 12 months. The average unemployment rates ranged from 6.4 percent in Oklahoma to 8.2 percent in Texas. Louisiana, Arkansas, and New Mexico recorded unemployment rates of 7.8, 7.9, and 8.1 percent, respectively.

Sales housing market conditions in the Southwest region remained soft during the second quarter of 2011 despite small job gains in the region over the past 12 months. In Texas, during the 12 months ending June 2011, approximately 192,600 new and existing homes sold, according to the Real Estate Center at Texas A&M University. Home sales were down 14 percent compared with the number sold during the previous 12 months and 8 percent from the number sold during the 12 months ending June 2009. For the 12-month period ending June 2011, the inventory of unsold homes in Texas was at a 7.8-month supply, up from the 7-month supply for the previous 12-month period and well above the 5-month average supply recorded from 2006 through 2008. In most major metropolitan areas in Texas, new and existing home sales fell during the 12 months ending June 2011, with declines ranging from 12 percent in San Antonio to 18 percent in Dallas. Houston, Austin, and Fort Worth recorded decreases in home sales of 13, 14, and 17 percent, respectively. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the average home sales price in Texas increased 5 percent to \$197,200 compared with the average price during the previous 12 months. Among major metropolitan areas in Texas, home sales price increases ranged from 4 percent in Fort Worth and Houston to 7 percent

in Austin and San Antonio. In Dallas, the average sales price of \$218,200 surpassed the previous peak average sales price of \$216,700 recorded in 2007.

Home sales declined in a number of markets elsewhere in the region during the 12 months ending June 2011. In New Orleans, according to the New Orleans Metropolitan Association of REALTORS[®] and Gulf South Real Estate Information Network, Inc., new and existing single-family home sales fell 11 percent to 6,925 homes, and the average sales price increased 2 percent to \$211,300. Based on data from the Greater Baton Rouge Association of REAL-TORS[®], home sales in Baton Rouge declined 18 percent during the 12 months ending June 2011 to 5,900 homes, and the average home sales price increased 2 percent to \$196,000. The Greater Albuquerque Association of REALTORS[®] reported that, during the 12 months ending June 2011, single-family home sales in Albuquerque were down 17 percent to 6,175 homes compared with sales during the previous 12 months and that the average sales price remained unchanged at \$212,300. Condominium sales in Albuquerque declined by 33 percent, to 590, during the same period. According to the Oklahoma Association of REALTORS[®], during the second quarter of 2011, new and existing home sales in Oklahoma were down 7,250, or 16 percent, to 38,800 homes sold, and the average home sales price increased by approximately 5 percent, to \$151,400, compared with the average price during the second quarter of 2010. According to the Arkansas REALTORS[®] Association, during the 12 months ending May 2011, the number of new and existing home sales in the state declined by 2,125, or 15 percent, to 21,750 homes compared with the number of homes sold during the previous 12 months, and the average home sales price remained unchanged at \$144,100.

Builders in the Southwest region responded to declining home sales by reducing single-family construction activity, as measured by the number of building permits issued. During the 12 months ending June 2011, 80,300 single-family homes were permitted, a decline of 15,850 homes, or 17 percent, compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months, based on preliminary data. For the 12 months ending June 2011, Texas recorded a 16-percent decrease in the number of singlefamily homes permitted, down 11,350 to 58,350 homes. Other states in the region also experienced declines in the number of single-family homes permitted, ranging from 6 percent in Louisiana to 21 percent in Arkansas. Oklahoma and New Mexico recorded declines of 17 and 18 percent, respectively.

Rental housing market conditions are soft in most of the large metropolitan areas in Texas, but they improved significantly during the second quarter of 2011 because building activity remains well below recent levels. The Austin rental market is currently balanced. According to ALN Systems, Inc., in the second quarter of 2011 the apartment vacancy rate in Austin was 5.8 percent, down from 9.6 percent during the second quarter of 2010, and



the average rent increased 4 percent to \$870. All other major Texas rental markets are currently soft. In San Antonio, the apartment vacancy rate declined from 10.5 to 8.3 percent during the second quarter of 2011, and the average rent increased 1 percent to \$740. Rental markets in Dallas and Fort Worth remained soft, with apartment vacancy rates of 8.3 and 9.4 percent, respectively, during the second quarter of 2011. The average rents in Dallas and Fort Worth increased 2 percent each to \$810 and \$710, respectively, compared with rents during the second quarter of 2010. The Houston rental market was the softest of all major rental markets in Texas, with an 11.7-percent apartment vacancy rate during the second quarter of 2011, down from 14.2 percent during the second quarter of 2010. Rents declined by 1 percent during that period, to \$790.

Rental housing market conditions improved in other large metropolitan areas throughout the Southwest region during the second quarter of 2011. Rental markets in Albuquerque and Little Rock are currently balanced. According to Reis, Inc., in the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate in Albuquerque was 4.4 percent, down from 6.5 percent a year earlier, and the average rent increased 2 percent to \$720. In Little Rock, during the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate was 6.5 percent, down from 8.3 percent a year earlier, and the average rent increased approximately 1 percent to \$660. Rental markets in the largest metropolitan areas in Oklahoma are improving but remained soft during the second quarter of 2011. In Oklahoma City, the apartment vacancy rate declined significantly from the second quarter of 2010 to the second guarter of 2011, from 10.2 to 7.5 percent, and the average rent increased 3 percent to \$560. In Tulsa, the vacancy rate declined from 9.8 percent in the second quarter of 2010 to 7.8 percent during the same period in 2011, and the average rent increased 1 percent to \$590. Rental market conditions improved in New Orleans during the second quarter of 2011; the apartment rental vacancy rate fell to 8.2 percent, down from the 10.1-percent rate recorded a year earlier, and the average rent increased 2 percent to \$870.

Builders responded to the improving rental markets and, despite continued soft conditions in many large metropolitan areas, multifamily construction activity, as measured by the number of units permitted, increased in the Southwest region during the 12 months ending June 2011 following large declines during the previous 2 years. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending June 2011, the 30,200 units permitted reflect a 63-percent increase compared with the number of units permitted during the previous 12 months. Multifamily permitting levels for the 12 months ending June 2011 remain approximately 54 percent below the average of 65,800 units recorded during the peak years of 2006 through 2008. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted in Texas increased by 70 percent, or 9,775 units, from the previous year, to 23,550 units.

Increases in multifamily units permitted in other states in the region ranged from 70 units in New Mexico to 1,100 units in Louisiana. Oklahoma and Arkansas recorded increases of 290 and 500 units, respectively.



Nonfarm payrolls in the Great Plains region increased during the second quarter of 2011, the first quarterly increase in nonfarm payroll jobs since 2007. During the 12 months ending June 2011, average nonfarm payrolls increased by 0.1 percent, or 4,400 jobs, to 6.4 million jobs. In comparison, average nonfarm payrolls declined by 2.9 percent, or 189,600 jobs, in the 12 months ending June 2010. The professional and business services sector recorded the largest growth in the region, adding 15,700 jobs, a 2.3-percent increase. The education and health services sector increased by 7,800 jobs, or 0.8 percent, with every state in the region recording increased payrolls in the sector. Significant declines continued from the previous quarter in the mining, logging, and construction sector, which decreased by 8,900 jobs, or 3.1 percent, compared with a decrease of 40,700 jobs, or 12.4 percent, during the 12 months ending June 2010. Estimates place approximately 80 percent of job losses for the sector in the construction subsector because of soft housing and commercial property markets. The information sector, which recorded declines in every state in the region, lost 8,400 jobs, a 6-percent decrease, during the 12 months ending June 2011.

Nonfarm payroll gains in Nebraska and Iowa during the 12 months ending June 2011 offset job losses in Kansas and Missouri. In Nebraska, nonfarm payrolls increased by 8,200 jobs, or 0.9 percent, during the 12 months ending June 2011, led by an increase of more than 4,600 jobs, or 4.6 percent, in the professional and business services sector. In Iowa, nonfarm payrolls increased by 6,100 jobs, or 0.4 percent, led by gains of 4,800 jobs, or 4.0 percent, in the professional and business services sector and 2,700 jobs, or 1.4 percent, in the manufacturing sector. Nonfarm payrolls in Missouri decreased by 4,300 jobs, or 0.2 percent, because combined losses of 11,100 jobs in the construction subsector and government sector offset combined gains of more than 8,000 jobs in the professional and business services and education and health services sectors. In Kansas, nonfarm payrolls declined by 5,600 jobs, or 0.4 percent, with declines in the information and financial activities sectors accounting for nearly 50 percent of the total loss. For the 12 months ending June 2011, the unemployment rate in the region

decreased to an average of 7.4 percent, down from the 7.7-percent rate recorded during the previous 12 months. The average unemployment rates ranged from 4.3 percent in Nebraska to 9.3 percent in Missouri. Iowa and Kansas recorded rates of 6.1 and 6.8 percent, respectively.

Sales housing market conditions remained soft throughout all the states in the Great Plains region during the second quarter of 2011, despite small job gains in the region during the past 12 months. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending June 2011, sales of new and existing homes in the region declined 27 percent to 124,200 homes sold compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period. Missouri recorded the largest absolute decline in sales during the past year, down 17,600 homes, or 22 percent, to 62,400 homes sold. During the same period, home sales in Nebraska declined to 11,750, a 47-percent decrease, representing the largest rate of decline of any state in the region. In Kansas and Iowa, new and existing home sales decreased by 30 and 24 percent, to 21,950 and 28,150, respectively. During the 12 months ending June 2011, despite the decline in sales, the average sales price in the region increased to \$151,600, up 5 percent compared with the sales price from a year earlier, mostly because of a 9-percent decrease in distressed sales. According to LPS Applied Analytics, during the second quarter of 2011, the percentage of total loans 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) fell in every state in the region except Kansas, where the rate remained unchanged at 4.8 percent. In Missouri, which had the greatest percentage point decrease, the rate fell from 5.5 to 5.0 percent. In Nebraska, which had the next highest decrease, the rate declined from 3.7 to 3.4 percent during the past year. During the second quarter of 2011, distressed loans in Iowa were 4.7 percent of total loans compared with 4.8 percent during the same period of the previous year.

Home sales continued to decrease in all major markets throughout the region during the second quarter of 2011, following state trends, although home prices in most major markets began to stabilize. According to the Kansas City Regional Association of REALTORS® and Heartland Multiple Listing Service, Inc., during the 12 months ending June 2011, the average price of new and existing single-family homes in Kansas City decreased 5 percent to \$155,000. Home sales in Kansas City fell 31 percent to 18,700 homes sold. In St. Louis, existing home sales decreased by 3,925, or 24 percent, to 12,400, based on city and county data from the St. Louis Association of REALTORS[®], and the average home sales price was unchanged at \$178,000. For the 12 months ending June 2011, the Des Moines Area Association of REALTORS® reported that home sales declined 24 percent in Des Moines to 6,650 homes compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period. The average home sales price in Des Moines was up slightly to \$161,800, indicating a 3-percent increase. According to the Wichita Area Association of REALTORS[®], during

the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of homes sold in Wichita declined by 31 percent to 6,225, and the average home sales price declined 1 percent to \$132,600. According to the Omaha Area Board of REALTORS[®], during the 12 months ending June 2011, home sales in Omaha decreased by 21 percent to 7,700 homes sold, and the average home price increased 4 percent to \$169,000.

Single-family construction activity, as measured by the number of single-family building permits issued, declined in every state in the region as builders responded to the decrease in home sales. During the 12 months ending June 2011, based on preliminary data, 15,650 singlefamily homes were permitted in the region, a decrease of 2,475 homes, or 14 percent, compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months. Nebraska recorded a 23-percent decrease in the number of singlefamily homes permitted, down 820 to 2,800 homes permitted, which represents the largest numerical decline in the region. Kansas recorded a 13-percent decrease to 2,925 single-family homes permitted. In other states in the region, declines ranged from 7 percent in Iowa to 15 percent in Missouri.

Rental housing market conditions were mixed in the large metropolitan areas throughout the Great Plains region during the second quarter of 2011. According to Reis, Inc., the apartment market in Wichita was balanced during the second quarter of 2011, with a vacancy rate of 5.8 percent, down from 7.8 percent a year earlier, and the average rent remained relatively unchanged at \$520. In Omaha, during the second quarter of 2011, the apartment market was tight, with a vacancy rate of 4.6 percent, down from 5.9 percent a year earlier, and the average rent increased approximately 2 percent to \$710. Rental markets in the largest metropolitan areas in Missouri were slightly soft but improved. In Kansas City during the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate declined from 9.4 to 6.9 percent, and the average rent increased 2 percent to \$720. In St. Louis, the vacancy rate declined from 8.8 percent in the second quarter of 2010 to 6.9 percent for the same period in 2011, and the average rent increased by about 2 percent to \$740. The rental market in Des Moines tightened during the second quarter of 2011, with a 4-percent apartment vacancy rate, down from 6.1 percent a year earlier, and the average rent increased by about 2 percent to \$720.

Multifamily construction, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, declined by 12 percent, to 6,150 units, in the Great Plains region during the past year compared with a 15-percent decrease for the 12 months ending June 2010, according to preliminary data. In comparison, multifamily construction activity averaged 15,850 units permitted annually from 2005 through 2008. During the past 2 years, continued weak economic conditions and limited credit availability have resulted in reduced construction levels in Kansas and Iowa. In Kansas, during the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted declined by



47 percent, or 850 units, to 950 units compared with the previous year. During the same period, units permitted in Iowa declined 29 percent to 1,425 units. Improving rental market conditions in Missouri led to the permitting of 2,725 units, an increase of 100 units, or 4 percent. In Nebraska, permits were issued for 1,075 units, up from the 550 units permitted for the 12 months ending June 2010.



Nonfarm payrolls in the Rocky Mountain region increased in the past 12 months but remain below the peak of 5.1 million jobs recorded in late 2008. In the 12 months ending June 2011, nonfarm payrolls increased by about 38,600 jobs, or 0.8 percent, to 4.9 million jobs compared with payrolls in the previous 12 months. Among the goods-producing sectors, the mining and logging subsector added approximately 11,900 jobs, a 15-percent increase, the result of strong energy demand, and the manufacturing sector added 3,100 jobs, a 1-percent increase. Among the service-providing sectors, payrolls in the leisure and hospitality, professional and business services, and education and health services sectors increased by 11,400, 12,900, and 16,800 jobs, or 2.1, 2.2, and 2.7 percent, respectively. Losses in other sectors partially offset these gains. Construction subsector payrolls fell by 13,000 jobs, or 4.8 percent, partly because of slower homebuilding activity. The information and financial activities sectors lost 3,200 and 6,900 jobs, declines of 2.5 and 2.3 percent, respectively, because of layoffs in the telecommunications and banking industries, particularly in the Denver area. Government sector payrolls, overall, were essentially flat. Although payrolls in the federal and local government subsectors fell by 2,900 and 1,600 jobs, or 2.1 and 0.3 percent, respectively, payrolls in the state government subsector rose by 3,500 jobs, or 1.4 percent.

For the first time in the past 10 quarters, all states in the region reported nonfarm payroll increases for the 12 months ending June 2011. The strongest job growth was in North Dakota, where nonfarm payrolls rose by 13,600 jobs, or 3.7 percent, the fastest rate of job growth among all states in the nation. The slowest job growth in the region occurred in Colorado and Montana, where payrolls expanded by 5,400 and 1,500 jobs, or 0.2 and 0.4 percent, respectively. In South Dakota, Wyoming, and Utah, payrolls increased by 2,400, 2,600, and 13,100 jobs, or 0.6, 0.9, and 1.1 percent, respectively. The unemployment rate in the region averaged 7.6 percent for the 12 months ending June 2011, edging down from the 7.7-percent rate of a year earlier. Unemployment rates ranged from 3.7 percent in North Dakota to 8.9 percent in Colorado, all below the 9.3-percent national average.

Home sales markets in the Rocky Mountain region remain somewhat soft in most areas, but market indicators are mixed and there are a few signs of improvement. According to the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION of REALTORS[®], home sales in the region during the second quarter of 2011 were down 14 percent from sales a year earlier, to an annual average rate of 176,400 homes sold. Although sales were up 9 percent in Wyoming, home sales declines in the other states in the region ranged from 11 percent in Colorado to 30 percent in North Dakota. Home prices for the region during the first quarter of 2011 (the most recent data available) declined. Based on the Federal Housing Finance Agency price index, home prices in the region during the first quarter of 2011 were down 3.3 percent from prices a year earlier. Although prices in North Dakota and South Dakota were up 3 and 1 percent, respectively, prices were down in Utah, Colorado, Montana, and Wyoming by 5, 3, 2, and 1 percent, respectively.

In contrast to the statewide data, reports for metropolitan areas of the Rocky Mountain region indicate fewer home sales, but rising home prices over the past 12 months. Based on data from Hanley Wood, LLC, existing singlefamily home sales fell in nearly all metropolitan areas of the region, in part because of the expiration of the homebuyer tax credit in April 2010. In metropolitan areas of Colorado, during the 12 months ending May 2011, sales fell 20 percent to about 25,100 homes in Denver-Aurora, 17 percent to about 7,800 homes in Colorado Springs, and 21 percent to about 3,800 homes in Fort Collins-Loveland. In the Salt Lake City, Ogden-Clearfield, and Provo-Orem metropolitan areas of Utah, home sales were down by 21, 26, and 22 percent, to 11,000, 5,800, and 4,500 homes, respectively. Sales fell 32 percent each in Billings, Montana, and Fargo, North Dakota, to 1,400 and 2,000 homes, respectively. Existing single-family home prices increased in most metropolitan areas. In Denver-Aurora, Colorado Springs, and Fort Collins-Loveland, in the 12 months ending May 2011, average existing single-family home prices increased by 5, 3, and 7 percent, to \$279,800, \$216,800, and \$259,200, respectively. In the Salt Lake City area, average prices rose 1 percent, to \$256,900. In Billings and Fargo, average existing single-family home prices were up by 6 and 7 percent, to \$205,900 and \$170,400, respectively. Ogden-Clearfield and Provo-Orem prices declined, however, by 1 and 5 percent, to \$199,700 and \$226,900, respectively.

As with single-family homes, sales of attached homes (which includes condominiums and townhomes) were down in most metropolitan areas of the region, but prices were up. In the Denver-Aurora, Salt Lake City, Provo-Orem, and Fort Collins-Loveland areas, sales of existing attached homes fell 24, 17, 27, and 17 percent to 7,600, 2,600, 800, and 550 units, respectively, during the 12 months ending May 2011. Average prices rose in the Denver-Aurora, Provo-Orem, and Fort Collins-Loveland areas by 1, 10, and 3 percent to \$163,200, \$157,600, and \$167,700, respectively. In the Salt Lake City area, however, average prices fell 2 percent to about \$197,000.

In a sign that housing market conditions may be stabilizing in the Rocky Mountain region, mortgage defaults declined in the past year. Based on data from LPS Applied Analytics, in June 2011, 4.4 percent of all home loans in the region were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), down from 4.9 percent a year earlier. Distressed loan rates declined for all states in the region, and ranged from 1.7 percent in North Dakota to 5.6 percent in Utah. All states in the region had rates below the 7.8-percent national average.

With home sales markets remaining soft, single-family homebuilding activity in the region declined during the past 12 months. Based on preliminary data, single-family construction, as measured by the number of permits issued, was down 12 percent during the 12 months ending June 2011 to about 18,400 homes permitted compared with permits during the previous 12 months. For comparison, during the peak years of 2004 through 2006, an annual average of more than 72,000 single-family homes were permitted in the region. Single-family construction activity was down for all states in the region. In Colorado and Utah, about 7,600 and 5,600 single-family homes were permitted in the 12 months ending June 2011, representing declines of 5 and 17 percent, respectively. In Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Montana, single-family permits were down by 3, 13, 23, and 22 percent, respectively. Multifamily construction, as measured by the number of permits issued, increased for the region as a whole, but remains weak in some areas. For the Rocky Mountain region, multifamily construction was up 10 percent, to about 8,600 units permitted. Utah, Colorado, Montana, and North Dakota authorized approximately 2,300, 2,800, 650, and 1,900 multifamily units, representing increases of 14, 30, 56, and 63 percent, respectively, compared with permits issued a year earlier. In South Dakota and Wyoming, however, about 550 and 300 units were permitted, for decreases of 42 and 71 percent, respectively.

Rental markets in the Rocky Mountain region tightened in the past year, and conditions now range from balanced to tight in most areas. Renter household growth has led to rising demand, but rental unit construction in many areas has not kept pace. Based on data from Apartment Insights, Inc., as of the second quarter of 2011, the Denver-Aurora, Fort Collins-Loveland, and Boulder markets were tight, with apartment vacancy rates of 5, 4.1, and 4 percent, compared with rates of 6.4, 4.5, and 6.3 percent, respectively, a year earlier. In those areas, renter household growth exceeded construction, and the low number of units in the development pipeline indicates that conditions may continue to tighten. The Colorado Springs rental market is balanced, with a 5.8-percent apartment vacancy rate in the second quarter of 2011, and the construction pipeline suggests that supply is keeping pace with increasing demand. Despite having a balanced market, Colorado Springs had the fastest rate of rent growth in the Colorado Front Range, with average apartment rents increasing by 12 percent from a year earlier to about \$730 a month. In the Fort Collins-Loveland area, rents increased by 9 percent, to \$900 a month. Monthly rents increased by 5 percent in both the Denver-Aurora and Boulder areas, to \$880 and \$1,000 a month, respectively. Based on data from Reis, Inc., the apartment market in the Salt Lake City metropolitan area is balanced, with an average vacancy rate of 5.5 percent as of the second quarter of 2011, down from the 7.2-percent rate of a year earlier. Average rents increased slightly during the same period, from about \$760 to \$770 a month. The apartment market in the Ogden-Clearfield area is now somewhat tight, with a 4.7-percent vacancy rate, down from 5.8 percent a year earlier, but rents have remained stable at about \$690 a month. In both Salt Lake City and Ogden-Clearfield, the number of units in the pipeline suggests that construction is keeping pace with growing demand. The Provo-Orem area apartment market is tight, with a 4-percent vacancy rate, down from 5.4 percent a year earlier, but rents have remained stable at about \$765 a month. Although multifamily construction in Provo-Orem increased by more than 50 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, to about 460 units permitted, supply does not appear to be keeping up with demand, given renter household growth of nearly 1,000 a year for the area. Based on data from Appraisal Services, Inc., as of the second quarter of 2011, the Fargo rental market was somewhat tight, with a 5-percent apartment vacancy rate, down from 6 percent a year earlier.





After 2 straight years of significant job losses, economic conditions in the Pacific region are showing signs of improvement. The region added 15,600 nonfarm payroll jobs, a 0.4-percent increase, during the 12 months ending June 2011. The professional and business services and education and health services sectors led job growth in the region, with increases in both sectors of 2.5 percent, or 16,650 and 13,900 jobs, respectively. The construction subsector had the largest percentage decline in nonfarm payrolls, 4.6 percent, or a loss of 8,875 jobs because of the slowdown in residential and commercial construction.

Moderate gains in nonfarm payrolls occurred in both California and Hawaii, but jobs declined in Arizona and



Nevada during the 12 months ending June 2011. California added 79,100 jobs, a 0.6-percent increase, during the 12 months ending June 2011 after losing 670,600 jobs, a 4.1-percent decline, during the previous 12 months. Nonfarm payroll increases of 65,600 jobs, or 3 percent, in the professional and business services sector and of 40,300 jobs, or 2 percent, in the education and health services sector led the turnaround in employment, despite a decline in the construction subsector of 18,600 jobs, or 3.2 percent. San Francisco Bay Area and Southern California nonfarm payrolls increased by 4,075 jobs, or 0.1 percent, and 27,550 jobs, or 0.2 percent, respectively. During the 12 months ending July 2011, Hawaii added 4,325 jobs, a 0.7-percent increase, compared with the loss of 19,250 jobs during the previous 12 months. The retail trade subsector realized the largest nonfarm payroll gain, of 1,450 jobs, or 15.4 percent, during the 12 months ending June 2011 because of a 13-percent increase in tourist spending compared with spending during the previous 12 months. Nonfarm payrolls continued to decline in Arizona, which lost 10,250 jobs, a 0.4-percent decrease, during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with the number of jobs a year earlier. In Nevada, nonfarm payrolls fell by 9,325 jobs, or 0.8 percent, to an average of 1,124,100 jobs. The largest declines in both states came in the construction subsector, which lost 6,000 jobs, a 5.2-percent decline, in Arizona and 10,600 jobs, a 15.8-percent decline, in Nevada. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the average unemployment rate in the region increased to 12 percent from 11.7 percent during the previous year. The average unemployment rate ranged from 6.5 percent in Hawaii to 14.6 percent in Nevada.

The sales housing market was soft in all four states of the Pacific region during the 12 months ending June 2011 because of high unemployment. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, new and existing home sales fell by 12 percent to 599,800 homes. In Arizona, 112,200 homes sold, a 14-percent decline compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period, and the average home sales price declined by 7 percent to \$170,200. In Arizona, REO (Real Estate Owned) sales as a percentage of existing home sales increased slightly to 53 percent. In the Phoenix metropolitan area, home sales declined by 9 percent to 47,750 homes, and the average sales price declined by 7 percent to \$171,500.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, new and existing home sales fell by 12 percent in California, to 413,200 homes, and the average home price rose by 1 percent to \$361,700. REO sales as a percentage of existing home sales decreased to 41 percent during the 12 months ending June 2011 from 44 percent a year earlier. In the San Francisco Bay Area, 66,500 homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2011, an 11-percent decline compared with the number sold during the previous 12 months, and the average home sales price increased by 2 percent to \$557,100 during the same period. In Southern California, home sales decreased by 12 percent to 155,300 homes, and the average home sales price was unchanged at \$555,400.

In Hawaii, during the 12 months ending June 2011, new and existing home sales fell by 10 percent to 15,100 homes sold compared with the number sold during the previous 12 months, and the average home sales price rose by 5 percent to \$513,300. REO sales as a percentage of all existing sales increased from 11 to 17 percent. In Nevada, new and existing home sales fell by 10 percent to 59,250 homes during the 12 months ending June 2011, and the average home sales price fell by 7 percent to \$161,600. During the same period, REO sales as a percentage of all existing home sales declined from 62 to 58 percent. In Las Vegas, home sales fell by 9 percent to 47,700 homes, and the average home sales price declined 14 percent to \$154,300.

According to LPS Applied Analytics, the number of homes in the region 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO in June 2011 decreased by 156,400 homes, or 21 percent, to 589,100 homes compared with the number recorded in the previous 12-month period. This level represents 8.9 percent of all loans in the region in June 2011 compared with a rate of 11.1 percent in June 2010; the national rate was 7.8 percent in June 2011.

New home construction activity, as measured by the number of single-family building permits issued, declined in every state in the Pacific region during the 12 months ending June 2011. Based on preliminary data, 37,800 single-family homes were permitted, a 19-percent decrease compared with the number of homes permitted during the previous 12 months. During the 12-month period ending June 2011, the number of single-family homes permitted in Arizona declined by 3,700, or 29 percent, to 9,350; in California, by 3,300, or 13 percent, to 22,000; in Hawaii, by 150, or 8 percent, to 1,800; and in Nevada, by 1,350, or 23 percent, to 4,525.

Rental housing markets varied from tight to balanced in California and Hawaii in the second quarter of 2011. The tight rental housing market in the San Francisco Bay Area tightened further. According to Reis, Inc., from the second quarter of 2010 to the second quarter of 2011, the apartment rental vacancy rates in Oakland, San Jose, and San Francisco declined from 5.5 to 4.2 percent, 4.2 to 3.2 percent, and 5.0 to 4.2 percent, respectively. During the same period, rents increased by 5 percent to \$1,571 in San Jose, 4 percent to \$1,888 in San Francisco, and 2 percent to \$1,359 in Oakland. Rental housing market conditions improved in Sacramento, becoming balanced during the second quarter of 2011 as the rental vacancy rate decreased to 5.4 percent, down from 7.1 percent in the second quarter of 2010, and rents increased by 2 percent to \$931. Reis, Inc., also reported that apartment rental vacancy rates decreased throughout Southern California from the second quarter of 2010 to the second quarter of 2011. Conditions in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties remained balanced, with the apartment vacancy rate

declining from 8 to 6 percent. During the same period, the apartment vacancy rate decreased from 5.5 to 4.4 percent in Los Angeles County, 6.4 to 4.7 percent in Orange County, 4.9 to 3.7 percent in San Diego County, and 5.3 to 4.2 percent in Ventura County. Reis, Inc., recorded the average rent in Southern California as \$1,380 in the second quarter of 2011, up 1 percent compared with the average rent in the second quarter of 2010. The apartment rental vacancy rate in Honolulu declined to 2.3 percent in the second quarter of 2011, down from 2.9 percent in the second quarter of 2010. The average rent in Honolulu increased 1 percent to \$1,286 during the same period.

The rental housing markets in Arizona and Nevada remain soft but are improving. According to Reis, Inc., in the second quarter of 2011, the apartment rental vacancy rate in Phoenix was 8.3 percent, down from 11.5 percent in the second quarter of 2010, and the average rent increased 1 percent to \$758. Reis, Inc., reported an apartment rental vacancy rate of 8.1 percent in Las Vegas, down from 11.1 percent in the second quarter of 2010, and the average rent decreased 2 percent to \$805. Most of the vacancy rate declines in both states were the result of foreclosures that changed owners into renters.

Multifamily construction activity, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, increased in three of the four states in the region. During the 12-month period ending June 2011, based on preliminary data, permits for 24,850 multifamily units were issued in the region, a 55-percent increase from the number of units permitted during the 12 months ending June 2010. Declining vacancy rates resulting from increased renter demand were the main reason for the permitting increases. During the 12-month period ending June 2011, in Arizona, the number of multifamily units permitted increased by 100, or 5 percent, to 1,550, in California, by 9,300 units, or 80 percent, to 21,000, and in Nevada, by 50 units, or 4 percent, to 1,550. These increases were partially offset by the decline in the number of units permitted in Hawaii, which recorded a decrease of 700 units, or 47 percent, to 750 units.



During the 12 months ending June 2011, nonfarm payrolls in the Northwest region averaged 5.34 million jobs, an increase of 31,100 jobs, or 0.6 percent, compared with the 12 months ending June 2010, when 213,200 jobs were lost, which was a 3.9-percent decline from the previous year. Alaska nonfarm payrolls increased by 6,100 jobs, or 1.9 percent, to an average of 327,600 jobs. In Oregon, nonfarm payrolls gained 14,800 jobs, a 0.9-percent increase, bringing total nonfarm payroll jobs to 1.61 million. Idaho nonfarm payrolls averaged 605,500, up 1,800 jobs, or 0.3 percent. During the same period, Washington nonfarm payrolls increased by 8,300 jobs, or 0.3 percent, resulting in an average of 2.79 million jobs. Among the metropolitan areas in the Northwest region, during the 12 months ending June 2011, the rate of nonfarm payroll growth was strongest in the Kennewick-Pasco-Richland, Washington area, where jobs increased by 3.2 percent to 100,300, and in the Corvallis, Oregon area, where nonfarm payrolls increased by 2.3 percent to 37,900.

Nonfarm payroll gains in the region were greatest in the business and professional services sector, which increased by 20,000 jobs, or 3.3 percent, throughout the region, followed by the education and health services sector, which grew by 15,600 jobs, or 2.2 percent. Oregon accounted for one-third of the job increase in the education and health services sector, adding 5,500 jobs, a 2.4-percent increase. Washington led gains in the professional and business services sector, adding 15,000 jobs, a 4.7-percent increase. Significant losses in the construction subsector continued the downward trend that began in the region during the 12 months ending February 2008. During the 12 months ending June 2011, construction subsector payrolls in the region fell by 11,200 jobs, or 4.2 percent, because of declines in commercial and single-family residential construction. Manufacturing sector payrolls increased by 4,200 jobs, or 0.9 percent. During the same period, improving labor markets throughout the region led to a decline in the average regional unemployment rate, from 10 to 9.5 percent.

A weak labor market and limited mortgage credit availability continue to constrain sales housing demand in the Northwest region, marking the third year of soft sales housing market conditions. According to data from Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending June 2011, new and existing single-family home sales totaled approximately 136,750, a 22-percent decrease compared with the number of homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2010. In Washington, during the 12 months ending June 2011, approximately 67,100 new and existing single-family homes sold, a 24-percent decrease compared with sales during the 12 months ending June 2010. The average home sales price in Washington increased by 1 percent, to \$287,800. In the Seattle metropolitan area, during the same period, 28,300 homes sold, a 20-percent decrease compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period. The average home sales price in the Seattle metropolitan area was essentially unchanged at \$382,300. The number of homes sold in the Tacoma metropolitan area decreased by 14 percent to 9,175, and the average home sales price decreased 6 percent to \$224,500.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, according to data from Hanley Wood, LLC, the number of new and existing single-family homes sold in Oregon totaled



approximately 41,550, a 20-percent decrease compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period. The average new and existing single-family home sales price decreased by 5 percent, to \$224,800, during the 12 months ending June 2011. In the Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, Oregon-Washington metropolitan area, new and existing home sales totaled 20,750, down 18 percent compared with the number sold during the previous 12month period, and the average home sales price decreased 5 percent to \$259,300. Based on data from Hanley Wood, LLC, in Idaho, during the 12 months ending June 2011, new and existing homes sales declined 21 percent to 22,000, and the average home sales price decreased 4 percent to \$168,200. In the Boise metropolitan area, 13,750 new and existing homes sold, down 16 percent from the 12 months ending June 2010, and the average home sales price declined by 4 percent to \$162,100. In Anchorage, sales market conditions were slightly soft during the same period, with the number of new and existing homes sold declining 16 percent, to 5,925; the average price, however, rose 4 percent to \$262,700.

In the Northwest region, foreclosures increased by 3 percent between June 2010 and June 2011, driven by increased foreclosure activity in Oregon and Washington. According to LPS Applied Analytics, the percentage of mortgage loans 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) increased from 6.2 to 6.4 percent in Washington and from 5.6 to 6.0 percent in Oregon. The rate declined from 6.6 to 5.9 percent in Idaho and from 2.8 to 2.6 percent in Alaska. All these rates remained below the 7.8-percent national average.

New single-family home construction activity, as measured by the number of permits issued, decreased in the 12 months ending June 2011, a trend that began 4 years earlier. Based on preliminary data, 18,850 homes were permitted in the region, a decline of 3,550 homes, or 16 percent, compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months. In Oregon and Idaho, during the 12 months ending June 2011, home permit activity fell by 825 and 1,475 homes, or 15 and 36 percent, to total 4,400 and 2,575 homes permitted, respectively. In Washington, the number of permitted homes decreased by 10 percent, to 11,200 homes. In contrast, in Alaska, single-family construction activity increased 4 percent to 725 homes.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, in response to tightening rental markets, multifamily construction activity, as measured by the number of units permitted, increased by 60 percent in the Northwest region. Based on preliminary data, the number of units permitted in the region totaled 8,425, an increase of 3,125 units from the number of units permitted during the previous 12-month

period. In Oregon, 2,025 multifamily units were permitted, which represents an increase of 50 percent, or 650 units, compared with the number permitted during the 12 months ending June 2010. In Washington, the gain in multifamily building activity totaled 2,525 units, a 75-percent increase from the number of units permitted for the 12-month period ending June 2010. In Idaho, during the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted decreased by 7 percent to 450 units compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the number of multifamily units permitted in Alaska decreased 25 percent, or 50 units, to total 150 units permitted compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months.

Rental housing market conditions in the region's major metropolitan areas were tight to balanced as of the second quarter of 2011. According to data from Reis, Inc., the apartment rental vacancy rate in the Seattle metropolitan area was 5 percent, down from 5.4 percent in the second quarter of the previous year. As of the second quarter of 2011, the average asking rent for apartments in the Seattle metropolitan area was \$1,045, up 2 percent from a year earlier. In the Tacoma metropolitan area, the apartment vacancy rate was 5.4 percent, down from 7.8 percent a year earlier, and the average asking rent was \$742, up 2 percent. In the Spokane metropolitan area, during the second quarter of 2011, the apartment vacancy rate was 4.8 percent compared with 6.6 percent a year earlier, and the average asking rent increased 2 percent to \$648. In Vancouver, the apartment vacancy rate fell from 4.9 to 3.1 percent, and the average asking rent increased 3 percent to \$799.

Rental market conditions tightened in Oregon during the second quarter of 2011. In the Portland metropolitan area, the average apartment vacancy rate fell from 3.9 to 3.5 percent between the second quarters of 2010 and 2011, according to Reis, Inc. The average asking rent in the Portland area increased 3 percent to \$849. During the same period, the asking rent in the Salem area averaged \$645, a 3-percent increase from a year earlier, and the apartment vacancy rate fell from 5.0 to 2.9 percent. In the Eugene area, the average asking rent was relatively unchanged at \$715, but the apartment vacancy rate decreased to 4.4 percent from 5.1 percent a year earlier. In Idaho, rental market conditions were balanced in Boise during the second quarter of 2011, when the vacancy rate decreased to 5.9 percent compared with 10 percent a year earlier, and the average asking rent increased by 2 percent to \$700. In Anchorage, the apartment vacancy rate during the second quarter of 2011 was 3.3 percent compared with 3.9 percent a year earlier, but the average asking rent increased 4 percent to \$1,039.

HUD's 10 regions are grouped as follows:

- **Region I, New England:** Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont.
- Region II, New York/New Jersey: New Jersey and New York.
- **Region III, Mid-Atlantic:** Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia.
- Region IV, Southeast/Caribbean: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico/U.S. Virgin Islands, South Carolina, and Tennessee.
- Region V, Midwest: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin.
- **Region VI, Southwest:** Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas.
- Region VII, Great Plains: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska.
- **Region VIII, Rocky Mountain:** Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming.
- **Region IX, Pacific:** Arizona, California, Hawaii, and Nevada.
- Region X, Northwest: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

Housing Market Profiles

Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, Texas

Located in central Texas, the Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos metropolitan area consists of Bastrop, Caldwell, Hays, Travis, and Williamson Counties. The area is home to the state capital, the University of Texas at Austin (UT), and Texas State University (TSU). As of July 1, 2011, the estimated population was 1.8 million, an increase of about 36,000, or 2.1 percent, during the past 12 months. Population growth has slowed slightly during the past 2 years as a result of recent declines in nonfarm payroll growth. The population has increased at an average annual rate of about 39,800, or 2.4 percent, since the beginning of 2008 compared with a rate of nearly 55,700, or 3.7 percent, annually from 2004 through 2007. The largest employers in the area include UT, H-E-B grocery stores, and Dell Inc., with 16,150, 14,900, and 14,000 employees, respectively.

During the past year, nonfarm payrolls began to recover from the decline that began in January 2009. During the 12 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payrolls in the metropolitan area increased by 13,000 jobs, or 1.7 percent, to average 772,000 jobs, compared with the decline of 12,000 jobs, or 1.6 percent, during the previous 12 months. During the 12 months ending May 2011, every sector added jobs except the mining, logging, and construction and financial activities sectors, which declined by 900 and 600 jobs, or 2.2 and 1.4 percent, respectively. The declines are due primarily to a slowdown in the housing industry. Relocation and expansion of new and existing businesses added more than 3,500 new jobs since January 2011. eBay Inc., HostGator.com LLC, and Samsung expanded into the Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos area, adding 1,000, 500, and 300 jobs, respectively. The most significant gains during the 12 months ending May 2011 occurred in the education and health services sector, professional and business services sector, and wholesale trade subsector, which added 4,100, 3,200, and 1,900 jobs, or 4.8, 3, and 5 percent, respectively. These job gains contributed to the decrease in the 12-month average unemployment rate from 7.2 percent in May 2010 to 7 percent in May 2011.

The Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos home sales market is currently soft. Based on data from Hanley Wood, LLC, existing single-family home sales in the area totaled approximately 15,800 during the 12 months ending May 2011, a decrease of 24 percent compared with the 20,900 homes sold during the same period a year earlier. The decrease in sales was a result of tighter lending practices and slower population growth. Despite the decrease in sales, the average sales price of existing homes increased



by 12 percent, to \$266,400, during the 12 months ending May 2011, from \$236,900 during the previous 12 months, primarily because of an increase in the proportion of sales of higher priced homes. Sales of new singlefamily homes totaled 5,800 for the 12 months ending May 2011, a decrease of 24 percent from the previous year. New home sales have been declining since 2007; an average of 15,600 homes sold each year from 2005 through 2007 compared with an average of 8,100 homes sold annually from 2008 through 2010. Hanley Wood, LLC data indicate an average sales price of \$240,800 for new homes in the area during the 12 months ending May 2011, an increase of 5 percent. Despite the recent increase, new home prices remain well below the average of \$255,100 recorded from 2006 through 2008. According to LPS Applied Analytics, as of May 2011, 3.2 percent of total loans were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), down slightly from 3.6 percent in May 2010. This rate is lower than the 4.5-percent state rate and much lower than the 7.8-percent national rate.

Builders have continued to curtail production as new and existing home sales have declined since 2008. Based on preliminary data, building permits were issued for 5,900 single-family homes in the Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos area during the 12-month period ending May 2011 compared with 7,500 single-family home permits during the previous 12 months, a 21-percent decline. From 2008 through 2010, an average of 6,825 singlefamily homes were permitted annually, down significantly from the average of 15,350 homes a year from 2004 through 2007.

New and existing condominium sales have decreased significantly since 2008. During the 12 months ending May 2011, approximately 2,175 existing condominiums were sold in the area, a 21-percent decrease compared with the 2,750 sold during the previous 12 months, while the average sales price increased by 15 percent to \$223,800. The number of new condominiums sold during the 12 months ending May 2011 decreased by 54 percent to 460; however, the average sales price increased 30 percent to \$294,000. Based on the 2009 American Community Survey, approximately 5 percent of multifamily units in the area were owner occupied. During the 12 months ending May 2011, multifamily permits were issued for 2,100 units, of which an estimated 25 percent were intended for owner occupancy, based on preliminary data. Since 2000, 15 percent of multifamily construction has been for owner-occupied units. The number of multifamily units permitted was down from an average of 6,400 units annually from 2006 through 2009.

The rental market is currently balanced, with an overall estimated vacancy rate of 6 percent, primarily because of job gains since 2010. Single-family homes comprise 25 percent of the metropolitan area rental market. Occupancy and rents increased in the fourth quarter of 2010 and

the first quarter of 2011, the first time growth occurred in these quarters in more than 5 years. Large student enrollments at UT and TSU have had a substantial impact on the local rental market. The schools have a combined enrollment of more than 71,000 but provide housing for fewer than 20,000. According to ALN Systems, Inc., the apartment vacancy rate as of May 2011 was 5.8 percent, down from 9.3 percent a year earlier. Vacancy rates in Hays County, south of the city of Austin, showed the largest improvement in the area, down to 6.4 percent in May 2011 compared with 11.7 percent in May 2010. The average effective rent in May 2011 was \$890, up 4 percent from the previous year. The absorption of more than 7,700 existing vacant units during the 12 months ending May 2011 was in part because of a decrease in new apartment completions. During the 12 months ending May 2011, 2,100 apartment units completed construction, down from approximately 3,800 completions during the previous 12 months. Since the beginning of 2010, nearly 90 percent of all newly completed multifamily units have been in the city of Austin.

Chattanooga, Tennessee-Georgia

The Chattanooga metropolitan area consists of Hamilton, Marion, and Sequatchie Counties in southeast Tennessee and Catoosa, Dade, and Walker Counties in northwest Georgia, with a population estimated at 534,600 as of July 1, 2011. The annual rate of growth since April 2010 is estimated at about 1 percent, relatively unchanged from the growth rate from 2006 through 2009. Net in-migration, driven by job growth in the area, accounts for nearly 63 percent of the growth since 2006. BlueCross BlueShield of Tennessee, Inc., is the largest employer in the area, with about 4,500 employees, followed by the Tennessee Valley Authority, with about 4,000 employees, and Erlanger Health System, with about 3,500 employees.

During the 12 months ending June 2011, the average number of nonfarm payroll jobs increased by 3,800, or 1.7 percent, to 230,700 jobs, compared with the average number of nonfarm payroll jobs during the 12 months ending June 2010. The largest increases in nonfarm payroll jobs occurred in the transportation and utilities sector, with a gain of 1,400 jobs, or 9.3 percent, and the leisure and hospitality sector, which grew by 800 jobs, or 3.7 percent. During the 12 months ending June 2011, average nonfarm payrolls in the mining, logging, and construction sector and the manufacturing sector increased by 200 jobs each, or 2.4 and 0.8 percent, respectively, compared with the average number of nonfarm payroll jobs during the previous 12 months. In 2008, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc., announced plans to build a nearly \$1 billion vehicle production facility in Chattanooga, adding about 2,000 jobs. Construction on the facility is nearly complete, and production is expected to

begin in mid-2011. A supplier park near the Volkswagen plant opened in June 2010 and is expected to increase nonfarm payrolls in the manufacturing sector by 1,100 jobs during the next year. In December 2010, Amazon. com Inc. announced plans to build two order-processing centers in Tennessee, adding about 1,400 jobs to Hamilton County, which is in the metropolitan area, and in neighboring Bradley County by late 2011. SIAG Aerisyn LLC announced it would expand wind turbine tower production at its Chattanooga facility, adding about 175 jobs by 2012. As a result of robust job growth in the area, the average unemployment rate during the 12 months ending May 2011 decreased to 8.5 percent compared with 9.4 percent during the previous 12 months.

The sales housing market in the Chattanooga metropolitan area is soft, because the local economy is still recovering from the recession. As of July 1, 2011, the estimated sales vacancy rate was 2.8 percent compared with the 2.9-percent rate recorded by the 2010 Census. According to LPS Applied Analytics, 6.7 percent of all loans in the metropolitan area were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) in May 2011 compared with 7.6 percent of all loans in May 2010. According to the Greater Chattanooga Association of REALTORS®, reporting aggregated data for new and existing homes, townhomes, and condominiums, approximately 5,275 homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2011, about 17 percent fewer than sold during the previous 12 months. The Greater Chattanooga Association of REALTORS[®] estimates that distressed home sales, including foreclosures and short sales, declined to about 25 percent of total sales in the area during the past 12 months compared with about 33 percent of total sales during the previous 12 months. As a result, the average home sales price in the area increased 4 percent to \$158,100 during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with prices during the previous 12 months.

Homebuilding activity, as measured by the number of building permits issued, decreased in the metropolitan area because of the soft sales market. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending June 2011, about 775 permits were issued for single-family homes, 18 percent fewer than were issued during the previous 12-month period. Based on annual building permit data, from 2007 through 2009, an average of about 1,475 homes were permitted each year. New developments include Hamilton on Hunter, in the Ooltewah area, with three-bedroom, two-bathroom homes starting from \$196,750, and Stonewall Farms, in the Hixson area, with five-bedroom, two-and-a-half-bathroom homes starting at \$265,000.

Overall rental housing market conditions in the metropolitan area are soft, but are improving because of job growth. The overall rental vacancy rate as of July 1, 2011, is estimated to be 9.3 percent, a decrease from the 10-percent rate recorded by the 2010 Census. Apartments comprise about two-thirds of the rental market in the metropolitan area. According to the most recent Real Data survey, the apartment vacancy rate during January 2011 was 6.5 percent compared with 7.7 percent during January 2010. The average apartment rent in the area was \$702 in January 2011, up nearly 7 percent from the average in January 2010. Average rents in the area for one-, two-, and three-bedroom apartment units are \$594, \$747, and \$900, respectively.

Based on preliminary data, about 75 multifamily units were permitted during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with approximately 60 units permitted during the previous 12 months. Based on annual data, multifamily permitting peaked at nearly 575 units in 2007 and averaged about 180 units in 2008 and 2009. New apartment development includes the 282-unit City Green at NorthShore, currently under construction in northwest Chattanooga; rents for one-, two-, and three-bedroom apartment units start at \$745, \$975, and \$1,300, respectively; construction is expected to be complete in late 2011.

Cheyenne, Wyoming

The Cheyenne metropolitan area, consisting of Laramie County, is located on the Great Plains in the southeast corner of Wyoming. As of July 1, 2011, the population of the metropolitan area is estimated to be 93,300. Despite job losses in the past 2 years, net in-migration to the area has remained positive since 2005, averaging about 650 people a year over the past 3 years. Since 2008, population growth in the area has averaged nearly 1,200 people, or 1.3 percent, annually, compared with 1,000 people, or 1.1 percent, annually during the previous 3 years. Cheyenne is the state capital and the location of F.E. Warren Air Force Base (Warren AFB), so the government sector accounts for nearly one-third of all nonfarm payrolls in the area. Warren AFB, with 3,800 military and civilian personnel, has an annual economic impact on the Cheyenne area of about \$364 million, according to a base report. State government employment represents about another 4,000 jobs.

Cheyenne is located at the intersection of Interstates 25 and 80 and is served by a number of rail lines, which makes the area attractive as a distribution hub. Swan Ranch Rail Park, a 7,200-acre manufacturing and logistics center, which includes a multimodal freight transportation hub, is nearing completion and is expected to add about 800 jobs over the next 18 months. Other major business developments include the \$66 million NCAR-Wyoming Supercomputing Center, a joint project of the National Center for Atmospheric Research and the University of Wyoming (UW), and the High Plains Gasification-Advanced Technology Center, a collaboration between General Electric Company and UW. The nearby Niobrara Shale, which has been the site of recent



exploratory oil and gas drilling, is another area of potential development in Cheyenne. Although it is too early to tell if the shale deposits will be commercially viable, the exploration activity has helped boost energy-related employment in the area.

Despite these economic developments, nonfarm payrolls in the area have declined in the past year. For the 12 months ending April 2011, nonfarm payroll jobs in the Chevenne metropolitan area averaged about 43,600, a decline of about 150 jobs, or 0.3 percent, from the previous 12 months. Nonfarm payrolls peaked in 2008 at about 45,200 jobs. The construction and retail trade subsectors, and the manufacturing sector experienced the largest job losses, of approximately 200, 150, and 50 jobs, or 5.7, 3.0, and 2.9 percent, respectively. Job growth in other sectors partially offset these losses. The professional and business services, education and health services, and transportation and utilities sectors added about 100, 75, and 50 jobs, increases of 2.9, 1.6, and 1.7 percent, respectively. During the 12 months ending April 2011, the unemployment rate for the Cheyenne metropolitan area averaged 7.2 percent, down slightly from the 7.3-percent rate recorded during the previous 12 months.

The home sales market in Chevenne is currently soft, a result of the slow economy and tight lending standards. According to data from Hanley Wood, LLC, approximately 1,300 existing single-family homes sold in the Chevenne metropolitan area during the 12 months ending April 2011 compared with 1,450 homes sold in the previous 12 months, a nearly 10-percent decrease. Single-family home sales have declined more than 30 percent from their peak in 2006, when nearly 1,900 existing homes sold. Existing single-family home sales averaged about 1,375 annually during the past 3 years. Sales of existing attached homes (such as condominiums and townhomes) were down nearly 6 percent during the 12 months ending April 2011, to about 175 units sold. During the same period, prices for existing single-family homes averaged about \$192,200, up more than 2 percent from \$188,200 a year earlier. Prices for existing attached homes increased by nearly 7 percent, from \$143,800 to \$153,600. Because of weak sales demand, new single-family home construction, as measured by the number of building permits issued, has declined. Based on preliminary data, 185 single-family homes were permitted in the 12 months ending April 2011, down 22 percent from a year earlier. By comparison, single-family construction averaged nearly 600 homes a year from 2003 through 2007.

The rental market in Cheyenne is currently tight, a result of continued growth in renter households and a lack of rental unit construction. Military personnel contribute to strong rental demand, accounting for nearly 20 percent of all renter households in the area. Growth in the energy industry has also boosted demand for rental units. According to the Wyoming Center for Business & Economic Analysis, Inc. (WCBEA), as of the fourth quarter of 2010, the vacancy rate at major apartment complexes in the area was 4 percent, down from a 5.3-percent rate a year earlier. Sundance Apartments completed construction in late 2010, and its 216 units leased up almost immediately. Monthly rents range from about \$700 for one-bedroom units to \$825 for two-bedroom units and \$930 for three-bedroom units. Other than those units, multifamily construction in the area, as measured by permits issued, has been at a standstill for the past 21 months. According to the WCBEA, average monthly rents in Cheyenne for the fourth quarter of 2010 ranged from about \$600 for mobile homes to \$640 for two-bedroom apartments and \$980 for single-family homes, representing increases of nearly 4, nearly 8, and 9 percent, respectively, from a year earlier.

Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, Ohio

The Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor metropolitan area is located in northeastern Ohio, along the Lake Erie coast, and comprises five counties—Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, and Medina. As of July 1, 2011, the population was estimated at 2.1 million, representing a decrease of 4,200, or less than 1 percent, annually from April 1, 2010, with net out-migration averaging 8,900 people annually. Between July 2005 and April 2010, the population declined by an average of 9,100 annually. Since 2005, net out-migration averaged 12,300 people annually.

Nonfarm payrolls in the metropolitan area totaled 994,600 jobs during the 12 months ending May 2011, up 5,000, or 0.5 percent, compared with the number of jobs during the same period ending May 2010. In contrast, the number of jobs declined by an average 1.6 percent annually from June 2005 to May 2010. Education and health services, the area's largest sector, led job growth during the 12 months ending May 2011, increasing by 4,175 jobs, or 2.2 percent, to 190,100. The two largest employers in the metropolitan area, Cleveland Clinic Health System and University Hospitals, contributed to the growth when they increased payrolls during the past year from 37,800 to 39,400 and 21,800 to 24,000, respectively, according to the Ohio Department of Development. The professional and business services sector, the second largest nonfarm job sector, with 131,800 jobs, increased by 3,525 jobs, or 2.7 percent. Widespread gains in the manufacturing sector resulted in an increase of 2,075 jobs, or 1.8 percent, reversing a decade-long trend of job declines in the sector that averaged 4.3 percent annually from 2000 through 2010.

A decline of 2,375 jobs, or 3.7 percent, in the financial activities sector partially offset gains in nonfarm payrolls in the metropolitan area during the 12 months ending May 2011. The mining, logging, and construction sector lost 1,875 jobs, or 5.8 percent, mostly in the construction subsector, which has declined each year since 2004 by an average of 5.6 percent annually. During the 12-month period ending May 2011, the average unemployment rate in the metropolitan area was 8.7 percent, down from a 9.3-percent rate a year earlier.

Home sales market conditions in the metropolitan area remain soft compared with the market a year earlier, despite recent job growth. Net out-migration and job declines contributed to soft conditions throughout much of the 2000s. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, new and existing single-family home sales totaled 15,100 during the 12 months ending May 2011, down by more than 5,300, or 26 percent, compared with sales a year earlier. Home sales increased by 0.7 percent during the 12-month period ending May 2010, largely because of the first-time homebuyer tax credit. During the 3-year period between June 2006 and May 2009, single-family home sales declined by an average of 14 percent annually. The average sales price for new and existing singlefamily homes increased by 4 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, to \$161,300, compared with a 0.7-percent increase a year earlier.

Condominium sales totaled 1,450 during the 12 months ending May 2011, down 22 percent compared with sales during the same period a year earlier. Condominium sales increased more than 1 percent during the 12-month period ending May 2010. In contrast, from 2006 through 2009, condominium sales declined by an average of 14 percent annually. During the 12 months ending May 2011, the average condominium price increased by 5 percent, to \$127,500, compared with an average annual 9-percent decline during the previous two 12-month periods. According to LPS Applied Analytics, 9.8 percent of mortgages in the area were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) as of May 2011. The percentage has improved from the 10.7-percent rate recorded in May 2010 but is still relatively high compared with the 8.2-percent and 7.8-percent rates recorded in May 2011 for the state of Ohio and the nation, respectively.

Soft sales market conditions prompted home builders to reduce single-family home construction in the metropolitan area. As measured by the number of single-family homebuilding permits issued, construction was down 19 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, to 1,650 permits, based on preliminary data. During the same period ending May 2010, single-family home permits increased by 6 percent, reflecting a temporary increase in demand stemming from the first-time homebuyer tax credit. Between 2004, when permits peaked at 6,700, and 2009, single-family home permits averaged a decline of 23 percent annually.

Multifamily construction, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, declined by 36 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, to 110 units, based on preliminary data. This decrease compares with a 57-percent decrease during the 12-month period ending May 2010. The number of multifamily units permitted declined by an average of 26 percent annually from 2006 through 2009. Declining condominium sales almost every year since 2006 are the primary cause of declining multifamily construction. Since 2006, almost one-half of new multifamily developments completed in the metropolitan area have consisted of condominiums, according to the McGraw-Hill Construction Pipeline database.

Rental market conditions in the metropolitan area are slightly soft but improved compared with conditions a year earlier. The overall rental vacancy rate is currently estimated at 10 percent, down from 12.5 percent in the 2010 Census. Single-family homes comprise nearly one-third of the rental market. The substantial decline in multifamily home construction and increased rental demand both contributed to improved rental market conditions. Apartment rental market conditions in the metropolitan area are currently balanced. According to Reis, Inc., the apartment rental vacancy rate during the first quarter of 2011 was 5.2 percent, down from 7 percent during the first quarter of 2010, partly because 1,600 previously vacant apartment units were absorbed. Reflecting improving rental market conditions and the decreasing vacancy rate, the average rent during the first quarter of 2011 was \$700, up nearly 2 percent, compared with a 1-percent decline a year earlier. Increased enrollment at Cleveland State University (CSU) also contributed to improved rental market conditions. Enrollment at CSU, which is located in the city of Cleveland, increased 5 percent in 2010, to 17,300 students, according to the university. An estimated 8 percent of CSU students live on campus; the remaining students reside off campus. CSU students comprise an estimated 5,700 renter households, or 2 percent of renter households in the metropolitan area. A 160-unit, 500-bed student dormitory is currently under construction at CSU. The expected completion date for the \$57 million project is August 2011.

Manchester-Nashua, New Hampshire

The Manchester-Nashua metropolitan area consists of Hillsborough County in southern New Hampshire, less than 50 miles from Boston. Based on data from the New Hampshire Business Review, the largest employers in the metropolitan area are BAE Systems, Inc., Fidelity Investments, and Elliot Health System, with an estimated 4,500, 4,400, and 3,550 employees, respectively. Manchester-Boston Regional Airport (MHT) makes the area the state's transportation center. According to the Jacobs Consultancy Economic Impact Study for 2008, MHT has a \$1.2 billion local economic impact annually, contributing more than 3,800 jobs directly and indirectly to the area economy. Additional growth is expected after the late 2011 completion of a new MHT access road that will provide 1,000 acres of newly zoned commercial and industrial land. As of July 1, 2011, the area population was estimated at 401,900, an increase of 940, or 0.2 percent,



annually since April 1, 2010. This increase compares with an average annual increase of 1,175, or 0.3 percent, from 2005 through 2010. Net natural increase (resident births minus resident deaths) accounts for all of the area's population growth since 2005.

Economic conditions in the Manchester-Nashua metropolitan area are improving after 3 years of declining nonfarm payrolls. During the 12 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payroll jobs increased by 500, or 0.2 percent, to 224,300 jobs, compared with a decline of 9,000 jobs, or 3.9 percent, during the previous 12 months. The largest gains occurred in the professional and business services, education and health services, and leisure and hospitality sectors, which added 700, 600, and 300 jobs, or 2.6, 1.6, and 1.5 percent, respectively. Elliot Health System opened The Elliot at River's Edge, a 236,000 square-foot outpatient healthcare facility in Manchester, adding 275 jobs. The retail trade subsector and the information sector, however, decreased by 600 and 300 jobs, or 1.9 and 5 percent, respectively, during the 12 months ending May 2011. Declines were concentrated among supermarkets and software publishers, which lost 400 and 200 jobs, respectively, in 2010. During the 12 months ending May 2011, the average unemployment rate declined to 5.7 percent, down from 6.8 percent during the previous 12 months.

Sales housing market conditions in the metropolitan area are slightly soft because of tight lending practices. Based on data from Hanley Wood, LLC, new and existing home sales decreased by 20 percent to 3,225 during the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with the number of sales during the previous 12 months, which included two rounds of homebuyer tax credits. Sales are down 13 percent from an average of 3,700 homes sold annually from 2007 through 2009. The average home sales price decreased to \$241,000, or 1 percent, during the 12 months ending May 2011, compared with \$243,600 during the previous 12 months; the price is 22 percent below the 2006 peak of \$308,000. Condominium sales declined 22 percent to 1,000 units during the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with sales during the previous 12 months. The average condominium sales price decreased 5 percent to \$157,700 during the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with \$165,300 in the previous 12 months. According to LPS Applied Analytics, as of May 2011, 4.8 percent of total home loans in the metropolitan area were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), down from 5.4 percent a year earlier. The current rate compares with 6.4 percent for New England and 7.8 percent nationwide.

Single-family home construction, as measured by the number of permits issued, has been low since 2008. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending May 2011, single-family home permit numbers remained relatively unchanged at 320 homes compared with 340 homes permitted during the previous 12 months. In comparison, from 2006 through 2009,

an average of 630 homes were permitted annually. The Preserve at West Bedford, a 61-unit community, is scheduled to open lots in August 2011, with home prices expected to start at about \$400,000. Typical new single-family home prices in the area range from \$275,000 to \$350,000.

Overall, rental market conditions in the metropolitan area are balanced, but the market for newly constructed apartments is tight. According to Reis, Inc., during the first quarter of 2011, the average apartment vacancy rate decreased to 3.5 percent, down from 4.5 percent in the first quarter of 2010. The average monthly rent increased by 1 percent, to \$1,075, compared with rents during the previous year, averaging \$910, \$1,150, and \$1,375 for one-, two-, and three-bedroom units, respectively. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending May 2011, multifamily unit permits increased to 460, compared with 290 units permitted in the previous 12 months. This figure is greater than the average annual rate of 410 units permitted from 2006 through 2009. Legacy Park Manchester, a \$21.4 million ENERGY STAR Community, which includes 195 apartment units, is currently under construction, with buildings expected to open from the fall of 2011 into 2012. Rents for oneand two-bedroom units are expected to start at \$930 and \$1,095, respectively.

Mobile, Alabama

The Mobile metropolitan area is located on the Gulf Coast of Alabama and consists of Mobile County. Nearly one-half of the metropolitan area's residents live in Mobile, the central city and namesake of the metropolitan area. Mobile, which has been a center of transportation and manufacturing since the 18th century, is home to the ninth largest port in the United States. As of July 1, 2011, the population of the metropolitan area was estimated at 414,800, an increase of 1,450, or 0.3 percent, annually, since April 2010. Population growth peaked in 2008, when the population of the metropolitan area increased by 4,000, or 1.0 percent, compared with the population in 2007. The subsequent decrease in growth is because of slow job growth and high unemployment. The largest employers in the area are Infirmary Health System, Inc., and the University of South Alabama (USA) and USA Health System, with 5,300 and 5,000 employees, respectively.

Nonfarm payrolls in the metropolitan area have declined by 4.9 percent since peaking in 2008 at 184,300 jobs. The Mobile economy has shown signs of improvement, however, adding 2,300 jobs during the 12 months ending May 2011 to bring nonfarm payrolls to 175,500, a 1.3percent increase. The professional and business services sector grew more than any other sector, adding 2,925 jobs, a 14-percent increase. After 2 years of declining employment, the manufacturing sector began to grow, adding 975 jobs during the 12 months ending May 2011, a 6.7-percent increase. This sector will likely continue to grow, because several large manufacturing firms in the metropolitan area expect to expand in the upcoming year. Austal USA, a shipbuilder, plans to add 2,000 workers in late 2011 and 2012, and ThyssenKrupp AG, a German industrial conglomerate, plans to add 800 workers at its steel mill in northern Mobile County. The largest decrease in nonfarm payrolls occurred in the government sector, because of budgetary constraints. The sector lost 600 jobs during the 12 months ending May 2011, a 2.2-percent decline, and a total of 1,150 jobs, a 4.2-percent decline, since the beginning of the recession in 2008. The unemployment rate in the metropolitan area averaged 10.1 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, down from 10.8 percent during the previous 12 months.

The Mobile home sales market is soft, because of the weak economy and high levels of foreclosed homes. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, 4,375 new and existing homes were sold during the 12 months ending May 2011, a 16-percent decrease from the 5,200 homes sold in the preceding 12 months. During the same period, the average sales price decreased from \$161,000 to \$149,000, a nearly 8-percent decline. Home prices peaked in 2007, when the average price was \$177,600. Foreclosed properties comprise a significant portion of home sales; according to Hanley Wood, LLC, in the 12 months ending May 2011, homes that are in REO (Real Estate Owned) represented 37 percent of all existing home sales, up from 24 percent in the previous 12 months. According to LPS Applied Analytics, in May 2011, 8.7 percent of all loans were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO, down from 8.9 percent in May 2010.

New single-family home construction continues at a reduced pace, with 660 homes permitted during the 12 months ending May 2011, down from 1,125 permitted during the previous 12 months, based on preliminary data. An average of 1,825 homes was permitted annually during the building boom, from 2000 through 2007. Most new home construction is in subdivisions west and north of Mobile. Magnolia Springs, a subdivision located in west Mobile has 165 homes completed and another 160 currently under construction, with prices ranging from \$120,000 to \$250,000. A small market for loft condominiums converted from nonresidential use exists in downtown Mobile, where approximately 100 condominium homes have opened in the past 10 years.

The rental market in Mobile is soft but improving, with an estimated 10.5-percent vacancy rate, down from 11.4 percent in April 2010. Vacancy is lower in apartment complexes than in single-family homes, which make up approximately 50 percent of the rental market. As of the first quarter of 2011, AXIOMETRICS INC. reports a 6.25-percent vacancy rate in surveyed apartment complexes, down from 8.6 percent in the first quarter of 2010. According to Rock Apartment Advisors, Inc., average effective rents are \$550 for a one-bedroom unit, \$650 for a two-bedroom unit, and \$800 for a three-bedroom unit, with higher rents in the western and northern suburban areas and lower rents in central Mobile. Apartment construction has proceeded at a moderate pace in the past several years, with 310 units permitted in the 12 months ending May 2011 and 440 units permitted in the previous 12 months, based on preliminary data. Most recent apartment construction is centered in west Mobile, including Forest Hill Apartments, a 128-unit complex, and University Grande Apartments, a 156-unit complex, both currently under construction, although some new complexes are located in town and north of the city.

Morgantown, West Virginia

The Morgantown metropolitan area, consisting of Monongalia and Preston Counties, is located in northcentral West Virginia. As of July 1, 2011, the population of the metropolitan area was estimated at 131,800. The population increased at an annualized rate of 1,675, or 1.3 percent, since April 1, 2010 compared with an average of 2,150, or 1.8 percent, from July 1, 2005, to April 1, 2010. The metropolitan area is home to West Virginia University (WVU), which has 29,300 students and an annual economic impact of \$4.9 billion on the state of West Virginia, as estimated by the WVU Bureau of Business and Economic Research. WVU, WVU Healthcare, and Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. are the metropolitan area's largest employers with 6,700, 4,650, and 2,300 employees, respectively.

After a brief economic slowdown in 2009, the metropolitan area economy has resumed growing at an accelerated pace. During the 12 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payrolls expanded by 1,500 jobs, a 2.3-percent increase, to total 65,400 jobs, compared with an increase of 1,000 jobs, or 1.5 percent, during the previous 12 months. The mining, logging, and construction sector grew by 500 jobs, or 13.4 percent, during the 12 months ending May 2011. Approximately 80 percent of this growth was in the construction subsector, as the \$2 billion coal-fired power plant in Maidsville neared completion. The creation of an additional 470 local mining jobs is expected when operations begin in the summer of 2011. The service-providing sectors account for nearly 90 percent of the economy, and those sectors added 800 jobs, a 1.5percent increase, during the 12 months ending May 2011. The professional and business services sector led growth among service-providing sectors by adding 300 jobs, a 5.4-percent increase. During the 12 months ending May 2011, the unemployment rate was 6.3 percent, higher than the 5.7-percent rate recorded during the previous 12 months, but still the lowest among all metropolitan areas in West Virginia.

Sales market conditions in the Morgantown metropolitan area are balanced. According to the West Virginia Association of REALTORS®, 900 homes sold during the



12 months ending May 2011, unchanged from the number of sales reported during the 12 months ending May 2010. During the same period, the average sales price increased 3 percent, from \$170,000 to \$175,000, and the average number of days a home remained on the market decreased 32 percent, from 147 to 100 days. In the metropolitan area, according to LPS Applied Analytics, the share of mortgage loans 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) was 2.2 percent in May 2011, down from 2.8 percent in May 2010.

New-home construction remained relatively unchanged during the past year. Based on preliminary data, 30 single-family homes were permitted during the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with 25 homes during the previous year. Because approximately 80 percent of building in the metropolitan area occurs in unincorporated areas, building permits do not include all construction activity. As of May 2011, the Village at West Run, located north of Morgantown, had sold 34 of 90 planned townhomes 6 months after sales began, at an average price of \$135,000. The Meadows, a 130-lot golf course community west of Morgantown, sold 30 homes during the past year, with townhomes and single-family homes averaging \$109,000 and \$135,000, respectively.

The rental market in the Morgantown metropolitan area is slightly soft, with a 7-percent rental vacancy rate, up slightly from 6.8 percent in 2010. Based on preliminary data, 45 multifamily units were permitted in the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with 60 during the previous year; all of these units were offered for rent. Because of limited coverage, only a small portion of the units constructed is recorded in the building permits. The 23,450 WVU students who live off campus represent 66 percent of renters in Monongalia County. With enrollment expecting to increase by approximately 525 students for the 2011–12 academic year, 80 percent of the approximately 800 rental units under construction are near WVU and target student renters. Beechview Place, a 233-unit community near the WVU Downtown Campus, is scheduled for completion in December 2011. Average asking rents for newer units in the metropolitan area are \$750 for a one-bedroom unit, \$1,000 for a two-bedroom unit, and \$1,500 for a three-bedroom unit. Rental properties in the city of Morgantown typically charge higher rents because of their proximity to WVU.

Oakland-Fremont-Hayward, California

The Oakland-Fremont-Hayward Metropolitan Division (hereafter referred to as the Oakland metropolitan area) includes Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, which are located east of the city of San Francisco, across the San Francisco Bay. As of July 1, 2011, the population was estimated to be 2.6 million, a nearly 1-percent increase since July 1, 2010. Because of slower in-migration, the annual growth rate has decreased since the 2008-to-2009 period, when it was 1.5 percent.

The economy in the Oakland metropolitan area remains weak, but the job loss rate is slowing. During the 12 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payrolls decreased by 8,000 to average 945,800 jobs, a 0.8-percent loss compared with payrolls during the previous 12-month period. In contrast, nonfarm payroll jobs contracted by 6.1 percent from 2008 to 2009 and 2 percent from 2009 to 2010. Most nonfarm payroll sectors lost jobs in the 12-month period ending May 2011, led by the mining, logging, and construction, manufacturing, and government sectors, with respective declines of 3,900, 3,800, and 3,600 jobs, or 7.7, 4.6, and 2.1 percent. The soft housing market was mainly responsible for construction subsector losses. The March 2010 closure of New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc., which employed 5,000 workers, contributed to losses in the manufacturing sector. Reduced tax revenues during the soft economy have led to government sector contraction since 2007. Four sectors are showing signs of recovery; the professional and business services, education and health services, leisure and hospitality, and financial activities sectors increased by 2,400, 2,200, 1,900, and 200 jobs, or 1.6, 1.6, 2.3, and 0.4 percent, respectively. The average unemployment rate for the 12 months ending May 2011 was 11 percent, relatively unchanged from the 11.1 percent rate of the previous 12-month period.

The largest employment sectors in the Oakland metropolitan area are government, professional and business services, wholesale and retail trade, and education and health services, each comprising a nearly equal 16 percent share of total nonfarm payrolls. The largest employer in the metropolitan area—the University of California, Berkeley (UCB)—employed 23,500 faculty and staff and enrolled 35,850 students during the fall 2010 semester. According to UCB, the university generates an annual economic impact of \$1.3 billion in the metropolitan area and currently has \$480 million in capital projects under construction. The other largest employers in the area are AT&T Communications and the food retailer Safeway, Inc., with 14,000 and 7,400 employees, respectively.

The sales housing market in the metropolitan area is soft, but showing tentative signs of recovery. After the housing bubble burst in 2006, subsequent foreclosure activity destabilized the market further as REO (Real Estate Owned) homes and short sales exerted downward pressure on prices. The foreclosure rate has begun to diminish but remains high. According to LPS Applied Analytics, the percentage of loans 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO in the metropolitan area decreased from 9.5 percent in June 2010 to 8 percent in June 2011. In June 2011, 8.8 percent of all loans in Contra Costa County were distressed, with distressed loans concentrated in the newest suburbs in the northeastern part of the county, compared with 7.2 percent for Alameda County. According to data from Hanley Wood, LLC, existing home sales declined nearly 9 percent, to 17,500 homes sold, in the metropolitan area during the 12 months ending June 2011 compared with the number sold during the previous 12 months. The proportion of REO sales relative to total existing sales decreased from 42 to 39 percent during this period, reflecting slight improvement. Median sales prices for non-REO homes decreased to \$424,100 in Alameda County and \$345,800 in Contra Costa County, down approximately 4 percent in both counties, and for REO homes decreased to \$252,100 in Alameda County and \$197,000 in Contra Costa County, down 1 and 5 percent, respectively.

New homes sales activity in the metropolitan area declined nearly 33 percent to 2,000 homes sold during the 12 months ending June 2011, and prices are beginning to increase. Condominiums and townhomes constituted 30 percent of all new home sales in the metropolitan area during the most recent 12-month period, compared with 46 percent during 2007, at the peak of new condominium development. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the median sales price increased 4 percent, to \$681,000, for new single-family homes and 8 percent, to \$398,000, for new condominiums in Alameda County, compared with prices during the same period a year earlier. The median sales price in Contra Costa County increased 6 percent, to \$404,100, for new single-family homes and declined 9 percent, to \$389,200, for new condominiums during the same period.

In response to the weakening sales volume beginning in 2006, builders reduced new home construction activity, as measured by the number of single-family building permits issued. From 2008 through 2010, an average of 1,825 single-family homes was permitted annually in the metropolitan area. During the 12 months ending May 2011, 1,475 single-family homes were permitted, representing a 32-percent decline from the number of permits issued during the preceding 12-month period, based on preliminary data. Single-family permits were evenly divided between the two counties.

Multifamily construction, as measured by the number of units permitted, has also declined sharply since 2006, as builders responded to softening condominium sales. During the peak years from 2003 through 2006, 4,450 multifamily units on average were permitted annually in the metropolitan area. Multifamily permit levels have been volatile during the past 3 years as permits fell to a two-decade historical low of 680 units in 2009. Based on preliminary data, in the 12 months ending May 2011, nearly 1,500 multifamily permits were issued, up 62 percent compared with the number issued in the preceding 12-month period. Condominiums and townhomes constitute about 60 percent of all multifamily unit permits.

Rental conditions are tight in Alameda County but balanced in Contra Costa County. MPF Research reported

that only 260 new apartment units became available for leasing in the past 12 months. With fewer apartments entering the market, the apartment vacancy rate in the metropolitan area declined from 4 percent in the second quarter of 2010 to 3.4 percent in the second quarter of 2011. The average rent in the metropolitan area increased nearly 7 percent, to \$1,364, in the second quarter of 2011. In Alameda County, the apartment vacancy rate decreased from 5 percent in the second quarter of 2010 to 3 percent in the second quarter of 2011. During the same period, the average rent increased slightly more than 7 percent, to \$1,438. The apartment vacancy rate in Contra Costa County remained unchanged from 4.6 percent in the second quarter of 2010 to the second quarter of 2011. During the same period, the average rent increased slightly more than 3 percent, to \$1,228.

Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, California

The Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario metropolitan area consists of Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, which are located directly east of Los Angeles and Orange Counties in Southern California. Riverside and San Bernardino Counties are the 11th and 12th most populous counties, respectively, in the nation. San Bernardino and Riverside Counties are also the nation's largest and 26th largest counties, respectively, in land area. As of July 1, 2011, the populations of Riverside and San Bernardino Counties were estimated at 2.2 and 2.1 million, respectively. These figures reflect an increase of 33,900, or 1.6 percent, in Riverside County and 10,100, or 0.5 percent, in San Bernardino County since July 1, 2008. The current population growth rates are down from the July 1, 2006 through July 1, 2008 period, when the populations of Riverside and San Bernardino Counties increased by average annual rates of 2.3 and 0.8 percent, respectively. Net in-migration currently accounts for about 51 percent of the growth in Riverside County compared with only about 3 percent in San Bernardino County.

Nonfarm payrolls in both Riverside and San Bernardino Counties continued to decline, a trend that began in July 2008. During the 12-month period ending June 2011, nonfarm payroll jobs in the metropolitan area decreased by 8,700, or 0.8 percent, to 1.11 million jobs compared with the number of jobs during the previous 12 months. In comparison, between July 2006 and June 2008, nonfarm payrolls averaged 1.26 million jobs a year. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the transportation and utilities and the professional and business services sectors grew by 1,650 jobs, or 3 percent, and 1,400 jobs, or 1 percent, respectively. Job gains in the transportation subsector were primarily a result of increased cargo shipments in adjoining Los Angeles County. The construction subsector decreased by 5,300 jobs, or 8 percent,



because of reduced demand for new homes and commercial construction, offsetting the gains in other sectors. Manufacturing sector employment declined as well, by 1,400 jobs, or 2 percent. The Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center is the largest employer in the metropolitan area, with 16,300 military and civilian workers. Stater Bros. Markets Inc. is the second largest employer, with more than 13,000 workers. During the 12 months ending June 2011, the unemployment rate averaged 14.2 percent, unchanged from the previous 12 months.

The sales market for existing homes has been soft since 2006. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending June 2011, existing home sales in Riverside County decreased by 5,600, or 14 percent, to 35,750 homes compared with the number sold during the previous 12-month period. Likewise, existing home sales in San Bernardino County decreased by 5,000, or 16 percent, to 26,450 homes during the same period. The estimated average existing home price increased by 2 percent, to \$233,900, in Riverside County and by 3 percent, to \$190,200, in San Bernardino County during the past 12 months. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, Real Estate Owned (REO) sales fell as a percentage of total existing home sales during the 12 months ending June 2011, from 56 to 50 percent in Riverside County and from 63 to 54 percent in San Bernardino County compared with the number of REO sales during the same period a year earlier. Condominium sales represented less than 12 percent of existing home sales in both counties during the 12 months ending June 2011, remaining at about the same level since 2006.

The new home sales market has been soft since 2006. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12-month period ending June 2011, new home sales in Riverside County fell by 1,750, or 36 percent, to 3,100 sales compared with the number sold during the previous 12 months. In San Bernardino County, new home sales fell by 1,500, or 64 percent, to 850 homes during the same period. The estimated average new home price remained unchanged at \$312,600 in Riverside County but increased by \$5,350, or 2 percent, to \$313,300 in San Bernardino County compared with prices during the 12 months ending June 2010.

Condominium sales represented approximately 7 and 13 percent of total new home sales in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, respectively, during the 12-month period ending June 2011, down from average percentages of 9 and 18, respectively, between June 2008 and June 2010. Condominiums are a popular option among retirees in the Palm Springs area of Riverside County. During the 12-month period ending June 2011, the estimated average new condominium sales prices in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties were \$224,400 and \$268,300, respectively. These prices represent a decline of \$20,400, or 8 percent, in Riverside County but an increase of \$15,600, or 6 percent, in San Bernardino County compared with prices recorded during the 12 months ending June 2010.

The decline in new home sales in both counties resulted in a significant decrease in single-family construction, as measured by the number of building permits issued. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending May 2011, approximately 3,200 and 1,050 single-family homes were permitted in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, respectively. These figures represent declines of 11 and 28 percent, respectively, compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months.

Large foreclosure numbers were another major reason for the reduced single-family construction activity in both counties. As of June 2011, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties had the 7th and 10th largest number of mortgages in the nation that were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO, according to LPS Applied Analytics. Loans 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO represented 12.2 and 11.3 percent of the total loans in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, respectively, during June 2011, compared with 16.4 and 15.4 percent, respectively, in June 2010. Nearly one-half of all mortgages in the metropolitan area during the first quarter of 2011 had negative equity, more than double the 23-percent national rate, according to CoreLogic.

The rental housing market in the metropolitan area has tightened, but remains balanced. Between the first quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2011, the rental vacancy rate decreased from 7.9 to 5.6 percent in Riverside County and from 6.4 to 5.6 percent in San Bernardino County, according to RealFacts. The declining vacancy rates resulted in increased rents. According to RealFacts, in the first quarter of 2011, average rents increased by 3 percent to \$1,074 in Riverside County and by 3 percent to \$1,090 in San Bernardino County compared with the rents recorded during the first quarter of 2010.

Multifamily construction activity, as measured by the number of multifamily units permitted, declined in both Riverside and San Bernardino Counties during the 12 months ending June 2011, based on preliminary data. Approximately 300 multifamily units were permitted in Riverside County during the past 12 months, down 250 units, or 45 percent, compared with the number permitted during the previous 12 months. During the same period, permits issued in San Bernardino County totaled 600 units, down 300 units, or 32 percent. More than 85 percent of the multifamily units permitted during the past 12 months in both counties were for rental units. At 274 units, The Vineyards at Old Town in Temecula, Riverside County, was the largest apartment complex completed in the metropolitan area during the 12-month period ending June 2011. Rents range from \$1,235 for a one-bedroom unit to \$2,500 for a twobedroom townhome.

State College, Pennsylvania

The State College metropolitan area comprises Centre County, located in central Pennsylvania, approximately 150 miles northwest of Philadelphia. The metropolitan area is home to University Park, the main campus of Pennsylvania State University (PSU). University Park, with 45,000 students and 23,000 full- and part-time employees, has an estimated \$2.4 billion annual impact on the economy of the metropolitan area, according to a 2008 study commissioned by PSU. As of July 1, 2011, the population of the metropolitan area was estimated at 154,600, an annualized gain of 440, or 0.3 percent, since April 1, 2010, compared with a 1-percent average annual increase from 2005 through 2010. The area's largest employers are PSU, Mount Nittany Medical Center, and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., with nearly 23,200, 1,425, and 775 employees, respectively.

Economic conditions in the State College metropolitan area were weak during the 12 months ending May 2011. Total nonfarm payrolls increased by 100 jobs, or 0.2 percent, to 74,300 jobs, compared with an increase of 200 jobs, or nearly 0.3 percent, during the 12 months ending May 2010. During the 12 months ending May 2011, the mining, logging, and construction sector added 400 jobs, an increase of 13.5 percent, with Marcellus Shale field natural gas drilling responsible for most of the gains. Marcellus Shale, located beneath much of Pennsylvania and surrounding states, is a major source of natural gas. The professional and business services sector expanded by 100 jobs, or 2.1 percent, and the manufacturing sector added 100 jobs, an increase of 2.8 percent. Partially offsetting these gains were losses in the government sector. The state government subsector, which includes PSU and represents nearly one-third of total nonfarm payrolls, lost 200 jobs, or 0.8 percent, and the local government subsector contracted by 100 jobs, or 2.5 percent. The average unemployment rate decreased to 5.7 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011 compared with 6.2 percent during the previous 12 months.

The home sales market in the State College metropolitan area remains soft because of weak economic conditions. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending May 2011, existing home sales decreased by nearly 14 percent, to 1,150, compared with 1,350 existing homes sold during the same period a year earlier. During May 2011, the median sales price of existing singlefamily homes rose 3 percent to \$187,750 compared with \$178,500 during May 2010. As of May 2011, according to LPS Applied Analytics, 2.5 percent of mortgage loans in the metropolitan area were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned), down slightly from 2.6 percent in May 2010. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending May 2011, foreclosed and REO homes represented nearly 12 percent of existing single-family home sales, up from 10 percent during the previous 12-month period.

According to Hanley Wood, LLC, during the 12 months ending May 2011, sales of new single-family homes decreased nearly 25 percent to 185 homes and the median sales price declined approximately 2 percent to \$261,200 compared with May 2010. In response to the soft sales housing market, single-family home construction in the metropolitan area declined. Based on preliminary data, during the 12 months ending May 2011, the number of single-family homes permitted decreased 18 percent to 160 homes compared with the number permitted during the previous 12-month period. In contrast, from 2005 through 2009, an average of 540 single-family homes was permitted each year in the metropolitan area.

According to preliminary data for the 12 months ending May 2011, approximately 85 multifamily units were permitted compared with 30 units permitted during the previous 12 months. From 2005 through 2009, an average of 75 multifamily units was permitted annually. During the current 12-month period, two senior independent living apartment expansions accounted for nearly all of the multifamily units permitted.

Rental market conditions in the State College metropolitan area are typically tight, with an estimated 2.5percent vacancy rate, up slightly from the 2.3-percent rate reported in the 2010 Census. The nearly 30,000 PSU students living off campus account for approximately 60 percent of the total population in renter-occupied units and have a significant impact on the rents in the area. Estimated average rents are \$1,500 for a one-bedroom unit, \$2,300 for a two-bedroom unit, and \$2,600 for a threebedroom unit. Jeramar Plaza, a 12-unit complex with rents of \$2,380 for a two-bedroom unit, and The Palmerton, a 65-unit complex with rents averaging \$1,360 for a one-bedroom unit and \$2,720 for a two-bedroom unit, recently completed construction in downtown State College and are targeted to PSU students.

Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Florida

The Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater metropolitan area, located on the Gulf Coast in central Florida, comprises Hernando, Hillsborough, Pasco, and Pinellas Counties. Tampa, St. Petersburg, and Clearwater are the principal cities in the metropolitan area; Tampa is the third largest city in Florida. The metropolitan area is home to the University of South Florida (USF), the third largest employer in the area, with 15,100 employees and 44,400 students enrolled at the Tampa and St. Petersburg campuses. The USF System, which includes two additional campuses outside the metropolitan area, has an enrollment of more than 47,550 students and an annual economic impact of \$3.7 billion on the region, according to the USF System. As of July 1, 2011, the population of the metropolitan area was estimated at 2,806,000,



the second most populous metropolitan area in Florida, with an annual 0.7-percent increase since April 1, 2010. Population growth has been slow since 2006 because of decreasing net in-migration resulting from the weak economy; the population has grown by an average of 0.8 percent annually, down from 2.2 percent annually during the previous 3 years.

The economy weakened during the past year, continuing a trend that began in 2006, but the job loss rate has slowed considerably. During the 12 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payrolls declined by 1,600 jobs, or 0.1 percent, from the previous 12-month period to total 1,118,000 compared with a decrease of 53,000 jobs, or 4.5 percent, during the 12 months ending May 2010. During the 3 months ending May 2011, nonfarm payrolls increased by 3,900 jobs, or 0.3 percent, compared with the average during the 3 months ending May 2010.

The professional and business services and education and health services sectors led job growth during the 12 months ending May 2011, adding 3,300 jobs each, increasing by 1.7 and 1.9 percent, respectively. Progressive Casualty Insurance Company contributed to this growth, adding 240 jobs in the Tampa area. The persistently soft housing market continued to affect the construction subsector and financial activities sector, which led job losses during the past 12 months. The construction subsector lost 4,000 jobs, a 7.3-percent decrease, and the financial activities sector declined by 2,400 jobs, or 2.7 percent, from the previous 12-month period. The average unemployment rate increased slightly to 11.8 percent during the 12 months ending May 2011, from 11.6 percent during the previous 12-month period.

Sales housing market conditions in the metropolitan area are currently soft. Conditions improved during the past year as home sales increased, but the growth in total sales slowed from the previous year. According to the Greater Tampa Association of REALTORS[®], 21,050 existing homes sold during the 12 months ending May 2011, an increase of 280 homes, or 1 percent, compared with an increase of 4,425 homes, or 27 percent, during the 12 months ending May 2010, which resulted from the now-expired homebuyer tax credit. During the 12 months ending May 2011, the average sales price declined by 6 percent to approximately \$152,700, the result of an increase in distressed home sales. According to LPS Applied Analytics, 17 percent of loans in the metropolitan area were 90 or more days delinquent, in foreclosure, or in REO (Real Estate Owned) during May 2011, a rate unchanged compared with May 2010. According to Hanley Wood, LLC, new home sales declined by 10 percent during the past 12 months to 4,375 homes sold compared with 4,875 homes sold during the previous 12-month period. The average sales price declined by 6 percent, from \$224,800 to \$212,500, during the same period.

New single-family home construction activity, as measured by the number of permits issued, continued to decline during the past year because of job losses and soft housing market conditions. According to preliminary data, 4,225 homes were permitted during the 12 months ending May 2011, a decrease of 330 homes, or 7 percent, from the same period a year earlier. The number of single-family homes permitted peaked in 2005 at 27,650 and declined every year until reaching a historic low of 3,925 homes permitted in 2009. An average of 11,250 homes was permitted annually from 2005 through 2010.

The rental housing market in the metropolitan area is currently soft, but conditions improved significantly during the past 2 years. According to Real Data, the apartment vacancy rate was 7.5 percent in May 2011, down from 9 percent in May 2010 and a record high of 10 percent in May 2009. Approximately 7,175 apartment units were absorbed during the past 2 years, following 3 years of negative absorption. Average rent increased to approximately \$840 in May 2011, a 2 percent increase from May 2010, the highest growth since 2006. The USF Tampa campus, which enrolls approximately 40,450 students, significantly impacts the local rental market. The campus houses an estimated 3,550 students; the remaining 36,900 students reside mainly in off-campus apartments, representing approximately 20 percent of renter households in Hillsborough County. According to Real Data, the Tampa-Northeast-1 submarket, where the USF Tampa campus is located, had an 8.8-percent apartment vacancy rate in May 2011, down significantly from 11.8 percent in May 2010. The average rent was approximately \$850, up 2 percent from the previous year.

Although the rental market is improving, new multifamily construction is still minimal. The significant number of condominiums and single-family homes that remain available for rent after overbuilding from 2003 through 2005 contribute to soft rental conditions. According to preliminary data, approximately 1,875 multifamily units were permitted during the 12 months ending May 2011, a decline of 880, or 32 percent, from the previous 12-month period, which is fewer permits than in any other year in the past decade. During the past 3 years, an average of 3,225 multifamily units was permitted annually. According to Real Data, as of May 2011, 2,350 apartments were under construction in the Tampa area. Colonial Grand at Hampton Preserve, a \$58 million apartment complex currently under construction in north Tampa, will consist of 486 one-, two-, and three-bedroom units, with completion expected in the fourth quarter of 2012 and move-ins beginning October 2011.

Victoria, Texas

The Victoria metropolitan area consists of Calhoun, Goliad, and Victoria Counties. Located along the Texas Gulf Coast, Victoria is about a 2-hour drive from Houston, San Antonio, Austin, and Corpus Christi. The largest private employers in the metropolitan area are Formosa Plastics Corporation, USA, Inteplast Group, Ltd., and DeTar Healthcare System, which employ approximately 1,500, 1,300, and 1,000 people, respectively. Formosa Plastics and Inteplast Group are part of the petrochemical industry, which significantly impacts the local economy.

As of July 1, 2011, the Victoria metropolitan area had an estimated population of 116,800. Approximately 66 percent of the population resides within Victoria County. Since 2008, the population has increased by an average of 0.8 percent annually compared with an average of less than 0.6 percent annually for the years 2005 through 2007. From 2005 through 2007, net out-migration averaged 150 people a year, but since 2008 this trend has reversed and in-migration has averaged 175 people a year. The development, beginning in 2008, of the Eagle Ford Shale, a large natural gas and oil field, is driving much of the recent in-migration. Victoria is home to the University of Houston-Victoria (UHV), which will become a 4-year university in 2011 after previously having courses for only juniors and seniors. The university's enrollment has increased by 950 students, or 28 percent, since 2009 and is expected to double, to nearly 8,000, by 2019. The Port of Victoria and Port Lavaca handle more than 12 million tons of cargo a year. The ports directly and indirectly support more than 25,000 jobs, with an annual economic impact of more than \$3.7 billion, according to the Center for Transportation Research at the University of Texas at Austin.

In December 2008, nonfarm payroll jobs in the Victoria metropolitan area began a decline that continued until April 2010, when job levels began to stabilize. Nonfarm payrolls increased during the 12 months ending April 2011 by 700 jobs, or 1.4 percent, to 49,300. The largest employment increase for the 12 months ending April 2011 occurred in the mining, logging, and construction sector, which added 600 jobs, reflecting a 9-percent growth. Job growth in this sector is driven by development of the Eagle Ford Shale, which in 2010 produced 79 billion cubic feet of natural gas and more than 3 million barrels of crude oil. Caterpillar Inc. has started construction of a new hydraulic excavator plant, which is expected to add about 500 permanent jobs to the local economy during the next 2 years. The education and health services sector increased by 300 jobs, or 4.4 percent, for the 12 months ending April 2011. Nonfarm payrolls in the government sector, which accounts for 18 percent of all jobs and is the largest sector in the metropolitan area, were down by 1.1 percent during the 12 months ending April 2011. The average unemployment rate during the 12 months ending April 2011 was 7.4 percent, down from 7.7 percent during the 12 months ending April 2010.

The sales housing market in the Victoria metropolitan area is currently soft. According to data from the Real Estate Center at Texas A&M University, during the 12 months ending April 2011, the number of new and existing home sales remained flat at about 740 but was inflated by a large number of sales in May 2010 due to the expiration of the first-time homebuyer tax credit. For the 3 months ending April 2011, home sales declined 15 percent, to approximately 170 homes sold, compared with the same period in 2010. During the 12 months ending April 2011, the average sales price increased 5 percent, to \$145,000. Despite significant declines in prices in other areas of the country, the most recent 12-month average sales price in the metropolitan area is higher than the average in any year previously recorded. During the 12 months ending April 2011, new home construction, as measured by the number of single-family building permits issued, remained virtually unchanged from the past several years, at 100 units, based on preliminary data. About 80 percent of new home construction in the metropolitan area in the past few years has occurred on the north side of Victoria in the vicinity of U.S. Highway 77, with new home prices averaging \$168,000.

Rental housing market conditions are currently soft, but improving. The rental vacancy rate in the metropolitan area is estimated at 8.3 percent, down from 10.7 percent in the 2010 Census, primarily because of the recent growth in the number of construction and mining jobs. Student enrollment increases at UHV, which has added only 180 beds in dormitory rooms over the past 2 years, have impacted the apartment housing market significantly. It is estimated that UHV students currently account for about 15 percent of apartment rentals in Victoria. In the first quarter of 2011, the apartment market was much tighter than the overall rental market, with an estimated apartment vacancy rate of 4 percent. Most of the apartments in the metropolitan area are in the city of Victoria. Students and newly relocated workers who want to live near services are driving down the vacancy rate within the city, while many single-family and mobile homes available for rent in the outlying areas are not occupied. The average rents are \$540, \$650, and \$740 for one-, two-, and three-bedroom apartments, respectively. Point Royale Apartments, a 120-unit, marketrate property, is under construction and expected to be completed by July 2012, with expected rents of \$700. \$925, and \$998 for one-, two-, and three-bedroom units, respectively. Before the issuance of building permits for this development, no market-rate apartments had been permitted since 1998. Only two low-income housing tax credit developments, totaling 280 units, have been added to the rental housing stock since 2000.



Units Authorized by Building Permits, Year to Date: HUD Regions and States

HUD Region and State	2011	Through J	une	201	10 Through	June		tio: 2011/20 Through Jur	
HOD Region and State	Total	Single Family	Multi- family*	Total	Single Family	Multi- family*	Total	Single Family	Multi- family*
Connecticut	1,403	1,034	369	1,985	1,253	732	0.707	0.825	0.504
Maine	1,000	891	109	1,518	1,421	97	0.659	0.627	1.124
Massachusetts	3,402	2,052	1,350	4,264	2,654	1,610	0.798	0.773	0.839
New Hampshire	1,136	742	394	1,542	1,033	509	0.737	0.718	0.774
Rhode Island	312	259	53	404	385	19	0.772	0.673	2.789
Vermont	572	387	185	797	727	70	0.718	0.532	2.643
New England	7,825	5,365	2,460	10,510	7,473	3,037	0.745	0.718	0.810
New Jersey	6,482	3,252	3,230	6,098	3,742	2,356	1.063	0.869	1.371
New York	11,033	3,637	7,396	10,189	5,062	5,127	1.083	0.718	1.443
New York/New Jersey	17,515	6,889	10,626	16,287	8,804	7 ,483	1.075	0.782	1.420
Delaware	1,495	1,231	264	1,687	1,495	192	0.886	0.823	1.375
District of Columbia	2,190	154	2,036	311	25	286	7.042	6.160	7.119
Maryland	5,166	3,999	1,167	6,601	4,471	2,130	0.783	0.894	0.548
Pennsylvania	8,136	6,395	1,741	9,721	8,311	1,410	0.837	0.769	1.235
Virginia	11,226	8,087	3,139	10,867	8,937	1,930	1.033	0.905	1.626
West Virginia	774	670	104	994	827	167	0.779	0.810	0.623
Mid-Atlantic	28,987	20,536	8,451	30,181	24,066	6,115	0.960	0.853	1.382
Alabama Florida Georgia Kentucky Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee Southeast/Caribbean	5,022 20,890 9,088 3,292 2,203 16,536 7,736 6,527 71,294	3,912 15,781 7,278 2,391 1,987 12,329 6,600 5,519 55,797	1,110 5,109 1,810 901 216 4,207 1,136 1,008 15,497	6,041 21,776 9,639 3,386 2,461 18,525 8,370 9,291 79,489	4,688 17,570 8,524 2,965 2,342 14,783 7,589 6,830 65,291	1,353 4,206 1,115 421 119 3,742 781 2,461 14,198	0.831 0.959 0.943 0.972 0.895 0.893 0.924 0.703 0.897	0.834 0.898 0.854 0.806 0.848 0.834 0.834 0.870 0.808 0.855	0.820 1.215 1.623 2.140 1.815 1.124 1.455 0.410 1.091
Illinois	4,897	3,349	1,548	5,705	4,243	1,462	0.858	0.789	1.059
Indiana	5,515	4,497	1,018	6,726	5,433	1,293	0.820	0.828	0.787
Michigan	4,250	3,605	645	4,176	3,697	479	1.018	0.975	1.347
Minnesota	3,493	2,856	637	4,740	3,509	1,231	0.737	0.814	0.517
Ohio	6,184	4,726	1,458	6,867	5,721	1,146	0.901	0.826	1.272
Wisconsin	5,391	3,290	2,101	5,617	4,161	1,456	0.960	0.791	1.443
Midwest	29,730	22,323	7,40 7	33,831	26,764	7,067	0.879	0.834	1.048
Arkansas	3,445	1,983	1,462	3,992	2,559	1,433	0.863	0.775	1.020
Louisiana	6,495	5,135	1,360	5,625	5,268	357	1.155	0.975	3.810
New Mexico	1,985	1,847	138	2,503	2,269	234	0.793	0.814	0.590
Oklahoma	4,663	3,389	1,274	4,569	3,785	784	1.021	0.895	1.625
Texas	46,387	33,184	13,203	45,893	37,842	8,051	1.011	0.877	1.640
Southwest	62,975	45,538	17,437	62,582	51,723	10,859	1.006	0.880	1.606
Iowa	3,653	2,721	932	3,693	3,001	692	0.989	0.907	1.347
Kansas	2,235	1,625	610	2,431	2,012	419	0.919	0.808	1.456
Missouri	4,377	2,655	1,722	4,093	3,113	980	1.069	0.853	1.757
Nebraska	2,218	1,815	403	2,461	2,219	242	0.901	0.818	1.665
Great Plains	12,483	8,816	3,667	12,678	10,345	2,333	0.985	0.852	1.572
Colorado	5,894	4,505	1,389	6,050	4,800	1,250	0.974	0.939	1.111
Montana	916	666	250	1,038	765	273	0.882	0.871	0.916
North Dakota	1,866	1,045	821	1,385	1,157	228	1.347	0.903	3.601
South Dakota	1,344	1,041	303	1,612	1,217	395	0.834	0.855	0.767
Utah	4,126	3,182	944	4,873	4,012	861	0.847	0.793	1.096
Wyoming	851	699	152	1,272	669	603	0.669	1.045	0.252
Rocky Mountain	14,997	11,138	3,859	16,230	12,620	3,610	0.924	0.883	1.069
Arizona	6,005	5,294	711	7,182	6,450	732	0.836	0.821	0.971
California	22,964	11,609	11,355	21,178	13,396	7,782	1.084	0.867	1.459
Hawaii	1,334	891	443	2,185	964	1,221	0.611	0.924	0.363
Nevada	3,727	2,495	1,232	4,019	3,315	704	0.927	0.753	1.750
Pacific	34,030	20,289	13,741	34,564	24,125	10,439	0.985	0.841	1.316
Alaska Idaho Oregon Washington Northwest United States	467 1,833 3,589 10,106 15,995	372 1,614 2,534 6,977 11,497	95 219 1,055 3,129 4,498	480 2,806 3,947 10,480 17,713	392 2,453 3,173 7,975 13,993	88 353 774 2,505 3,720 68,861	0.973 0.653 0.909 0.964 0.903 0.942	0.949 0.658 0.799 0.875 0.822 0.849	1.080 0.620 1.363 1.249 1.209 1.273
*Maltifenzile in terre en mone anite in a	295,831	208,188	87,643	314,065	245,204	00,001	0.744	0.047	1.4/J

*Multifamily is two or more units in structure. Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce

Regional Activity

Units Authorized by Building Permits, Year to Date: 50 Most Active Core Based Statistical Areas (CBSAs)** (Listed by Total Building Permits)

			2011 Through J	une
CBSA	CBSA Name	Total	Single Family	Multifamily*
26420	Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX	15,018	11,384	3,634
19100	Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	11,671	7,291	4,380
35620	New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	11,316	2,879	8,437
47900	Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV	9,467	4,894	4,573
31100	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA	8,431	2,471	5,960
12420	Austin-Round Rock, TX	5,545	3,369	2,176
42660	Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA	5,414	3,129	2,285
12060	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA	4,150	3,244	906
38060	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	3,997	3,722	275
37980	Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD	3,317	2,332	985
39580	Raleigh-Cary, NC	3,222	2,330	892
29820	Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	3,187	2,077	1,110
45300	Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	3,135	2,335	800
33100	Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, FL	3,043	1,716	1,327
36740	Orlando-Kissimmee, FL	2,965	2,099	866
41740	San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	2,932	1,300	1,632
16740	Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC	2,856	2,249	607
41700	San Antonio, TX	2,829	2,168	661
14460	Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	2,785	1,506	1,279
41860	San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA	2,687	1,020	1,667
16980	Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL-IN-WI	2,646	1,790	856
19740	Denver-Aurora, CO	2,564	1,723	841
26900	Indianapolis, IN	2,442	1,903	539
40140	Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	2,413	1,975	438
41180	St. Louis, MO-IL	2,238	1,599	639
47260	Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	2,218	1,540	678
38900	Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, OR-WA	2,207	1,544	663
34980	Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboro, TN	2,166	2,033	133
21340	El Paso, TX	2,076	1,713	363
18140	Columbus, OH	2,050	1,239	811
33460	Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	2,006	1,640	366
46140	Tulsa, OK	1,950	986	964
12580	Baltimore-Towson, MD	1,905	1,585	320
16700	Charleston-North Charleston, SC	1,861	1,369	492
27260	Jacksonville, FL	1,781	1,601	180
36420	Oklahoma City, OK	1,762	1,587	175
30780	Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR	1,662	713	949
12940	Baton Rouge, LA	1,654	1,257	397
28140	Kansas City, MO-KS	1,640	1,163	477
32580	McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	1,638	1,518	120
17140	Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN	1,588	1,284	304
17900	Columbia, SC	1,564	1,251	313
22180	Fayetteville, NC	1,529	771	758
19820	Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI	1,471	1,240	231
38300	Pittsburgh, PA	1,452	1,355	97
35840	North Port-Bradenton-Sarasota, FL	1,326	876	450
41620	Salt Lake City, UT	1,325	1,008	317
40060	Richmond, VA	1,290	1,201	89
36540	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	1,289	1,003	286
35380	New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	1,272	1,050	222

*Multifamily is two or more units in structure. **As per new Office of Management and Budget metropolitan area definitions.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce



Historical Data

Exhibit 1. New Privately Owned Housing Units Authorized:* 1967–Present**

			In Structu	res With		MS	As		Regi	ons	
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
				Aı	nnual Da	ita					
1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 1999 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 1,141.0\\ 1,353.4\\ 1,323.7\\ 1,351.5\\ 1,924.6\\ 2,218.9\\ 1,819.5\\ 1,074.4\\ 939.2\\ 1,296.2\\ 1,690.0\\ 1,800.5\\ 1,551.8\\ 1,190.6\\ 985.5\\ 1,000.5\\ 1,551.8\\ 1,190.6\\ 985.5\\ 1,000.5\\ 1,605.2\\ 1,$	$\begin{array}{c} 650.6\\ 694.7\\ 625.9\\ 646.8\\ 906.1\\ 1,033.1\\ 882.1\\ 643.8\\ 675.5\\ 893.6\\ 1,126.1\\ 1,182.6\\ 981.5\\ 710.4\\ 564.3\\ 546.4\\ 901.5\\ 922.4\\ 956.6\\ 1,077.6\\ 1,024.4\\ 993.8\\ 931.7\\ 793.9\\ 753.5\\ 910.7\\ 986.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 997.3\\ 1,069.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 997.3\\ 1,068.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 997.3\\ 1,068.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 997.3\\ 1,068.5\\ 1,0$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.5\\ 45.1\\ 44.7\\ 43.0\\ 61.8\\ 68.1\\ 53.8\\ 32.6\\ 34.1\\ 47.5\\ 62.1\\ 64.5\\ 59.5\\ 53.8\\ 44.6\\ 38.4\\ 45.5\\ 59.5\\ 53.8\\ 44.6\\ 38.4\\ 45.7\\ 59.5\\ 53.8\\ 44.6\\ 38.4\\ 45.0\\ 31.7\\ 26.7\\ 22.0\\ 23.3\\ 26.7\\ 31.4\\ 32.2\\ 33.6\\ 34.9\\ 33.2\\ 32.5\\ 30.6\\ 31.8\\ 37.2\\ 40.9\\ 43.0\\ 39.3\\ 35.3\\ 28.1\\ 16.8\\ 9.8\\ 9.9\end{array}$	30.5 39.2 40.5 45.1 71.1 80.5 63.2 31.7 29.8 45.6 59.2 66.1 65.9 60.7 57.2 49.9 76.1 80.7 66.1 58.0 48.5 40.7 35.3 27.6 21.1 22.5 25.6 30.8 31.5 32.2 33.6 34.3 34.2 36.5 41.6 47.4 44.7 41.3 31.5 17.6 10.1 10.9	$\begin{array}{c} 417.5\\ 574.4\\ 612.7\\ 616.7\\ 885.7\\ 1,037.2\\ 820.5\\ 366.2\\ 199.8\\ 309.5\\ 442.7\\ 487.3\\ 444.8\\ 365.7\\ 319.4\\ 365.8\\ 570.1\\ 616.8\\ 656.6\\ 583.5\\ 421.1\\ 386.1\\ 339.8\\ 262.6\\ 152.1\\ 138.4\\ 160.2\\ 241.0\\ 271.5\\ 290.3\\ 310.3\\ 355.5\\ 351.1\\ 329.3\\ 335.2\\ 341.4\\ 345.8\\ 366.2\\ 382.5\\ 384.1\\ 349.5\\ 295.4\\ 117.2\\ 130.6\\ \end{array}$	918.0 1,104.6 1,074.1 1,067.6 1,597.6 1,798.0 1,483.5 835.0 704.1 1,001.9 1,326.3 1,398.6 1,210.6 911.0 765.2 812.6 1,359.7 1,456.2 1,507.6 1,551.3 1,319.5 1,239.7 1,127.6 910.9 766.8 888.5 1,009.0 1,144.1 1,116.8 1,200.0 1,220.2 1,377.9 1,427.4 1,364.9 1,410.4 1,501.5 1,670.4 1,814.8 1,884.7 1,598.4 1,207.1 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 799.7 776.7 7 776.7 7 776.7 7 776.7 7 7 776.7 7 7 7	223.0 248.8 249.6 284.0 327.0 420.9 336.0 239.4 235.1 294.2 363.7 401.9 341.2 279.6 220.4 187.9 245.5 225.7 225.6 218.1 215.2 215.9 210.8 199.9 182.0 206.5 190.1 227.5 215.8 225.6 220.9 234.4 236.1 227.3 226.3 246.1 217.3 226.3 246.1 218.8 255.3 270.7 240.5 191.3 128.6	$\begin{array}{c} 222.6\\ 234.8\\ 215.8\\ 218.3\\ 303.6\\ 333.3\\ 271.9\\ 165.4\\ 129.5\\ 152.4\\ 181.9\\ 194.4\\ 166.9\\ 117.9\\ 109.8\\ 106.7\\ 164.1\\ 200.8\\ 259.7\\ 283.3\\ 271.8\\ 230.2\\ 179.0\\ 125.8\\ 109.8\\ 124.8\\ 133.5\\ 138.5\\ 124.2\\ 136.9\\ 141.9\\ 159.4\\ 164.9\\ 165.1\\ 159.8\\ 173.7\\ 182.4\\ 199.8\\ 174.6\\ 150.6\\ 119.0\\ 65.9\\ 75.5\\ \end{array}$	309.8 350.1 317.0 287.4 421.1 440.8 361.4 241.3 241.5 326.1 402.4 388.0 289.1 192.0 133.3 126.3 126.3 126.3 211.7 237.0 290.0 282.3 266.3 252.1 233.8 215.4 259.0 276.6 305.2 296.6 317.8 299.8 327.2 345.4 323.8 325.4 323.8 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.4 325.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 327.2 345.4 371.0 370.5 362.8 279.4 211.7 7.7 97.6 100.4	$\begin{array}{r} 390.8\\ 477.3\\ 470.5\\ 502.9\\ 725.4\\ 905.4\\ 905.4\\ 763.2\\ 390.1\\ 292.7\\ 401.7\\ 561.1\\ 628.0\\ 561.9\\ 491.1\\ 543.5\\ 862.9\\ 812.1\\ 752.6\\ 686.5\\ 574.7\\ 543.5\\ 505.3\\ 426.2\\ 375.7\\ 442.5\\ 500.7\\ 585.5\\ 583.2\\ 623.4\\ 635.9\\ 724.5\\ 748.9\\ 701.9\\ 730.3\\ 790.7\\ 849.3\\ 960.8\\ 1,027.7\\ 929.7\\ 692.2\\ 451.9\\ 292.4\\ 293.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 217.\\ 291.\\ 320.\\ 342.\\ 474.\\ 539.\\ 423.\\ 277.\\ 275.\\ 416.\\ 544.\\ 550.\\ 467.\\ 318.\\ 251.\\ 224.\\ 390.\\ 457.\\ 483.\\ 509.\\ 405.\\ 402.\\ 324.\\ 247.\\ 268.\\ 324.\\ 247.\\ 268.\\ 324.\\ 247.\\ 268.\\ 324.\\ 325.\\ 343.\\ 106.\\ 116.\\ 128.\\ 343.\\ 196.\\ 116.\\ 128.\\ 344.\\ 324.\\ 345.\\$
	1 .,	1			1	justed A	1			_/ 0.0/	
2010						,					
Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	632 582 585 575 575 562 555 564 630	473 435 423 409 405 403 407 420 445	19 20 21 22 21 25 24 20 25		140 127 141 144 149 134 124 124 160	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		72 66 79 63 70 68 65 64 114	116 103 96 102 98 96 111 94 97	316 300 293 297 280 287 269 283 257	128 113 117 113 127 111 110 123 162
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	568 534 574 563 609 617	419 382 392 395 406 402	20 15 16 21 20 21		129 137 166 147 183 194	NA NA NA NA NA	L L L	77 63 60 59 80 71	94 86 94 94 97 99	286 288 296 284 293 306	111 97 124 126 139 141

*Authorized in permit-issuing places. **Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. MSA = Metropolitan statistical area. NA = Data published only annually.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce http://www.census.gov/const/newresconst.pdf



			In Structu	res With		MS	As		Regi	ions	
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
					nnual Da	ita					
1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 1,291.6\\ 1,507.6\\ 1,466.8\\ 1,433.6\\ 2,052.2\\ 2,356.6\\ 2,045.3\\ 1,337.7\\ 1,160.4\\ 1,537.5\\ 1,987.1\\ 2,020.3\\ 1,745.1\\ 1,292.2\\ 1,084.2\\ 1,062.2\\ 1,703.0\\ 1,749.5\\ 1,741.8\\ 1,805.4\\ 1,620.5\\ 1,488.1\\ 1,376.1\\ 1,192.7\\ 1,013.9\\ 1,192.7\\ 1,287.6\\ 1,457.0\\ 1,354.1\\ 1,476.8\\ 1,474.0\\ 1,616.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,568.7\\ 1,602.7\\ 1,704.9\\ 1,555.8\\ 2,068.3\\ 1,800.9\\ 1,355.0\\ 905.5\\ 554.0\\ 586.9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 843.9\\899.4\\810.6\\812.9\\1,151.0\\1,309.2\\1,132.0\\888.1\\892.2\\1,162.4\\1,450.9\\1,433.3\\1,194.1\\852.2\\705.4\\662.6\\1,067.6\\1,084.2\\1,072.4\\1,179.4\\1,146.4\\1,081.3\\1,003.3\\894.8\\840.4\\1,029.9\\1,125.7\\1,198.4\\1,076.2\\1,160.9\\1,133.7\\1,271.4\\1,302.4\\1,230.9\\1,273.3\\1,358.6\\1,499.0\\1,610.5\\1,715.8\\1,465.4\\1,046.0\\622.0\\445.1\\471.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.4\\ 46.0\\ 43.0\\ 42.4\\ 55.1\\ 67.1\\ 54.2\\ 33.2\\ 34.5\\ 44.0\\ 60.7\\ 62.2\\ 56.1\\ 48.8\\ 38.2\\ 31.9\\ 41.8\\ 38.6\\ 37.0\\ 36.1\\ 27.8\\ 23.4\\ 19.9\\ 16.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 15.7\\ 15.0\\ 15.2\\ 17.2\\ 14.0\\ 15.7\\ 17.7\\ 15.3\\ 15.3\\ 12.1\\ 6.2\\ 6.3\\ 5.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.2\\ 34.9\\ 42.0\\ 42.4\\ 65.2\\ 74.2\\ 64.1\\ 34.9\\ 29.5\\ 41.9\\ 61.0\\ 62.8\\ 65.9\\ 60.7\\ 52.9\\ 48.1\\ 71.7\\ 82.8\\ 56.4\\ 47.9\\ 37.5\\ 35.4\\ 35.3\\ 21.4\\ 20.1\\ 18.3\\ 18.3\\ 20.2\\ 19.4\\ 28.8\\ 26.4\\ 26.9\\ 16.9\\ 23.5\\ 19.3\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 17.8\\ 24.4\\ 19.6\\ 11.4\\ 5.2\\ 5.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 376.1\\ 527.3\\ 571.2\\ 535.9\\ 780.9\\ 9906.2\\ 795.0\\ 381.6\\ 204.3\\ 289.2\\ 414.4\\ 462.0\\ 429.0\\ 330.5\\ 287.7\\ 319.6\\ 522.0\\ 544.0\\ 576.1\\ 542.0\\ 408.7\\ 348.0\\ 317.6\\ 260.4\\ 137.9\\ 139.0\\ 132.6\\ 223.5\\ 244.1\\ 270.8\\ 295.8\\ 302.9\\ 306.6\\ 229.1\\ 292.8\\ 307.9\\ 315.2\\ 303.0\\ 311.4\\ 292.8\\ 307.9\\ 315.2\\ 303.0\\ 311.4\\ 292.8\\ 277.3\\ 266.0\\ 97.3\\ 104.3\\ \end{array}$	902.9 1,096.4 1,078.7 1,017.9 1,501.8 1,720.4 1,495.4 922.5 760.3 1,043.5 1,377.3 1,432.1 1,240.6 913.6 759.8 784.8 1,351.1 1,414.6 1,493.9 1,546.3 1,372.2 1,243.0 1,128.1 1,414.6 1,493.9 1,546.3 1,372.2 1,243.0 1,128.1 1,414.6 1,493.9 1,546.3 1,372.2 1,243.0 1,128.1 1,414.6 1,493.9 1,546.3 1,372.2 1,243.0 1,221.3 1,349.9 1,367.7 1,297.3 1,329.4 1,398.1 1,517.5 1,577.5 1,577.5 1,579.2 1,299.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,599.2 1,290.0 1,221.3 1,329.4 1,398.1 1,517.5 1,577.5 1,577.5 1,577.5 1,579.5 1,599.2 1,599.	$\begin{array}{c} 388.7\\ 411.2\\ 388.0\\ 415.7\\ 550.4\\ 636.2\\ 549.9\\ 415.3\\ 400.1\\ 494.1\\ 609.8\\ 588.2\\ 504.6\\ 378.7\\ 324.3\\ 277.4\\ 351.9\\ 334.9\\ 247.9\\ 245.1\\ 248.0\\ 245.1\\ 248.2\\ 245.1\\ 248.2\\ 245.1\\ 248.2\\ 245.1\\ 248.2\\ 245.7\\ 2255.8\\ 273.9\\ 247.6\\ 265.5\\ 252.7\\ 267.0\\ 273.2\\ 271.4\\ 273.3\\ 306.8\\ 330.3\\ 363.3\\ 239.1\\ 201.7\\ 159.1\\ 106.6\\ 76.1\\ 75.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 214.9\\ 226.8\\ 206.1\\ 217.9\\ 263.8\\ 329.5\\ 277.3\\ 183.2\\ 149.2\\ 169.2\\ 201.6\\ 200.3\\ 177.9\\ 125.4\\ 117.3\\ 116.7\\ 167.6\\ 204.1\\ 253.5\\ 269.0\\ 235.3\\ 178.5\\ 131.3\\ 112.9\\ 126.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 163.9\\ 175.4\\ 189.7\\ 167.2\\ 142.9\\ 121.0\\ 61.8\\ 71.6\end{array}$	337.1 368.6 348.7 293.5 434.1 442.8 439.7 317.3 294.0 400.1 464.6 451.2 349.2 218.1 165.2 149.1 217.9 243.4 239.7 295.8 297.9 274.0 265.8 297.9 274.0 265.8 297.9 274.0 265.8 297.7 328.9 290.1 321.5 303.6 330.5 347.3 317.5 330.4 349.6 377.5 330.4 349.6 377.5 330.4 349.6 377.5 355.7 357.4 279.5 210.1 134.9 97.9 97.9	$\begin{array}{c} 519.5\\ 618.5\\ 588.4\\ 611.6\\ 868.7\\ 1,057.0\\ 899.4\\ 552.8\\ 442.1\\ 568.5\\ 783.1\\ 823.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 747.5\\ 836.0\\ 791.0\\ 746.0\\ 713.6\\ 732.0\\ 743.0\\ 746.0\\ 713.6\\ 732.0\\ 743.0\\ 746.0\\ 713.6\\ 732.0\\ 743.0\\ 746.0\\ 713.6\\ 732.0\\ 745.5\\ 838.4\\ 998.5\\ 996.1\\ 910.3\\ 681.1\\ 453.4\\ 278.2\\ 297.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220.1\\ 293.7\\ 323.5\\ 310.5\\ 485.6\\ 527.4\\ 428.8\\ 284.5\\ 275.1\\ 397.9\\ 545.2\\ 470.5\\ 306.0\\ 240.0\\ 240.0\\ 205.4\\ 382.3\\ 436.0\\ 468.2\\ 483.0\\ 468.2\\ 483.0\\ 468.2\\ 483.0\\ 419.8\\ 403.9\\ 395.7\\ 328.9\\ 254.0\\ 288.3\\ 301.7\\ 350.8\\ 331.3\\ 301.7\\ 350.8\\ 331.3\\ 361.4\\ 363.3\\ 394.9\\ 391.9\\ 383.1\\ 391.1\\ 415.5\\ 473.6\\ 516.2\\ 525.1\\ 443.8\\ 320.9\\ 196.2\\ 116.8\\ 119.9\\ \end{array}$
		Mon	thly Dat	a (Seaso	nally Ad	justed A	nnual R	ates)			
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	687 580 539 550 606 597 539 551 526	566 460 451 429 427 447 434 454 454	N N N N N N N N	A A A A A A A	108 108 83 102 165 144 93 82 97	N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N.	A A A A A A	85 71 60 76 72 69 82 78 58	119 106 85 92 107 95 108 65	374 289 286 275 287 298 265 267 269	109 114 108 107 140 133 97 98 134
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	636 518 593 549 549 629	437 388 418 411 414 453	N N N N N	A A A A	187 112 164 124 129 170	N. N. N. N. N.	A A A A	94 55 61 60 57 77	115 63 81 96 99 124	306 310 337 274 264 292	121 90 114 119 129 136

Exhibit 2. New Privately Owned Housing Units Started: 1967–Present*

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. MSA = Metropolitan statistical area. NA = Data published only annually. Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce

http://www.census.gov/const/newresconst.pdf



		I	n Structur	es With		MS	As		Regio	ons	
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
				A	nnual Da	ata					
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 922.0\\ 1,254.0\\ 1,542.1\\ 1,454.4\\ 1,000.8\\ 794.3\\ 922.0\\ 1,208.0\\ 1,310.2\\ 1,140.1\\ 896.1\\ 682.4\\ 720.0\\ 1,002.8\\ 1,050.5\\ 1,062.5\\ 1,073.5\\ 987.3\\ 919.4\\ 850.3\\ 711.4\\ 606.3\\ 612.4\\ 680.1\\ 762.2\\ 775.9\\ 792.3\\ 846.7\\ 970.8\\ 952.8\\ 933.8\\ 959.4\\ 1,001.2\\ 1,141.4\\ 1,237.1\\ 1,355.9\\ 1,204.9\\ 1,025.0\\ 780.9\\ 495.4\\ 411.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 381.1\\ 504.9\\ 612.5\\ 521.7\\ 441.1\\ 447.5\\ 562.6\\ 729.8\\ 764.5\\ 638.7\\ 514.5\\ 381.7\\ 399.7\\ 523.9\\ 556.0\\ 538.6\\ 583.1\\ 599.6\\ 535.1\\ 449.1\\ 433.5\\ 472.7\\ 543.0\\ 557.8\\ 547.2\\ 550.0\\ 554.6\\ 659.1\\ 647.6\\ 623.4\\ 638.3\\ 668.8\\ 772.9\\ 850.3\\ 929.1\\ 764.7\\ 579.1\\ 377.3\\ 283.1\\ 247.3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.8\\ 26.7\\ 36.4\\ 31.0\\ 19.4\\ 20.1\\ 22.7\\ 34.0\\ 36.1\\ 31.3\\ 28.3\\ 16.5\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 16.1\\ 11.9\\ 10.9\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 10.9\\ 10.4\\ 11.2\\ 8.3\\ 9.0\\ 10.2\\ 11.8\\ 10.9\\ 10.4\\ 14.0\\ 14.7\\ 12.2\\ 10.9\\ 5.8\\ 5.3\\ 4.9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27.3\\ 37.8\\ 46.4\\ 48.0\\ 29.1\\ 27.4\\ 31.8\\ 44.9\\ 47.3\\ 46.7\\ 40.3\\ 29.0\\ 24.9\\ 39.1\\ 42.5\\ 34.9\\ 28.4\\ 22.5\\ 24.1\\ 25.1\\ 15.1\\ 14.5\\ 11.3\\ 12.4\\ 12.9\\ 12.7\\ 19.1\\ 20.7\\ 20.5\\ 12.1\\ 19.5\\ 16.7\\ 15.5\\ 13.9\\ 24.1\\ 20.7\\ 20.5\\ 12.1\\ 19.5\\ 16.7\\ 15.5\\ 13.9\\ 24.1\\ 20.7\\ 20.5\\ 12.1\\ 19.5\\ 16.7\\ 15.5\\ 13.9\\ 24.1\\ 20.7\\ 20.5\\ 12.1\\ 19.5\\ 16.7\\ 15.5\\ 13.9\\ 24.1\\ 20.3\\ 22.7\\ 18.7\\ 12.0\\ 6.6\\ 5.8\\ \end{array}$	490.8 684.6 846.8 853.6 511.3 299.4 304.9 399.3 462.2 423.4 313.1 255.3 278.9 420.8 431.0 468.4 442.7 356.9 309.5 278.1 236.3 149.2 122.8 118.2 123.4 316.1 260.2 282.9 284.1 280.7 292.6 306.0 344.2 348.7 391.8 405.3 416.3 385.8 200.4 153.1	NA NA NA NA NA S63.2 658.5 862.5 968.0 820.1 620.9 458.9 511.7 757.8 814.1 885.1 889.7 820.6 757.5 686.7 553.9 458.4 458.4 453.1 521.0 597.6 620.1 629.9 684.4 794.8 786.1 759.8 786.1 759.8 790.6 817.7 940.4 1,011.8 1,194.3 1,062.5 907.2 703.6 432.9	NA NA NA NA NA 231.1 263.5 345.5 342.2 320.0 275.2 223.5 208.3 245.0 236.4 177.4 173.8 166.7 161.9 163.6 157.5 147.9 159.4 159.4 159.4 159.4 159.1 164.5 155.8 162.4 163.2 176.0 166.6 173.9 168.7 183.4 201.0 225.3 161.6 142.4 117.7 77.3 62.4 57.8	$\begin{array}{c} 197.1\\ 236.6\\ 264.4\\ 239.4\\ 178.0\\ 130.2\\ 125.4\\ 145.5\\ 158.3\\ 146.7\\ 120.1\\ 103.2\\ 98.6\\ 120.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 158.8\\ 152.5\\ 103.5\\ 100.0\\ 116.1\\ 125.0\\ 128.1\\ 146.8\\ 171.9\\ 162.3\\ 155.9\\ 157.3\\ 112.2\\ 97.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 189.3\\ 278.5\\ 306.8\\ 293.1\\ 218.8\\ 195.1\\ 232.1\\ 232.1\\ 232.1\\ 232.5\\ 171.4\\ 109.7\\ 112.4\\ 109.7\\ 112.4\\ 122.6\\ 137.3\\ 143.8\\ 165.7\\ 158.7\\ 148.1\\ 145.5\\ 133.4\\ 122.4\\ 137.8\\ 154.4\\ 173.5\\ 172.0\\ 178.0\\ 181.9\\ 201.2\\ 202.5\\ 186.6\\ 195.9\\ 207.1\\ 234.7\\ 222.4\\ 183.7\\ 162.5\\ 103.9\\ 76.4\\ 65.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 359.2\\ 494.4\\ 669.1\\ 650.2\\ 418.9\\ 298.1\\ 333.3\\ 457.3\\ 497.6\\ 449.3\\ 376.7\\ 299.7\\ 344.0\\ 520.6\\ 449.3\\ 376.7\\ 299.7\\ 344.0\\ 520.6\\ 488.9\\ 437.5\\ 387.3\\ 342.5\\ 308.2\\ 282.1\\ 242.3\\ 308.5\\ 228.4\\ 265.4\\ 312.1\\ 331.4\\ 337.6\\ 326.5\\ 422.3\\ 397.6\\ 396.5\\ 413.0\\ 482.6\\ 536.4\\ 604.2\\ 534.3\\ 431.6\\ 311.6\\ 183.6\\ 161.3\\ \end{array}$	$176.4 \\ 244.4 \\ 301.8 \\ 271.7 \\ 185.1 \\ 171.0 \\ 231.2 \\ 320.6 \\ 345.2 \\ 311.6 \\ 227.9 \\ 169.8 \\ 165.0 \\ 238.8 \\ 271.7 \\ 294.7 \\ 301.5 \\ 264.4 \\ 261.6 \\ 263.9 \\ 214.1 \\ 171.6 \\ 164.8 \\ 170.9 \\ 180.3 \\ 186.3 \\ 191.4 \\ 213.0 \\ 242.6 \\ 224.5 \\ 239.5 \\ 250.9 \\ 256.0 \\ 296.1 \\ 331.6 \\ 358.4 \\ 324.6 \\ 274.9 \\ 208.1 \\ 123.2 \\ 87.0 \\ \end{array}$
	1	1	Mont	hly Data	ı (Season	ally Adju	usted)		1	1	1
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	489 477 447 444 443 439 434 431 430	308 302 282 278 274 270 264 266 262	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		171 164 155 155 158 159 160 154 157	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		108 106 103 103 102 101 99 99 100	81 79 71 71 71 71 70 69 67	186 184 176 175 174 174 172 173 171	114 108 97 95 96 93 93 93 90 92
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	430 423 420 418 415 419	259 252 252 250 247 248	NA NA NA NA NA	A A A A	160 160 156 157 157 161	NA NA NA NA NA	A A A A	100 99 99 96 93 93	68 66 66 67 65 66	171 171 169 169 171 171	91 87 86 86 86 89

Exhibit 3. New Privately Owned Housing Units Under Construction: 1970–Present*

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. MSA = Metropolitan statistical area. NA = Data published only annually. Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development http://www.census.gov/const/newresconst.pdf



Exhibit 4. New Privately Owned Housing Units Completed: 1970–Present*

			In Structu	res With		MS	As		Regi	ons	
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
				Α	nnual Da	ata					
$\begin{array}{c} 1970\\ 1971\\ 1972\\ 1973\\ 1974\\ 1975\\ 1976\\ 1977\\ 1978\\ 1979\\ 1980\\ 1981\\ 1982\\ 1983\\ 1984\\ 1985\\ 1984\\ 1985\\ 1986\\ 1987\\ 1988\\ 1989\\ 1990\\ 1991\\ 1992\\ 1993\\ 1994\\ 1995\\ 1996\\ 1997\\ 1998\\ 1999\\ 1996\\ 1997\\ 1998\\ 1999\\ 2000\\ 2001\\ 2002\\ 2003\\ 2004\\ 2005\\ 2006\\ 2007\\ 2008\\ 2009\\ 2010\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,418.4\\ 1,706.1\\ 2,003.9\\ 2,100.5\\ 1,728.5\\ 1,317.2\\ 1,377.2\\ 1,657.1\\ 1,867.5\\ 1,870.8\\ 1,501.6\\ 1,265.7\\ 1,005.5\\ 1,390.3\\ 1,652.2\\ 1,703.3\\ 1,652.2\\ 1,703.3\\ 1,652.2\\ 1,703.3\\ 1,652.2\\ 1,703.3\\ 1,668.8\\ 1,529.8\\ 1,422.8\\ 1,308.0\\ 1,090.8\\ 1,157.5\\ 1,192.7\\ 1,346.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,412.9\\ 1,573.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,648.4\\ 1,678.7\\ 1,570.8\\ 1,19.7\\ 794.4\\ 651.7\\ \end{array}$	801.8 1,014.0 1,160.2 1,197.2 940.3 874.8 1,034.2 1,258.4 1,369.0 1,301.0 956.7 818.5 631.5 923.7 1,025.1 1,072.5 1,120.2 1,122.8 1,084.6 1,026.3 966.0 837.6 963.6 1,039.4 1,160.3 1,065.5 1,128.5 1,116.4 1,159.7 1,270.4 1,255.9 1,325.1 1,386.3 1,325.5 1,124.18 1,255.9 1,325.1 1,386.3 1,531.5 1,635.5 1,218.4 818.8 520.1 496.3 1,496.4 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.3 1,496.5 1,218.4 1,496.3 1	$\begin{array}{c} 42.9\\ 50.9\\ 54.0\\ 59.9\\ 43.5\\ 31.5\\ 40.8\\ 48.9\\ 59.0\\ 60.5\\ 51.4\\ 49.2\\ 29.8\\ 37.0\\ 35.0\\ 36.4\\ 35.0\\ 29.0\\ 23.5\\ 24.1\\ 16.5\\ 16.9\\ 15.1\\ 9.5\\ 12.1\\ 14.8\\ 13.6\\ 13.6\\ 13.6\\ 16.2\\ 12.5\\ 12.6\\ 14.3\\ 13.1\\ 13.9\\ 11.2\\ 13.1\\ 16.4\\ 12.4\\ 9.3\\ 5.4\\ 3.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.2\\ 55.2\\ 64.9\\ 63.6\\ 51.8\\ 29.1\\ 36.5\\ 46.1\\ 57.2\\ 64.4\\ 67.2\\ 62.4\\ 51.1\\ 55.2\\ 77.3\\ 60.7\\ 51.0\\ 42.4\\ 33.2\\ 34.6\\ 28.2\\ 19.7\\ 20.8\\ 16.7\\ 19.5\\ 23.4\\ 24.4\\ 22.6\\ 14.7\\ 19.6\\ 21.9\\ 17.7\\ 12.2\\ 24.4\\ 24.3\\ 19.0\\ 14.4\\ 9.1\\ 5.2\\ \end{array}$	531.5 586.1 724.7 779.8 692.9 381.8 265.8 303.7 382.2 444.9 426.3 335.7 293.1 374.4 514.8 533.6 550.1 474.6 388.6 337.9 297.3 216.6 158.0 127.1 154.9 212.4 251.3 247.1 273.9 299.3 304.7 281.0 288.2 260.8 286.9 258.0 284.2 255.0 284.2 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 284.5 255.8 285.9 255.8	1,013.2 1,192.5 1,430.9 1,541.0 1,266.1 922.6 950.1 1,161.9 1,313.6 1,332.0 1,078.9 888.4 708.2 1,073.9 1,316.7 1,422.2 1,073.9 1,316.7 1,422.2 1,073.9 1,316.7 1,422.4 1,286.1 1,181.2 1,060.2 862.1 909.5 943.0 1,086.3 1,065.0 1,163.4 1,152.8 1,228.5 1,336.8 1,313.7 1,305.1 1,367.4 1,381.5 1,514.5 1,514.5 1,702.0 1,760.1 1,332.9 977.4 708.5 577.7	$\begin{array}{c} 405.2\\ 513.6\\ 573.0\\ 559.5\\ 462.4\\ 394.5\\ 427.2\\ 495.2\\ 553.9\\ 538.8\\ 422.7\\ 377.4\\ 297.3\\ 316.5\\ 335.6\\ 281.0\\ 254.3\\ 248.4\\ 243.7\\ 241.7\\ 247.7\\ 228.7\\ 248.0\\ 249.8\\ 260.6\\ 249.8\\ 260.6\\ 249.4\\ 247.7\\ 245.7\\ 268.0\\ 260.0\\ 265.7\\ 281.0\\ 297.1\\ 327.4\\ 29.5\\ 219.3\\ 169.9\\ 142.3\\ 85.9\\ 74.0\\ \end{array}$	184.9 225.8 281.1 294.0 231.7 185.8 170.2 176.8 181.9 188.4 146.0 127.3 120.5 138.9 168.2 213.8 254.0 257.4 250.2 218.8 157.7 120.1 136.4 117.6 123.4 126.9 125.1 134.0 137.3 142.7 146.1 144.8 147.9 154.6 155.9 170.7 179.1 144.8 109.6 94.2 80.4	$\begin{array}{c} 323.4\\ 348.1\\ 411.8\\ 441.7\\ 377.4\\ 313.2\\ 355.6\\ 400.0\\ 416.5\\ 414.7\\ 273.5\\ 217.7\\ 143.0\\ 200.8\\ 221.1\\ 230.5\\ 269.8\\ 302.3\\ 280.3\\ 267.1\\ 263.3\\ 240.4\\ 268.4\\ 273.3\\ 307.1\\ 287.9\\ 304.5\\ 295.9\\ 305.1\\ 334.7\\ 334.7\\ 334.4\\ 316.4\\ 329.8\\ 332.2\\ 362.4\\ 351.9\\ 325.1\\ 222.7\\ 178.2\\ 119.2\\ 106.9\\ \end{array}$	594.6 727.0 848.5 906.3 755.8 531.3 513.2 636.1 752.0 761.7 696.1 626.4 538.8 746.0 866.6 812.2 763.8 660.4 594.8 549.4 510.7 438.9 462.4 510.7 438.9 462.4 512.0 580.9 581.1 634.1 671.6 732.7 729.3 757.8 755.6 840.4 903.7 986.7 766.1 567.4 393.5 316.7	315.5 405.2 462.4 458.6 363.6 286.8 338.3 444.2 517.1 506.0 386.0 294.3 203.2 304.6 396.4 446.8 446.2 336.4 360.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 394.8 366.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 316.7 346.2 395.5 147.7 147.7
		Mon	thly Dat	a (Seaso	onally Ac	ljusted A	nnual R	ates)			
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	744 702 881 581 607 634 601 551 565	551 517 684 476 482 486 479 432 454	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	4 4 4 4 4 4	181 180 189 97 119 137 115 114 100	N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/	A A A A A A	94 92 67 85 88 86 73 59	105 120 172 109 100 94 116 120 90	406 313 388 289 300 307 287 260 299	139 177 239 116 122 145 112 98 117
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun *Components may r	509 611 597 543 544 535	417 482 374 426 436 436	NA NA NA NA NA	4 4 4 4	86 121 215 100 104 89	NA NA NA NA NA	A A A A	66 69 67 70 69	92 96 94 98 103 101	246 325 342 277 240 270	105 121 92 101 131 95

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. MSA = Metropolitan statistical area. NA = Data published only annually. Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development http://www.census.gov/const/newresconst.pdf



Exhibit 5. Manufactured (Mobile) Home Shipments, Residential Placements, Average Prices, and Units for Sale: 1977–Present

	Shipments*		Placed fo	or Residentia	l Use*			
Period	United States	United States	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Average Price (\$)	For Sale
	·		Ann	ual Data				
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008	$\begin{array}{c} 266\\ 276\\ 277\\ 222\\ 241\\ 240\\ 296\\ 295\\ 284\\ 244\\ 233\\ 218\\ 198\\ 188\\ 171\\ 211\\ 254\\ 304\\ 340\\ 363\\ 354\\ 373\\ 348\\ 251\\ 193\\ 169\\ 131\\ 131\\ 147\\ 117\\ 96\\ 82\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50$	$\begin{array}{c} 258\\ 280\\ 280\\ 234\\ 229\\ 234\\ 278\\ 288\\ 283\\ 256\\ 239\\ 224\\ 203\\ 195\\ 174\\ 212\\ 243\\ 291\\ 319\\ 338\\ 336\\ 374\\ 338\\ 281\\ 196\\ 174\\ 140\\ 124\\ 123\\ 112\\ 95\\ 81\\ \end{array}$	$17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 24 \\ 23 \\ 20 \\ 19 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $	ual Data 51 50 47 32 30 26 34 35 40 39 37 40 39 35 42 45 53 58 59 55 58 54 50 38 34 25 21 17 15 11 8 5	$\begin{array}{c} 113\\ 135\\ 145\\ 140\\ 144\\ 161\\ 186\\ 193\\ 188\\ 162\\ 146\\ 131\\ 113\\ 108\\ 98\\ 124\\ 147\\ 178\\ 203\\ 218\\ 219\\ 250\\ 227\\ 177\\ 176\\ 116\\ 101\\ 77\\ 67\\ 68\\ 66\\ 59\\ 54\\ 26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78\\ 78\\ 78\\ 71\\ 49\\ 44\\ 35\\ 41\\ 39\\ 37\\ 35\\ 30\\ 32\\ 31\\ 31\\ 27\\ 30\\ 36\\ 44\\ 44\\ 44\\ 47\\ 50\\ 44\\ 44\\ 47\\ 50\\ 44\\ 44\\ 47\\ 50\\ 44\\ 39\\ 30\\ 27\\ 26\\ 29\\ 24\\ 18\\ 13\\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,200\\ 15,900\\ 17,600\\ 19,800\\ 19,900\\ 19,700\\ 21,000\\ 21,500\\ 21,800\\ 22,400\\ 23,700\\ 25,100\\ 27,200\\ 27,200\\ 27,200\\ 27,800\\ 27,700\\ 28,400\\ 30,500\\ 32,800\\ 35,300\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 74\\ 76\\ 58\\ 58\\ 73\\ 82\\ 78\\ 61\\ 58\\ 56\\ 49\\ 51\\ 61\\ 70\\ 83\\ 89\\ 91\\ 83\\ 88\\ 556\\ 47\\ 36\\ 35\\ 37\\ 34\\ 31\\ \end{array}$
2009 2010	50 50	52 49	4 4	5 5	36 34	7 6	63,100 62,600	26 22
	M	lonthly Da	ta (Seasona	ally Adjust	ed Annua	l Rates)		
2010 Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	53 54 56 55 51 50 47 44 44 40	46 49 59 57 58 52 51 43 46 43 45	3 2 3 5 5 4 4 4 3 4 4	6 8 6 6 6 7 4 5 5 7	31 36 40 40 39 37 34 39 31 28 28	6 5 8 7 8 6 6 6 5 6	67,400 61,900 61,700 62,100 63,700 63,300 60,600 60,600 65,300 60,800 63,300	24 24 23 23 23 23 22 23 22 23 22 22 22 22
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	45 44 47 47 48 50	37 47 44 43 39 NA	2 3 4 3 3 NA	4 6 5 4 5 NA	24 30 29 29 24 NA	6 7 7 6 NA	60,800 60,100 59,600 57,200 62,500 NA	22 21 22 22 22 22 NA

NA = Not available.

Sources: Shipments—National Conference of States on Building Codes and Standards; Placements—Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

http://www.census.gov/ftp/pub/const/www/mhsindex.html (See Current Tables, Monthly Tables.)



		Sold	During Po				Fo	or Sale at I	End of Peri	od		Months'
Period	United States	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	United States	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	United States	Supply at Current U.S. Sales Rate
					Annu	al Data				1		
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1996 1997 1998 1999 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 485\\ 656\\ 718\\ 634\\ 519\\ 549\\ 646\\ 819\\ 817\\ 709\\ 545\\ 436\\ 412\\ 623\\ 639\\ 688\\ 750\\ 671\\ 676\\ 650\\ 534\\ 750\\ 671\\ 676\\ 650\\ 534\\ 886\\ 880\\ 877\\ 757\\ 804\\ 886\\ 880\\ 877\\ 908\\ 973\\ 1,086\\ 1,203\\ 1,203\\ 1,203\\ 1,051\\ 776\\ 485\\ 375\\ 323\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61\\ 82\\ 96\\ 95\\ 69\\ 71\\ 72\\ 86\\ 78\\ 67\\ 50\\ 46\\ 47\\ 76\\ 94\\ 112\\ 136\\ 117\\ 101\\ 86\\ 71\\ 101\\ 86\\ 71\\ 101\\ 86\\ 71\\ 55\\ 60\\ 61\\ 55\\ 74\\ 78\\ 81\\ 76\\ 65\\ 65\\ 79\\ 83\\ 81\\ 66\\ 65\\ 79\\ 83\\ 81\\ 65\\ 35\\ 31\\ 31\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 127\\ 130\\ 120\\ 103\\ 106\\ 128\\ 162\\ 145\\ 112\\ 81\\ 60\\ 48\\ 71\\ 76\\ 82\\ 96\\ 97\\ 97\\ 102\\ 89\\ 97\\ 102\\ 89\\ 97\\ 102\\ 89\\ 93\\ 116\\ 123\\ 123\\ 125\\ 137\\ 140\\ 164\\ 168\\ 155\\ 164\\ 185\\ 189\\ 210\\ 205\\ 161\\ 118\\ 70\\ 54\\ 45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 203\\ 270\\ 305\\ 257\\ 207\\ 222\\ 247\\ 317\\ 331\\ 304\\ 267\\ 219\\ 219\\ 219\\ 323\\ 309\\ 323\\ 322\\ 271\\ 276\\ 260\\ 225\\ 215\\ 259\\ 295\\ 295\\ 295\\ 295\\ 295\\ 300\\ 337\\ 363\\ 398\\ 395\\ 406\\ 439\\ 450\\ 511\\ 562\\ 638\\ 559\\ 411\\ 266\\ 202\\ 173\\ \end{array}$	121 176 187 161 139 150 199 255 262 225 145 112 99 152 160 171 196 186 202 202 149 144 170 188 191 187 209 223 243 243 242 244 239 273 307 348 358 267 181 114 87 74	227 294 416 422 350 316 358 408 419 402 342 278 255 304 358 350 361 370 371 366 321 284 267 295 340 374 326 287 300 315 301 310 344 377 431 515 537 496 352 232 191	$\begin{array}{c} 38\\ 45\\ 53\\ 59\\ 50\\ 43\\ 45\\ 44\\ 45\\ 42\\ 40\\ 41\\ 39\\ 42\\ 55\\ 66\\ 803\\ 112\\ 108\\ 77\\ 628\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ 28\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 47\\ 55\\ 69\\ 81\\ 68\\ 66\\ 68\\ 73\\ 80\\ 74\\ 55\\ 34\\ 27\\ 33\\ 41\\ 34\\ 32\\ 39\\ 43\\ 41\\ 42\\ 41\\ 42\\ 41\\ 48\\ 63\\ 69\\ 67\\ 65\\ 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ 70\\ 77\\ 97\\ 111\\ 109\\ 97\\ 79\\ 57\\ 38\\ 27\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91\\ 131\\ 199\\ 181\\ 150\\ 133\\ 154\\ 168\\ 170\\ 172\\ 149\\ 127\\ 129\\ 149\\ 127\\ 129\\ 149\\ 177\\ 172\\ 153\\ 149\\ 133\\ 123\\ 105\\ 97\\ 104\\ 121\\ 140\\ 158\\ 146\\ 127\\ 142\\ 153\\ 146\\ 127\\ 142\\ 153\\ 146\\ 142\\ 161\\ 172\\ 200\\ 249\\ 267\\ 248\\ 175\\ 118\\ 98\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51\\ 63\\ 95\\ 102\\ 82\\ 74\\ 91\\ 123\\ 124\\ 114\\ 97\\ 76\\ 60\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 87\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 87\\ 79\\ 82\\ 93\\ 97\\ 83\\ 74\\ 73\\ 82\\ 93\\ 97\\ 83\\ 74\\ 73\\ 82\\ 93\\ 97\\ 83\\ 74\\ 73\\ 82\\ 93\\ 97\\ 83\\ 74\\ 73\\ 82\\ 86\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 69\\ 68\\ 70\\ 79\\ 91\\ 109\\ 119\\ 121\\ 83\\ 48\\ 41\\ \end{array}$		NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
					Month	ly Data					(Seas	sonally
	(Sease	onally A	djusted .	Annual 1	Rates)		Not Sea	sonally	Adjusted)	Adj	usted)
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	414 282 307 279 278 316 282 287 331	36 28 33 29 30 35 30 20 22	56 39 45 43 32 50 40 35 38	$213 \\ 152 \\ 173 \\ 163 \\ 150 \\ 163 \\ 162 \\ 164 \\ 168$	$ \begin{array}{r} 109 \\ 63 \\ 56 \\ 44 \\ 66 \\ 68 \\ 50 \\ 68 \\ 103 \\ \end{array} $	216 215 213 210 209 204 199 195 188	24 24 24 23 22 22 22 22 22	35 34 32 31 31 29 29 28 27	113 114 111 109 108 109 104 102 98	45 44 45 46 46 44 44 43 41	216 216 211 210 206 202 200 196 190	6.3 9.2 8.2 9.0 8.9 7.7 8.5 8.2 6.9
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	310 281 305 317 315 312	33 19 25 25 19 16	42 31 39 41 42 46	163 170 171 171 175 181	72 61 70 80 79 69	185 181 179 171 167 165	21 21 20 19 18 19	25 25 26 25 25 24	98 96 92 91 88	41 38 38 35 33 34	186 182 178 173 167 164	7.2 7.8 7.0 6.5 6.4 6.3

Exhibit 6. New Single-Family Home Sales: 1970–Present*

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development http://www.census.gov/const/www/newressalesindex.html





			i			1 -7	
Period	United States	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	For Sale	Months' Supply
			Annua	l Data			
1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	1,594 1,612 2,018 2,252 2,334 2,272 2,476 3,064 3,650 3,986 3,827 2,973 2,973 2,419 1,990 2,719 2,868 3,526 3,526 3,526 3,526 3,526 3,526 3,594 3,290 3,186 3,145 3,432 3,739 3,886 3,852 4,167 4,371 4,966 5,183 5,174 5,335 5,632 6,175 6,778 7,076 6,478 5,652 4,907	$\begin{array}{c} 240\\ 251\\ 311\\ 361\\ 367\\ 354\\ 370\\ 439\\ 515\\ 516\\ 526\\ 403\\ 353\\ 354\\ 493\\ 511\\ 622\\ 703\\ 685\\ 673\\ 635\\ 583\\ 591\\ 666\\ 709\\ 723\\ 717\\ 772\\ 812\\ 898\\ 910\\ 911\\ 912\\ 952\\ 1,019\\ 1,113\\ 1,169\\ 1,086\\ 1,006\\ 849\\ 868\\ 817\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 508\\ 501\\ 583\\ 630\\ 674\\ 645\\ 701\\ 881\\ 1,101\\ 1,144\\ 1,061\\ 806\\ 632\\ 490\\ 709\\ 755\\ 866\\ 991\\ 959\\ 929\\ 886\\ 861\\ 863\\ 991\\ 959\\ 929\\ 886\\ 861\\ 863\\ 967\\ 1,027\\ 1,031\\ 1,010\\ 1,060\\ 1,088\\ 1,228\\ 1,246\\ 1,222\\ 1,271\\ 1,346\\ 1,468\\ 1,550\\ 1,588\\ 1,483\\ 1,327\\ 1,129\\ 1,163\\ 1,076\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 538\\ 568\\ 735\\ 788\\ 847\\ 839\\ 862\\ 1,033\\ 1,231\\ 1,416\\ 1,353\\ 1,092\\ 917\\ 780\\ 1,035\\ 1,092\\ 917\\ 780\\ 1,035\\ 1,073\\ 1,172\\ 1,261\\ 1,282\\ 1,350\\ 1,073\\ 1,172\\ 1,261\\ 1,282\\ 1,350\\ 1,075\\ 1,090\\ 1,067\\ 1,126\\ 1,262\\ 1,321\\ 1,315\\ 1,394\\ 1,474\\ 1,724\\ 1,850\\ 1,866\\ 1,967\\ 2,064\\ 2,283\\ 2,540\\ 2,702\\ 2,563\\ 2,235\\ 1,865\\ 1,914\\ 1,860\\ \end{array}$	308 292 389 473 446 434 543 712 803 911 887 672 516 366 481 529 554 610 600 642 694 651 624 674 740 812 810 941 997 1,115 1,177 1,174 1,184 1,269 1,405 1,575 1,617 1,346 1,084 1,070 1,211 1,154 1,154	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 1,910 1,980 2,260 2,200 1,970 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 2,160 1,870 2,160 1,870 2,160 1,870 2,100 2,130 1,760 1,520 1,380 1,760 1,520 1,840 1,910 1,894 2,048 2,068 2,118 2,270 2,244 2,846 3,450 3,974 3,700 3,283 3,560	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
		Monthly Da	ata (Seasonally	Adjusted Ann	ual Rates)		
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	5,800 5,680 5,230 3,860 4,240 4,410 4,380 4,640 5,220	1,090 890 880 630 700 740 740 770 870	1,340 1,320 1,210 800 860 930 920 980 1,120	2,150 2,160 1,970 1,540 1,660 1,710 1,700 1,770 1,950	1,230 1,300 1,170 890 1,020 1,030 1,030 1,120 1,270	4,029 3,893 3,887 4,007 4,117 4,000 3,863 3,717 3,560	$\begin{array}{c} 8.3 \\ 8.2 \\ 8.9 \\ 12.5 \\ 11.7 \\ 10.9 \\ 10.6 \\ 9.6 \\ 8.2 \end{array}$
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	5,400 4,920 5,090 5,000 4,810 4,770	830 770 800 790 770 730	1,150 1,050 1,060 1,090 1,030 1,040	2,050 1,840 1,970 1,950 1,850 1,860	1,370 1,260 1,260 1,170 1,160 1,140	3,369 3,498 3,520 3,759 3,646 3,765	7.5 8.5 8.3 9.0 9.1 9.5

Exhibit 7. Existing Home Sales: 1969–Present*

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

http://www.realtor.org/research.nsf/pages/EHSPage



Median U.S. Average Period Houses Constant-United Northeast Midwest South West Actually Sold Quality House^{1,7} States **Annual Data** 1964 18,900 20,300 19,400 16,700 20,500 34,900 20,400 1965 20,000 21,500 21,600 17,500 21,600 21,500 35,600 37,100 38,100 23,500 23,200 18,200 23,200 23,300 1966 21,400 22,700 25,100 1967 25,400 19,400 24,100 24,600 24,700 27,700 31,600 27,400 27,600 21,500 1968 25,100 26,600 40,100 1969 25,600 22,800 25,300 27,900 43,200 23,400 26,600 20,300 24,000 1970 30,300 24,400 44,400 1971 25,200 30,600 27,200 22,500 25,500 28,300 46,800 1972 27,600 31,400 29,300 25,800 27,500 30,500 49,800 37,100 40,100 1973 32,500 32,900 30,900 32,400 35,500 54,200 34,500 38,900 59,200 1974 35,900 36,100 35,800 42,600 39,300 37,300 1975 44,000 39,600 40,600 65,500 1976 44,200 47,300 44,800 40,500 47,200 48,000 71,200 1977 48,800 51,600 51,500 44,100 53,500 54,200 80,200 1978 55,700 58,100 59,200 50,300 61,300 62,500 91,900 57,300 1979 62,900 65,500 63,900 69,600 71,800 104,900 69,500 63,400 72,300 77,800 76,400 64,600 1980 59,600 115,600 65,900 1981 68,900 76,000 64,400 83,000 124,700 1982 69,300 68,900 83,900 127,600 78,200 66,100 75,000 1983 75,300 82,200 79,500 70,900 80,100 89,800 130,300 87,300 1984 79,900 88,600 85,400 72,000 97,600 135,600 137,300 142,600 1985 84,300 103,300 80,300 75,000 92,600 100,800 88,300 1986 92,000 125,000 80.200 95,700 111,900 127,200 138,300 1987 95,000 104,500 140,000 88,000 111,000 150,300 1988 112,500 149,000 101,600 92,000 126,500 156,000 1989 120,000 159,600 108,800 96,400 139,000 148,800 162,200 147,500 141,100 1990 122,900 159,000 107,900 99,000 149,800 165,300 1991 147,200 167,400 120,000 155,900 110,000 100.000 121,500 126,500 115,600 105,500 130,400 144,100 147,700 154,500 169,800 176,300 1992 169,000 1993 135,000 162,600 125.000 1994 130,000 169,000 132,900 116,900 140,400 186,800 1995 133,900 180,000 134,000 124,500 141,000 158,700 191,000 1996 140,000 186,000 138,000 126,200 153,900 166,400 195,900 1997 149,900 160,000 146,000 190,000 129,600 176,200 200,500 157.500 181,900 1998 152.500 200,000 135,800 205,500 163,500 1999 145,900 173,700 195,600 216,200 161.000 210,500 164,000 2000 207,000 227,400 169,700 148,000 196,400 169,000 224,600 172,600 2001 175,200 246,400 155,400 213,600 213,200 231,300 2002 187,600 264,300 178,000 163,400 238,500 228,700 241,900 2003 195,000 264,500 184,300 168,100 260,900 246,300 255,300 181,100 197,300 2004 221,000 315,800 205,000 283,100 274,500 275,600 332,600 297,000 297,000 2005 240,900 343,800 216,900 246,500 213,500 2006 346,000 208,200 337,700 305,900 311,100 2007 247,900 320,200 208,600 217,700 330,900 313,600 311,600 2008 232,100 343,600 198,900 203,700 294,800 292,600 295,500 2009 216,700 302,500 189,200 194,800 263,700 270,900 282,400 329,900 259,300 282,200 2010 221,800 197,700 196,800 272,900 **Quarterly Data** 2010 Q2 219,500 348,700 192,400 195,200 264,100 268,800 282,700 203,900 198,500 291,000 191,800 259,500 279,800 224,100 266,000 Q3 Q4 224,300 358.000 205,800 248,900 278.000 286,000 2011 226,900 336,200 196,800 209,800 251,400 268,100 278,300 Q1 Q2 225,800 268,500 201,800 205,500 260,600 266,800 281,000

Exhibit 8. New Single-Family Home Prices: 1964–Present

¹ The components of a constant-quality house reflect the kinds of new single-family homes sold in 2005. The average price of a constant-quality house is derived from a set of statistical models relating sales price to selected standard physical characteristics of new single-family homes sold in 2005. ² Effective with the December 2007 New Home Sales Release in January 2008, the Census Bureau began publishing the Constant Quality (Laspeyres) Price Index with 2005 as the base year. (The previous base year was 1996.) "Constant-Quality House" data are computed for this table from price indexes published by the Census Bureau.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce, Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development http://www.census.gov/const/quarterly_sales.pdf (See Table Q6.)





Exhibit 9. Existing Home Prices: 1969–Present

			Median			Average
Period	United States	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	United States
	_		Annual Data		<u>I</u>	
1969	21,800	23,700	19,000	20,300	23,900	23,700
1970	23,000	25,200	20,100	22,200	24,300	25,700
1971	24,800	27,100	22,100	24,300	26,500	28,000
1972	26,700	29,800	23,900	26,400	28,400	30,100
1973	28,900	32,800	25,300	29,000	31,000	32,900
1973	32,000	35,800	27,700	32,300	34,800	35,800
1974						35,800
1975	35,300	39,300	30,100	34,800	39,600	39,000
1976	38,100	41,800	32,900	36,500	46,100	42,200
1977	42,900	44,000	36,700	39,800	57,300	47,900
1978	48,700	47,900	42,200	45,100	66,700	55,500
1979	55,700	53,600	47,800	51,300	77,400	64,200
1980	62,200	60,800	51,900	58,300	89,300	72,800
1981	66,400	63,700	54,300	64,400	96,200	78,300
1982	67,800	63,500	55,100	67,100	98,900	80,500
1983	70,300	72,200	56,600	69,200	94,900	83,100
1984	72,400	78,700	57,100	71,300	95,800	86,000
1985	75,500	88,900	58,900	75,200	95,400	90,800
1985	80,300	104,800	63,500	78,200	100,900	98,500
1900	80,300			/ 0,200	112,200	96,500
1987	85,600	133,300	66,000	80,400	113,200	106,300
1988	89,300	143,000	68,400	82,200	124,900	112,800
1989*	94,000	142,100	72,600	84,300	137,600	118,100
1990	96,400	141,400	76,300	84,700	138,600	118,600
1991	101,400	143,600	80,500	88,100	144,500	128,400
1992	104,000	142,600	84,200	91,100	141,100	130,900
1993	107,200	142,000	87,000	93,700	141,800	133,500
1994	111,300	141,500	90,600	94,900	149,200	136,800
1995	114,600	138,400	96,100	96,900	150,600	139,100
1996	119,900	139,600	102,300	102,400	157,100	141,800
1997	126,000	143,500	108,200	108,400	165,700	150,500
1998	132,800	147,300	115,600	115,000	175,900	159,100
1998		150,500	121,000	118,900		171,000
	138,000			116,900	185,300	171,000
2000	143,600	149,800	125,300	126,300	194,600	178,500
2001	153,100	158,700	132,500	135,500	207,000	188,300
2002	165,000	179,300	139,300	146,000	230,100	206,100
2003	178,800	209,900	145,600	156,700	251,800	222,200
2004	195,400	243,800	154,600	170,400	286,400	244,400
2005	219,600	271,300	170,600	181,700	335,300	266,600
2006	221,900	271,900	167,800	183,700	342,700	268,200
2007	219,000	279,100	165,100	179,300	335,000	266,000
2008	198,100	266,400	154,100	169,200	271,500	242,700
2009	172,500	240,500	144,100	153,000	211,100	216,900
2010	172,900	243,500	141,600	150,100	214,800	220,000
		,	Monthly Data		,	,
	1					
2010	170.000	0.40,100	140,400	140.000	016 700	017.000
Apr	172,300	243,100	140,400	148,900	216,700	217,300
May	174,600	227,700	149,000	153,900	220,000	220,900
Jun	182,900	253,200	155,900	159,200	219,600	230,000
Jul	182,100	263,500	150,600	156,000	224,100	231,700
Aug	177,300	257,200	146,900	152,200	217,800	225,800
Sep	171,400	237,300	139,300	148,800	217,100	218,100
Oct	170,600	237,400	139,300	148,100	210,900	218,300
Nov	170,200	240,400	138,900	146,400	213,100	218,100
Dec	168,800	237,600	140,100	148,500	204,500	217,900
2011						
Jan	157,900	235,700	126,900	135,200	190,600	205,800
Feb	156,100		121,100	135,700	189,500	
		230,200				202,300
	159,800	232,800	126,200	137,900	195,200	207,300
Mar	1 (1 1 0 0					
Apr	161,100	235,800	131,600	142,000	191,300	210,200
	161,100 169,300 184,300	235,800 241,500 261,000	131,600 138,800 147,700	142,000 148,100 159,100	206,200	210,200 217,600 236,200

*Beginning with 1989, this series includes the prices of existing condominiums and cooperatives in addition to the prices of existing single-family homes. The year 1989 also marks a break in the series because data are revised back to 1989, when rebenchmarking occurs. Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

http://www.realtor.org/research.nsf/pages/EHSPage?OpenDocument


Case-

Index²

74 50

74.98

75.48

77.66

79.10

80.91

83.64

88.73

95.54

104.50

113.42

123.74

136.34

155.19

178.99

188.29

179.69

151.30

133.96

134.20

134.97

137.75

133.21

130.84

128.29

FHFA Purchase-Only House Price Index (Seasonally Adjusted) East West West East Period Shiller® United New Middle South South South North North Mountain Pacific England States Atlantic Atlantic Central Central Central Central Annual Average 1991 100.68 98.52 100.00 100.54 100.73 100.78 101.47 101.74 100.32 101 03 1992 103.21 97.20 101.63 102.59 104.44 103.94 104.56 105.79 107.76 100.34 1993 105.72 95.24 101.97 104.77 108.87 108.21 109.91 110.47 116.77 97.75 1994 109.29 116.04 96.05 102.32 108.27 114.91 112.94 116.21 128.19 96.46 1995 111.93 96.33 101.72 111.07 119.87 115.91 120.83 121.69 135.49 95.58 1996 127.59 141.37 115.24 98.67 102.59 114.33 125.04 119.27 126.21 95.91 1997 118.54 101.55 103.93 117.64 129.34 122.32 130.74 132.13 146.01 98.38 1998 124.25 108.51 107.74 122.38 134.17 128.04 137.55 137.69 105.98 151.75 1999 131.84 118.78 114.42 129.02 139.95 135.08 146.23 144.97 159.90 114.98 2000 140.67 132.55 123.36 136.89 144.62 142.83 155.35 152.66 168.81 126.49 164.94 149.28 2001 150.45 134.58 146.62 148.78 149.40 160.11 140.27 178.68 2002 161.13 168.13 149.28 157.78 153.45 154.71 174.12 167.38 187.62 156.84 173.49 187.13 166.32 170.78 159.70 159.88 184.05 175.13 199.25 179.20 2003 2004 189.75 207.78 185.85 189.53 167.31 166.24 194.49 183.25 220.84 214.49 2005 209.41 225.84 206.32 216.60 177.92 175.42 204.40 190.36 256.76 257.59 222.10 218.79 187.61 227.99 190.75 2006 235.59 211.85 193.33 285.13 276.17 2007 222.58 224.15 221.63 236.79 197.84 196.79 214.07 190.30 289.28 262.70 213.30 194.57 2008 206.35 213.77 215.93 197.14 207.25 179.63 261.12 211.82 2009 195.83 207.96 209.50 197.38 190.60 197.11 204.32 173.40 232.73 188.60 2010 190.01 203.75 207.09 187.39 185.07 196.50 200.03 167.86 216.95 184.40 **Quarterly Data** 2010 191.55 189.77 203.91 207.94 186.40 196.29 200.29 168.61 221.85 187.70 Q1 Q2 191.16 202.51 207.05 189.57 186.46 197.44 201.37 167.92 219.40 186.70 Q3 203.96 205.90 184.68 184.80 195.79 199.19 182.08 188.35 166.52 213.35 Q4 185.65 201.94 204.39 182.43 181.69 192.97 195.70 164.99 208.97 177.75 2011 Q1 181.02 197.14 199.61 175.99 178.38 191.97 191.12 160.96 201.84 172.56

Exhibit 10. Repeat Sales House Price Index: 1991–Present

FHFA = Federal Housing Finance Agency.

¹ Federal Housing Finance Agency. First quarter of 1991 equals 100. http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=14

² S&P/Case-Shiller[®] National Home Price Index. First quarter of 2000 equals 100. http://www.homeprice.standardandpoors.com





Exhibit 11. Housing Affordability Index: 1973–Present

	United States			Affordability Indexes			xes*
Period	Median Price Existing Single- Family (\$)	Mortgage Rate ¹	Median Family Income (\$)	Income To Qualify (\$)	Composite	Fixed	ARM
	•		Annual	Data			
$ \begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ 1974 \\ 1975 \\ 1976 \\ 1977 \\ 1978 \\ 1979 \\ 1980 \\ 1981 \\ 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008^2 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \\ \end{array} $	28,900 32,000 35,300 38,100 42,900 48,700 55,700 62,200 66,400 67,800 70,300 72,400 75,500 80,300 85,600 89,300 94,600 97,300 102,700 105,500 109,100 113,500 117,000 122,600 129,000 122,600 129,000 136,600 141,200 147,300 156,600 167,600 180,200 195,200 219,000 221,900 217,900 196,600 172,100 173,200	8.01 9.02 9.21 9.11 9.02 9.58 10.92 12.95 15.12 15.38 12.85 12.49 11.74 10.25 9.28 9.31 10.11 10.04 9.30 8.11 7.16 7.47 7.85 7.71 7.68 7.10 7.33 8.03 7.03 6.55 5.74 5.73 5.91 6.58 6.52 6.15 5.14 4.89	$\begin{array}{c} 12,051\\ 12,902\\ 13,719\\ 14,958\\ 16,010\\ 17,640\\ 19,680\\ 21,023\\ 22,388\\ 23,433\\ 24,580\\ 26,433\\ 27,735\\ 29,458\\ 30,970\\ 32,191\\ 34,218\\ 35,353\\ 35,940\\ 36,573\\ 35,940\\ 35,960\\ 54,061\\ 56,914\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,151\\ 9,905\\ 11,112\\ 11,888\\ 13,279\\ 15,834\\ 20,240\\ 26,328\\ 32,485\\ 33,713\\ 29,546\\ 29,650\\ 29,243\\ 27,047\\ 27,113\\ 28,360\\ 30,432\\ 31,104\\ 30,816\\ 28,368\\ 26,784\\ 28,704\\ 30,672\\ 31,728\\ 35,232\\ 35,088\\ 37,296\\ 41,616\\ 40,128\\ 40,896\\ 40,320\\ 43,632\\ 49,920\\ 54,288\\ 52,992\\ 45,984\\ 36,048\\ 35,232\\ \end{array}$	$147.9 \\ 130.3 \\ 123.5 \\ 125.8 \\ 120.6 \\ 111.4 \\ 97.2 \\ 79.9 \\ 68.9 \\ 69.5 \\ 83.2 \\ 89.1 \\ 94.8 \\ 108.9 \\ 114.2 \\ 113.5 \\ 112.4 \\ 113.5 \\ 112.4 \\ 113.7 \\ 116.6 \\ 128.9 \\ 138.0 \\ 135.1 \\ 132.4 \\ 133.3 \\ 126.5 \\ 133.2 \\ 131.3 \\ 121.9 \\ 128.1 \\ 126.4 \\ 130.7 \\ 123.9 \\ 112.6 \\ 107.6 \\ 115.4 \\ 137.8 \\ 169.4 \\ 174.0 \\ 174.0 \\ 1000 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 147.9\\ 130.3\\ 123.5\\ 125.8\\ 120.6\\ 111.4\\ 97.2\\ 79.9\\ 68.9\\ 69.4\\ 81.7\\ 84.6\\ 89.6\\ 105.7\\ 107.6\\ 103.6\\ 105.9\\ 110.6\\ 113.5\\ 124.9\\ 133.0\\ 125.2\\ 126.6\\ 129.6\\ 123.6\\ 131.9\\ 128.8\\ 120.5\\ 128.1\\ 124.2\\ 128.2\\ 120.3\\ 110.9\\ 107.1\\ 115.3\\ 137.4\\ 169.2\\ 169.0\\ \end{array}$	147.9 130.3 123.5 125.8 120.6 111.4 97.2 79.9 68.9 69.7 85.2 92.1 100.6 116.3 122.4 122.0 116.8 122.8 128.3 150.8 160.4 153.3 143.3 142.9 137.2 142.6 142.0 133.3 137.3 138.7 141.8 132.2 116.4 109.6 117.6 143.0 NA NA
			Monthly	Data			
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	172,500 174,500 183,500 183,000 178,100 172,400 171,500 170,900 169,300 158,500 156,900 160,600 161,300 169,800 184,600	5.10 5.09 5.02 4.90 4.76 4.68 4.62 4.54 4.69 4.82 4.91 4.98 4.93 4.87 4.75	61,272 61,305 61,305 61,325 61,358 61,360 61,396 61,404 61,437 61,443 61,471 61,495 61,519 61,529 61,529 61,537	35,952 36,336 37,296 35,712 34,272 33,840 33,408 33,408 33,696 32,016 32,016 32,016 33,024 32,976 34,464 36,960	170.4 168.7 161.7 164.4 171.8 179.0 181.4 183.8 182.3 191.9 192.0 186.2 186.6 178.5 166.5	$169.7 \\ 167.6 \\ 161.3 \\ 163.8 \\ 170.9 \\ 178.5 \\ 181.2 \\ 182.8 \\ 181.0 \\ 190.8 \\ 190.6 \\ 183.8 \\ 184.1 \\ 176.1 \\ 164.4 \\ 164.4 \\ 1000 $	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA

*The composite affordability index is the ratio of median family income to qualifying income. Values over 100 indicate that the typical (median) family has more than sufficient income to purchase the median-priced home.

ARM = Adjustable-rate mortgage. NA = Data are not available.

¹ The Federal Housing Finance Agency's monthly effective mortgage rate amortizes points over 10 years. Annual data are averages of the monthly rates. ² Beginning in December 2008, the Adjustable-Rate Mortgage Affordability Index could not be derived because the rates for ARMs were no longer available. Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®] http://www.realtor.org/research.nsf/pages/HousingInx



Exhibit 12. Market Absorption of New Multifamily Units: 1970–Present*

	Unfurr	nished Rental Apart	tments	Cooperatives and Condominiums						
Period	Completions	Percent Rented in 3 Months	Median Asking Rent (\$)	Completions	Percent Sold in 3 Months	Median Asking Price (\$)				
	Annual Data									
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997	$\begin{array}{c} 328,400\\ 334,400\\ 497,900\\ 531,700\\ 405,500\\ 223,100\\ 157,000\\ 195,600\\ 228,700\\ 241,200\\ 196,100\\ 135,400\\ 117,000\\ 191,500\\ 313,200\\ 364,500\\ 407,600\\ 345,600\\ 284,500\\ 246,200\\ 214,300\\ 165,300\\ 110,200\\ 77,200\\ 104,000\\ 155,000\\ 191,300\\ 189,200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73\\ 68\\ 68\\ 70\\ 80\\ 80\\ 80\\ 82\\ 82\\ 75\\ 80\\ 72\\ 69\\ 67\\ 65\\ 66\\ 63\\ 66\\ 63\\ 66\\ 70\\ 67\\ 70\\ 67\\ 70\\ 74\\ 75\\ 81\\ 72\\ 72\\ 72\\ 74\end{array}$	$188 \\ 187 \\ 191 \\ 191 \\ 197 \\ 211 \\ 219 \\ 232 \\ 251 \\ 272 \\ 308 \\ 347 \\ 385 \\ 386 \\ 393 \\ 432 \\ 457 \\ 517 \\ 550 \\ 590 \\ 600 \\ 614 \\ 586 \\ 573 \\ 576 \\ 655 \\ 672 \\ 724 \\ \end{array}$	72,500 49,100 57,300 98,100 159,000 84,600 46,300 43,000 54,500 91,800 122,800 112,600 107,900 111,800 143,600 135,800 101,700 92,300 76,200 59,700 52,600 35,300 31,100 32,000 34,400 36,400 36,900 35,800	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N				
1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 209,900\\ 225,900\\ 226,200\\ 193,100\\ 204,100\\ 166,500\\ 153,800\\ 113,000\\ 116,400\\ 104,800\\ 146,800\\ 163,000\\ 90,500\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73\\72\\72\\63\\59\\61\\62\\63\\58\\54\\50\\51\\62\end{array}$	734 791 841 881 918 931 976 942 1,034 1,023 1,095 1,064 1,066	34,500 34,200 36,100 45,700 37,400 41,100 61,400 81,900 104,600 91,000 69,800 38,200 19,800	79 75 78 73 73 74 73 76 66 61 49 40 44	118,800 127,600 144,400 183,200 199,400 230,200 270,400 310,700 327,200 350,000+ 350,000+ 400,000+				
			Quarterly Data							
2010 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	27,500 30,100 16,700 14,900	56 63 67 64	1,050 1,184 1,027 996	3,400 5,700 5,300 4,300	45 39 43 44	400,000+ 400,000+ 400,000+ 400,000+				
2011 Q1	20,200	58	1,011	2,800	51	385,500				

*Data are from the Survey of Market Absorption, which samples nonsubsidized, privately financed, unfurnished apartments in buildings of five or more units.

+ Median is in top class of data collection range.

NA = Data not available.

Sources: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce; Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/soma.html





Exhibit 13. Builders' Views of Housing Market Activity: 1979–Present

		Sales of Single-Fami	ly Detached Homes	
Period	Housing Market Index	Current Activity	Future Expectations	Prospective Buyer Traffic
		Annual Data		
$ \begin{array}{r} 1979 \\ 1980 \\ 1981 \\ 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \\ \end{array} $	NA NA NA NA NA NA S5 60 56 53 48 34 36 48 59 56 47 57 57 70 73 62 56 61 61 64 68 67 42 27 16 15 16	$\begin{array}{c} 48\\ 19\\ 8\\ 15\\ 52\\ 52\\ 58\\ 62\\ 60\\ 57\\ 50\\ 36\\ 36\\ 36\\ 50\\ 62\\ 61\\ 60\\ 76\\ 80\\ 69\\ 61\\ 66\\ 70\\ 75\\ 73\\ 45\\ 27\\ 16\\ 14\\ 16\end{array}$	37 26 16 28 60 52 62 67 60 59 58 42 49 59 68 62 56 64 66 78 80 69 63 69 72 76 75 51 37 25 24 23 A diustod	$\begin{array}{c} 32\\ 17\\ 14\\ 18\\ 48\\ 41\\ 47\\ 53\\ 45\\ 43\\ 37\\ 27\\ 29\\ 39\\ 49\\ 44\\ 35\\ 46\\ 45\\ 54\\ 46\\ 45\\ 54\\ 45\\ 41\\ 46\\ 47\\ 51\\ 50\\ 30\\ 21\\ 14\\ 13\\ 12\end{array}$
	Мо	nthly Data (Seasonally	Adjusted)	1
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	19 22 16 14 13 13 15 16 16	20 23 17 15 13 13 13 16 16 16	25 27 22 21 18 18 23 25 25	13 16 13 10 10 9 11 12 11
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	16 16 17 16 16 13	15 17 17 15 15 13	24 25 26 22 19 15	12 12 12 13 14 12

NA = Not applicable.

Source: Builders Economic Council Survey, National Association of Home Builders http://www.nahb.com/reference_list.aspx?sectionID=134



			Conver	ıtional					
Period	30-Year F	ixed Rate	15-Year Fi	xed Rate	1-Year	ARMs			
	Rate	Points	Rate	Points	Rate	Points			
Annual Data									
$ \begin{array}{r} 1973 \\ 1974 \\ 1975 \\ 1976 \\ 1977 \\ 1978 \\ 1979 \\ 1980 \\ 1981 \\ 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 8.04\\ 9.19\\ 9.05\\ 8.87\\ 8.85\\ 9.64\\ 11.20\\ 13.74\\ 16.63\\ 16.04\\ 13.24\\ 13.88\\ 12.43\\ 10.19\\ 10.21\\ 10.34\\ 10.32\\ 10.13\\ 9.25\\ 8.39\\ 7.31\\ 8.38\\ 7.93\\ 7.31\\ 8.38\\ 7.93\\ 7.81\\ 7.60\\ 6.94\\ 7.44\\ 8.05\\ 6.97\\ 6.54\\ 5.83\\ 5.84\\ 5.87\\ 6.41\\ 6.34\\ 6.03\\ 5.04\\ 4.69\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.2\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.1\\ 1.3\\ 1.6\\ 1.8\\ 2.1\\ 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1$	$\begin{array}{c} NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	$\begin{array}{c} NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\$	$\begin{array}{c} NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\$			
		j	Monthly Data			1			
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2011	5.10 4.89 4.74 4.56 4.43 4.35 4.23 4.30 4.71	0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7	4.42 4.28 4.18 4.04 3.91 3.81 3.66 3.68 4.06	0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7	4.16 4.01 3.86 3.73 3.53 3.46 3.36 3.25 3.31	0.5 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6			
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	4.76 4.95 4.84 4.84 4.64 4.51	0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	4.09 4.22 4.08 4.06 3.82 3.69	0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	3.25 3.35 3.22 3.20 3.13 3.00	0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5			

Exhibit 14. Mortgage Interest Rates, Average Commitment Rates, and Points: 1973–Present

ARM = Adjustable-rate mortgage. NA = Not applicable.

Source: Freddie Mac http://www.freddiemac.com/pmms/ (See 30-Year Fixed, 15-Year Fixed, and 1-Year Adjustable Rate Historic Tables.)



		Fixed	Rate			Adjusta	ble Rate				
Period	Interest Rate	Fees and Charges	Effective Rate	Term to Maturity	Interest Rate	Fees and Charges	Effective Rate	Term to Maturity			
	Annual Data										
1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008* 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 14.72\\ 12.51\\ 12.67\\ 11.93\\ 10.09\\ 9.52\\ 10.04\\ 10.21\\ 10.06\\ 9.38\\ 8.21\\ 7.27\\ 7.98\\ 8.01\\ 7.81\\ 7.73\\ 7.05\\ 7.32\\ 8.14\\ 7.03\\ 6.62\\ 5.83\\ 5.95\\ 6.00\\ 6.60\\ 6.44\\ 6.09\\ 5.06\\ 4.80\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.51\\ 2.41\\ 2.59\\ 2.56\\ 2.31\\ 2.18\\ 2.07\\ 1.92\\ 1.87\\ 1.63\\ 1.61\\ 1.21\\ 1.14\\ 1.01\\ 1.03\\ 1.01\\ 0.86\\ 0.78\\ 0.75\\ 0.56\\ 0.48\\ 0.37\\ 0.43\\ 0.42\\ 0.44\\ 0.48\\ 0.54\\ 0.61\\ 0.74\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.26\\ 12.98\\ 13.18\\ 12.43\\ 10.50\\ 9.90\\ 10.41\\ 10.54\\ 10.39\\ 9.66\\ 8.50\\ 7.48\\ 8.17\\ 8.18\\ 7.98\\ 7.89\\ 7.19\\ 7.44\\ 8.25\\ 7.11\\ 6.69\\ 5.88\\ 6.02\\ 6.07\\ 6.66\\ 6.51\\ 6.17\\ 5.15\\ 4.90\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.4\\ 25.5\\ 24.8\\ 24.1\\ 24.9\\ 25.5\\ 26.0\\ 27.0\\ 26.1\\ 25.8\\ 24.4\\ 24.7\\ 25.8\\ 26.5\\ 26.1\\ 26.9\\ 27.5\\ 27.8\\ 28.3\\ 27.3\\ 26.8\\ 26.2\\ 26.9\\ 27.9\\ 28.7\\ 29.2\\ 28.3\\ 28.1\\ 27.6\end{array}$	14.74 11.88 11.57 10.44 9.10 8.20 8.21 9.15 8.90 8.03 6.37 5.56 6.27 7.00 6.94 6.76 6.35 6.45 6.99 6.34 5.60 4.98 5.15 5.50 6.32 6.02 NA NA NA	2.86 2.37 2.57 2.47 1.97 1.95 1.88 1.79 1.56 1.43 1.44 1.20 1.05 0.88 0.81 0.87 0.75 0.57 0.42 0.33 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.36 0.27 0.33 0.44 NA NA	15.37 12.33 12.05 10.87 9.42 8.51 8.51 9.44 9.15 8.26 6.59 5.74 6.42 7.13 7.06 6.90 6.46 6.53 7.05 6.39 5.66 5.03 5.20 5.54 6.37 6.33 NA NA NA	26.0 26.7 28.0 27.7 27.3 28.6 28.9 28.9 29.3 28.7 29.1 28.8 29.2 29.3 29.0 29.4 29.6 29.7 29.8 29.7 29.8 29.8 29.8 29.8 30.0 30.0 30.1 NA NA NA			
			Moi	nthly Data		I	1				
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr	5.06 5.04 4.92 4.80 4.66 4.55 4.45 4.39 4.57 4.75 4.86 4.94 4.90	0.63 0.70 0.81 0.87 0.82 0.88 0.80 0.79 0.82 0.82 0.80 0.99 0.91	5.15 5.04 4.92 4.78 4.67 4.58 4.50 4.68 4.87 4.98 5.08 5.03	27.5 27.3 27.5 27.5 27.4 27.6 28.0 27.9 28.4 27.1 27.0 27.3 27.60	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA			
May Jun	4.84 4.72	0.88 0.95	4.97 4.85	27.60 28.00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA			

Exhibit 15. Mortgage Interest Rates, Fees, Effective Rates, and Average Term to Maturity on Conventional Loans Closed: 1982–Present



* Beginning in 2008, the adjustable rate data are no longer reported because the data are insufficient to report meaningful numbers. NA = Not available.

NA = Not available. Source: Federal Housing Finance Agency

http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=252, table 2



Exhibit 16. FHA Market Share of 1- to 4-Family Mortgages: 2001–Present*

	Mortgage Market Shares by Dollar Volume										
					Dollar Volume of Loan Originations (in Billions)						
	FHA Share (%)			Tota	1 (\$)	Purch	ase (\$)	Refina	nce (\$)		
Period	Total	Purchase	Refinance	FHA	Market	FHA	Market	FHA	Market		
	Annual Data										
2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 6.8\\ 4.9\\ 4.0\\ 3.0\\ 1.9\\ 2.0\\ 3.4\\ 16.1\\ 17.9\\ 17.1 \end{array}$	$10.4 \\ 8.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 19.5 \\ 28.1 \\ 34.9$	4.1 2.9 3.0 1.9 1.1 1.3 2.9 12.9 12.8 9.4	$152 \\ 140 \\ 153 \\ 84 \\ 56 \\ 55 \\ 77 \\ 243 \\ 357 \\ 268$	2,243 2,854 3,812 2,773 3,027 2,726 2,306 1,509 1,995 1,572	100 90 78 56 40 38 44 143 187 165	960 1,097 1,280 1,309 1,512 1,399 1,140 731 664 473	53 50 75 28 16 17 33 100 171 103	1,283 1,757 2,532 1,463 1,514 1,326 1,166 777 1,331 1,099		
				Quarterl	y Data						
2010 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	16.2 21.3 16.9 14.5	29.3 41.0 35.3 32.3	9.2 8.2 10.4 9.4	56 78 68 67	342 367 401 462	35 60 37 33	120 147 104 102	20 18 31 34	222 220 297 360		
2011 Q1	14.7	25.5	8.8	44	302	27	106	17	196		

	Mortgage Market Shares by Loan Count									
				Loan Originations (in Thousands)						
	1	FHA Share (%	5)	To	tal	Purc	hase	Refinance		
Period	Total	Purchase	Refinance	FHA	Market	FHA	Market	FHA	Market	
	Annual Data									
2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	9.1 6.4 5.5 4.7 3.1 3.3 5.1 19.8 21.1 19.9	$14.2 \\ 11.1 \\ 8.5 \\ 6.6 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 6.1 \\ 24.1 \\ 32.6 \\ 40.2$	$5.3 \\ 3.6 \\ 4.1 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 2.0 \\ 4.1 \\ 15.6 \\ 14.8 \\ 10.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,336.6\\ 1,188.6\\ 1,268.5\\ 695.4\\ 456.2\\ 411.1\\ 528.3\\ 1,405.7\\ 1,982.6\\ 1,462.7\end{array}$	14,763.6 18,552.8 23,101.8 14,869.2 14,483.6 12,329.0 10,358.6 7,092.2 9,392.8 7,342.0	890.2 764.7 629.9 457.4 322.9 295.3 317.2 844.9 1,087.6 944.2	6,270.7 6,865.5 7,428.0 6,905.6 7,234.6 6,564.5 5,236.1 3,508.2 3,338.3 2,346.2	446.4 423.9 638.5 238.0 133.3 115.9 211.1 560.8 895.0 518.6	8,492.8 11,687.3 15,673.8 7,963.6 7,249.0 5,764.5 5,122.5 3,584.1 6,054.4 4,995.8	
				Quarterl	y Data					
2010 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	19.2 25.4 19.2 16.5	33.7 47.0 40.9 37.2	10.7 9.5 11.1 10.1	310.6 449.7 354.2 348.1	1,616.8 1,771.7 1,842.5 2,111.0	201.2 353.0 204.7 185.2	597.2 750.4 500.6 498.0	109.4 96.7 149.5 162.9	1,019.6 1,021.3 1,341.9 1,613.0	
2011 Q1	17.1	29.8	9.9	247.2	1,443.4	156.1	524.4	91.1	919.1	

* This analysis includes first-lien mortgages originated in each time period. The amounts represented here are based on date of loan origination and thus will vary from what are shown in reports that summarize FHA insurance activity by insurance endorsement date.

FHA = Federal Housing Administration.

Sources: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Department; data from FHA, Mortgage Bankers Association "MBA Mortgage Finance Forecast" report, and Loan Performance True Standings Servicing data system



		FHA *	774	DMI	
Period	Applications	Total Endorsements	Purchase Endorsements	VA Guaranties	PMI Certificates
			al Data		
$ \begin{array}{r} 1971 \\ 1972 \\ 1973 \\ 1974 \\ 1975 \\ 1976 \\ 1977 \\ 1978 \\ 1979 \\ 1980 \\ 1981 \\ 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008^1 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \end{array} $	998,365 655,747 359,941 383,993 445,350 491,981 550,168 627,971 652,435 516,938 299,889 461,129 776,893 476,888 900,119 1,907,316 1,210,257 949,353 989,724 957,302 898,859 1,090,392 1,740,504 961,466 857,364 1,064,324 1,115,434 1,154,394 1,407,014 1,154,622 1,760,278 1,521,730 1,634,166 945,565 673,855 653,910 751,454 2,340,715 2,862,029 2,162,738	$\begin{array}{c} 565,417\\ 427,858\\ 240,004\\ 195,850\\ 255,061\\ 250,808\\ 321,118\\ 334,108\\ 457,054\\ 381,169\\ 224,829\\ 166,734\\ 503,425\\ 267,831\\ 409,547\\ 921,370\\ 1,319,987\\ 698,990\\ 726,359\\ 780,329\\ 685,905\\ 680,278\\ 1,065,832\\ 1,217,685\\ 568,399\\ 849,861\\ 839,712\\ 1,110,530\\ 1,246,433\\ 891,874\\ 1,182,368\\ 1,246,561\\ 1,382,570\\ 826,611\\ 523,243\\ 465,379\\ 460,317\\ 1,468,057\\ 2,022,759\\ 1,624,841\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	$\begin{array}{c} 284,358\\ 375,485\\ 321,522\\ 313,156\\ 301,443\\ 330,442\\ 392,557\\ 368,648\\ 364,656\\ 274,193\\ 151,811\\ 103,354\\ 300,568\\ 210,366\\ 201,313\\ 351,242\\ 455,616\\ 212,671\\ 183,209\\ 192,992\\ 186,561\\ 290,003\\ 457,596\\ 536,867\\ 243,719\\ 326,458\\ 254,670\\ 384,605\\ 441,606\\ 186,671\\ 281,505\\ 328,506\\ 513,259\\ 262,781\\ 160,294\\ 137,874\\ 102,430\\ 199,679\\ 354,926\\ 327,831\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 392,808 334,565 315,868 652,214 946,408 729,597 585,987 511,058 423,470 365,497 365,497 367,120 494,259 907,511 1,198,307 1,148,696 960,756 1,068,707 974,698 1,473,344 1,455,403 1,236,214 1,987,717 2,305,709 2,493,435 1,708,972 1,579,593 1,444,330 1,567,961 971,595 442,224 317,037
		Montl	nly Data		
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec 2011	215,578 181,524 168,915 173,068 200,907 255,938 175,421 141,199 112,500	$126,316 \\ 124,759 \\ 150,911 \\ 144,514 \\ 139,045 \\ 126,326 \\ 125,218 \\ 131,258 \\ 133,603$	84,723 89,291 115,831 108,608 89,322 71,145 65,781 64,896 66,165	23,779 22,754 27,850 28,477 29,815 29,855 31,355 31,876 31,720	23,608 25,909 28,160 26,266 32,215 32,554 31,998 32,993 31,879
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	103,991 114,215 158,478 160,186 118,784 131,796	119,521 88,269 99,112 93,394 95,907 101,469	63,887 46,899 58,057 60,378 66,475 74,370	30,907 27,532 29,036 23,894 25,172 28,239	21,896 15,079 18,098 17,416 20,032 24,161

Exhibit 17. FHA, VA, and PMI 1- to 4-Family Mortgage Insurance Activity: 1971–Present

*These operational numbers differ slightly from adjusted accounting numbers. FHA = Federal Housing Administration. NA = Data not available. PMI = Private mortgage insurance. VA = Department of Veterans Affairs.

¹ Beginning December 2008, data for PMI-Net Certificates include Radian Guaranty, which represents roughly 17 percent of the private insurance market. Sources: FHA-Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development; VA-Department of Veterans Affairs; PMI-Mortgage Insurance Companies of America

Historical Data



Exhibit 18. FHA Unassisted Multifamily Mortgage Insurance Activity: 1980–Present*

Period	Construction of New Rental Units ¹			Purchase or Refinance of Existing Rental Units ²			Congregate Housing, Nursing Homes, Assisted-Living Facilities, and Board and Care Facilities ³		
	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount
Annual Data									
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007	$\begin{array}{c} 79\\ 94\\ 98\\ 74\\ 96\\ 144\\ 154\\ 171\\ 140\\ 101\\ 61\\ 72\\ 54\\ 56\\ 84\\ 89\\ 128\\ 147\\ 149\\ 185\\ 193\\ 163\\ 167\\ 180\\ 166\\ 148\\ 97\\ 102 \end{array}$	14,671 14,232 14,303 14,353 14,158 23,253 22,006 28,300 21,180 15,240 9,910 13,098 7,823 9,321 12,988 17,113 23,554 23,880 25,237 30,863 35,271 29,744 31,187 30,871 27,891 24,847 14,603 15,620	$\begin{array}{c} 560.8\\ 415.1\\ 460.4\\ 543.9\\ 566.2\\ 954.1\\ 1,117.5\\ 1,379.4\\ 922.2\\ 750.9\\ 411.4\\ 590.2\\ 358.5\\ 428.6\\ 658.5\\ 785.0\\ 1,178.8\\ 1,362.2\\ 1,420.7\\ 1,886.8\\ 2,171.7\\ 1,905.6\\ 2,042.7\\ 2,224.5\\ 1,802.6\\ 1,596.3\\ 873.3\\ 1,065.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32\\ 12\\ 28\\ 94\\ 88\\ 135\\ 245\\ 306\\ 234\\ 144\\ 69\\ 185\\ 119\\ 262\\ 321\\ 192\\ 268\\ 186\\ 158\\ 182\\ 165\\ 303\\ 439\\ 701\\ 672\\ 472\\ 614\\ 414 \end{array}$	6,459 2,974 7,431 22,118 21,655 34,730 32,554 68,000 49,443 32,995 13,848 40,640 24,960 50,140 61,416 32,383 51,760 31,538 19,271 22,596 20,446 35,198 52,434 87,193 70,740 49,238 59,451 35,838	$\begin{array}{c} 89.1\\ 43.0\\ 95.2\\ 363.0\\ 428.2\\ 764.3\\ 1,550.1\\ 1,618.0\\ 1,402.3\\ 864.6\\ 295.3\\ 1,015.1\\ 547.1\\ 1,209.4\\ 1,587.0\\ 822.3\\ 1,391.1\\ 1,098.5\\ 576.3\\ 688.7\\ 572.6\\ 831.9\\ 1,284.5\\ 2,273.5\\ 2,203.1\\ 1,724.9\\ 2,252.5\\ 1,249.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 35\\ 50\\ 65\\ 45\\ 41\\ 22\\ 45\\ 47\\ 41\\ 53\\ 81\\ 66\\ 77\\ 94\\ 103\\ 152\\ 143\\ 89\\ 130\\ 178\\ 172\\ 287\\ 253\\ 228\\ 184\\ 128\\ 139\\ \end{array}$	3,187 4,590 7,096 9,231 5,697 5,201 3,123 6,243 5,537 5,183 6,166 10,150 8,229 9,036 13,688 12,888 20,069 16,819 7,965 14,592 18,618 20,633 33,086 31,126 26,094 20,625 26,898 15,178	$\begin{array}{c} 78.1\\ 130.0\\ 200.0\\ 295.8\\ 175.2\\ 179.1\\ 111.2\\ 225.7\\ 197.1\\ 207.9\\ 263.2\\ 437.2\\ 367.4\\ 428.6\\ 701.7\\ 707.2\\ 927.5\\ 820.0\\ 541.0\\ 899.2\\ 891.7\\ 1,135.2\\ 1,780.6\\ 1,502.2\\ 1,344.3\\ 1,425.6\\ 982.0\\ \end{array}$
2008 2009 2010 2011 (6 months)	74 114 197 70	11,551 20,173 36,560 12,062	875.1 1,892.5 3,787.1 1,175.0	262 409 717 372	25,443 57,863 116,843 57,142	987.8 2,888.4 6,497.4 3,343.3	174 292 300 168	19,685 34,567 34,754 22,588	1,232.4 2,558.7 2,636.4 1,422.7

*Mortgage insurance written-initial endorsements. Mortgage amounts are in millions of dollars.

¹ Includes both new construction and substantial rehabilitation under Sections 207, 220, and 221(d).

² Includes purchase or refinance of existing rental housing under Section 223.

³ Includes congregate rental housing for the elderly under Section 231 and nursing homes, board and care homes, assisted-living facilities, and intermediate-care facilities under Section 232. Includes both new construction or substantial rehabilitation and purchase or refinance of existing projects. Number of units shown includes beds and housing units.

Source: Office of Multifamily Housing Development (FHA F-47 Data Series), Department of Housing and Urban Development



Exhibit 19. Mortgage Delinquencies and Foreclosures Started: 1987–Present*



HUD has discontinued publishing historical NDS data in tabular format at MBA's request; hence, the table is being replaced with charts showing the same historical information.







* All data are seasonally adjusted except for Foreclosures Started data.

ARM = Adjustable-rate mortgage. FHA = Federal Housing Administration. HUD = Department of Housing and Urban Development. MBA = Mortgage Bankers Association. NDS = National Delinquency Survey. VA = Department of Veterans Affairs.

Note: HUD has discontinued publishing historical NDS data in tabular format at the request of MBA.

Source: National Delinquency Survey, Mortgage Bankers Association



Exhibit 20. Value of New Construction Put in Place, Private Residential Buildings: 1974–Present



			w Residential Construc	rtion					
Period	Total	Total	Single-Family Structures	Multifamily Structures	Improvements				
Annual Data (Current Dollars in Millions)									
1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993^* 1994 1995 1994 1997 1998 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	$\begin{array}{c} 55,967\\ 51,581\\ 68,273\\ 92,004\\ 109,838\\ 116,444\\ 100,381\\ 99,241\\ 84,676\\ 125,833\\ 155,015\\ 160,520\\ 190,677\\ 199,652\\ 204,496\\ 204,255\\ 191,103\\ 166,251\\ 199,393\\ 208,180\\ 241,033\\ 228,121\\ 257,495\\ 264,696\\ 296,343\\ 326,302\\ 346,138\\ 364,414\\ 396,696\\ 446,035\\ 532,900\\ 611,899\\ 613,731\\ 493,246\\ 350,257\\ 245,621\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43,420\\ 36,317\\ 50,771\\ 72,231\\ 85,601\\ 89,272\\ 69,629\\ 69,424\\ 57,001\\ 94,961\\ 114,616\\ 115,888\\ 135,169\\ 142,668\\ 142,391\\ 143,232\\ 132,137\\ 114,575\\ 135,070\\ 150,911\\ 176,390\\ 171,404\\ 191,114\\ 198,062\\ 223,983\\ 251,271\\ 265,047\\ 279,391\\ 298,841\\ 345,691\\ 417,501\\ 480,807\\ 468,800\\ 354,143\\ 230,114\\ 133,582\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29,700\\ 29,639\\ 43,860\\ 62,214\\ 72,769\\ 72,257\\ 52,921\\ 51,965\\ 41,462\\ 72,514\\ 86,395\\ 87,350\\ 104,131\\ 117,216\\ 120,093\\ 120,929\\ 112,886\\ 99,427\\ 121,976\\ 140,123\\ 162,309\\ 153,515\\ 170,790\\ 175,179\\ 199,409\\ 223,837\\ 236,788\\ 249,086\\ 265,889\\ 310,575\\ 377,557\\ 433,510\\ 415,997\\ 305,184\\ 185,776\\ 105,336\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,720\\ 6,679\\ 6,910\\ 10,017\\ 12,832\\ 17,015\\ 16,708\\ 17,460\\ 15,838\\ 22,447\\ 28,221\\ 28,539\\ 31,038\\ 25,452\\ 22,298\\ 22,304\\ 19,250\\ 15,148\\ 13,094\\ 10,788\\ 14,081\\ 17,889\\ 20,324\\ 22,883\\ 24,574\\ 27,434\\ 28,259\\ 30,305\\ 32,952\\ 35,116\\ 39,944\\ 47,297\\ 52,803\\ 48,959\\ 44,338\\ 28,246\\ \end{array}$	12,547 $15,264$ $17,502$ $19,773$ $24,237$ $27,172$ $30,752$ $29,817$ $27,675$ $30,872$ $40,399$ $44,632$ $55,508$ $56,984$ $62,105$ $61,023$ $56,984$ $62,105$ $61,023$ $58,966$ $51,676$ $64,323$ $57,269$ $64,643$ $56,717$ $66,381$ $66,634$ $72,360$ $75,031$ $81,091$ $85,023$ $97,855$ $100,344$ $115,399$ $131,092$ $144,931$ $139,103$ $120,144$ $112,038$				
2010	241,690	126,748	112,726	14,022	114,942				
2010 Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec	252,744 245,216 240,879 235,589 228,865 228,171 234,955 235,690 229,963	133,971 133,549 132,426 129,652 123,358 121,505 120,441 121,153 120,587	lly Adjusted Annu 119,425 119,327 117,702 114,797 110,240 107,293 106,439 106,382 107,044	14,546 14,222 14,724 14,855 13,118 14,212 14,002 14,771 13,543	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA				
2011 Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun	237,643 233,404 227,254 238,289 236,473 235,752	121,524 120,708 119,383 119,302 118,578 118,477	108,019 107,031 105,923 105,598 104,996 105,281	13,505 13,677 13,460 13,704 13,582 13,196	NA NA NA NA NA				

*Effective with the May 2008 data, expenditures on private residential improvements to rental, vacant, and seasonal properties are not included in the construction spending data. To allow comparable time series analysis, these expenditures have been removed from historic data back to January 1993. NA = Data available only annually.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce

http://www.census.gov/const/C30/PRIVSAHIST.xls



Exhibit 21. Gross Domestic Product and Residential Fixed Investment: 1960–Present

	Gross	Residential	Residential Fixed Investment
Period	Domestic Product	Fixed Investment	Percent of Gross Domestic Product
		Current Dollars in Billions)	
1960	526.4	26.3	5.0
1961	544.7	26.4	4.8
1962	585.6	29.0	5.0
1963	617.7	32.1	5.2
1964	663.6	34.3	5.2
1965	719.1	34.2	4.8
1966	787.8	32.3	4.1
1967	832.6	32.4	3.9
1968	910.0	38.7	4.3
1969 1970	984.6 1,038.5	42.6 41.4	4.3 4.0
1970	1,038.5	41.4 55.8	4.0 5.0
1971	1,127.1 1,238.3	69.7	5.6
1972	1,382.7	75.3	5.4
1974	1,500.0	66.0	4.4
1975	1,638.3	62.7	3.8
1976	1,825.3	82.5	4.5
1977	2,030.9	110.3	5.4
1978	2,294.7	131.6	5.7
1979	2,563.3	141.0	5.5
1980	2,789.5	123.2	4.4
1981	3,128.4	122.6	3.9
1982	3,255.0	105.7	3.2
1983	3,536.7	152.9	4.3
1984 1985	3,933.2	180.6 188.2	4.6 4.5
1985	4,220.3 4,462.8	220.1	4.5
1980	4,739.5	233.7	4.9
1988	5,103.8	239.3	4.7
1989	5,484.4	239.5	4.4
1990	5,803.1	224.0	3.9
1991	5,995.9	205.1	3.4
1992	6,337.7	236.3	3.7
1993	6,657.4	266.0	4.0
1994	7,072.2	301.9	4.3
1995	7,397.7	302.8	4.1
1996	7,816.9	334.1	4.3
1997	8,304.3	349.1	4.2
1998 1999	8,793.5	385.9 425.8	4.4 4.6
2000	9,353.5 9,951.5	449.0	4.6
2000	10,286.2	472.4	4.5
2001	10,642.3	509.5	4.8
2002	11,142.1	577.6	5.2
2004	11,867.8	680.6	5.7
2005	12,638.4	775.0	6.1
2006	13,398.9	761.9	5.7
2007	14,061.8	628.6	4.5
2008	14,291.5	472.4	3.3
2009	13,939.0	354.7	2.5
2010	14,526.5	338.1	2.3
	Quarterly Data (Sea	isonally Adjusted Annual Rate	es)
2010			
Q2	14,467.8	354.5	2.5
Q3	14,605.5	327.3	2.2
Q4	14,755.0	331.3	2.2
0011			
2011	14,867.8	330.6	2.2
Q1 Q2	15,003.8	335.0	2.2
Q2	10,000.0	000.0	2.2

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce

http://www.bea.doc.gov/bea/newsrel/gdpnewsrelease.htm (See Table 3 in pdf.)





Exhibit 22. Net Change in Number of Households by Age of Households	older:
1971–Present*	

Period	Total	Less Than 25 Years	25 to 29 Years	30 to 34 Years	35 to 44 Years	45 to 54 Years	55 to 64 Years	65 Years and Older
			Ann	ual Data			1	
$ \begin{array}{r} 1971^1 \\ 1972 \\ 1973 \\ 1974^r \\ 1975 \\ 1976 \\ 1977 \\ 1978 \\ 1979 \\ 1982 \\ 1981 \\ 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984^r \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988^r \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993^3 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002^4 \\ 2003 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ 2009 \\ 2010^5 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 848\\ 1,898\\ 1,575\\ 1,554\\ 1,358\\ 1,704\\ 1,275\\ 1,888\\ 1,300\\ 3,446\\ 1,592\\ 1,159\\ 3,91\\ 1,372\\ 1,499\\ 1,669\\ 1,021\\ 1,645\\ 1,706\\ 517\\ 965\\ 1,364\\ 750\\ 681\\ 1,883\\ 637\\ 1,391\\ 1,510\\ 1,346\\ 831\\ 1,244\\ 1,249\\ 669\\ 1,367\\ 1,725\\ 1,725\\ 1,082\\ 455\\ 286\\ 522\\ 701\\ \end{array}$	NA NA 282 351 39 11 114 229 122 228 (127) (333) (415) (237) (20) 65 (306) 109 109 (294) (239) (23) 398 8 179 (162) (122) 275 335 90 297 1111 73 119 2 277 (101) (269) (133) (35)	NA NA 320 395 305 484 87 213 81 573 262 11 (60) 332 (160) 144 (129) (44) 16 (201) (177) (433) 46 (387) (72) (46) 293 (184) 56 1 (96) 131 (12) 306 306 164 173 (143) 30 (20)	NA NA 438 321 366 78 570 451 84 935 387 163 (163) 350 388 252 221 163 287 (251) 28 120 1 47 (193) (181) (204) (97) (270) (193) 50 193 (85) (187) (277) (184) (98) (75) 36 163	NA NA 191 (15) 181 341 255 487 359 652 482 864 694 549 912 516 706 624 625 602 750 474 84 431 621 312 597 120 25 (13) (218) (586) (221) (249) 57 (299) (436) (260) (520) (535)	NA NA 49 134 (38) (81) 85 (303) (17) 69 40 (189) (151) 169 105 471 112 389 418 496 237 796 866 424 753 418 835 704 611 769 917 182 224 435 493 454 149 120 206 (15)	NA NA 76 (75) 162 332 149 403 101 241 179 243 127 54 (55) (221) 16 (10) (53) (276) (5) 36 (406) 34 36 177 68 603 499 21 284 949 654 766 817 642 558 426 667	NA NA 218 448 342 539 14 409 570 749 368 400 359 156 328 441 402 414 304 440 371 394 (239) 124 559 121 (78) 89 92 156 11 277 37 181 328 276 215 347 474 480
			Quart	erly Data				
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	261 247 537	(169) 73 119	61 (193) 88	(46) 142 165	61 (180) 42	(59) (41) (220)	380 440 94	33 6 249
2011 Q1 Q2	(287) 309	(55) (93)	112 (170)	(24) 247	(260) 83	(100) (2)	141 191	(101) 53

*Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

^r Implementation of new March Current Population Survey (CPS) processing system.

¹ Data from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

² Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

³ Beginning in 1993, CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

⁴ Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

⁵ Beginning in 2010, CPS data weighted based on the vintage 2009 housing estimates.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.)



Exhibit 23. Net Change in Number of Households by Type of Household: 1971–Present*

			Fami	ilies ⁶		Non-F House			Person eholds
Period	Total	Husbar With Children	nd-Wife Without Children	Other Male Headed	Other Female Headed	Male Headed	Female Headed	Male	Female
			A	nnual Dat	a				
1971^{1} 1972 1973 1974^{r} 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980^{2} 1981 1982 1983 1984^{r} 1985 1986 1987 1988^{r} 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993^{3} 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002^{4} 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010^{5}	$\begin{array}{c} 848\\ 1,898\\ 1,575\\ 1,554\\ 1,358\\ 1,704\\ 1,275\\ 1,888\\ 1,300\\ 3,446\\ 1,592\\ 1,159\\ 391\\ 1,372\\ 1,499\\ 1,669\\ 1,021\\ 1,645\\ 1,706\\ 517\\ 965\\ 1,364\\ 750\\ 681\\ 1,883\\ 637\\ 1,391\\ 1,510\\ 1,364\\ 831\\ 1,244\\ 1,249\\ 669\\ 1,367\\ 1,725\\ 1,082\\ 455\\ 286\\ 522\\ 701\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA (191) (228) (91) 426 56 (393) (2) (60) (178) 458 75 (107) 135 (123) (66) (53) 550 207 250 (333) 153 246 (211) 149 (75) (137) (20) (55) (94) 2 (164) (386) (315) (109)	NA NA NA NA NA NA 366 114 396 1,024 126 730 278 234 447 125 529 244 290 341 (104) 363 83 (128) 439 43 (117) 467 663 392 (9) 615 298 435 321 153 246 303 348 (149)	NA NA NA NA NA 36 103 53 115 201 53 31 21 189 187 96 344 0 30 28 114 44 (145) 308 286 340 61 63 48 250 150 50 299 193 42 (26) 87 195 204	NA NA NA NA NA 206 497 182 485 377 322 65 427 233 81 235 243 196 5 373 430 364 340 (182) 295 270 (136) 139 (98) 23 82 92 216 467 136 69 (60) 217 358	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm 199}\\ 126\\ 143\\ 240\\ 184\\ (50)\\ 87\\ 142\\ (12)\\ 171\\ 43\\ 62\\ 213\\ (124)\\ 143\\ 115\\ 37\\ 170\\ 28\\ 11\\ 204\\ (143)\\ 280\\ 58\\ 67\\ (45)\\ 31\\ 51\\ 79\\ 84\\ 77\\ 56\\ 86\\ 78\end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA 109 93 131 60 9 81 33 14 62 71 95 51 99 97 (1) 12 87 185 (80) 169 37 889 132 165 84 11 28 (10) 58 93 (86) (54) 115 201	NA NA NA NA NA 223 713 112 502 287 229 (31) 35 436 363 (39) 557 390 (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (119) 164 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 401 163 (169) (144) 81 (142) 81 (1	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm 326}\\ 470\\ 375\\ 592\\ 353\\ 189\\ (73)\\ 562\\ 319\\ 213\\ (12)\\ 249\\ 385\\ 435\\ 191\\ 220\\ (247)\\ 57\\ 421\\ 200\\ (247)\\ 57\\ 421\\ 200\\ 349\\ 356\\ 323\\ (97)\\ 485\\ 257\\ 47\\ 227\\ 260\\ 146\\ 107\\ 152\\ (138)\\ 40\\ \end{array}$
		1	Qu	arterly Da	ita				
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	261 247 537	(118) (71) (6)	(51) (209) 114	(206) 35 147	620 (176) 37	64 (124) 226	1 (16) 131	(239) 491 103	190 316 (215)
2011 Q1 Q2	(287) 309	(595) 17	292 (321)	85 (143)	(283) 463	16 (69)	38 (47)	62 83	98 327

*Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

^r Implementation of new March Current Population Survey (CPS) processing system.

¹ Data from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

² Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

³ Beginning in 1993, CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

⁴ Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

⁵ Beginning in 2010, CPS data weighted based on the vintage 2009 housing estimates.

⁶ Primary families only.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.)



Exhibit 24. Net Change in Number of Households by Race and Ethnicity of Householder: 1971–Present*



			Non-H	ispanic		
Period	Total	White Alone	Black Alone	Other Race Alone	Two or More Races ⁶	Hispanic
			Annual Data			
1971^1 1972 1973 1974^r 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980^2 1981 1982 1983 1984^r 1985 1986 1987 1988^r 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993^3 1994 1995 1994 1997 1998 1999 1999 2000 2001 2002^4 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010^5	$\begin{array}{c} 848\\ 1,898\\ 1,575\\ 1,554\\ 1,358\\ 1,704\\ 1,275\\ 1,888\\ 1,300\\ 3,446\\ 1,592\\ 1,159\\ 391\\ 1,372\\ 1,499\\ 1,669\\ 1,021\\ 1,645\\ 1,706\\ 517\\ 965\\ 1,364\\ 750\\ 681\\ 1,883\\ 637\\ 1,391\\ 1,510\\ 1,346\\ 831\\ 1,244\\ 1,249\\ 669\\ 1,367\\ 1,725\\ 1,725\\ 1,082\\ 455\\ 286\\ 522\\ 701\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm 832}\\ {\rm 1,356}\\ {\rm 1,115}\\ {\rm 2,367}\\ {\rm 903}\\ {\rm 890}\\ {\rm 218}\\ {\rm 434}\\ {\rm 938}\\ {\rm 954}\\ {\rm 527}\\ {\rm 1,053}\\ {\rm 947}\\ {\rm 428}\\ {\rm 540}\\ {\rm 590}\\ {\rm 1,307}\\ {\rm (72)}\\ {\rm 308}\\ {\rm 696}\\ {\rm 641}\\ {\rm 242}\\ {\rm 588}\\ {\rm (171)}\\ {\rm (612)}\\ {\rm 662}\\ {\rm 768}\\ {\rm 320}\\ {\rm (223)}\\ {\rm (93)}\\ {\rm 245}\\ {\rm 350}\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 288 190 96 488 244 129 (37) 299 250 283 116 255 382 (49) 156 397 183 (6) 387 (156) 509 363 89 245 171 (156) 509 363 89 245 171 (122) 3 249 267 182 149 205 118 93	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 22 119 102 198 223 66 105 58 94 102 173 113 109 115 (18) 218 312 (114) (182) 660 288 87 145 85 202 618 (440) 178 170 115 197 14 83 88	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm 133}\\ 223\\ (13)\\ 393\\ 222\\ 74\\ 105\\ 581\\ 217\\ 330\\ 205\\ 581\\ 217\\ 330\\ 205\\ 224\\ 268\\ 23\\ 287\\ 159\\ 774\\ 209\\ 373\\ 204\\ 286\\ 365\\ 470\\ 259\\ 285\\ 933\\ 608\\ 237\\ 471\\ 439\\ 405\\ 150\\ 37\\ 150\\ \end{array}$
	1		Quarterly Data	1		
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	261 247 537	29 (42) 55	74 121 75	147 (109) 146	(13) 80 (27)	24 199 288
2011 Q1 Q2	(287) 309	(84) 132	(44) 90	(85) 71	29 (4)	(103) 20

*Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

^r Implementation of new March Current Population Survey (CPS) processing system.

¹ Data from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

² Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

³ Beginning in 1993, CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

⁴ Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

⁵ Beginning in 2010, CPS data weighted based on the vintage 2009 housing estimates.

⁶ Beginning in 2003, the CPS respondents were able to select more than one race.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.)



Period	Total ³	Seasonal	Total Year Round	Total Vacant Year Round	For Rent	For Sale Only	Other Vacant	Total Occupied	Owner	Renter
			Ar	inual and F	Biennial	Data				
$\begin{array}{c} 1970^1 \\ 1971 \\ 1972 \\ 1973 \\ 1974 \\ 1975 \\ 1976 \\ 1977 \\ 1978 \\ 1979 \\ 1980 \\ 1980^1 \\ 1980^1 \\ 1981^2 \\ 1983 \\ 1985 \\ 1987 \\ 1987 \\ 1989 \\ 1990^1 \\ 1991 \\ 1991 \\ 1993 \\ 1990^1 \\ 1991 \\ 1993 \\ 1995 \\ 1997 \\ 1999 \\ 2000^1 \\ 2001 \\ 2003 \\ 2005 \\ 2007 \\ 2009 \\ 2010^1 \end{array}$	68,672 NA NA 75,969 77,601 79,087 80,881 82,420 84,618 86,374 88,207 88,411 91,561 93,519 99,931 102,652 105,661 102,264 104,592 106,611 109,457 112,357 115,253 119,628 119,116 120,777 124,377 128,203 130,112 131,705	973 NA NA 676 1,715 1,534 1,565 1,704 1,785 1,788 2,183 1,718 1,950 1,845 3,182 2,837 2,881 NA 2,728 3,088 3,054 3,166 2,961 NA 3,078 3,566 3,845 4,402 4,618 4,649	67,699 NA NA 75,293 75,886 77,553 79,316 80,716 82,833 84,586 86,024 86,693 89,610 91,675 96,749 99,818 102,780 NA 101,864 103,522 106,403 109,191 112,292 NA 116,038 117,211 120,532 123,801 125,494 127,056	4,207 NA NA 5,956 5,056 5,030 5,311 5,436 5,667 6,014 5,953 NA 6,435 7,037 8,324 8,927 NA 8,717 8,799 8,710 9,704 9,704 9,704 9,704 9,704 9,704 9,704 9,777 11,369 11,661 13,109 13,688 14,988	1,655 NA NA 1,545 1,630 1,489 1,544 1,532 1,545 1,600 1,497 NA 1,634 1,906 2,518 2,895 2,644 NA 2,684 2,651 2,666 2,884 2,651 2,666 2,884 2,719 NA 2,916 3,597 3,707 3,852 4,018 4,138	477 NA 502 547 577 617 596 624 677 755 NA 812 955 1,128 1,116 1,115 NA 1,026 889 917 1,043 971 NA 1,243 1,284 1,401 2,017 2,108 1,897	2,075 NA NA 3,909 2,879 2,964 3,150 3,308 3,498 3,737 3,701 NA 3,989 4,176 4,678 4,916 5,338 NA 5,007 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,777 5,258 5,128 5,727 5,618 6,488 6,553 7,240 7,562 8,953	63,445 NA NA 69,337 70,830 72,523 74,005 75,280 77,167 78,572 80,072 80,390 83,175 84,638 88,425 90,888 93,683 91,947 93,147 94,724 97,693 99,487 102,803 105,719 106,261 105,842 108,871 110,692 111,806 116,716	39,886 NA NA 44,653 45,784 46,867 47,904 48,765 50,283 51,411 52,516 51,795 54,342 54,724 56,145 58,164 59,916 59,916 59,796 61,252 63,544 65,487 68,796 71,249 72,265 72,238 74,931 75,647 76,428 75,986	23,560 NA NA 24,684 25,046 25,656 26,101 26,515 26,884 27,160 27,556 28,595 28,833 29,914 32,280 32,724 33,767 32,923 33,351 33,472 34,150 34,000 34,007 34,470 33,996 33,604 33,940 35,045 35,378 40,730
				Quarter	lv Data					
2010 ⁴				2002001						
Q2 Q3 Q4	130,517 130,681 130,845	4,431 4,443 4,294	126,086 126,238 126,551	14,419 14,324 14,100	4,423 4,335 3,969	1,958 1,934 2,052	8,038 8,055 8,079	111,667 111,914 112,451	74,735 74,874 74,782	36,933 37,040 37,669
2011 Q1 Q2	131,009 131,173	4,576 4,535	126,433 126,638	14,269 14,165	4,071 3,918	1,990 1,945	8,208 8,302	112,164 112,473	74,491 74,131	37,674 38,342

Exhibit 25. Total U.S. Housing Stock: 1970–Present*

*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. NA = Not available.

¹ Decennial Census of Housing.

² American Housing Survey (AHS) estimates are available in odd-numbered years only after 1981.

³ Annual Housing Survey estimates through 1981 based on 1970 decennial census weights; 1983 to 1989 estimates based on 1980 decennial census weights; 1991 and 1995 estimates based on 1990 decennial census weights. No reduction in nation's housing inventory has ever occurred; apparent reductions are due to changes in bases used for weighting sample data.

⁴ Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, the housing inventory estimates are based on vintage 2009 housing unit control totals. The CPS data have also been revised back to 2000 based on vintage 2009 housing unit controls.

Sources: Annual Data—Annual or American Housing Surveys; Quarterly Data—Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey in *Current Housing Reports: Housing Vacancies and Homeownership*, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce

http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/hvs.html (See Table 4.)





	A 11		Metropoli	itan Statu	\mathbf{s}^1		Reg	ions	NI	Unit	ts in Struc	ture
Period	All Rental Units	Inside Metro Area	In Central City	Suburbs	Outside Metro Area	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	One	Two or More	Five or More
					Annua	l Data						
1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	5.4 5.4 5.0 5.3 5.7 5.9 6.5 7.3 7.7 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.8 7.7 7.9 8.1 8.0 8.4 8.9 9.8 10.2 9.8 9.7 10.0 10.6 10.0	5.4 5.2 4.8 5.0 5.5 5.7 6.3 7.2 7.7 7.8 7.4 7.5 7.4 7.5 7.3 7.6 7.7 7.5 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.5 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.5 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.7 7.5 7.7 7.8 7.7 9.6 10.2 9.7 9.8 10.0 10.7 10.0	$\begin{array}{c} 5.7\\ 5.4\\ 5.0\\ 5.3\\ 6.0\\ 6.2\\ 6.6\\ 7.6\\ 8.3\\ 8.4\\ 7.9\\ 7.8\\ 8.0\\ 8.3\\ 8.2\\ 8.1\\ 8.2\\ 8.1\\ 8.2\\ 8.1\\ 8.2\\ 8.4\\ 8.2\\ 8.4\\ 8.2\\ 8.1\\ 8.2\\ 8.4\\ 8.2\\ 10.0\\ 10.8\\ 10.0\\ 10.0\\ 10.0\\ 10.0\\ 10.2\\ 11.1\\ 10.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.6\\ 4.8\\ 5.1\\ 6.0\\ 6.6\\ 6.9\\ 7.0\\ 6.6\\ 6.3\\ 6.8\\ 6.4\\ 6.6\\ 6.4\\ 6.6\\ 7.0\\ 6.9\\ 7.1\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 7.2\\ 9.5\\ 9.4\\ 9.3\\ 9.6\\ 9.7\\ 10.2\\ 9.5\end{array}$	5.4 6.1 5.7 6.2 6.3 6.4 7.1 8.2 7.8 7.3 7.7 7.6 7.3 7.0 6.5 7.7 7.9 8.7 8.8 9.2 9.6 9.5 10.4 10.2 10.6 10.2 10.5 10.0 9.3 10.4 10.4 9.8	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5\\ 4.2\\ 3.7\\ 3.7\\ 4.0\\ 3.7\\ 3.5\\ 3.9\\ 4.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.7\\ 6.1\\ 6.9\\ 7.0\\ 7.1\\ 7.2\\ 7.4\\ 6.7\\ 6.3\\ 5.6\\ 5.8\\ 6.6\\ 7.3\\ 5.6\\ 5.8\\ 6.6\\ 7.3\\ 6.5\\ 7.1\\ 7.0\\ 6.9\\ 7.2\\ 7.4\end{array}$	5.7 6.0 5.9 6.3 6.1 5.9 6.9 6.8 6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.8 7.2 7.9 8.0 7.9 8.6 8.8 9.7 10.1 10.8 12.2 12.6 12.4 11.5 10.7 10.7		$\begin{array}{c} 5.3\\ 5.2\\ 5.1\\ 5.4\\ 5.2\\ 5.2\\ 7.1\\ 7.3\\ 7.7\\ 7.1\\ 6.6\\ 6.5\\ 7.1\\ 7.4\\ 7.1\\ 7.5\\ 7.6\\ 6.2\\ 5.8\\ 6.2\\ 6.9\\ 7.5\\ 7.3\\ 6.8\\ 6.7\\ 7.5\\ 7.3\\ 6.8\\ 6.7\\ 7.5\\ 9.0\\ 7.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.8\\ 3.9\\ 4.0\\ 3.9\\ 4.0\\ 3.9\\ 3.9\\ 3.9\\ 3.9\\ 3.8\\ 5.2\\ 5.4\\ 5.5\\ 5.8\\ 6.3\\ 7.3\\ 7.0\\ 7.9\\ 8.0\\ 8.4\\ 9.3\\ 9.9\\ 10.0\\ 9.6\\ 9.8\\ 9.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6\\ 6.4\\ 6.0\\ 6.2\\ 6.7\\ 7.0\\ 7.9\\ 9.2\\ 9.7\\ 9.8\\ 9.2\\ 9.0\\ 9.4\\ 9.3\\ 9.5\\ 9.0\\ 9.0\\ 9.3\\ 9.0\\ 9.0\\ 8.7\\ 8.7\\ 8.9\\ 9.7\\ 10.7\\ 10.9\\ 10.0\\ 9.8\\ 10.0\\ 10.4\\ 11.3\\ 10.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.6\\ 7.1\\ 6.4\\ 6.5\\ 7.1\\ 7.5\\ 8.8\\ 10.4\\ 11.2\\ 11.4\\ 10.1\\ 9.5\\ 10.4\\ 10.1\\ 10.3\\ 9.8\\ 9.5\\ 9.6\\ 9.1\\ 9.4\\ 8.7\\ 9.2\\ 9.6\\ 10.4\\ 11.4\\ 11.5\\ 10.4\\ 9.9\\ 10.3\\ 10.8\\ 12.3\\ 11.2 \end{array}$
		1	1	1	Quarter	rly Data	1				1	
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	10.6 10.3 9.4	10.7 10.3 9.5	11.1 10.5 9.8	10.2 10.1 9.1	9.5 10.4 9.1	8.3 7.4 7.5	11.3 11.5 9.6	13.2 12.9 11.5	8.0 8.1 7.9	9.0 9.8 9.3	11.7 10.8 9.6	12.3 11.8 10.4
2011 Q1 Q2	9.7 9.2	9.6 9.2	9.8 9.6	9.3 8.6	10.0 9.1	6.8 6.8	10.2 10.3	12.5 11.4	7.3 6.8	9.2 8.5	10.1 9.7	10.5 10.0

Exhibit 26. Rental Vacancy Rates: 1979–Present

¹ The Census Bureau has changed to the Office of Management and Budget's new designation of metropolitan areas as core-based statistical areas effective January 2005. The new statistical area definitions and data are not comparable with the previous ones.

http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/hvs.html (See "Detail Tables," Tables 2 and 3.)



Period	Total	Less Than 25 Years	25 to 29 Years	30 to 34 Years	35 to 44 Years	45 to 54 Years	55 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over
			А	nnual Data				
$ \begin{array}{r} 1982 \\ 1983 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993 \\ 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002^2 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ 2009 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 64.8\\ 64.6\\ 64.5\\ 63.9\\ 63.8\\ 64.0\\ 63.8\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.5\\ 64.0\\ 64.0\\ 64.7\\ 65.4\\ 65.7\\ 66.3\\ 66.8\\ 67.4\\ 67.8\\ 67.9\\ 68.3\\ 69.0\\ 68.9\\ 68.8\\ 68.1\\ 67.8\\ 67.8\\ 67.4\end{array}$	$19.3 \\ 18.8 \\ 17.9 \\ 17.2 \\ 17.2 \\ 16.0 \\ 15.8 \\ 16.6 \\ 15.7 \\ 15.3 \\ 14.9 \\ 15.0 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.9 \\ 15.9 \\ 18.0 \\ 17.7 \\ 18.2 \\ 19.9 \\ 21.7 \\ 22.5 \\ 22.9 \\ 22.8 \\ 25.2 \\ 25.7 \\ 24.8 \\ 24.8 \\ 23.6 \\ 23.3 \\ 17.9 \\ 18.0 \\ 17.7 \\ 22.5 \\ 22.9 \\ 22.8 \\ 23.3 \\ 100 $	$\begin{array}{c} 38.6\\ 38.3\\ 38.6\\ 37.7\\ 36.7\\ 36.4\\ 35.9\\ 35.3\\ 35.2\\ 33.8\\ 33.6\\ 34.0\\ 33.6\\ 34.0\\ 33.6\\ 34.1\\ 34.4\\ 34.7\\ 35.0\\ 36.2\\ 36.5\\ 38.1\\ 38.9\\ 38.8\\ 39.8\\ 40.2\\ 40.9\\ 41.8\\ 40.6\\ 40.0\\ 37.7\end{array}$	57.1 55.4 54.8 54.0 53.6 53.5 53.2 53.2 51.2 50.5 51.0 50.8 50.6 53.1 53.0 52.6 53.6 53.6 53.6 53.6 53.6 53.6 53.6 54.8 54.9 56.5 57.4 56.5 57.4 56.8 55.9 54.4 53.5 52.5	$\begin{array}{c} 70.0\\ 69.3\\ 68.9\\ 68.1\\ 67.3\\ 67.2\\ 66.9\\ 66.6\\ 66.3\\ 65.8\\ 65.1\\ 65.4\\ 65.1\\ 64.5\\ 65.2\\ 65.5\\ 66.1\\ 66.9\\ 67.2\\ 67.2\\ 67.9\\ 68.2\\ 68.3\\ 69.2\\ 69.3\\ 68.9\\ 67.8\\ 67.0\\ 66.2\end{array}$	77.4 77.0 76.5 75.9 76.1 75.6 75.5 75.2 74.8 75.1 75.4 75.3 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.3 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.5 75.7 76.0 76.5 76.7 76.3 76.6 76.3 76.6 77.2 76.6 75.2 75.4 75.5 75.7 76.0 76.3 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.7 76.3 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.7 76.3 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.7 76.3 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.5 75.7 76.0 76.5 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.7 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.5 75.7 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.6 75.7 76.3 76.6 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.5 75.7 76.5 75.7 76.5 75.4 75.2 76.6 75.2 75.0 74.4	$\begin{array}{c} 80.0\\ 79.9\\ 80.0\\ 79.5\\ 79.9\\ 80.2\\ 79.5\\ 79.6\\ 79.3\\ 80.0\\ 80.2\\ 79.8\\ 79.9\\ 79.3\\ 79.5\\ 80.0\\ 80.1\\ 80.9\\ 81.0\\ 80.3\\ 81.3\\ 81.1\\ 81.4\\ 81.7\\ 81.2\\ 80.9\\ 80.6\\ 80.1\\ 79.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.4\\ 75.0\\ 75.1\\ 74.8\\ 75.0\\ 75.5\\ 75.6\\ 75.8\\ 76.3\\ 77.2\\ 77.1\\ 77.3\\ 77.3\\ 77.3\\ 77.4\\ 78.1\\ 78.9\\ 79.1\\ 79.3\\ 80.1\\ 80.4\\ 80.3\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.6\\ 80.5\\ 81.1\\ 80.5\\ 80.4\\ 80.1\\ 80.5\\$
2010	66.9	22.8	36.8	51.6	65.0	73.5	79.0	80.5
			Qu	arterly Data	a			
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	66.9 66.9 66.5	22.9 22.3 22.9	37.3 37.0 35.9	51.0 51.8 52.6	65.6 65.2 63.9	73.6 73.0 72.7	78.7 79.2 79.0	80.4 80.6 80.5
2011 Q1 Q2	66.4 65.9	22.3 21.9	35.2 34.7	50.3 49.5	64.4 63.8	73.1 72.3	78.6 77.8	81.0 80.8

Exhibit 27. Homeownership Rates by Age of Householder: 1982–Present

¹ Revised based on the adjusted 1990 decennial census weights rather than 1980 decennial census weights, resulting in lower estimates.

² Beginning in 2002, Current Population Survey data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

Source: Census Bureau, Department of Commerce

http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/housing/hvs/hvs.html (See "Detail Tables," Table 7.)



1983–Present

			Reg	ion		Met	ropolitan Statı	18 ^{4,5}
						Inside M	etro Area	
Period	Total	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Central City	Outside Central City	Outside Metro Area
			March Supp	olemental I	Data			
$ \begin{array}{r} 1983^1 \\ 1984 \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988 \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1993^2 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 64.9\\ 64.5\\ 64.3\\ 63.8\\ 64.0\\ 64.0\\ 64.0\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.4\\ 60.7\\ 61.1\\ 61.1\\ 61.4\\ 61.9\\ 61.6\\ 62.3\\ 61.9\\ 62.7\\ 62.4\end{array}$	70.0 69.0 67.7 66.9 67.1 67.0 67.6 67.3 67.3 67.3 67.0 67.0	67.1 67.2 66.7 66.9 66.3 66.3 66.5 66.1 65.8 65.5	58.7 58.5 59.4 57.8 57.9 59.0 58.5 58.0 58.8 59.2 60.0	48.9 49.2 NA 48.3 48.7 48.7 48.7 48.9 48.3 49.0 48.9	70.2 69.8 NA 71.2 70.9 71.1 70.4 70.1 70.4 70.2 70.2	73.5 72.6 NA 72.0 72.5 72.1 73.1 73.5 73.2 73.0 72.9
		Ann	ual Average	es of Month	ly Data		ľ	
1994 1995 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 20023 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 64.0\\ 64.7\\ 65.4\\ 65.7\\ 66.3\\ 66.8\\ 67.4\\ 67.8\\ 67.9\\ 68.3\\ 69.0\\ 68.9\\ 68.8\\ 68.1\\ 67.8\\ 68.1\\ 67.8\\ 67.4\\ 66.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61.5\\ 62.0\\ 62.2\\ 62.4\\ 62.6\\ 63.1\\ 63.4\\ 63.7\\ 64.3\\ 64.4\\ 65.0\\ 65.2\\ 65.2\\ 65.2\\ 65.0\\ 64.6\\ 64.0\\ 64.1 \end{array}$	67.7 69.2 70.6 70.5 71.1 71.7 72.6 73.1 73.1 73.1 73.2 73.8 73.1 72.7 71.9 71.7 71.0 70.8	$\begin{array}{c} 65.6\\ 66.7\\ 67.5\\ 68.0\\ 68.6\\ 69.1\\ 69.6\\ 69.8\\ 69.7\\ 70.1\\ 70.9\\ 70.8\\ 70.5\\ 70.1\\ 69.9\\ 69.6\\ 69.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 59.4\\ 59.2\\ 59.2\\ 59.6\\ 60.5\\ 60.9\\ 61.7\\ 62.6\\ 62.5\\ 63.4\\ 64.2\\ 64.4\\ 64.7\\ 63.5\\ 63.0\\ 62.6\\ 61.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.5\\ 49.5\\ 49.7\\ 49.9\\ 50.0\\ 50.4\\ 51.4\\ 51.9\\ 51.7\\ 52.3\\ 53.1\\ 54.2\\ 54.3\\ 53.6\\ 53.2\\ 52.8\\ 52.1\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.3\\71.2\\72.2\\72.5\\73.2\\73.6\\74.0\\74.6\\74.7\\75.0\\75.7\\76.4\\76.1\\75.5\\75.1\\74.6\\74.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.0\\ 72.7\\ 73.5\\ 73.7\\ 74.7\\ 75.4\\ 75.2\\ 75.0\\ 75.4\\ 75.6\\ 76.3\\ 76.3\\ 76.3\\ 76.3\\ 75.9\\ 75.1\\ 75.2\\ 74.7\\ 74.5\end{array}$
	1	Quar	terly Averag	ges of Mont	hly Data		1	
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	66.9 66.9 66.5	64.2 63.9 64.1	70.8 71.1 70.5	69.1 69.1 68.5	61.4 61.3 61.0	52.0 52.0 52.0	74.3 74.0 73.6	74.2 75.1 74.1
2011 Q1 Q2	66.4 65.9	63.9 63.0	70.4 70.0	68.4 68.2	60.9 60.3	51.4 51.2	73.9 73.2	74.1 73.5

Exhibit 28. Homeownership Rates by Region and Metropolitan Status:

NA = Not available.

¹ Data from 1983 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

² Beginning in 1993, Current Population Survey (CPS) data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

 3 Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

⁴ From 1983 and 1984, the metropolitan data reflect 1970 definitions. From 1985 to 1994, the metropolitan data reflect 1980 definitions. Beginning in 1995, the metropolitan data reflect 1990 definitions.

⁵ The Census Bureau has changed to OMB's new designation of metropolitan areas as *Core-Based Statistical Areas* effective January 2005. The new statistical area definitions and data are not comparable with the previous ones.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The annual data come from two sources: for years 1983 to 1993, the source is the Current Population Survey March Supplement; for years 1994 and later, the data are the average of the 12 monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Surveys. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.) http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/hvs.html (See Table 6.)



		Non-l	Hispanic		
Period	White Alone	Black Alone	Other Race Alone	Two or More Races ⁴	Hispanic
	•	March Su	pplemental Data		
1983 ¹ 1984 ^r 1985 1986 1987 1988 ^r 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 ²	69.1 69.0 69.0 68.4 68.7 69.1 69.3 69.4 69.5 69.6 70.2	$\begin{array}{c} 45.6\\ 46.0\\ 44.4\\ 44.8\\ 45.8\\ 42.9\\ 42.1\\ 42.6\\ 42.7\\ 42.6\\ 42.7\\ 42.6\\ 42.0\end{array}$	53.3 50.9 50.7 49.7 48.7 49.7 50.6 49.2 51.3 52.5 50.6	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA	$\begin{array}{c} 41.2 \\ 40.1 \\ 41.1 \\ 40.6 \\ 40.6 \\ 40.6 \\ 41.6 \\ 41.2 \\ 39.0 \\ 39.9 \\ 39.4 \end{array}$
		Annual Avera	ages of Monthly D	ata	
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 20023 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	$\begin{array}{c} 70.0\\ 70.9\\ 71.7\\ 72.0\\ 72.6\\ 73.2\\ 73.8\\ 74.3\\ 74.7\\ 75.4\\ 76.0\\ 75.8\\ 75.8\\ 75.8\\ 75.8\\ 75.2\\ 75.0\\ 74.8\\ 74.4\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.5\\ 42.9\\ 44.5\\ 45.4\\ 46.1\\ 46.7\\ 47.6\\ 48.4\\ 48.2\\ 48.8\\ 49.7\\ 48.8\\ 49.7\\ 48.8\\ 49.7\\ 48.8\\ 48.4\\ 47.8\\ 47.9\\ 46.6\\ 45.9\end{array}$	$50.8 \\ 51.5 \\ 51.5 \\ 53.3 \\ 53.7 \\ 54.1 \\ 53.9 \\ 54.7 \\ 55.0 \\ 56.7 \\ 59.6 \\ 60.4 \\ 61.1 \\ 60.3 \\ 59.8 \\ 59.7 \\ 58.8 $	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 58.0 60.4 59.8 59.9 59.0 57.8 56.0 55.6	$\begin{array}{c} 41.2\\ 42.0\\ 42.8\\ 43.3\\ 44.7\\ 45.5\\ 46.3\\ 47.3\\ 47.0\\ 46.7\\ 48.1\\ 49.5\\ 49.7\\ 49.7\\ 49.7\\ 49.7\\ 49.1\\ 48.4\\ 47.5\end{array}$
		Quarterly Ave	rages of Monthly	Data	
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	74.4 74.7 74.2	46.6 45.5 45.3	57.5 59.5 58.9	53.6 53.6 58.6	47.8 47.0 46.8
2011 Q1 Q2	74.1 73.7	45.2 44.7	58.1 57.5	57.3 54.7	46.8 46.6

Exhibit 29. Homeownership Rates by Race and Ethnicity: 1983–Present

NA = Not available.

^r Implementation of new March Current Population Survey (CPS) processing system.

 1 CPS data from 1983 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

² Beginning in 1993, CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

³ Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

⁴ Beginning in 2003, the CPS respondents were able to answer more than one race.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The annual data come from two sources: for years 1983 to 1993, the source is the Current Population Survey March Supplement; for years 1994 and later, the data are the average of the 12 monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Surveys. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.)





	Married	Couples	Other I	Families	
Period	With Children	Without Children	With Children	Without Children	Other
		March Supp	plemental Data		
$ \begin{array}{r} 1983^{1} \\ 1984^{r} \\ 1985 \\ 1986 \\ 1987 \\ 1988^{r} \\ 1989 \\ 1990 \\ 1991 \\ 1992 \\ 1992 \\ 1993^{2} \\ \end{array} $	75.0 74.2 74.0 73.4 73.8 73.9 74.3 73.5 73.0 73.4 73.7	80.8 80.9 81.1 81.4 81.6 81.7 82.0 82.2 83.0 83.0 83.0 82.9	$\begin{array}{c} 38.3\\ 39.1\\ 38.6\\ 38.0\\ 37.6\\ 38.0\\ 35.8\\ 36.0\\ 35.6\\ 35.6\\ 35.1\\ 35.5\end{array}$	67.5 66.4 65.4 65.7 66.3 64.9 64.4 64.3 65.6 64.9 63.9	$\begin{array}{c} 44.5\\ 44.6\\ 45.0\\ 43.9\\ 43.9\\ 44.6\\ 45.6\\ 46.6\\ 46.8\\ 47.3\\ 47.1\end{array}$
			es of Monthly Dat		
$ \begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002^3 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \\ 2005 \\ 2006 \\ 2007 \\ 2008 \\ 2009 \\ 2010 \\ \end{array} $	74.3 74.9 75.8 76.5 77.3 77.6 78.3 78.8 78.6 79.1 79.7 80.3 79.9 79.4 78.9 78.0 76.4	83.2 84.0 84.4 84.9 85.4 85.7 86.1 86.6 86.8 87.0 87.7 87.5 87.6 87.5 87.6 87.5 87.1 86.7 86.6	$\begin{array}{c} 36.1\\ 37.7\\ 38.6\\ 38.5\\ 40.4\\ 41.9\\ 43.2\\ 44.2\\ 43.5\\ 43.8\\ 45.3\\ 45.2\\ 45.2\\ 45.2\\ 44.2\\ 43.3\\ 42.4\\ 41.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 65.3\\ 66.2\\ 67.4\\ 66.4\\ 66.0\\ 65.8\\ 65.8\\ 66.1\\ 66.3\\ 66.5\\ 67.8\\ 67.4\\ 67.6\\ 65.7\\ 66.1\\ 65.4\\ 66.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.0\\ 47.7\\ 48.6\\ 49.2\\ 49.7\\ 50.3\\ 50.9\\ 51.7\\ 52.3\\ 52.7\\ 53.5\\ 53.3\\ 53.4\\ 52.7\\ 52.7\\ 52.7\\ 52.7\\ 52.6\\ 52.8\end{array}$
		Quarterly Average	ges of Monthly Da	ta	
2010 Q2 Q3 Q4	76.2 76.0 76.2	86.8 87.1 85.9	42.1 41.3 40.7	66.2 64.6 66.7	52.7 53.3 52.9
2011 Q1 Q2	76.4 75.1	86.3 86.5	41.7 39.9	64.8 65.3	52.3 52.3

Exhibit 30. Homeownership Rates by Household Type: 1983–Present

r Implementation of new March Current Population Survey (CPS) processing system.

¹ CPS data from 1983 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

 $^{\rm 2}$ Beginning in 1993, CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

³ Beginning in 2002, CPS data weighted based on the 2000 decennial census data and housing unit controls.

Source: Current Population Survey, Census Bureau, Department of Commerce (The annual data come from two sources: for years 1983 to 1993, the source is the Current Population Survey March Supplement; for years 1994 and later, the data are the average of the 12 monthly Current Population Surveys/Housing Vacancy Surveys. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.)

U.S. Housing Market Conditions is published quarterly by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

Shaun L. Donovan	Secretary
Raphael W. Bostic	Assistant Secretary, Office of Policy Development and Research
Kurt G. Usowski	Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Affairs
Edward J. Szymanoski	Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Economic Affairs
Shawn J. Bucholtz	Director, Housing and Demographic Analysis Division
William J. Reeder	Director, Housing Finance Analysis Division
Pamela R. Sharpe	Deputy Director, Economic and Market Analysis Division
Rachelle L. Levitt	Director, Research Utilization Division
Eileen Faulkner	
Randall M. Scheessele	Director, Market Analysis Division, Housing
Robert R. Callis	Chief, Financial and Market Characteristics Branch, Bureau of the Census
Erin K Browne	Economist
Kee Nam Cheung	Economist
Wendy Y. Chi	Economist
John P. Comeau	Economist
Michael K. Hollar	Economist
Carolyn D. Lynch	Economist
Michelle P. Matuga	
William J. Reid	
David A. Vandenbroucke	
Jian A. Zhou	Economist

HUD Field Office Economists who contributed to the second quarter 2011 issue are as follows:

Regional Reports

New England: Michael W. Lackett New York/New Jersey: Patricia Moroz Mid-Atlantic: Beverly M. Harvey Southeast/Caribbean: Charles P. Hugghins.	Boston
New York/New Jersey: Patricia Moroz	Philadelphia
Mid-Atlantic: Beverly M. Harvey	Philadelphia
Southeast/Caribbean: Charles P. Hugghins	Atlanta
Midwest: Gabriel A. Labovitz	Chicago
Southwest: Robert P. Stephens Great Plains: L. David Vertz	Fort Worth
Great Plains: L. David Vertz	Fort Worth
Rocky Mountain: James Conner	Denver
Pacific: Ikuo J. Nakano	Los Angeles
Rocky Mountain: James Conner Pacific: Ikuo J. Nakano Northwest: Thomas E. Aston	Portland
Housing Market Profiles	
Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, Texas: T. Michael Miller	Forth Worth
Chattanooga, Tennessee-Georgia. Dena Reavis Cheyenne, Wyoming: James Conner	St. Louis
Chevenne, Wyoming: James Conner	Denver
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, Ohio: Randall Goodnight	Oklahoma City

Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, Ohio: Randall Goodnight.....Oklahoma City Manchester-Nashua, New Hampshire: Michael J. Murphy.....Boston Mobile, Alabama: Adam Tubridy....Atlanta Morgantown, West Virginia: Benjamin B. Houck....Oklahoma City Oakland-Fremont-Hayward, California: Pamela J. Leong....San Francisco Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, California: Ikuo J. Nakano...Los Angeles State College, Pennsylvania: Timothy D. McNally....Philadelphia Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, Florida: Robyn E. Bowen...Atlanta Victoria, Texas: Tim McDonald....Fort Worth

How To Request This Publication

- Copies of this publication (current and past issues) are available on the HUD USER website at http://www.huduser.org/periodicals/pdrperio.html.
- To be informed electronically of the availability of future issues on the Internet, please provide your e-mail address.
- To receive a printed copy each quarter, please provide your mailing information.

Name	 	
Affiliation	 	
Street Address	 	
City		
Telephone Number (

• Mail, fax, or phone your request to—HUD USER

P.O. Box 23268, Washington, DC 20026–3268 Phone 1–800–245–2691 • Fax 1–202–708–9981 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Washington, DC 20410–3000

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Return Service Requested

FIRST-CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID HUD PERMIT NO. G–795

