Analysis of the

728.1 :308 F22 Denver, Colo. 1969 c.2

DENVER, COLORADO HOUSING MARKET

as of December 1, 1969

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSE

AUG 4 1970

LIBRARY Washington, D.C. 20410

A Report by the DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20411

June 1970

ANALYSIS OF THE DENVER, COLORADO HOUSING MARKET AS OF DECEMBER 1, 1969

> DEMARTINENT OF POULSE AND URBAN DEVELOP

> > AUG 4 1970

LIBRAN WASHINGTON, D.C. 20410

A Report by the DEPARIMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT l' > r FEDERAL HOUSING ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20411

FHA Housing Market Analysis Denver, Colorado, as of December 1, 1969

Foreword

This analysis has been prepared for the assistance and guidance of the Federal Housing Administration in its operations. The factual information, findings, and conclusions may be useful also to builders, mortgagees, and others concerned with local housing problems and trends. The analysis does not purport to make determinations with respect to the acceptability of any particular mortgage insurance proposals that may be under consideration in the subject locality.

The factual framework for this analysis was developed by the Field Market Analysis Service as thoroughly as possible on the basis of information available on the "as of" date from both local and national sources. Of course, estimates and judgments made on the basis of information available on the "as of" date may be modified considerably by subsequent market developments.

The prospective demand or occupancy potentials expressed in the analysis are based upon an evaluation of the factors available on the "as of" date. They cannot be construed as forecasts of building activity; rather, they express the prospective housing production which would maintain a reasonable balance in demand-supply relationships under conditions analyzed for the "as of" date.

Department of Housing and Urban Development Federal Housing Administration Field Market Analysis Service Washington, D. C.

FHA HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS - DENVER, COLORADO AS OF DECEMBER 1, 19691/

The Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area (HMA) is coterminous with the Denver Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA), which includes Denver, Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, and Jefferson Counties. As of December 1, 1969, the population of the HMA totaled approximately 1,265,000 persons, including 559,000 residing in the city of Denver, which is coextensive with Denver County.

Reflecting accelerated economic, population, and household growth in the Denver HMA during the 1966-1969 period, both the sales and rental housing markets were very tight as of December 1, 1969. The volume of residential construction increased significantly during 1967, 1968, and early 1969, but did not keep pace with growing demand. As of December 1, 1969, the homeowner vacancy rate in the Denver HMA was 0.7 percent, compared with 1.8 percent as of March 1, 1967, and the rental vacancy rate was down to 2.6 percent, compared with a level of 6.6 percent as of March 1, 1967.

Anticipated Housing Demand

Taking into consideration the rate of economic growth anticipated in the Denver HMA during the next two years, the present low vacancy rates, expected inventory losses, and the current level of new construction volume, it is judged that there will be a demand for an average of about 15,500 nonsubsidized housing units a year

^{1/} Data in this analysis are supplementary to a previous FHA analysis as of March 1, 1967.

during the December 1, 1969 to December 1, 1971 forecast period. It is calculated that the nonsubsidized housing units would be most readily absorbed if annual construction included 6,900 single-family houses and 8,600 multifamily housing units distributed geographically among the five county submarkets as shown in the table below (see tables I and II for price and rent distributions).

Estimated Annual	Demand for	Nonsubsidize	d Housing
Denver, Col	orado, Hous	ing Market A	rea
December 1	, 1969 - De	cember 1, 19	71
	Single-	Multi-	Total annual
Area	family	family	demand
		<u> </u>	
HMA total	6,900	8,600	15,500
			23,300
Denver County	1,200	5,000	6,200
Adams County	1,000	600	1,600
Arapahoe County	1,300	1,000	2,300
Boulder County	1,000	1,100	2,100
Jefferson County	2,400	900	3,300
•	,	500	5,500

The annual demand for nonsubsidized multifamily housing units in the Denver County submarket includes 500 units to be in demand as multifamily-type sales housing units marketed as cooperatives or condominiums. As recent experience indicates, a small number of cooperative and condominium units also might be marketed in the other submarket areas; however, the desirability of such units in outlying areas is considerably less than in the more densely developed close-in areas where the competitive advantages of this type of housing are much greater.

The annual demand projected approximates the average level of new construction during 1969, as indicated by permits issued during the first nine months of the year, and exceeds the average yearly level of the preceding six years. It should be noted that the present demand estimates are not intended to be predictions of short-term construction volume, but rather suggestive levels of construction designed to provide appropriate balance and stability in the housing market over the long-term. The present estimates reflect the anticipation of a somewhat slower rate of economic growth during the next two years and a lower rate of new household formation. Production of new housing units at the rates suggested would result in a better balance between supply and demand during the two-year forecast period and relieve the market pressures occasioned by the present extremely low vacancy rate.

Occupancy Potential for Subsidized Housing

Federal assistance in financing costs for new housing for lowor moderate-income families may be provided through four different programs administered by FHA--monthly rent-supplement payments, principally in rental projects financed with market-interest-rate mortgages insured under Section 221(d)(3); partial payments for interest for home mortgages insured primarily under Section 235; partial payment for interest for project mortgages insured under Section 236; and below-market-interest rate financing for project mortgages insured under Section 221(d)(3).

Household eligibility for federal subsidy programs is determined primarily by evidence that household or family income is below established limits. Some families may be alternatively eligible for assistance under one or more of these programs or under other assistance programs using federal or state support. Since the potential for each program is estimated separately, there is no attempt to eliminate the overlaps among program estimates Accordingly. the occupancy potentials discussed for various programs are not additive. Furthermore, future approvals under each program should take into account any intervening approvals under other programs which serve the same requirements. The potentials!/ discussed in the following paragraphs reflect estimates adjusted for housing provided or under construction under alternative FHA or other programs.

The annual occupancy potentials for subsidized housing in FHA programs discussed below are based upon 1969 incomes, on the occupancy of substandard housing, on estimates of the elderly population, on December 1, 1969 income limits, and on available market experience. $\frac{2}{2}$ Based on the current geographic distribution of those families and

- 1/ The occupancy potentials referred to in this analysis have been calculated to reflect the capacity of the market in view of existing vacancy. The successful attainment of the calculated potential for subsidized housing may well depend upon construction in suitable accessible locations as well as upon the distribution of rents and sales prices over the complete range attainable for housing under the specified programs.
- 2/ Families with incomes inadequate to purchase or rent nonsubsidized housing generally are eligible for one form or another of subsidized housing. However, little or no housing has been provided under some of the subsidized programs and absorption rates remain to be tested.

individuals qualifying for the various types of subsidized housing, it is judged that about seventy-two percent of the occupancy potential for subsidized housing is within Denver County and about seven percent of the total is applicable each to Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, and Jefferson Counties. The occupancy potentials distributed by size of units required are shown in table III.

Section 221(d)(3) BMIR. If federal funds are available, an average of 1,450 units of Section 221(d)(3) BMIR housing probably could be absorbed annually in the Denver HMA during the next two years. $1^{/}$ Almost all families eligible under this program also are eligible under Sections 235 and 236. As of November 1, 1969, a total of 702 units had been completed in seven Section 221(d)(3) BMIR projects in the Denver HMA, all located in Denver County. No additional units were under development or being processed at that time. Annual occupancy reports submitted in March 1969 indicated an overall occupancy level of 97 percent in the Section 221(d)(3) BMIR projects. Approximately 32 additional units of BMIR housing had been completed under the Section 221(h) rehabilitation program and 25 units were in the process of rehabilitation under this section.

Rent-Supplement Housing. Under the rent-supplement program there is an annual occupancy potential for approximately 475 units for families and 1,525 units for elderly couples and individuals. Generally, most of the families and individuals eligible under rentsupplement also are eligible for public housing. As of November 1, 1969, three rent-supplement projects totaling 103 units had been completed in the HMA, and these reported 100 percent occupancy. None of these units were designed specifically for the occupancy of elderly persons, but about 40 percent of the units were intended for elderly occupants not requiring special facilities. Two projects (68 units) were located in Denver County and one project (35 units) was located in Arapahoe County. A total of 22 additional rent-supplement units were provided in an HAA Section 202 project for the elderly, located in Boulder County. As of November 1, 1969 there were 43 rent-supplement units under construction in Denver County, scheduled for completion in April 1970. A feasibility letter had been issued for an additional 344 units in three projects to be located in Denver County, but no funds had been allotted for these projects as of November 1, 1969.

As of November 1, 1969, the Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver had 3,675 units of low-rent public housing under

^{1/} At the present time, funds for allocations are available only from recaptures resulting from reductions, withdrawals, and cancellations of outstanding allocations.

management and fully occupied. A total of 1,571 units were occupied by elderly persons, although only 750 units had been built specifically for the occupancy of the elderly. As of November 1, 1969, there were 50 units of low-rent public housing designed for the elderly under construction in Denver County. These units were expected to be ready for occupancy by April 1970. An additional 500 low-rent units in Denver County, including 200 units designed for the elderly, were funded with completion anticipated in approximately one year. The housing authority reports that applications for low-rent public housing units total about 1,600 annually. As of November 1, 1969, a waiting list of 900 qualified applicants was reported, of whom about 775 were elderly persons. The Boulder Housing Authority provided 27 low-rent housing units through a lease-program as of November 1, 1969, with an allocation for a total of 50 leased units. Two lowrent projects totaling 283 units, of which 145 were designed for the elderly, were approved for construction under the turn-key program in Boulder with completion anticipated within 18 months.

Section 235, Sales Housing. Sales housing could be provided for low- to moderate-income families under Section 235. With exception income limits, there is an occupancy potential for about 1,200 homes a year during each of the next two years. Under regular income limits the potential would be only about 20 percent of that number. All of the families eligible for Section 235 housing also are eligible under the Section 236 program (but are not additive thereto) and about two-thirds are eligible for Section 221(d)(3) BMIR housing. As of November 1, 1969, reservations had been granted for approximately 925 homes under the Section 235 program in the Denver HMA and about 75 percent of these had been processed through closing.

Section 236, Rental Housing. Under Section 236, the annual occupancy potential under exception income limits is estimated at 1,200 units for families and 600 units for elderly couples and individuals. Under regular income limits, the potential for families would be only 20 percent (240 units) of that under the exception limits; the potential for the elderly would be 80 percent (about 480 units) of that number. No families eligible under this program are eligible for public housing or rent-supplement accommodations, but about 45 percent of the elderly households are. As of November 1, 1969, there were no Section 236 units completed or under construction in the Denver HMA. A feasibility letter had been issued for 742 units in five projects, including 100 cooperative units. None of the proposed units were intended specifically for elderly occupants.

The Sales Market

Reflecting the rapid growth in the Denver economy, the market for sales housing was quite strong throughout the March 1967 to August 1969 period in spite of the tight money market, increasing interest rates, and rising construction costs. However, during September and October 1969 there were signs that the tight money situation was becoming more acute in the Denver area and that the sales market would be curtailed somewhat by financial considerations. As of December 1, 1969, the homeowner vacancy rate was a relatively low 0.7 percent, compared with a homeowner vacancy rate of 1.8 percent as of March 1, 1967. There were virtually no new singlefamily houses completed and unsold in the HMA.

Reflecting the recent strength in the sales market, singlefamily houses authorized by building permits totaled approximately 5,800 during the first nine months of 1969, compared with 5,300 single-family houses authorized during the first nine months of 1968. Single-family houses authorized during 1968 totaled almost 7,125, compared with only 6,050 units authorized in 1967 and about 5,700 authorized in 1966. Indicating an increase in overall sales activity, including the sales of existing homes, mortgages recorded in the Denver HMA totaled 30,800 during the first nine months of 1968. Mortgages recorded totaled approximately 38,650 during 1968, compared with 36,125 in 1967 and 34,250 in 1966.

The selling price of new single-family housing in the Denver HMA is estimated to have increased by about 6.5 percent during 1969. The most recent comprehensive information reflecting the selling prices of new single-family homes in the HMA is an unsold inventory survey conducted by the Denver Insuring Office in January 1969 which covered about 57 percent of new single-family construction during 1968. The FHA survey indicated that 25 percent of the single-family homes completed during 1968 were priced to sell below \$20,000, about 33 percent were in the \$20,000 to \$24,999 price range, about 18 percent were priced at \$25,000 to \$29,999, and 24 percent were in the \$30,000 and above price range. Only two percent of the new homes built during 1968 were priced at less than \$15,000.

Approximately 1,400 cooperative and condominium-type sales units were constructed in the Denver HMA during the 1967-1969 period. These were mostly two-bedroom units, concentrated in the \$27,000-\$33,000 price range. In the outlying portions of the HMA, a number of two bedroom units were available in the \$16,500-\$20,500 price range. Luxury-type units in new high-rise buildings located close to the central business district were available at sales prices of \$50,000 to \$60,000. Reflecting the tightness in the sales market of December 1, 1969, only a few cooperative and condominium-type sales units remained unsold.

The Rental Market

The rental market in the Denver area expanded significantly during the March 1, 1967 to December 1, 1969 period, particularly during 1968 and 1969. An average of about 8,175 new multifamily housing units was absorbed each year, and a substantial number of single-family housing units shifted from owner to renter occupancy. Available rental vacancies were reduced by an average of 2,075 units annually. As a result of the strong upward trend in the rental market the rental vacancy rate in the HMA was reduced to 2.6 percent as of December 1, 1969, compared with 6.6 percent as of March 1, 1967 and 12.0 percent as of June 1, 1965. The present strength of the rental market reflects the fact that much of the recent growth in new households in the HMA has consisted of young families and individuals who, typically, have been in the market for rental housing. In addition, the rising costs of home purchase and mortgage financing have forced some prospective home buyers to continue utilization of rental housing.

A special survey of approximately 6,900 rental housing units made by Denver real estate firms in October 1969 indicated that rents in the Denver area had increased by about seven to ten percent between June 1968 and the date of the survey. Market absorption data collected by the Denver Insuring Office indicate that one-bedroom garden-type apartment units opened for occupancy during 1969 were concentrated in the gross monthly rental range of \$140 to \$160; a few were marketed at gross rents of about \$115 a month. One-bedroom units opened for occupancy during 1968 were rather widely distributed in a gross monthly rental range of \$110 to \$160. Twobedroom units opened for occupancy during 1969 largely fell within the \$180 to \$220 gross monthly rental range, compared with twobedroom units marketed during 1968 at gross monthly rents ranging from \$140 to \$220. Efficiency units marketed during the two-year period were rather evenly distributed between a gross rental of \$100 a month and \$140 a month. The small number of three-bedroom units constructed during this period generally were marketed at a gross monthly rent of about \$265. The FHA market absorption data indicate that garden-type apartment projects opened for occupancy during 1968 and 1969 generally achieved full occupancy within a period of two to four months. One-bedroom units in high-rise type rental projects placed on the market during 1968 and 1969 were concentrated in a gross monthly rental range of \$160 to \$200; a few units were available at about \$150 a month. Two-bedroom units in high-rise projects marketed during the past two years were widely distributed over a gross rental range of \$180 a month to \$300 a month, with the largest number falling in the range of \$220 to \$260 a month. Efficiency units in high-rise buildings were concentrated in the \$120 to \$140 a month gross rental range. A few three-bedroom units were available at gross rents generally above \$350 a month. About 40 percent of the high-rise type rental units produced during 1968 and 1969 were in small high-rise structures of five to seven stories. The small high-rise projects generally achieved full occupancy within a period of four to six months. With the exception of several projects offering rentals in the top price ranges, larger projects reported 90 to 100 percent occupancy within a period of six to nine months.

The preceding estimates of housing demand are premised on the trends in employment, income, population, and housing market factors discussed below.

Employment. Based on preliminary estimates prepared by the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment, Division of Employment, nonagricultural employment in the Denver HMA averaged 490,600 workers during the year ending August 31, 1969, including 445,100 nonagricultural wage and salary workers and 45,500 self-employed persons, domestics, and unpaid family workers. The average nonagricultural wage and salary employment level for the 12 months ending in 1969 reflected an increase of 18,600 over the average for the 12 months ending in August 1968. During 1968, nonagricultural wage and salary employment increased by 20,500 workers, following an average gain of 17,000 in 1967, and 23,200 in 1966. The employment gains since 1965 reflect a substantial increase in the rate of economic growth in the Denver area over that of the preceding fouryear period from 1961 to 1965 when nonagricultural wage and salary employment expanded by an average of only 5,875 workers a year. The recent employment gains are comparable in magnitude to increases achieved during the 1959-1961 period. About 83 percent of the increase in wage and salary employment since 1966 is attributable to gains in the nonmanufacturing segment. The largest gains occurred in retail trade, services, and government (see table IV).

Considering the prospective impact of national and regional economic trends on the economy of the HMA, the outlook for expansion of existing industries, and the prospects for attracting new firms, it is conservatively estimated that nonagricultural employment in the Denver HMA will increase by an average of at least 15,000 workers a year during the December 1, 1969 to December 1, 1971 forecast period. A comprehensive analysis of long-range employment prospects prepared by the Inter-County Regional Planning Commission indicates an employment increase averaging about 16,650 persons a year during the 1970-1975 period; however, the rate of growth during the next two years may be expected to fall somewhat below this level, considering current industry prospects and the anticipated impact of federal government policies adopted to control inflation. If employment growth should exceed the rate anticipated, some upward revision in the housing demand estimated may be warranted.

Growth during the next two years is expected to be concentrated in nonmanufacturing industries, with major gains in transportation, communications and public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; government; and services. Continental Airlines is now expanding its maintenance facilities in the Denver area and has announced plans to hire approximately 800 additional workers during 1970; the J.C. Penney Company has announced plans to build a new distribution

center that will employ about 200 people; and Denver has been designated a regional center for additional federal agencies which will be staffed during 1970. The Eastman Kodak Company is presently building a large distribution center near Windsor, just outside the Denver HMA, that will employ about 2,000 to 2,500 workers by This new employment center undoubtedly will have considerable 1975. impact on employment in the Denver area in the form of supporting industries, transportation, trade and services. The impact during the next two years will be principally in construction and construction materials. The American Telephone and Telegraph Company has announced plans for the construction of an overseas operating center in the Denver area at a cost of more than \$300 million. The impact of this new facility during the next two years also will be largely in construction and related supply and services. Approximately 500 operators will be employed by 1973; the center will not be operational until the fall of 1972. Numerous smaller firms in the HMA have announced plans to expand employment by 25 to 50 workers each during the remainder of 1969 and early 1970.

Income. As of December 1, 1969, the estimated median annual income of all families in the Denver HMA was \$8,450 after deduction of federal income taxes. The median after-tax income of renter households of two or more persons was \$6,550 a year. As of March 1, 1967, the median after-tax income of all families was \$7,550 and the median for renter households was \$5,850. Detailed distributions of families and renter households by annual after-tax incomes are presented in table V.

Population and Households. As of December 1, 1969, the population of the Denver HMA was approximately 1,265,000 persons, including 559,000 residing in the city of Denver. The December 1, 1969 HMA population reflects an increase of 110,500 persons, an average gain of 40,175 persons a year, over the revised March 1, 1967 population estimate of 1,154,500. Population growth during the March 1, 1967 to December 1, 1969 period was greatest in Jefferson County, which had an average annual increase of 10,225 persons; followed closely, however, by Denver County with an average annual gain of 9,775, Boulder County with 9,125, and Arapahoe County with 8,875. The population of Adams County increased by about 2,175 persons annually. Population growth generally followed residential construction patterns with new subdivision activity concentrated in Jefferson and Arapahoe Counties and new multifamily construction concentrated in Denver County. Based on anticipated expansion of employment opportunities, it is estimated that the population of the HMA will increase by an average of 34,500 persons a year during thennext two years, reaching a level of 1,334,000 by December 1, 1971.

The number of households in the Denver HMA as of December 1, 1969 totaled 410,000, including 201,500 in the city of Denver. The December 1, 1969 household estimate for the HMA indicates an average increase of about 15,350 households a year over the revised March 1, 1967 estimate of 367,800 households in the HMA. Household growth during the March 1, 1967 to December 1, 1969 period was highest in Denver County, which experienced an average gain of 5,250 households annually, followed by Jefferson County with 3,350, Arapahoe 3,300, Boulder 2,650, and Adams 800. Average household size was significantly lower in Denver County than in the other portions of the HMA, reflecting the increase in multifamily housing units occupied to a large degree by small families, couples without children, and individuals. The number of households in the HMA is expected to increase by an average of 11,150 a year during the next two years, reaching a total of 432,300 by December 1, 1971. Population and household trends during the 1960-1971 period are presented by county submarkets in table VI.

Housing Inventory and Residential Construction Trends. As of December 1, 1969, there were approximately 425,700 housing units in the Denver HMA, reflecting a net increase of about 33,500 units over the revised March 1, 1967 estimate of 392,200 (see table VII). This increase in the housing inventory resulted from the construction of approximately 34,350 new housing units, the addition of about 700 units through conversion, the addition of 1,250 trailers and the loss of about 2,800 units through demolitions and other causes. About 29 percent of the net addition to the housing inventory was within the city of Denver. During the 1967-1969 period, about 17 percent of all single-family construction and 53 percent of all multifamily construction in the HMA was in the city of Denver. The year-to-year trend in private residential construction is shown in tables VIII and IX.

As of December 1, 1969, there were approximately 675 singlefamily housing units under construction in the HMA including 200 in Denver County, 75 in Adams County, 100 in Arapahoe County, 125 in Boulder County, and 175 in Jefferson County. Multifamily housing units under construction in the HMA totaled about 5,500 with 3,475 in Denver, 275 in Adams County, 800 in Arapahoe County, 600 in Boulder County, and 350 in Jefferson County.

Vacancy. Based on a postal vacancy survey conducted on October 20, 1969, on market absorption data collected by the Denver Insuring Office, and on data from other local sources, it is estimated that as of December 1, 1969 there were 1,775 vacant housing units available for sale and 4,725 available for rent in the Denver HMA, reflecting a homeowner vacancy rate of 0.7 percent and a rental vacancy rate of 2.6 percent (see table VII). It is estimated that about 100 of the vacant sales units and 1,150 of the vacant rental units lacked one or more plumbing facilities and were in advanced stages of deterioration; these units were excluded from the inventory of available vacancies in calculating the estimates of housing demand presented earlier. The homeowner vacancy rate in Denver County as of December 1, 1969 was 0.7 percent, equal to the homeowner vacancy rate for the HMA as a whole. The homeowner vacancy rate varied only slightly throughout the remainder of the HMA; 0.8 percent in Adams County and Jefferson County, 0.9 percent in Boulder County, and 0.6 percent in Arapahoe County. The rental vacancy rate in Denver County at 2.6 percent, was identical to the rental vacancy rate for the HMA. The rental vacancy rates in Jefferson County, and Adams County were slightly higher, 2.7 percent and 2.8 percent respectively, and a little higher in Arapahoe County at 3.3 percent. The lowest rental vacancy for any county in the HMA was 1.7 percent in Boulder County where growth in faculty personnel and student enrollment at the University of Colorado has been a major factor in the demand for new rental units.

Estimated Annual Demand for Nonsubsidized Single-family Houses Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area December 1, 1969 - December 1, 1971									
	Denver	Adams	Arapahoe	Boulder	Jefferson				
	County	County	_County_	County	County				
Under \$17,500	75	200	125	75	175				
\$17,500 - 19,999	125	300	150	175	425				
20,000 - 22,499	225	200	225	225	350				
22,500 - 24,999	275	125	225	175	425				
25,000 - 29,999	225	75	250	200	475				
30,000 - 34,999	150	50	225	75	350				
35,000 and over	<u>125</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>200</u>				
Total	1,200	1,000	1,300	1,000	2,400				

Table I

.

٠

.

.

.

.

•

•

Table II

Estimated Annual Demand for Nonsubsidized Multifamily Housing Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area December 1, 1969 - December 1, 1971

Gross monthly rent <u>a</u> /	Efficiency	One bedroom	Two bedrooms	Three or more bedrooms						
(Denver County)										
Under \$139 \$140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199 200 - 224 225 - 249 250 and over Total	225 100 50 25 - - 400	1,200 600 275 150 75 	800 500 275 175 <u>150</u> 1,900	1 50 1 25 75 <u>50</u> 400						
	(Ac	lams County)							
Under \$139 \$140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199 200 - 224 225 and over Total	30 15 5 - - 50	100 75 25 	125 75 50 25 275	- 35 25 15 75						
	(Ara	apahoe Count	ty)							
Under \$139 \$140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199 200 - 224 225 and over Total	30 15 5 - - 50	175 75 50 25 <u>325</u>	- 200 125 100 75 500	- 45 40 <u>40</u> 125						
	(Bou	lder County	y)							
Under \$139 \$140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199 200 - 224 225 and over Total	30 15 5 - - 50	225 100 75 50 	200 1 25 100 7 5 500	- 50 25 <u>25</u> 100						
	(Jeff	erson Count	y)							
Under \$139 \$140 - 159 160 - 179 180 - 199 200 - 224 225 and over Total	30 15 5 - - 50	150 75 50 25 	200 125 75 <u>50</u> 450	- 40 30 <u>30</u> 100						

a/ Gross rent is shelter rent plus the cost of utilities, or the equivalent monthly charge for cooperative and condominium units.

Table III

Estimated Annual Occupancy Potential for Subsidized Housing Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area December 1, 1969 - December 1, 1971

Subsidized Sales Housing, Section 235ª/ Α.

Family size	Number of units
Four persons or less	800
Five persons or more	400
Total	1,200

B. Privately-financed Subsidized Rental Housing

	Rent-Supp	lement ^{b/}	Section 236 ^C /			
<u>Unit size</u>	Families	Elderly	Families	Elderly		
Efficiency	-	1,225	-	400		
One bedroom	75	300	175	200		
Two bedrooms	200	-	525	-		
Three bedrooms	125	-	350	-		
Four or more bedrooms	75	-	1 50	-		
Total	475	1,525	1,200	600		

- a/ All of the families eligible for Section 235 housing also are eligible under the Section 236 program, and vice versa, and about two-thirds are eligible for Section 221(d)(3) BMIR housing. The Section 235 estimates are based on the exception income limits established by legislative authority; under regular income limits the potential would be only about 20 percent of this number.
- b/ Most of the families and individuals included under rent-supplements also are eligible for public housing.
- c/ No families eligible under Section 236 are eligible for public housing or rent-supplement, but about 45 percent of the elderly households qualify for these programs. The estimate of occupancy potential is based on exception income limits. Under regular income limits, the potential for families would be only 20 percent of that under the exception limits, and the potential for the elderly would be about 80 percent of that under the exception limits.

Table JV

Work Force and Employment Trends Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area, 1966-1969 (Annual averages in thousands)

(Annual average	es in thou	isan a s)		10	
					onths
	2/		,		in Aug.
Work force components	<u>1966</u> ^a /	<u>1967</u> /	<u>1968c</u> /	<u>1968c</u> /	<u>1969</u> 2/
Total civilian work force	463.0	<u>479.4</u>	499.8	<u>492.3</u>	<u>511.3</u>
Unemployment	14.8	14.5	14.5	14.3	14.8
Percent of work force	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9
Employment	448.0	464.9	485.1	478.0	496.5
Nonagricultural	442.0	458.8	479.2	471.9	490.6
Nonagriculturur	442.0	450.0	477.2	4/1.7	470.0
lloss and solemy	206 2	(1) A	422 T	106 5	445 1
Wage and salary	396.2	413.2	433.7	426.5	445.1
		<i>.</i>			
Manufacturing	70.8	73.6	76.2	75.0	<u>79.2</u>
Durable goods	37.5	<u>39.6</u>	41.2	40.3	43.2
Ordnance and access.	9.2	8.8	9.0	8.8	9.4
Lumber and wood	1.9	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.5
Stone, clay, and glass	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.3
Primary metals	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.8
Fabricated metals	3.3	3.8	4.4	4.2	4.6
Nonelectrical machinery	8.9	10.4	10.6	10.4	11.1
Electrical machinery	3.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.1
Transportation equipment	3.3	3.2	2.9	2.9	3.0
Other durable goods	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4
Nondurable goods	33.3	<u>34.0</u>	35.0	<u>34.7</u>	36.0
Food and kindred products	13.0	13.0	13.3	13.2	13.4
Textiles, apparel, and leather	5.3	5.8	5.9	5.8	6.3
Printing, pub. & allied indust.	6.2	6.4	6.6	6.5	6.5
Chemicals and allied products	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7
Rubber & misc., plastic products	5.3	5.4			
Other nondurable goods			5.8	5.7	6.1
other hondurable goods	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0
Nonmanufacturing	325.4	339.6	357 5	251 5	265 0
Mining			357.5	351.5	<u>365.9</u>
	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1
Contract construction	22.3	22.0	24.0	23.5	24.3
Transportation & pub. util.	31.8	32.8	34.2	33.7	35.0
Wholesale trade	30.3	31.3	32.6	32.0	33.3
Retail trade	68.4	71.0	76.8	74.3	80.1
Finance, ins., & real estate	24.1	25.4	26.6	26.2	27.6
Services and miscellaneous	71.4	75.1	78.4		
Government				77.5	80.3
	73.3	78.1	80.8	80.2	81.2
Federal	24.6	26.0	26.1	26.4	25.9
State	17.0	18.1	18.8	18.5	19.5
Local	31.7	34.0	35.9	35.3	35.7
All other nonagricultural	45.9	45.6	45.5	45.4	45.5
Agricultural	6.0	6.1	5.9	6.1	5.9
Trace 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Involved in labor disputes	. 2	0	.2	.3	0

<u>a</u>/ Revised. Adjusted to first quarter 1967 benchmark. <u>b</u>/ Revised. Adjusted to first quarter 1968 benchmark.

c/ Preliminary. 1968 figures adjusted to 1968 benchmark, but subject to revision on basis of first quarter 1969 benchmark data when available.

Note: Components may not add to totals because of rounding.

Source: Colorado Department of Labor and Employment.

Table V

.

٠

٠

.

Estimated Percentage Distribution of Families and Households^a/ by Annual Income After Deduction of Federal Income Tax Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area <u>1967</u> and 1969

			County		_	Adams County				Arapahoe County			
	A			iter	A	All Renter			A11		Renter		
	·	ilies		holds		ilies	house	holds	fami	ilies		eholds	
Annual income	19 67	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	
Under \$4,000	18	17	29	28	13	11	25	0.0					
\$4,000 - 4,999	8	. 7	11	10	13	11	25	23	12	11	22	21	
5,000 - 5,999	9	, 9			=	/	15	14	6	5	12	11	
6,000 - 6,999	-	-	13	11	10	11	14	13	9	8	13	11	
	11	9	12	12	14	13	14	14	11	10	11	12	
7,000 - 7,999	10	11	9	10	13	11	11	11	10	10	11	10	
8,000 - 8,999	10	9	7	7	11	11	9	9	12	11	8	9	
9,000 - 9,999	7	8	6	6	9	10	c	~	-	•	_	_	
10,000 - 11,999	11	12	7	9	12	13	ر ،	,	/	8	/	/	
12,000 - 13,999	7		2	4	-		4	5	13	14	9	10	
14,000 - 15,999	, 5	,	3	4	4	5	((,	8	9	4	5	
	5	4	2	1	2	2	(3	(4	4	5	((.	
16,000 and over	4	7	1	2	4	6	((8	9	3 ک	4	
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	$\overline{100}$	

			r Count	у	Jefferson County				Total HMA			
		A11		nter	A	11		Renter		1		nter
		<u>ilies</u>		eholds	fam:	ilies	house	eholds	families		households	
Annual income	1967	<u>1969</u>	<u>1967</u>	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969	1967	1969
Under \$4,000	19	18	32	30	11	10	21	19	16	15		
\$4,000 - 4,999	9	9	11	10	6	6	10			15	27	26
5,000 - 5,999	9	8	14	12	8	0		10	8	7	13	11
6,000 - 6,999	11	10			-	/	13	13	9	9	12	13
7,000 - 7,999			11	13	11	10	14	12	11	10	12	10
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	12	10	9	10	10	9	11	10	11	10	10	10
8,000 - 8,999	9	11	7	7	11	11	9	10	9	9	7	8
9,000 - 9,999	7	7	5	5	10	9	7	7	8	8		,
10,000 - 11,999	11	11	7	8	14	15	11	12	-	_	6	6
12,000 - 13,999	6	7	2	2	9	10	11	12	8	11	7	8
14,000 - 15,999	3		,	, -	9	10	3	5	8	9	2	3
16,000 and over	5		2	3	6	/	(,	(,	5	4	1	1
	100		<u>(</u>		4	6	_(1	(2	7	8	3	4
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

 \underline{a} / Excludes single-person renter households.

٠

.

Source: Estimated by Housing Market Analyst.

Table VI

Population and Household Trends Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area April 1, 1960 - December 1, 1971											
	April 1, 1960	March 1, 1967 ^{<u>a</u>/}	Dec. 1, _1969	Dec. 1, 	Avera 1960-67	ge annual c 1967-69	hange 1969-71				
(Population)											
Denver HMA total	929,383	1,154,500	1,265,000	1,334,000	32,550	40,175	34,500				
Denver County Adams County Arapahoe County Boulder County Jefferson County	493,887 120,296 113,426 74,254 127,520	532,100 167,000 142,100 107,900 205,400	559,000 173,000 166,500 133,000 233,500	579,000 181,000 178,500 145,000 250,500	5,525 6,750 4,150 4,875 11,250	9,775 2,175 8,875 9,125 10,225	10,000 4,000 6,000 6,000 8,500				
			(Hou s eholds)								
Denver HMA total	286,482	367,800	410,000	432,300	11,750	15,350	<u>11,150</u>				
Denver County Adams County Arapahoe County Boulder County Jefferson County	165,535 30,737 31,208 22,229 36,773	187,100 44,500 42,300 32,800 61,100	201,500 46,700 51,400 40,100 70,300	209,000 48,900 55,200 43,700 75,500	3,125 2,000 1,600 1,525 3,500	5,250 800 3,300 2,650 3,350	3,750 1,100 1,900 1,800 2,600				

a/ Revised.

Note: Estimates reflect approximately 8,500 persons in 2,600 households annexed by Denver County from Arapahoe County, and about 575 persons in 175 households annexed by Denver County from Jefferson County during the April 1, 1960 to March 1, 1967 period.

.

,

.

Sources: 1960 Censuses of Population and Housing. Denver Regional Council of Governments. Estimates by Housing Market Analyst.

Table VII

.

.

.

.

•

٦

.

.

Housing Inventory, Occupancy, Tenure, and Vacancy Trends Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area April 1, 1960-December 1, 1969

Date	Tot a l housing units	Occupied units	Owner-oe Number		Renter-o Number	ccupied Percent	V aca nt <u>units</u>	Availa ble for sale	Homeowner vacancy rate	Available _for rent	Rental <u>vacancy rate</u>	Other vacant units
(Denver HMA total)												
April 1, 1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	307,287 392,200 425,700	286,482 367,800 410,000	177,367 221,100 235,525	61.9 60.0 57.4	109,115 146,700 174,475	38.1 40.0 42.6	20,805 24,400 15,700	3,115 4,100 1,775	1.7 1.8 0.7	8,473 10,450 4,725	7.2 6.6 2.6	9,217 9,850 9,200
(Denver County)												
April 1, 1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	174,124 197,300 207,075	165,535 187,100 201,500	88,579 93,200 90,850	53.5 49.8 45.1	76,956 93,900 110,650	46.5 50.2 54.9	8,589 10,200 5,575	756 1,000 650	0.8 1.1 0.7	5,896 7,100 3,000	7.1 7.0 2.6	1,937 2,100 1,925
					(Ad	lams Count	-y)					
April 1, 1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	32,576 46,900 48,125	30,737 44,500 46,700	23,675 34,650 36,175	77.0 77.9 77.5	7,062 9,850 10,525	23.0 22.1 22.5	2,019 2,400 1,425	588 810 300	2.4 2.3 0.8	612 690 300	8.0 6.5 2.8	819 900 825
					(Araı	ahoe Cour	nty)					
April 1,1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	33,127 45,100 52,900	31,208 42,300 51,400	22,791 28,250 33,800	73.0 66.8 65.8	8,417 14,050 17,600	27.0 33.2 34.2	1,919 2,800 1,500	601 900 200	2.6 3.1 0.6	646 1,100 600	7.1 7.3 3.3	672 800 700
					(Bou	ilder Cour	nty)					
April 1, 1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	25,602 36,700 43,200	22,229 32,800 40,100	14,586 21,100 24,500	65.6 64.3 61.1	7,643 11,700 15,600	34.4 35.7 38.9	3,373 3,900 3,100	299 450 225	2.0 2.1 0.9	473 600 275	5.8 4.9 1.7	2,601 2,850 2,600
					(Jeff	erson Cou	inty)					
April 1, 1960 Mar. 1, 1967 Dec. 1, 1969	41,678 66,200 74,400	36,773 61,100 70,300	27,736 43,900 50,200	75.4 71.8 71.4	9,037 17,200 20,100	24.6 28.2 28.6	4, 905 5,100 4,100	871 940 400	3.0 2.1 0.8	846 960 550	8.6 5.3 2.7	3,188 3,200 3,150

Note: March 1, 1967 data revised.

•

•

Sources: 1960 Censuses of Housing and Population and estimates by Housing Market Analyst.

Table VIII

Privately-Financed Housing Units Authorized by Building Permits Denver, Colorado, Housing Market Area January 1, 1960 - September 30, 1969

1969<u>a</u>/ Area 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 Denver HMA total 13,394 19,649 15,516 11,979 9,095 8,211 8,683 10,267 14,475 13,600 4,513 Denver County 2,845 2,888 3,149 2,555 2,967 3,842 3,412 5,171 5,253 Adams County 1,815 2,984 1,844 1,358 2,775 788 546 762 1,025 1,478 Aurorab/ 16 20 28 20 19 18 20 20 20 10 Brighton 87 78 116 31 23 13 13 23 15 35 Commerce 42 51 78 113 19 42 12 7 4 11 80 56 40 Thornton 12 8 27 6 4 1 .1 Westminister 136 415 345 155 99 42 164 54 116 65 Rest of County 1,454 2,364 2,168 1,513 1,104 455 639 631 842 1,375 Arapahoe County 2,931 4,158 3,669 1,073 759 2,332 2,271 1,813 1,362 2,003 Aurora⊵/ 893 1,737 1,085 720 744 364 282 645 784 887 Cherry Hills 20 53 26 25 23 13 15 53 86 59 Englewood 243 167 208 98 29 16 22 63 75 391 Littleton 655 413 190 221 65 75 51 76 225 247 Sheridan 1 86 41 55 22 11 3 4 3 3 Rest of County 1,119 1,702 2,119 1,152 930 594 386 521 830 745 Boulder County 1,231 1,694 1,636 1,845 1,095 1,259 1,841 2,331 2,652 1,725 1,007 Boulder 790 915 840 487 613 981 1,281 1,411 523 Longmont 157 270 374 391 202 208 298 398 502 461 Rest of County 284 509 422 447 406 438 739 741 562 652 2,274 Jefferson County 4,268 6,300 4,591 3,131 2,124 1,695 2,400 3,624 2,812 1,254 1,242 983 709 517 416 283 384 592 593 Arvada Edgewater 12 90 423 37 8 12 3 57 174 _ 78 121 99 80 37 34 22 27 97 111 Golden Rest of County 2,924 4,847 3,086 2,305 1,712 1,662 1,387 1,989 2,878 1,934

- a/ First nine months.
- b/ Distribution of Aurora building permits between Arapahoe and Adams Counties revised on the basis of information obtained from the Denver Council of Governments. Bureau of the Census reports all permits as in Arapahoe County.

4

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Construction Reports C-40, and Denver Council of Governments.

Denver, Colorado, HMA, 1960-1969											
	1960	<u>1961</u>	<u>1962</u>	<u>1963</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>1969^a/</u>	
Denver HMA total Single-family Multifamily	<u>13,394</u> 8,183 5,211	<u>19,649</u> 10,032 9,617	$\frac{15,516}{10,652}$ 4,864	11,979 8,061 3,868	9,095 6,342 2,753	<u>8,211</u> 5,697 2,514	<u>8,683</u> 5,682 3,001	10,267 6,048 4,219	<u>14,475</u> 7,116 7,359	<u>13,600</u> 5,787 7,813	
Denver County Single-family Multifamily	<u>3,149</u> 751 2,398	<u>4,513</u> 743 3,770	2,845 832 2,013	2,888 802 2,086	2,555 731 1,824	2,967 1,190 1,777	<u>3,842</u> 1,390 2,452	<u>3,412</u> 998 2,414	<u>5,171</u> 1,381 3,790	<u>5,253</u> 1,155 4,098	
Adams County <u>b</u> / Single-family Multifamily	<u>1,815</u> 1,681 134	2,984 2,148 836	2,775 2,252 523	<u>1,844</u> 1,628 216	<u>1,358</u> 1,268 90	788 678 110	<u>546</u> 522 24	<u>762</u> 543 219	<u>1,025</u> 708 317	<u>1,478</u> 785 693	
Arapahoe County <u>b</u> / Single-family Multifamily	<u>2,931</u> 1,912 1,019	<u>4,158</u> 2,600 1,558	3,669 2,899 770	2,271 1,851 420	<u>1,813</u> 1,372 441	<u>1,073</u> 972 101	<u>759</u> 759 0	<u>1,362</u> 1,076 286	<u>2,003</u> 1,300 703	<u>2,332</u> 1,104 1,228	
Boulder County Single-family Multifamily	<u>1,231</u> 984 247	<u>1,694</u> 1,088 606	<u>1,636</u> 1,239 397	<u>1,845</u> 1,246 599	<u>1,095</u> 876 219	<u>1,259</u> 1,133 12 6 ⁷	$\frac{1,841}{1,384}$ 457	<u>2,331</u> 1,352 979	<u>2,652</u> 1,015 1,637	<u>1,725</u> 761 964	
Jeff er son County Si ng le-family Multifamily	$\frac{4,268}{2,855}$ 1,413	<u>6,300</u> 3,453 2,847	<u>4,591</u> 3,430 1,161	<u>3,131</u> 2,584 547	2,274 2,095 179	2,124 1,724 400	1,695 1,627 68	2,400 2,079 321	<u>3,624</u> 2,712 912	2,812 1,982 830	

Privately-Financed Housing Units Authorized by Building Permits By Type of Structure

a/ First nine months

.

b/ Distribution of Aurora building permits between Arapahoe County and Adams County revised on the basis of information obtained from the Denver Council of Governments. Bureau of the Census reports all permits as in Arapahoe County.

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Construction Reports C-40, and Denver Council of Governments.

Table IX

٠

. .

.

. .