


728.1
:325
H68o
July
1963
p. 2

OUR NONWHITE POPULATION AND ITS HOUSING

the
changes
between
1950
and
1960

July 1963

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY
Office of the Administrator



**OUR
NONWHITE
POPULATION
AND ITS HOUSING**

**the
changes
between
1950
and
1960**

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

. . . Robert C. Weaver, Administrator

Office of Program Policy

. . . Morton J. Schusheim, Assistant Administrator

Washington, D. C. 20410

July 1963

The basic data for this report came largely from the 1950 and 1960 Censuses of Population and Housing. The selection of tables and preparation of the accompanying analyses was made by E. Everett Ashley 3d, Director, Division of Program Evaluation and Statistics, Office of Program Policy, with the assistance of Milo B. Sunderhauf, Program Evaluation Specialist.

FOREWORD

The material presented in this report is based in large part on data collected by the Bureau of the Census in the 1950 and 1960 Censuses of Population and Housing. It provides a wealth of essential benchmark data on the nonwhite population and how it is housed.

These data should prove invaluable to those who wish to explore the problem in depth, and analyze the changes and trends that have taken place in this field. It will also provide a point of departure for gauging the rate and extent of improvement in housing opportunities among the nonwhites which result from carrying out the spirit as well as the letter of the Executive Order on Equal Opportunity in Housing signed by President Kennedy on November 20, 1962

Gains were made in nonwhite housing conditions, it is true, between 1950 and 1960. Yet a look at the facts makes it clear that as a group the nonwhites remain far less satisfactorily housed than whites. The goal of a decent home for every American family is an accepted national objective. The facts and figures in this report on the status of housing opportunity in 1960 documents how far we still have to go to make this goal a reality for the nonwhite population.

ROBERT C. WEAVER, *Administrator*




TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS:	
Nonwhites in the Population.....	1
Migration of the Nonwhites.....	2
Geographic Distribution of the Nonwhites.....	2
Central City Concentration.....	3
Earnings.....	5
Education and Its Influence on Earnings.....	5
Occupations.....	6
Industry Groups.....	6
Places of Work.....	7
Family Income.....	7
Household Composition and Size.....	7
HOUSING:	
Homeownership.....	8
Housing Quality.....	9
Extent of Crowding.....	11
Year Moved Into Unit.....	12
Age of Units.....	13
Type of Structure.....	13
Rents and Values.....	14
STATISTICAL APPENDIX:	
A Summary of Census Statistics.....	15



STATISTICAL APPENDIX

A Summary of Census Statistics

Table


<i>POPULATION:</i>		Page
1	Population by Color for the United States: 1900 to 1960.....	15
2	Net Migration, by Color, for the United States and Census Divisions: 1950 to 1960.....	16
3	Population by Color and by Urban Rural Residence for the United States and Census Divisions: April 1, 1950, and April 1, 1960.....	17
4	Population by Color and by Urban Rural Residence for the United States and Census Divisions: April 1, 1950, and April 1, 1960.....	20
5	Population by Color and by Place of Residence: 1950 and 1960.....	22
6	Population by Color and by Residence Inside and Outside Central City or Cities of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, for the United States and Regions: 1960 and 1950.....	24
7	Population by Color in 1950 and 1960 for 25 Largest Cities in 1960 Ranked by City Size.....	26
8	Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Number of Nonwhites in the City.....	28
9	Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Percent of Nonwhite in the City.....	31
10	Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas With Nonwhite Population in Excess of 20 Percent of the Total in 1960.....	34
<i>INCOME:</i>		
11	Median Income in 1949 and 1959 of Persons 14 Years of Age and Over, for Persons With Income, by Color, United States and Census Regions.....	35
12	Income in 1959 of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960..	36

<i>Table</i>		<i>Page</i>
	<i>INCOME—Continued</i>	
13	Income in 1959 of Families and Median Income of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Color for Regions.....	40
14	Income in 1959 of Families by Color for Census Divisions: 1960.....	42
	<i>EDUCATION:</i>	
15	Educational Attainment of Persons 25 Years Old and Over by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960, 1950, and 1940.....	44
16	Years of School Completed by Persons 25 Years Old and Over, by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	46
	<i>EMPLOYMENT:</i>	
17	Occupation Group of Employed Persons by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	47
18	Percentage Distribution of Employed Persons by Occupation, by Color, for Selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1950 and 1960....	48
19	Industry Group of Employed Persons by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	56
20	Place of Work of Workers by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	57
	<i>HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION:</i>	
21	Household Relationship by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	58
22	Married Couples, With and Without Own Household, by Residence and Color: 1950 and 1960.....	60
23	Married Couples, Families, and Unrelated Individuals by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	62
	<i>HOUSING:</i>	
24	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the United States: 1950 and 1960.....	66
25	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the Northeast Region: 1950 and 1960..	68
26	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the North Central Region: 1950 and 1960.....	70
27	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the South: 1950 and 1960.....	72
28	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the West: 1950 and 1960.....	74
29	Quality of Occupied Housing Units by Color, for the United States: 1950 and 1960.....	76

Table

HOUSING—Continued

	Page
30 Tenure, Condition, and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	78
31 Tenure, Condition and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960.....	82
32 Selected Characteristics of Urban Housing Units by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960..	86
33 Selected Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	87
34 Occupancy Characteristics of Housing Units, by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Nonfarm: 1950 and 1960.....	88
35 Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960.....	90
36 Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	94
37 Selected Characteristics of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960.....	98
38 Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960.....	99
39 Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units, by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960..	101
40 Value of Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960.....	103



OUR NONWHITE POPULATION AND ITS HOUSING

the changes between 1950 and 1960

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

Fully to appreciate the developments which have taken place during the decade of the 1950's in the housing of nonwhites, it is essential to look briefly at the size and characteristics of this section of the population and how it too has changed over time.

NONWHITES IN THE POPULATION

In 1960 the Census counted nearly 20.5 million nonwhites in the United States which meant that they approximated 11 percent of the total population. This percentage of the total population accounted for by nonwhites was midway in the range of 10 to 12 percent which has prevailed in each census since 1900 (table 1).*

A look at the record for the past two decades, however, indicates that in the recent past the nonwhites have been increasing at a significantly faster rate than have whites.

Population Gain During Decade

Decade	White		Nonwhite	
	Number (000)	Percent gain	Number (000)	Percent gain
1940-50.....	16, 727	14. 1	2, 301	17. 1
1950-60.....	23, 682	17. 5	4, 315	26. 7

In fact, the increase in nonwhite population during the decade of the 1950's accounted for more than one-fifth of the 20.5 million for the entire Nation in 1960. Among whites only about one-seventh of the 1960 count was attributable to the gains in the preceding 10 years.

While the nonwhite population is comprised of a number of racial groups including the Chinese, Japanese, and American Indians, Ne-

*Table references relate to the detailed tables immediately following the text.

groes accounted for 92 percent of the group in 1960. Looked at regionally, the figures show that except in the West where the Chinese and Japanese are found in heavier concentrations, more than 95 percent of the nonwhites are Negroes.

Negroes as a Percent of the Nonwhite Population

	United States	North east	North Central	South	West
Total nonwhite.....	100	100	100	100	100
Negro.....	92	96	95	98	49
Other races.....	8	4	5	2	51

MIGRATION OF THE NONWHITES

At the turn of the century, 87 percent of the nonwhite population was concentrated in the South and most of these were on farms. Over the years the quest for greater economic opportunity has prompted increasing numbers of the nonwhites, especially the Negroes, to leave the South. They have not only left the South but they have also left the farms in all regions.

In the decade of the 1950's alone, nearly 1.5 million nonwhites left the South (table 2). The largest numbers moved into the North Central region and into the Northeast.

Net Migration of Nonwhites: 1950-1960

	<i>Thousands of persons</i>
Northeast.....	+ 541
North Central.....	+ 558
South.....	- 1, 457
West.....	+ 332

Looked at by States, the heaviest movements took place out of the rural areas of Mississippi, Alabama, and South Carolina, and into the urban centers in California, New York, and Illinois.

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF NONWHITES

Reflecting these shifts, the 1960 census found only 56 percent of the total nonwhite population in the South and increasing proportions in the other regions.

Geographic Distribution of Nonwhites

[In percent]

	<i>1900</i>	<i>1960</i>
Total.....	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
Northeast.....	5	15
North Central.....	6	18
South.....	87	56
West.....	2	11

The shifts away from the farms and into urban places by nonwhites were very pronounced during the decade of the 1950's. In the deep South, in addition to the movement out of the region, there was also a significant movement into the cities. Elsewhere around the country the influx of nonwhites led to very substantial gains in their absolute and relative representation in the urban population where the increases consistently outran those for the white population (table 4).

Increased Urbanization of the Population

Percent change 1950 to 1960

Regions	White		Nonwhite	
	Urban	Farm	Urban	Farm
Total.....	+27	-40	+49	-52
Northeast.....	+12	-49	+54	-53
North Central.....	+22	-27	+59	-47
South.....	+41	-49	+36	-53
West.....	+54	-37	+79	-34

As a result of these shifts the 1960 urban nonwhite population stood at 14.8 million, a gain of 4.8 million over 1950. The rural nonwhite population in contrast dropped 600,000, from 6.2 million to 5.6 million.

CENTRAL CITY CONCENTRATION

As the nonwhites have moved into urban places, they have tended to gravitate into the central cities. Some 10.3 million, or slightly more than half the nonwhite population, lived in such communities in 1960, a gain of 63 percent over 1950 (table 5). Among the whites, on the other hand, there has been a shift from the cities to the suburbs for many years, with the result that less than one-third of the white population in 1960 lived in central cities.

Looked at from the standpoint of the Nation's 212 standard metropolitan statistical areas, the figures again show sharply divergent patterns for the white and nonwhite section of the population. Among the whites, 52 percent lived outside of the central cities, whereas in the case of nonwhites, 78 percent lived in the central cities, only 22 percent in the suburbs (table 6).

Population Living in Central Cities and the Balance of SMSA's: 1960

[In percent]

Regions	White		Nonwhite	
	Central city	Balance of SMSA	Central city	Balance of SMSA
United States.....	47	52	78	22
Northeast.....	46	54	81	19
North Central.....	49	51	88	12
South.....	53	47	75	25
West.....	43	57	69	31

Only in one region, the South, do more whites live in central cities than in the suburbs of SMSA's. Among the nonwhites, on the other hand, the proportion living in central cities consistently exceeds that for the balance of the SMSA's. As the examination into the housing condition of the nonwhites will demonstrate, the failure of many nonwhite families to move into suburban communities was not necessarily due to a preference for the central city environment. Rather it reflected a lack of housing available to them in most suburban areas.

Some 4.9 million nonwhites—roughly one-fourth of all nonwhites and one-third of the urban nonwhite population in 1960—were concentrated in the Nation's 10 largest cities (table 7).

Nonwhite Population in the 10 Largest Cities: 1960

Rank		City	Nonwhite population (thousands)	Percent of city population
City size	Nonwhite population			
1.....	1	New York.....	1, 141	14. 7
2.....	2	Chicago.....	838	23. 6
3.....	6	Los Angeles.....	417	16. 8
4.....	3	Philadelphia.....	535	26. 7
5.....	4	Detroit.....	487	29. 2
6.....	7	Baltimore.....	328	35. 0
7.....	9	Houston.....	218	23. 2
8.....	8	Cleveland.....	253	28. 9
9.....	5	Washington.....	419	54. 8
10.....	10	St. Louis.....	216	28. 8

Had cities been ranked by the size of their nonwhite population instead of by city size, the only changes in the list would be the substitution of New Orleans, with its 235,000 nonwhites, for St. Louis (table 8).

Further evidence of the extent to which the nonwhites have been drawn to these large cities is the fact that during the 10 years 1950 to 1960, their numbers in the 10 largest cities increased by some 56 percent, while the white population was declining by more than 8 percent. As a result, all of the net gain in population for the 10 cities was attributable to the nonwhites (table 7).

EARNINGS

As has been suggested earlier, one of the compelling reasons for the movement away from the farms and into the cities and out of the South and into the North and West has been the quest for greater economic opportunity.

In both 1949 and 1959 the earning opportunities as reflected in median incomes were poorest in the South, best in the North—which includes the industrial States of Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, and Indiana—and the West (table 11). It was, as has already been shown, to these two regions that the heaviest migration took place during the decade of the 1950's.

Reflecting both a general rise in income levels and the geographic shifts which occurred, median incomes of nonwhite women rose sharply from approximately \$584 in 1949 to \$909 in 1959, a gain of 56 percent. Median income of white women, on the other hand, rose only 27 percent. Outside the South a very significant change which occurred was the virtual elimination of the gap between the earnings of white and nonwhite women.

Among the men the situation is less good. Here nonwhite median incomes tended to advance only about as fast as that of all men. Absolute figures show the unsatisfactory improvement in earnings of nonwhite men.

Median Income of Men

	<i>1949</i>	<i>1959</i>
All men.....	\$2,434	\$4,103
Nonwhite men.....	1,341	2,273

Even after a 70-percent rise between 1949 and 1959, the median incomes of nonwhite men were still less than \$2,300.

EDUCATION AND ITS INFLUENCE ON EARNINGS

While substantial strides have been made over the past 20 years in bettering the education of nonwhites, the fact remains that in 1960 only half as many nonwhites—relatively 8 percent—had had at least 1 year of college, as was true for whites—17 percent.

Sixty percent of them, in fact, still had no more than a grammar school education compared to only 40 percent among the whites (table 15).

The fact that race is less of a limiting factor on earnings among women than men no doubt is a reflection of the fact that nonwhite

women as a group are better educated than nonwhite men. Thus, in 1960 out of the nonwhite women aged 25 or older, there were 2.2 million who had at least some high schooling. Among nonwhite men there were only 1.7 million who had gone this far in school.

Educational Attainment of Nonwhite Persons 25 Years Old and Over in 1960

Years of school completed	Males		Females	
	Number (000)	Percent	Number (000)	Percent
Total.....	4, 671	100	5, 185	100
No education.....	316	7	239	5
Completed 8 years or less.....	2, 626	56	2, 700	52
Completed 9 to 12 years.....	1, 362	29	1, 836	35
Completed 1 or more years of college.....	367	8	411	8

While the education lag was worst among rural nonwhites, the important fact remains that far fewer of the nonwhites in urban areas had completed high school or gone on to college than was true of their white counterparts (table 16).

OCCUPATIONS

The educational lag is clearly reflected in the types of occupations most common to the two races (table 17). In the urban population, for example, where 23 percent of the white workers were in professional, technical, or management positions, only 8 percent of the nonwhites were similarly employed. Among the skilled craftsmen the ratio of whites so employed—14 percent— was double that for nonwhites—7 percent. At the other end of the wage scale, close to 50 percent of the urban nonwhite workers were employed as household or service trade workers and in other similarly low paid jobs. In addition, nearly one-eighth the nonwhite were unskilled laborers in contrast with less than 4 percent of white workers who were so employed. Looked at geographically, the pattern appears to be about the same in metropolitan centers throughout the United States (table 18).

INDUSTRY GROUPS

The heavier concentration of nonwhites in the lower skilled jobs is reflected in the industries in which they work. Thus, the proportion of nonwhites in the service industries, with their preponderance of unskilled workers—23 percent—was roughly triple that for whites—8 percent. In fact the service industries, including housework, rank first among the employers of nonwhites. In contrast, manufacturing,

which ranks as the largest employer of white workers—28 percent—provides jobs for less than 19 percent of all employed nonwhite workers (table 19).

PLACE OF WORK

No doubt reflecting the combined effect of the lower proportion of skilled and professional workers among the nonwhite and of their greater concentration in central cities, there is a lesser tendency among nonwhite than among white workers to work outside the county in which they live (table 20). As might be expected, this is particularly true in urban areas where among those reporting their place of employment, the Census Bureau found that only 9 percent of the nonwhites worked outside the county in which they lived.

Place of Work of Workers

[Percent distribution of those reporting place of work]

Place of work	White		Nonwhite	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rural
In county of residence.....	85	84	91	89
Outside county of residence.....	15	16	9	11

Among white workers, as the text table above indicates, the proportion—15 percent—was nearly double that for nonwhites. A slightly higher share of the nonwhites living in rural areas are obliged to seek work outside the county in which they live, but here again the proportion is substantially below that for white workers.

FAMILY INCOME

While the nonwhites still are economically disadvantaged compared with the whites, so that a disproportioned share of them fall in the low income category, sight should not be lost of the considerable progress which has been made in upgrading family incomes. Reflecting the effect of multiple earners in many households, the figures show that outside the South there were appreciable numbers of nonwhite families with incomes in excess of \$4,300 (table 13). In fact, in the West the median income of nonwhites in 1960 ran in excess of \$4,900. Income at these levels can put families in a position to be in the market for homes of their own.

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION AND SIZE

Before turning specifically to the housing situation among the nonwhites, some consideration should be directed to the household

composition among the nonwhites, since it has a bearing upon their present and prospective housing requirements.

In 1960 there were 5.2 million nonwhite households compared with 47.9 million white households. Some 77 percent, or 4.0 million, of the nonwhite households lived in urban areas, compared with 72 percent of their white counterparts. The nonwhite households are generally larger with an average of 3.85 persons per household, compared with 3.23 for white households. Within urban areas nonwhite households have an average of 3.63 persons versus 3.13 for white households.

Nonwhite persons are less frequently heads or the wives of heads and consequently more frequently are living in households as other relatives of the head or as nonrelatives. For nonwhites those who are not heads or wives of heads account for 57 percent of the urban nonwhite population, while only 46 percent of the white population falls in this group. The percent of persons living in group quarters, which are principally roominghouses, is about the same for both groups (table 21).

Between 1950 and 1960 the percent of nonwhite married couples who are without their own households dropped from 17 to 5 percent and now is only 3 percentage points above the white proportion (table 22).

The proportion of nonwhite married couples with their own children under 18 years of age is only moderately higher for nonwhite as compared with white households. However, nonwhite married couples (husband-wife families) make up only 75 percent of all nonwhite families, while white married couples make up 89 percent of all white families (table 23).

HOUSING

The great changes between 1950 and 1960 in the number, the geographic distribution, and the earnings of nonwhite persons and families were accompanied by equally significant changes in their housing situation. While important gains were made during the decade, much remains to be done before the nonwhite as a group are all satisfactorily housed.

HOMEOWNERSHIP

The rate of homeownership is perhaps the single most meaningful statistic that can be used to sum up the overall housing conditions under which families live. Homeownership, as opposed to a rental status, generally is associated with more amenities, both within the dwelling and in its environment, more room, pride of ownership, and a certain degree of social status. Over the decade of the 1950's, nonwhites did improve their position in this regard as homeowner-

ship edged upward from 35 percent in 1950 to 38 percent in 1960 (table 24).

The improvement was not, however, as great as that recorded by white householders where the sharp rise in the number of homeowners brought the proportion of owners up from 57 to 64 percent (tables 24 through 28).

Shifts in Tenure by Race

[In percent]

Regions and tenure	White		Nonwhite	
	1950	1960	1950	1960
United States:				
Owners.....	57	64	35	38
Renters.....	43	36	65	62
Northeast:				
Owners.....	50	58	22	27
Renters.....	50	42	78	73
North Central:				
Owners.....	62	69	34	36
Renters.....	38	31	66	64
South:				
Owners.....	58	66	37	42
Renters.....	42	34	63	58
West:				
Owners.....	58	63	40	45
Renters.....	52	37	60	55

Looked at by region, the figures show that the highest percentage of nonwhite homeownership was in the West, the lowest percentage in the Northeast.

HOUSING QUALITY

One of the brighter aspects of the change in the housing status of nonwhite families between 1950 and 1960 is the improvement that took place during the decade in the physical condition of housing which they occupied. The proportion of standard units—units that are not dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running water—occupied by nonwhite families rose from only slightly more than one-fourth to over one-half of the units occupied by nonwhites (table 29). Even in comparison with the rise in standard units occupied by white families, which rose from 68 to 87 percent of all occupied units, the gain is impressive. Nonwhite renters gained somewhat more relative to white renters than did nonwhite to white owners, probably reflecting to a large extent the effects of the migration of nonwhites to urban areas where housing conditions are generally

better than in rural areas. With comparatively fewer nonwhites now remaining in rural areas as compared with 1950, further upgrading of nonwhite housing will become increasingly dependent on the ability to step up the quality of the units occupied by the nonwhites now living within urban areas, rather than merely upon moving families out of poor rural housing to better city units.

*Standard Units as a Percent of All Occupied Units*¹

[In percent]

Tenure	White		Nonwhite	
	1950	1960	1950	1960
Total.....	68	87	28	56
Owners.....	71	90	33	63
Renters.....	64	81	25	52

¹ Standard units are units that are not dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running water.

Nonwhite families in each of the four census regions shared in the general upgrading of units which occurred between 1950 and 1960.

Housing Quality of Nonwhite-Occupied Units

[In percent]

Region	1950		1960	
	Standard	Sub-standard	Standard	Sub-standard
United States.....	28	72	56	44
Northeast.....	59	41	77	23
North Central.....	44	56	73	27
South.....	13	87	38	62
West.....	59	41	79	21

On a relative basis, units occupied by nonwhites in the South were most improved during the decade. Despite this the quality of nonwhite housing in the South still lagged far behind that in any other region. While the improvement during the 1950's in the quality of housing occupied by nonwhites was clearly encouraging, 2.3 million, or 44 percent, of the units occupied by nonwhites were still sub-standard in 1960 (table 29). An additional 0.6 million nonwhite units counted as standard had defects that could be corrected only by repair over and above ordinary maintenance. Thus, units occupied

by nonwhites account for 2.3 million, or 27 percent, out of the total of 8.5 million substandard units, while all nonwhite units account for only 10 percent of all occupied units. Of the deteriorating units classified as standard in 1960, the nonwhite units accounted for 0.6 million, or 15 percent, of the total of 4.1 million units in the group.

EXTENT OF CROWDING

Another indication of the adequacy of housing is the relationship of family size to the size of housing unit. It is useful first to review what has happened to family size. Between 1950 and 1960, the median size of nonwhite families remained about the same while white families were becoming smaller. The median size of nonwhite owner families remained at 3.3 persons and renters at 2.9 persons, while white owner families decreased from 3.2 persons to 3.1 persons and white renters decreased from 2.8 to 2.4 (table 32). Even more revealing is the detail on the change in the distribution of the number of persons in white and nonwhite renter families during the 1950's. Only in the one-person nonfarm renter units did the increase in white families approach the nonwhite increase. There was actually a decline in three- and 4-person white nonfarm renters during the period. Among large nonwhite households—those with six or more persons—on the other hand, increases ranging from 74 to more than 100 percent were recorded (table 34).

Percent Change in Nonfarm Renter-occupied Units by Number of Persons in Unit

Number of persons	White	Nonwhite
Renter occupied, total.....	+8	+54
1.....	+91	+98
2.....	0	+33
3.....	-16	+41
4.....	-14	+29
5.....	+12	+46
6.....	+15	+103
7.....	+17	+97
8 or more.....	+49	+74

While the median size of nonwhite families remained the same during the 1950's, a better matching of these families with housing units gave more of them space to stretch out. This is shown by the decrease from 32 to 27 percent in the proportion of overcrowded units (units with 1.01 or more persons per room) occupied by nonfarm, nonwhite families. The decrease in the proportion of overcrowded nonwhite families was not impressive, however, when compared with the

decrease in the degree of overcrowding among white families for whom the percent overcrowded dropped by one-third, from 12 percent in 1950 to 8 percent in 1960. In fact, among nonwhite families the number of overcrowded units increased over the decade from nearly 1 million in 1950 to 1.3 million in 1960, while the number of overcrowded white families decreased by about 0.2 million (table 34).

The contrast between white and nonwhite families is sharper when the comparison is limited to seriously overcrowded units—units with 1.51 or more persons per room. Between 1950 and 1960, seriously overcrowded, nonwhite units decreased from 18 to 13 percent of all nonwhite units, but seriously overcrowded white units fell from 4.3 to 2.4 percent of all white units. At the end of the period there were 85,000 additional seriously overcrowded nonwhite housing units. On the other hand, there were 380,000 fewer seriously overcrowded white units (table 34).

Seriously Overcrowded Nonfarm Housing Units
[Number of units in thousands]

Tenure	White		Nonwhite	
	1950	1960	1960	1960
Total.....	1, 450	1, 071	548	633
Owner.....	480	439	106	156
Renter.....	970	632	442	477
Overcrowded as a percent of all occupied..	4.3	2.4	18.3	13.1

YEAR MOVED INTO UNIT

Considering the marked movement out of the South and into the North and West during the decade of the 1950's, it is not surprising to find that more than one-third of the nonwhite households had moved during the 2¼ years which preceded the 1960 census. In fact, only a little over a quarter of the nonwhite households enumerated in 1960 had lived in the same unit in 1949.

Year Moved Into Unit

Year	White		Nonwhite	
	Number (000)	Percent	Number (000)	Percent
Total.....	47, 879	100	5, 144	100
1958-March 1960.....	15, 169	32	1, 735	34
1950-57.....	18, 175	38	2, 013	39
1949 or earlier.....	14, 536	30	1, 396	27

While the overall pattern of the year units were moved into appears quite similar for nonwhites and whites, a more detailed look at the situation shows very marked disparities in the nature of the moves made by the two groups. Thus between March 1959 and March 1960, less than one-fourth of the nonwhite movers moved from one housing unit to another in a different county, while over one-half of the white movers made such a move. Since many of the suburban areas of large metropolitan areas are located in a county different from the central city, the greater tendency of whites to go from one county to another no doubt reflects their movement to the suburbs.

Of those nonwhite families who moved during the period 1958 through March 1960, the largest single category moved into rental units inside standard metropolitan statistical areas (table 35).

AGE OF UNITS

It was suggested earlier that the concentration of nonwhites in central cities, in contrast with the white families who are moving more and more to the suburbs, was not necessarily entirely voluntary. In part, it no doubt reflects the necessity of the nonwhites with their lower level of income to seek out older housing within their price range. Much of such housing is in central cities. Unquestionably another factor involved was the general lack of open occupancy during the 1950's in most of the new housing built in the suburbs. Hence, out of the 3.7 million nonwhite families who moved between 1950 and 1960, only 843,000 or 23 percent, were able to move into units built during that decade. In contrast, out of roughly 19 million white families who moved into owner units during this period, nearly 11 million, or 58 percent, moved into a house or apartment built between 1950 and 1960 (table 36).

This tendency to move into older units certainly has been a factor associated with the lower quality of the nonwhite housing.

TYPE OF STRUCTURE

Reflecting the higher proportion of renters in their midst, nonwhite families tend more toward multifamily units than do whites. Thus, in 1960, of the total number of units occupied by nonwhites, one-third moved into structures with two or more units. Among white families, less than one-fourth of the moves were into units of this type (table 36).

While not of major significance in assessing the housing situation among the nonwhites, it is interesting to note that for them trailer living is a rarity. Out of 5.1 million households, only 11,000 lived in trailers. This was only slightly more than 1 percent of the total number of trailers in use in 1960. Among white households in contrast, more than three-quarters of a million lived in trailers or mobile homes at the time of the last census.

RENTS AND VALUES

While the rents paid by nonwhite tenants and the value of homes owned by nonwhite owners more than doubled between 1950 and 1960, they still continued to run far below the comparable figures for housing occupied by white families (table 37).

Color	Median rents			Median values		
	1950	1960	Percent change	1950	1960	Percent change
White.....	\$44	\$73	+66	\$7, 700	\$12, 230	+59
Nonwhite.....	27	58	+115	3, 000	6, 700	+123

Here again the lower level of rents and values is a reflection of the poorer quality of much of the housing in which nonwhite families are still obliged to live. These disparities in rents and values in 1950 and again in 1960 do not, however, fully reflect differences in the quality of the housing occupied by the two races. Because of limitations in the availability of housing to nonwhites, the rents and values of units they occupy often tend to run well above the levels at which houses of comparable quality can be obtained by white families. Now as the effects of Federal, State, and local actions to assure equality of opportunity in housing are increasingly felt, these inflations in rents and values of housing available to nonwhites should be narrowed and ultimately erased.

TABLE 1.—*Population by Color for the United States: 1900 to 1960*
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Year	Total	White	Nonwhite		Percent increase during decade		Percent increase over 1900	
			Number	Percent of total	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
48 States:								
1900.....	75,995	66,809	9,185	12
1910.....	91,972	81,732	10,240	11	22	11	22	11
1920.....	105,711	94,821	10,890	10	16	6	42	19
1930.....	122,775	110,287	12,488	10	16	15	65	36
1940.....	131,669	118,215	13,454	10	7	8	77	46
1950.....	150,697	134,942	15,755	10	14	17	102	72
1960.....	178,464	158,455	20,009	11	17	27	137	118
50 States:								
1950.....	151,326	135,150	16,176	11	11	17	102	73
1960.....	179,323	158,832	20,491	11	18	27	138	119

Due to rounding, data in this and succeeding tables may not add to total.
 Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*

TABLE 2.—*Net Migration, by Color, for the United States and Census Divisions: 1950 to 1960*

Region, division, and State	Total	White	Nonwhite
United States.....	+2, 660, 000	+2, 685, 000	+25, 000
Northeast.....	+336, 000	-206, 000	+541, 000
New England.....	+23, 000	-47, 000	+70, 000
Middle Atlantic.....	+312, 000	-159, 000	+472, 000
North Central.....	-121, 000	-679, 000	+558, 000
East North Central.....	+699, 000	+178, 000	+521, 000
West North Central.....	-820, 000	-857, 000	+37, 000
South.....	-1, 404, 000	+52, 000	-1, 457, 000
South Atlantic.....	+647, 000	+1, 189, 000	-542, 000
East South Central.....	-1, 464, 000	-845, 000	-620, 000
West South Central.....	-587, 000	-292, 000	-295, 000
West.....	+3, 850, 000	+3, 518, 000	+332, 000
Mountain.....	+557, 000	+549, 000	+8, 000
Pacific.....	+3, 293, 000	+2, 970, 000	+324, 000

NOTE: Net migration comprises both net immigration from abroad and net interregional, interdivisional, and interstate migration according to the area shown. Movements of persons in the Armed Forces are included.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 3.—Population by Color and by Urban Rural Residence for the United States and Census Divisions: Apr. 1, 1950, and Apr. 1, 1960

[Number of persons in thousands]

Year, region, and census division	All persons	White				Nonwhite					
		Total	Nonfarm			Total	Nonfarm				
			Total	Urban	Rural nonfarm		Total	Urban	Rural nonfarm		
1960											
United States.....	179, 326	158, 838	146, 986	110, 443	36, 543	11, 852	20, 488	18, 895	14, 841	4, 054	1, 593
Northeast.....	44, 682	41, 528	40, 622	32, 840	7, 783	906	3, 154	3, 147	3, 003	144	7
New England.....	10, 510	10, 244	10, 064	7, 789	2, 275	180	266	265	243	22	1
Middle Atlantic.....	34, 172	31, 284	30, 558	25, 050	5, 507	726	2, 888	2, 881	2, 760	121	6
North Central.....	51, 624	48, 006	42, 645	32, 089	10, 556	5, 361	3, 618	3, 586	3, 396	190	31
East North Central.....	36, 229	33, 257	30, 704	23, 587	7, 117	2, 553	2, 971	2, 962	2, 852	111	9
West North Central.....	15, 395	14, 749	11, 941	8, 502	3, 439	2, 808	646	624	545	80	22
South.....	54, 963	43, 469	39, 030	25, 476	13, 554	4, 439	11, 494	10, 013	6, 690	3, 323	1, 482
South Atlantic.....	25, 060	20, 037	18, 437	11, 454	6, 983	1, 600	5, 924	5, 201	3, 398	1, 803	722
East South Central.....	12, 050	9, 339	7, 778	4, 404	3, 374	1, 561	2, 711	2, 192	1, 430	762	519
West South Central.....	16, 953	14, 094	12, 816	9, 618	3, 198	1, 278	2, 859	2, 619	1, 861	758	240

TABLE 3.—Population by Color and by Urban Rural Residence for the United States and Census Divisions: Apr. 1, 1950, and Apr. 1, 1960—Continued
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Year, region, and census division	All persons	White						Nonwhite						
		Total	Nonfarm			Farm	Total	Total	Nonfarm			Farm		
			Urban	Rural nonfarm	Total				Urban	Rural nonfarm	Total			
<i>1960</i>														
West.....	28, 057	25, 835	24, 689	20, 038	4, 651	1, 146	2, 222	2, 149	1, 752	397	73			
Mountain.....	6, 855	6, 514	5, 981	4, 446	1, 535	533	340	303	153	150	37			
Pacific.....	21, 202	19, 321	18, 708	15, 592	3, 116	613	1, 881	1, 845	1, 598	247	36			
<i>1950</i>														
United States ¹	151, 326	135, 150	115, 434	86, 864	28, 570	19, 715	16, 176	12, 843	9, 983	2, 860	3, 333			
Northeast.....	39, 478	37, 399	35, 622	29, 427	6, 195	1, 776	2, 079	2, 064	1, 946	118	15			
New England.....	9, 314	9, 161	8, 759	6, 963	1, 796	402	153	152	138	14	1			
Middle Atlantic.....	30, 164	28, 238	26, 863	22, 464	4, 399	1, 375	1, 926	1, 912	1, 808	104	14			
North Central.....	44, 461	42, 119	34, 744	26, 354	8, 390	7, 375	2, 341	2, 284	2, 137	147	58			
East North Central.....	30, 399	28, 544	24, 860	19, 438	5, 422	3, 684	1, 856	1, 837	1, 748	89	19			
West North Central.....	14, 061	13, 577	9, 886	6, 917	2, 969	3, 691	484	446	388	58	38			

South.....	47, 197	36, 850	28, 104	18, 034	10, 071	8, 745	10, 348	7, 197	4, 922	2, 275	3, 151
South Atlantic.....	21, 182	16, 041	12, 824	7, 936	4, 888	3, 217	5, 141	3, 725	2, 455	1, 270	1, 416
East South Central.....	11, 477	8, 770	5, 785	3, 329	2, 456	2, 985	2, 706	1, 643	1, 155	488	1, 063
West South Central.....	14, 537	12, 037	9, 495	6, 768	2, 727	2, 542	2, 500	1, 828	1, 312	516	672
West.....	20, 190	18, 782	16, 963	13, 049	3, 914	1, 819	1, 408	1, 298	978	320	110
Mountain.....	5, 075	4, 846	4, 049	2, 713	1, 336	797	229	168	73	95	61
Pacific *.....	15, 115	13, 936	12, 914	10, 336	2, 578	1, 022	1, 178	1, 130	905	225	48

* 50 States.

* Includes Alaska and Hawaii.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1860 and 1960*.

TABLE 4—Population by Color and by Urban Rural Residence for the United States and Census Divisions: Apr. 1, 1950 and Apr. 1, 1960

[Percent change, 1950 to 1960]

Region and census division	All persons	White				Nonwhite					
		Total	Nonfarm		Furn	Total	Nonfarm		Furn		
			Urban	Rural nonfarm			Urban	Rural nonfarm			
United States ¹	19	18	27	27	28	-40	27	47	49	42	-52
Northeast.....	13	11	14	12	26	-49	52	52	54	22	-53
New England.....	13	12	15	12	27	-55	74	74	76	57	0
Middle Atlantic.....	13	8	14	12	25	-47	50	51	53	16	-57
North Central.....	16	14	23	22	26	-27	55	57	59	29	-47
East North Central.....	19	17	24	21	31	-31	60	61	63	25	-53
West North Central.....	9	9	21	23	16	-24	33	40	40	38	-42

South.....	16	18	39	41	35	-49	11	39	36	46	-53
South Atlantic.....	23	25	44	44	43	-50	15	40	38	42	-49
East South Central.....	5	6	34	32	37	-48	0	33	24	56	-51
West South Central.....	17	17	35	42	17	-50	14	43	42	47	-64
West.....	39	38	46	54	19	-37	58	66	79	24	-34
Mountain.....	35	34	48	64	15	-33	48	80	110	58	-39
Pacific ²	40	39	45	51	21	-40	60	63	77	10	-25

¹ 50 States.

² Includes Alaska and Hawaii.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1960 and 1960*.

TABLE 5.—*Population by Color and by Place of Residence, 1950 and 1960*
 [Number of persons in millions]

Place of residence	1950						1960					
	Total		White		Nonwhite		Total		White		Nonwhite	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
Total.....	151.3	100.0	135.1	100.0	16.2	100.0	179.3	100.0	158.8	100.0	20.5	100.0
Urban.....	96.8	64.0	86.0	64.3	10.0	61.7	125.3	69.9	110.4	60.5	14.8	72.4
Urbanized areas.....	69.2	45.8	61.9	45.8	7.3	45.3	95.8	53.5	83.8	52.7	12.1	58.9
Central cities.....	48.4	32.0	42.0	31.1	6.3	39.2	58.0	32.3	47.6	30.0	10.3	50.5
Urban fringe.....	20.9	13.8	19.9	14.7	1.0	6.1	37.8	21.1	36.1	22.8	1.7	8.4
Other urban.....	27.6	18.2	24.9	18.5	2.7	16.4	29.4	16.4	26.7	16.8	2.8	13.5
Places of 10,000 or more.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	16.2	9.0	14.6	9.2	1.6	7.9
Places of 2,500 to 10,000.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	13.2	7.4	12.1	7.6	1.2	5.6
Rural.....	54.5	36.0	48.3	35.7	6.2	38.3	54.0	30.1	48.4	30.5	5.6	27.6
Places of 1,000 to 2,500.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	6.5	3.6	6.0	3.8	.5	2.4
Other rural.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	47.5	26.5	42.4	26.7	5.1	25.1

Percent increase, 1950 to 1960

	Total	White	Nonwhite
Total.....	18.5	17.5	26.7
Urban.....	29.3	27.1	48.7
Urbanized areas.....	38.4	35.3	64.9
Central cities.....	19.8	13.3	63.3
Urban fringe.....	81.5	81.8	75.0
Other urban.....	6.6	6.9	3.9
Rural.....	- .8	.2	-8.8

n.a. = Not available.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1960 and 1960*.

TABLE 6.—Population by Color and by Residence Inside and Outside Central City or Cities of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, for the United States and Regions: 1960 and 1950

Region and component part of SMSA	Total				White				Nonwhite			
	1960	1950	Change, 1950 to 1960		1960	1950	Change, 1950 to 1960		1960	1950	Change, 1950 to 1960	
			Number	Percent			Number	Percent			Number	Percent
United States:	112, 886	89, 317	23, 568	26	99, 688	80, 343	19, 344	24	18, 198	8, 973	4, 224	47
In SMSA's.....	58, 004	52, 371	5, 633	11	47, 655	45, 499	2, 155	5	10, 350	6, 872	3, 478	51
Outside central cities.....	54, 881	36, 946	17, 935	49	52, 033	34, 844	17, 189	49	2, 848	2, 102	747	36
Northeast:	35, 347	31, 267	4, 079	13	32, 368	29, 315	3, 068	10	2, 964	1, 952	1, 011	52
In SMSA's.....	17, 322	17, 881	-560	-3	14, 923	16, 305	-1, 382	-8	2, 399	1, 577	822	52
Outside central cities.....	18, 025	13, 386	4, 639	35	17, 460	13, 010	4, 450	34	565	376	189	50
North Central:	30, 960	25, 075	5, 885	23	27, 714	23, 036	4, 679	20	3, 246	2, 039	1, 207	59
In SMSA's.....	16, 511	15, 837	674	4	13, 668	14, 086	-418	-3	2, 843	1, 751	1, 092	62
Outside central cities.....	14, 449	9, 238	5, 211	56	14, 046	8, 950	5, 096	57	403	288	115	40

TABLE 7.—Population by Color in 1950 and 1960 for 25 Largest Cities in 1960 Ranked by City Size
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Cities	1950				1960				Change between 1950 and 1960			
	Total	White	Nonwhite	Percent nonwhite	Total	White	Nonwhite	Percent nonwhite	Number		Percent	
									White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
Total, 25 largest cities of 1960.....	30,557	24,227	6,330	20.7	29,370	25,303	4,076	13.9	-1,077	2,254	-4.3	55.3
Total, 10 largest cities of 1960.....	21,751	16,899	4,852	22.3	21,524	18,405	3,119	14.5	-1,506	1,733	-8.2	55.6
New York, N.Y.....	7,782	6,641	1,141	14.7	7,892	7,116	776	9.8	-476	366	-6.7	47.2
Chicago, Ill.....	3,550	2,713	838	23.6	3,621	3,112	509	14.1	-399	328	-12.8	64.4
Los Angeles, Calif.....	2,479	2,062	417	16.8	1,970	1,759	212	10.7	303	206	17.3	97.2
Philadelphia, Pa.....	2,003	1,467	535	26.7	2,072	1,693	379	18.3	-225	156	-13.3	41.2
Detroit, Mich.....	1,670	1,183	487	29.2	1,850	1,546	304	16.4	-363	183	-23.5	60.4
Baltimore, Md.....	939	611	328	35.0	950	724	226	23.8	-113	102	-15.6	45.3
Houston, Tex.....	938	721	218	23.2	596	471	126	21.1	250	92	53.1	73.2
Cleveland, Ohio.....	876	623	253	28.9	915	765	150	16.3	-142	104	-18.6	69.3
Washington, D.C.....	764	345	419	54.8	802	518	284	35.4	-173	134	-33.3	47.3
St. Louis, Mo.....	750	534	216	28.8	857	702	154	18.0	-168	62	-24.0	39.9
San Francisco, Calif.....	740	604	136	18.4	775	694	81	10.5	-89	54	-12.9	66.8
Milwaukee, Wis.....	741	676	66	8.9	637	615	23	3.6	61	43	9.9	189.1
Boston, Mass.....	697	629	68	9.8	801	759	43	5.3	-130	26	-17.1	60.2

Dallas, Tex.....	680	548	131	19.3	434	377	57	13.2	171	74	45.4	129.1
New Orleans, La.....	628	393	235	37.4	570	388	183	32.0	5	52	1.2	28.6
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	604	503	102	16.8	677	594	83	12.3	-91	19	-15.4	22.6
San Antonio, Tex.....	588	544	43	7.4	408	379	30	7.2	166	14	43.7	46.3
San Diego, Calif.....	573	529	45	7.8	334	316	18	5.5	212	26	67.2	143.5
Seattle, Wash.....	557	511	47	8.4	468	440	27	5.8	70	19	15.9	71.3
Buffalo, N. Y.....	533	459	73	13.8	580	542	38	6.5	-83	36	-15.3	94.7
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	503	393	110	21.8	504	425	79	15.6	-32	31	-7.6	39.4
Memphis, Tenn.....	498	313	185	37.1	396	249	147	37.2	64	37	25.8	25.4
Denver, Colo.....	494	459	35	7.1	416	398	18	4.4	61	17	15.4	93.2
Atlanta, Ga.....	487	301	187	38.3	331	210	121	36.6	91	65	43.2	53.9
Minneapolis, Minn.....	483	467	16	3.2	522	513	8	1.6	-46	7	-9.0	84.2

NOTE: Percentages based on unrounded figures.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1950 and 1960*.

TABLE 8.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Number of Nonwhite in the City*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
1	New York, N.Y.....	1,141	15
2	Chicago, Ill.....	838	24
3	Philadelphia, Pa.....	535	27
4	Detroit, Mich.....	487	29
5	Washington, D.C.....	419	55
6	Los Angeles, Calif.....	417	17
7	Baltimore, Md.....	328	35
8	Cleveland, Ohio.....	253	29
9	New Orleans, La.....	235	37
10	Houston, Tex.....	218	23
11	St. Louis, Mo.....	216	29
12	Honolulu, Hawaii.....	214	73
13	Memphis, Tenn.....	185	37
14	Atlanta, Ga.....	187	38
15	Newark, N.J.....	139	34
16	San Francisco, Calif.....	136	18
17	Birmingham, Ala.....	135	40
18	Dallas, Tex.....	131	19
19	Cincinnati, Ohio.....	110	22
20	Pittsburgh, Pa.....	102	17
21	Indianapolis, Ind.....	99	21
22	Oakland, Calif.....	97	26
23	Richmond, Va.....	92	42
24	Kansas City, Mo.....	84	18
25	Jacksonville, Fla.....	83	41
26	Norfolk, Va.....	81	26
27	Columbus, Ohio.....	78	17
28	Buffalo, N.Y.....	73	14
29	Louisville, Ky.....	70	18
30	Gary, Ind.....	69	39
31	Boston, Mass.....	68	10
32	Mobile, Ala.....	66	32
33	Miami, Fla.....	66	23
34	Milwaukee, Wis.....	66	9
35	Nashville, Tenn.....	65	38
36	Dayton, Ohio.....	58	22
37	Fort Worth, Tex.....	57	16
38	Shreveport, La.....	57	35
39	Charlotte, N.C.....	56	28
40	Savannah, Ga.....	53	36
41	Jackson, Miss.....	52	36
42	Montgomery, Ala.....	47	35
43	Seattle, Wash.....	47	8
44	Baton Rouge, La.....	46	30
45	Tampa, Fla.....	46	17
46	San Diego, Calif.....	45	8
47	Chattanooga, Tenn.....	43	33
48	San Antonio, Tex.....	43	7
49	Oklahoma City, Okla.....	42	13

TABLE 8.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Number of Nonwhite in the City—Continued*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
50	Winston-Salem, N.C.	41	37
51	Toledo, Ohio	40	13
52	Portsmouth, Va.	40	35
53	Newport News, Va.	39	34
54	Akron, Ohio	38	13
55	Jersey City, N.J.	37	14
56	Denver, Colo.	35	7
57	Beaumont, Tex.	35	29
58	Flint, Mich.	35	18
59	Youngstown, Ohio	32	19
60	Columbus, Ga.	32	27
61	Greensboro, N.C.	31	26
62	Berkeley, Calif.	29	26
63	Kansas City, Kans.	28	23
64	Camden, N.J.	28	24
65	Omaha, Nebr.	26	9
66	Tulsa, Okla.	26	10
67	Trenton, N.J.	26	23
68	Phoenix, Ariz.	26	6
69	Little Rock, Ark.	25	24
70	Hartford, Conn.	25	16
71	Austin, Tex.	25	13
72	Sacramento, Calif.	24	13
73	Rochester, N.Y.	24	8
74	St. Petersburg, Fla.	24	13
75	New Haven, Conn.	23	15
76	Paterson, N.J.	21	15
77	Wichita, Kans.	21	8
78	Portland, Oreg.	21	6
79	Knoxville, Tenn.	21	19
80	Pasadena, Calif.	18	15
81	Minneapolis, Minn.	16	3
82	Bridgeport, Conn.	16	10
83	Grand Rapids, Mich.	15	8
84	Long Beach, Calif.	15	4
85	Springfield, Mass.	13	8
86	South Bend, Ind.	13	10
87	Fresno, Calif.	13	10
88	Syracuse, N.Y.	12	6
89	Fort Wayne, Ind.	12	7
90	Providence, R.I.	12	6
91	Elizabeth, N.J.	12	11
92	Canton, Ohio	11	10
93	Albany, N.Y.	11	8
94	Des Moines, Iowa	11	5
95	Lubbock, Tex.	10	8
96	Topeka, Kans.	10	8
97	Peoria, Ill.	10	9
98	Evansville, Ind.	9	7

TABLE 8.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Number of Nonwhite in the City—Continued*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
99	St. Paul, Minn.....	9	3
100	Corpus Christi, Tex.....	9	6
101	Tucson, Ariz.....	9	4
102	Wichita Falls, Tex.....	9	8
103	Yonkers, N.Y.....	8	4
104	Amarillo, Tex.....	8	6
105	Tacoma, Wash.....	8	5
106	Niagara Falls, N.Y.....	8	7
107	El Paso, Tex.....	7	3
108	Waterbury, Conn.....	7	7
109	Lansing, Mich.....	7	6
110	San Jose, Calif.....	7	3
111	Cambridge, Mass.....	7	6
112	Erie, Pa.....	7	5
113	Albuquerque, N. Mex.....	6	3
114	Rockford, Ill.....	5	4
115	Spokane, Wash.....	5	2
116	Salt Lake City, Utah.....	4	2
117	New Bedford, Mass.....	3	3
118	Utica, N.Y.....	3	3
119	Santa Ana, Calif.....	3	3
120	Hammond, Ind.....	3	2
121	Lincoln, Nebr.....	2	2
122	Madison, Wis.....	2	2
123	Worcester, Mass.....	2	1
124	Torrance, Calif.....	1	1
125	Duluth, Minn.....	1	1
126	Allentown, Pa.....	1	1
127	Scranton, Pa.....	1	1
128	Anaheim, Calif.....	1	1
129	Glendale, Calif.....	1	(¹)
130	Dearborn, Mich.....	(²)	(¹)

¹ Less than ¼ of 1 percent.

² Less than 500.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 9.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by percent of Nonwhite in the City*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
1	Honolulu, Hawaii.....	214	73
2	Washington, D.C.....	419	55
3	Richmond, Va.....	92	42
4	Jacksonville, Fla.....	83	41
5	Birmingham, Ala.....	135	40
6	Gary, Ind.....	69	39
7	Atlanta, Ga.....	187	38
8	Nashville, Tenn.....	65	38
9	New Orleans, La.....	235	37
10	Memphis, Tenn.....	185	37
11	Winston-Salem, N.C.....	41	37
12	Savannah, Ga.....	53	36
13	Jackson, Miss.....	52	36
14	Baltimore, Md.....	328	35
15	Shreveport, La.....	57	35
16	Montgomery, Ala.....	47	35
17	Portsmouth, Va.....	40	35
18	Newark, N.J.....	139	34
19	Newport News, Va.....	39	34
20	Chattanooga, Tenn.....	43	33
21	Mobile, Ala.....	66	32
22	Baton Rouge, La.....	46	30
23	Detroit, Mich.....	487	29
24	Cleveland, Ohio.....	253	29
25	St. Louis, Mo.....	216	29
26	Beaumont, Tex.....	35	29
27	Charlotte, N.C.....	56	28
28	Philadelphia, Pa.....	535	27
29	Columbus, Ga.....	32	27
30	Oakland, Calif.....	97	26
31	Norfolk, Va.....	81	26
32	Greensboro, N.C.....	31	26
33	Berkeley, Calif.....	29	26
34	Chicago, Ill.....	838	24
35	Camden, N.J.....	28	24
36	Little Rock, Ark.....	25	24
37	Houston, Tex.....	218	23
38	Miami, Fla.....	66	23
39	Kansas City, Kans.....	28	23
40	Trenton, N.J.....	26	23
41	Cincinnati, Ohio.....	110	22
42	Dayton, Ohio.....	58	22
43	Indianapolis, Ind.....	99	21
44	Louisville, Ky.....	70	18
45	Dallas, Tex.....	131	19
46	Youngstown, Ohio.....	32	19
47	Knoxville, Tenn.....	21	19
48	San Francisco, Calif.....	136	18
49	Kansas City, Mo.....	84	18

TABLE 9.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Percent of Nonwhite in the City—Continued*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
50	Flint, Mich.....	35	18
51	Los Angeles, Calif.....	417	17
52	Pittsburgh, Pa.....	102	17
53	Columbus, Ohio.....	78	17
54	Tampa, Fla.....	46	17
55	Fort Worth, Tex.....	57	16
56	Hartford, Conn.....	25	16
57	New York, N.Y.....	1, 141	15
58	New Haven, Conn.....	23	15
59	Paterson, N.J.....	21	15
60	Pasadena, Calif.....	18	15
61	Buffalo, N.Y.....	73	14
62	Jersey City, N.J.....	37	14
63	Oklahoma City, Okla.....	42	13
64	Toledo, Ohio.....	40	13
65	Akron, Ohio.....	38	13
66	Austin, Tex.....	25	13
67	Sacramento, Calif.....	24	13
68	St. Petersburg, Fla.....	24	13
69	Elizabeth, N.J.....	12	11
70	Boston, Mass.....	68	10
71	Tulsa, Okla.....	26	10
72	Bridgeport, Conn.....	16	10
73	South Bend, Ind.....	13	10
74	Fresno, Calif.....	13	10
75	Canton, Ohio.....	11	10
76	Milwaukee, Wis.....	66	9
77	Omaha, Nebr.....	26	9
78	Peoria, Ill.....	10	9
79	Seattle, Wash.....	47	8
80	San Diego, Calif.....	45	8
81	Rochester, N.Y.....	24	8
82	Wichita, Kans.....	21	8
83	Grand Rapids, Mich.....	15	8
84	Springfield, Mass.....	13	8
85	Albany, N.Y.....	11	8
86	Lubbock, Tex.....	10	8
87	Topeka, Kans.....	10	8
88	Wichita Falls, Tex.....	9	8
89	San Antonio, Tex.....	43	7
90	Denver, Colo.....	35	7
91	Fort Wayne, Ind.....	12	7
92	Evansville, Ind.....	9	7
93	Niagara Falls, N.Y.....	8	7
94	Waterbury, Conn.....	7	7
95	Phoenix, Ariz.....	26	6
96	Portland, Oreg.....	21	6
97	Syracuse, N.Y.....	12	6
98	Providence, R.I.....	12	6

TABLE 9.—*Nonwhite Population in Cities of 100,000 or More Population in 1960 Ranked by Percent of Nonwhite in the City—Continued*

Rank	City	Nonwhite population in thousands	Percent of city population
99	Corpus Christi, Tex.....	9	6
100	Amarillo, Tex.....	8	6
101	Lansing, Mich.....	7	6
102	Cambridge, Mass.....	7	6
103	Des Moines, Iowa.....	11	5
104	Tacoma, Wash.....	8	5
105	Erie, Pa.....	7	3
106	Long Beach, Calif.....	15	4
107	Tucson, Ariz.....	9	4
108	Yonkers, N.Y.....	8	4
109	Rockford, Ill.....	5	4
110	Minneapolis, Minn.....	16	3
111	St. Paul, Minn.....	9	3
112	El Paso, Tex.....	7	3
113	San Jose, Calif.....	7	3
114	Albuquerque, N. Mex.....	6	3
115	New Bedford, Mass.....	3	3
116	Utica, N.Y.....	3	3
117	Spokane, Wash.....	5	2
118	Salt Lake City, Utah.....	4	2
119	Santa Ana, Calif.....	3	3
120	Hammond, Ind.....	3	2
121	Lincoln, Nebr.....	2	2
122	Madison, Wis.....	2	2
123	Worcester, Mass.....	2	1
124	Torrance, Calif.....	1	1
125	Duluth, Minn.....	1	1
126	Allentown, Pa.....	1	1
127	Scranton, Pa.....	1	1
128	Anaheim, Calif.....	1	1
129	Glendale, Calif.....	1	(¹)
130	Dearborn, Mich.....	(²)	(¹)

¹ Less than ½ of 1 percent.

² Less than 500.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 10.—Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas With Nonwhite Population in Excess of 20 Percent of the Total in 1960

Rank	Area	Population in thousands		Percent nonwhite
		Total	Nonwhite	
1	Honolulu, Hawaii.....	500	322	64
2	Jackson, Miss.....	187	75	40
3	Montgomery, Ala.....	169	65	38
4	Charleston, S.C.....	216	79	36
5	Memphis, Tenn.....	627	228	36
6	Birmingham, Ala.....	635	220	35
7	Albany, Ga.....	76	26	34
8	Shreveport, La.....	282	96	34
9	Savannah, Ga.....	188	64	34
10	Mobile, Ala.....	314	102	32
11	Durham, N.C.....	112	36	32
12	Monroe, La.....	102	33	32
13	Baton Rouge, La.....	230	73	32
14	New Orleans, La.....	868	269	31
15	Macon, Ga.....	180	56	31
16	Augusta, Ga.....	217	64	30
17	Columbus, Ga.....	218	64	29
18	Columbia, S.C.....	261	76	29
19	Tuscaloosa, Ala.....	109	31	29
20	Newport News-Hampton, Va.....	224	63	28
21	Tyler, Tex.....	86	23	27
22	Norfolk-Portsmouth, Va.....	578	153	26
23	Richmond, Va.....	408	108	26
24	Raleigh, N.C.....	169	44	26
25	Washington, D.C.-Maryland-Virginia.....	2, 002	498	25
26	Charlotte, N.C.....	272	67	25
27	Texarkana, Tex.-Ark.....	92	23	25
28	Winston-Salem, N.C.....	189	46	24
29	Jacksonville, Fla.....	455	107	23
30	West Palm Beach, Fla.....	228	52	23
31	Atlanta, Ga.....	1, 017	232	23
32	Baltimore, Md.....	1, 727	383	22
33	Little Rock-North Little Rock, Ark.....	243	52	22
34	Galveston-Texas City, Tex.....	140	30	21
35	Lynchburg, Va.....	111	24	21
36	Greensboro-High Point, N.C.....	246	52	21
37	Lake Charles, La.....	146	30	22
38	Beaumont-Port Arthur, Tex.....	306	63	21

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 11.—Median Income in 1949 and 1959 of Persons 14 Years of Age and Over, for Persons With Income, by Color, United States and Census Regions

[Income in dollars]

Sex and region	Total				Nonwhite as a percent of total ¹		Urban				Nonwhite as a percent of total ¹	
	1949		1959		1949	1959	1949		1959		1949	1959
	Total ¹	Nonwhite	Total ¹	Nonwhite	Total ¹	Nonwhite	Total ¹	Nonwhite	Total ¹	Nonwhite	Total ¹	Nonwhite
<i>Male</i>												
United States.....	2, 434	1, 341	4, 103	2, 273	55	55	2, 783	1, 749	4, 532	2, 794	63	62
Northeast.....	2, 713	2, 054	4, 480	3, 274	76	73	2, 818	2, 078	4, 600	3, 310	74	72
North Central.....	2, 681	2, 187	4, 425	3, 382	82	76	2, 992	2, 252	4, 901	3, 488	75	71
South.....	1, 775	1, 033	3, 044	1, 615	58	53	2, 309	1, 420	3, 701	2, 153	61	58
West.....	2, 722	1, 862	4, 632	3, 351	68	72	2, 966	2, 122	4, 975	3, 702	72	74
<i>Female</i>												
United States.....	1, 029	584	1, 357	909	57	67	1, 228	764	1, 532	1, 105	62	72
Northeast.....	1, 384	1, 165	1, 711	1, 706	84	100	1, 472	1, 181	1, 815	1, 735	80	96
North Central.....	1, 072	931	1, 337	1, 316	87	98	1, 251	969	1, 500	1, 357	77	90
South.....	755	440	1, 024	680	58	66	936	579	1, 258	831	62	66
West.....	998	884	1, 489	1, 475	89	99	1, 151	988	1, 659	1, 633	86	98

¹ Total of white and nonwhite.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Censuses of Population, 1950 and 1960.

TABLE 12.—*Income in 1959 of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960*
 [Number of families and individuals in thousands]

	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Families and unrelated individuals and total money income									
Total	58,305	42,375	15,930	52,303	37,705	14,598	6,002	4,669	1,333
Under \$2,000	13,584	8,749	4,835	11,052	7,054	3,998	2,532	1,695	837
\$2,000 to \$3,999	10,873	7,295	3,578	9,256	5,973	3,263	1,637	1,321	316
\$4,000 to \$4,999	5,935	4,221	1,714	5,352	3,708	1,643	583	513	71
\$5,000 to \$6,999	11,429	8,639	2,791	10,749	8,023	2,726	680	615	65
\$7,000 to \$9,999	9,455	7,586	1,869	9,070	7,232	1,838	385	354	31
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4,873	4,060	814	4,725	3,922	804	148	138	10
\$15,000 to \$24,999	1,549	1,308	241	1,519	1,281	238	29	27	2
\$25,000 and over	607	518	89	600	513	88	6	6	1
Median income	\$4,791	\$5,199	\$3,768	\$5,088	\$5,497	\$4,039	\$2,520	\$2,911	\$1,498
Families									
Total	45,128	31,940	13,188	40,873	28,711	12,162	4,256	3,229	1,027
Under \$2,000	5,886	3,001	2,886	4,511	2,213	2,298	1,376	787	588
\$2,000 to \$3,999	8,047	4,946	3,101	6,813	3,982	2,831	1,234	964	270
\$4,000 to \$4,999	4,958	3,368	1,590	4,472	2,947	1,525	485	421	65
\$5,000 to \$6,999	10,390	7,719	2,671	9,779	7,169	2,610	611	550	61

\$7,000 to \$9,999.....	9, 053	7, 229	1, 824	8, 683	6, 889	1, 794	370	341	30
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	4, 728	3, 931	797	4, 583	3, 796	787	145	135	10
\$15,000 to \$24,999.....	1, 491	1, 257	234	1, 463	1, 230	233	28	26	2
\$25,000 and over.....	575	490	85	569	485	84	6	5	1
Median income.....	\$5, 660	\$6, 166	\$4, 365	\$5, 893	\$6, 433	\$4, 602	\$3, 161	\$3, 711	\$1, 739
<i>Unrelated individuals</i>									
Total.....	13, 177	10, 435	2, 742	11, 430	8, 994	2, 436	1, 747	1, 440	306
Under \$2,000.....	7, 698	5, 748	1, 950	6, 541	4, 841	1, 701	1, 156	907	249
Under \$1,000.....	4, 949	3, 668	1, 281	4, 154	3, 059	1, 095	795	609	186
\$1,000 to \$1,999.....	2, 749	2, 080	669	2, 387	1, 781	606	361	298	63
\$2,000 to \$3,999.....	2, 826	2, 349	477	2, 424	1, 992	432	403	358	45
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	977	853	124	879	761	118	98	92	6
\$5,000 to \$6,999.....	1, 039	919	120	970	854	116	69	65	4
\$7,000 to \$9,999.....	402	357	44	387	344	43	15	14	1
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	145	128	17	142	125	17	3	3	0
\$15,000 to \$24,999.....	58	51	6	57	50	6	1	1	0
\$25,000 and over.....	32	28	4	31	28	3	1	0	0
Median income.....	\$1, 596	\$1, 745	\$1, 145	\$1, 654	\$1, 807	\$1, 118	\$1, 217	\$1, 373	\$881

TABLE 12.—Income in 1959 of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Color, United States, Urban and Rural:
1960—Continued

Families and unrelated individuals and total money income	[Percentage distribution]								
	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under \$2,000.....	23.3	20.6	30.4	21.1	18.7	27.4	42.2	36.3	62.8
\$2,000 to \$3,999.....	18.6	17.2	22.5	17.7	15.8	22.4	27.3	28.3	23.7
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	10.2	10.0	10.8	10.2	9.8	11.3	9.7	11.0	5.3
\$5,000 to \$6,999.....	19.6	20.4	17.5	20.6	21.3	18.7	11.3	13.2	4.9
\$7,000 to \$9,999.....	16.2	17.9	11.7	17.3	19.2	12.6	6.4	7.6	2.3
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	8.4	9.6	5.1	9.0	10.4	5.5	2.5	3.0	.8
\$15,000 to \$24,999.....	2.7	3.1	1.5	2.9	3.4	1.6	.5	.6	.1
\$25,000 and over.....	1.0	1.2	0.6	1.1	1.4	.6	.1	.1	.1
<i>Families</i>									
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under \$2,000.....	13.0	9.4	21.9	11.0	7.7	18.9	32.3	24.4	57.3
\$2,000 to \$3,999.....	17.8	15.5	23.5	16.7	13.9	23.3	29.0	29.9	26.3
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	11.0	10.5	12.1	10.9	10.3	12.5	11.4	13.0	6.3
\$5,000 to \$6,999.....	23.0	24.2	20.3	23.9	25.0	21.5	14.4	17.0	5.9

\$7,000 to \$9,999	20.1	22.6	13.8	21.2	24.0	14.8	8.7	10.6	2.9
\$10,000 to \$14,999	10.5	12.3	6.0	11.2	13.2	6.5	3.4	4.2	1.0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	3.3	3.9	1.8	3.6	4.3	1.9	.7	.8	.2
\$25,000 and over	1.3	1.5	.6	1.4	1.7	.7	.1	.2	.1
<i>Unrelated individuals</i>									
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under \$2,000	58.4	55.1	71.1	57.2	53.8	69.8	66.2	63.0	81.6
Under \$1,000	37.6	35.2	46.7	36.3	34.0	45.0	45.5	42.3	61.0
\$1,000 to \$1,999	20.9	19.9	24.4	20.9	19.8	24.9	20.7	20.7	20.7
\$2,000 to \$3,999	21.4	22.5	17.4	21.2	22.1	17.7	23.1	24.9	14.8
\$4,000 to \$4,999	7.4	8.2	4.5	7.7	8.5	4.8	5.6	6.4	2.0
\$5,000 to \$6,999	7.9	8.8	4.4	8.5	9.5	4.8	3.9	4.5	1.3
\$7,000 to \$9,999	3.1	3.4	1.6	3.4	3.8	1.8	.9	1.0	.3
\$10,000 to \$14,999	1.1	1.2	.6	1.2	1.4	.7	.2	.2	0
\$15,000 to \$24,999	.4	.5	.2	.5	.6	.2	.1	.1	0
\$25,000 and over	.2	.3	.1	.3	.3	.1	.1	0	0

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population, 1900.

TABLE 13.—*Income in 1959 of Families and Median Income of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Color for Regions*

[Number of families in thousands]

Families and unrelated individuals and total money income	Northeast				North Central			
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All families.....	10,780	100	694	100	12,339	100	780	100
Under \$1,000.....	315	3	50	7	521	4	74	9
\$1,000 to \$1,999.....	478	4	64	9	782	6	93	12
\$2,000 to \$2,999.....	637	6	86	12	891	7	90	12
\$3,000 to \$3,999.....	882	8	108	16	1,045	9	97	12
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	1,201	11	104	15	1,321	11	113	14
\$5,000 to \$5,999.....	1,467	14	86	12	1,618	13	99	13
\$6,000 to \$6,999.....	1,293	12	61	9	1,436	12	65	8
\$7,000 to \$7,999.....	1,060	10	43	6	1,169	10	46	6
\$8,000 to \$8,999.....	829	8	30	4	909	7	33	4
\$9,000 to \$9,999.....	611	6	20	3	664	5	23	3
\$10,000 and over.....	2,008	19	42	6	1,981	16	47	6
Median income (in dollars):								
Families.....	\$6,318		\$4,371		\$5,994		\$4,320	
Families and unrelated individuals.....	5,498		3,390		5,220		3,446	

Families and unrelated individuals and total money income	South				West			
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All families.....	11, 189	100	2, 323	100	6, 565	100	459	100
Under \$1,000.....	808	7	495	21	214	3	35	8
\$1,000 to \$1,999.....	1, 058	9	526	23	335	5	39	8
\$2,000 to \$2,999.....	1, 147	10	437	19	429	7	46	10
\$3,000 to \$3,999.....	1, 267	11	315	14	514	8	55	12
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	1, 303	12	211	9	647	10	58	13
\$5,000 to \$5,999.....	1, 311	12	126	5	800	12	55	12
\$6,000 to \$6,999.....	1, 081	10	74	3	773	12	44	10
\$7,000 to \$7,999.....	827	7	46	2	650	10	32	7
\$8,000 to \$8,999.....	612	5	31	1	521	8	25	5
\$9,000 to \$9,999.....	432	4	21	1	398	6	19	4
\$10,000 and over.....	1, 343	12	40	2	1, 283	20	50	11
Median income (in dollars):								
Families.....	\$5, 009		\$2, 322		\$6, 444		\$4, 937	
Families and unrelated individuals.....	4, 273		1, 865		5, 394		3, 780	

TABLE 14.—Income in 1959 of Families by Color for Census Divisions: 1960¹

[Number of families]

Family income in 1959 and color	New England	Middle Atlantic	East North Central	West North Central	South Atlantic	East South Central	West South Central	Mountain	Pacific
<i>White</i>									
All families.....	2, 653, 831	8, 819, 842	9, 186, 151	3, 932, 686	6, 345, 035	2, 937, 925	4, 229, 087	1, 670, 205	5, 353, 668
Under \$1,000.....	72, 867	292, 271	355, 799	239, 701	544, 329	398, 784	359, 371	74, 731	174, 316
\$1,000 to \$1,999.....	121, 914	420, 373	517, 982	356, 429	644, 464	422, 781	516, 584	108, 901	264, 385
\$2,000 to \$2,999.....	166, 909	555, 991	592, 168	389, 197	717, 909	374, 302	492, 166	138, 911	336, 205
\$3,000 to \$3,999.....	236, 513	752, 926	712, 889	429, 463	747, 693	344, 423	489, 193	166, 776	403, 069
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	313, 261	991, 263	958, 792	475, 109	726, 997	317, 114	469, 710	200, 463	504, 857
\$5,000 to \$5,999.....	373, 861	1, 179, 704	1, 219, 963	497, 565	695, 466	288, 329	454, 052	220, 097	634, 479
\$6,000 to \$6,999.....	324, 315	1, 029, 297	1, 096, 302	404, 516	562, 601	220, 963	371, 541	188, 878	628, 150
\$7,000 to \$9,999.....	609, 879	1, 982, 354	2, 153, 853	690, 840	986, 439	352, 800	630, 828	337, 309	1, 308, 918
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	299, 239	1, 098, 250	1, 128, 367	313, 285	493, 607	152, 337	302, 935	167, 630	772, 659
\$15,000 and over.....	135, 073	517, 413	450, 036	136, 581	225, 530	66, 092	142, 707	66, 509	326, 130
Median income.....	\$6, 128	\$6, 211	\$6, 215	\$5, 154	\$4, 713	\$3, 793	\$4, 548	\$5, 660	\$6, 572

<i>Nonwhite</i>		56, 731	637, 005	643, 189	136, 853	1, 175, 899	545, 052	601, 800	63, 552	395, 473
All families.....										
Under \$1,000.....	4, 329	45, 792	58, 080	16, 037	223, 931	151, 599	118, 980	12, 531	22, 800	
\$1,000 to \$1,999.....	5, 615	58, 884	70, 980	21, 605	240, 970	137, 354	147, 827	9, 225	29, 327	
\$2,000 to \$2,999.....	7, 272	78, 842	70, 208	19, 967	223, 496	97, 452	116, 146	8, 339	37, 636	
\$3,000 to \$3,999.....	8, 329	99, 272	77, 533	19, 581	168, 210	63, 466	82, 982	8, 337	47, 100	
\$4,000 to \$4,999.....	7, 960	95, 782	93, 971	18, 690	114, 693	40, 858	55, 283	7, 602	50, 265	
\$5,000 to \$5,999.....	7, 037	79, 427	84, 897	14, 201	71, 269	22, 319	32, 866	5, 553	49, 506	
\$6,000 to \$6,999.....	5, 033	55, 782	56, 341	8, 946	43, 619	12, 460	17, 566	3, 737	40, 276	
\$7,000 to \$9,999.....	7, 837	84, 905	89, 345	12, 717	62, 165	14, 838	21, 928	5, 461	71, 256	
\$10,000 to \$14,999.....	2, 831	31, 478	34, 921	4, 277	22, 884	3, 890	6, 052	2, 199	35, 573	
\$15,000 and over.....	488	6, 841	6, 913	832	4, 662	807	1, 270	568	11, 734	
Median income.....	\$4, 354	\$4, 373	\$4, 477	\$3, 552	\$2, 551	\$1, 880	\$2, 294	\$3, 202	\$5, 214	

¹ Preliminary data.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

44 TABLE 15.—*Educational Attainment of Persons 25 Years Old and Over by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960, 1950, and 1940*
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Years of school completed	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
<i>1960</i>									
Total.....	99,438	71,052	28,386	89,581	63,574	26,007	9,857	7,478	2,378
Less than elementary school.....	22,056	13,969	8,087	17,440	10,952	6,488	4,617	3,017	1,600
Elementary school (8 years).....	36,559	25,683	10,875	33,453	23,107	10,346	3,106	2,577	529
High school (4 years).....	24,455	18,244	6,212	23,099	17,050	6,050	1,356	1,194	162
College (1 or more years).....	16,367	13,156	3,211	15,589	12,465	3,124	779	692	87
Median.....	10.6	11.1	9.2	10.9	11.5	9.6	8.2	8.7	6.2
<i>1950</i>									
Total.....	87,885	59,050	28,834	79,515	53,395	26,119	8,370	5,655	2,715
Less than elementary school.....	23,530	13,668	9,862	18,638	10,832	7,806	4,892	2,836	2,056
Elementary school (8 years).....	32,688	21,459	11,229	30,631	19,817	10,814	2,057	1,642	415
High school (4 years).....	17,745	13,332	4,413	17,052	12,720	4,332	693	612	81
College (1 or more years).....	11,587	8,983	2,604	11,163	8,620	2,542	424	363	61
Median.....	9.3	10.2	8.6	9.7	10.5	8.8	6.9	7.8	5.1

1940^{1,2}

Total.....	74, 776		68, 000		6, 776
Less than elementary school.....	23, 761		18, 984		4, 776
Elementary school (8 years).....	31, 939		30, 570		1, 369
High school (4 years).....	10, 552		10, 255		296
College (1 or more years).....	7, 483		7, 268		214
Median.....	8. 6		8. 7		5. 8

¹ Total includes persons not reporting on years of school completed.² Continental United States.

TABLE 15.—*Educational Attainment of Persons 25 Years Old and Over by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960, 1950, and 1940—Continued*

[Percent distribution]

Years of school completed	United States	White	Nonwhite
1960			
Total.....	100	100	100
Less than elementary school.....	2	2	6
Elementary school (8 years).....	38	36	54
High school (4 years).....	44	45	33
College (1 or more years).....	17	17	8
1950			
Total.....	100	100	100
Less than elementary school.....	28	24	35
Elementary school (8 years).....	38	37	40
High school (4 years).....	21	23	16
College (1 or more years).....	14	16	9
1940			
Total.....	100	100	100
Less than elementary school.....	32	28	72
Elementary school (8 years).....	43	46	21
High school (4 years).....	14	15	4
College (1 or more years).....	10	11	3

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 16.—*Years of School Completed by Persons 25 Years Old and Over, by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960*

[Percent distribution]

Years of school completed	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than elementary:									
None.....	2.3	2.2	2.5	1.9	2.0	1.8	5.6	4.2	10.1
1-4 years.....	6.1	5.1	8.5	4.8	4.0	6.7	17.8	14.4	28.6
5-6 years.....	7.5	6.7	9.3	6.7	5.9	8.5	14.6	13.3	18.6
7 years.....	6.4	5.7	8.1	6.1	5.3	8.0	8.8	8.4	10.0
Elementary (8 years).....	17.5	16.3	20.6	18.1	16.6	21.5	12.8	13.6	10.3
High school:									
1-3 years.....	19.2	19.8	17.7	19.3	19.7	18.2	18.7	20.8	11.9
4 years.....	24.6	25.7	21.9	25.8	26.8	23.3	13.8	16.0	6.8
College:									
1-3 years.....	8.8	9.7	6.6	9.3	10.2	7.0	4.4	5.2	1.8
4 years.....	7.7	8.9	4.7	8.1	9.4	5.0	3.5	4.1	1.8

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 17.—Occupation Group of Employed Persons by Color, United States, Urban, and Rural: 1960
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Occupation groups	United States						White						Nonwhite					
	Total		Urban		Rural		Total		Urban		Rural		Total		Urban		Rural	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
Total employed.....	64,639	100.0	47,390	100.0	17,249	100.0	58,010	100.0	42,203	100.0	15,807	100.0	6,629	100.0	5,188	100.0	1,441	100.0
Professional, technical, kindred workers.....	7,232	11.2	5,899	12.4	1,333	7.7	6,880	11.9	5,594	13.3	1,286	8.1	352	5.3	305	6.9	47	3.3
Farmers.....	2,566	3.9	131	.3	2,375	13.8	2,313	4.0	114	.3	2,198	13.9	193	2.9	17	.3	176	12.2
Managers, proprietors, etc.....	5,410	8.4	4,272	9.0	1,138	6.6	5,288	9.1	4,165	9.9	1,123	7.1	121	1.8	107	2.1	14	1.0
Clerical.....	9,307	14.4	7,883	16.6	1,424	8.3	8,883	15.3	7,478	17.7	1,405	8.9	424	6.4	405	7.8	19	1.3
Saleswork.....	4,030	7.2	3,782	8.0	887	5.0	4,533	7.8	3,680	8.7	847	5.4	106	1.6	90	1.9	10	.7
Workmen.....	8,741	13.5	6,443	13.6	2,298	13.3	8,317	14.3	6,084	14.4	2,233	14.1	425	6.4	359	6.9	66	4.6
Craftsmen.....	11,898	18.4	8,484	17.9	3,414	19.8	10,621	18.3	7,429	17.6	3,192	20.2	1,276	19.2	1,055	20.3	221	15.3
Operatives, etc.....	7,171	11.2	5,604	11.8	1,567	9.1	6,148	8.9	3,907	9.3	1,241	7.9	2,023	30.5	1,097	32.7	326	22.6
Household and service workers.....	1,445	2.2	216	.5	1,229	7.1	1,083	1.9	165	.4	918	5.8	302	5.5	81	1.6	311	21.6
Farm labor.....	3,108	4.8	2,113	4.5	995	5.8	2,306	4.0	1,506	3.6	800	6.1	801	12.1	600	11.7	195	13.5
Laborers.....	3,184	4.9	2,565	5.4	619	3.6	2,637	4.5	2,074	4.9	563	3.6	547	8.3	492	9.5	55	3.8
Not reported.....																		

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Population, 1960.

TABLE 18.—Percentage Distribution of Workers by Occupation, by Color, for Selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1950 and 1960

Year and occupation	Northeastern Region											
	Boston		Buffalo		New York		Philadelphia		Pittsburgh			
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite		
1960												
Total:												
Number.....	989,941	33,784	450,441	25,995	5,335,322	662,659	1,400,876	244,349	784,237	47,901		
Percent.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical.....	15	9	12	4	13	6	13	5	13	4		
Clerical.....	19	10	16	5	20	12	18	10	16	6		
Sales.....	8	2	8	2	8	2	9	2	9	2		
Craftsmen and foremen.....	13	7	17	9	13	6	16	8	17	7		
Operatives.....	16	26	20	27	18	25	19	26	17	19		
Service except private household.....	9	17	8	17	8	16	7	16	8	22		
Laborers except mine and farm.....	3	5	5	17	3	7	3	11	7	18		
Others.....	16	24	14	19	17	26	15	23	13	21		

1950

Total:		893, 104	20, 195	407, 427	15, 303	4, 871, 259	427, 482	1, 264, 733	171, 594	765, 957	42, 603
		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Number.....	Percent.....	12	5	10	3	12	4	11	3	10	2
Professional and technical.....		18	7	14	3	19	9	16	6	15	4
Clerical.....		9	2	7	1	8	2	8	2	8	1
Sales.....		15	8	19	9	14	6	17	7	18	6
Craftsmen and foremen.....		19	26	22	30	20	29	23	25	21	23
Operatives.....		9	25	8	17	8	18	7	17	7	22
Service except private household.....		5	11	6	25	4	10	4	19	10	26
Laborers except mine and farm.....		13	16	13	13	15	22	14	22	11	15
Others.....											

TABLE 18.—Percentage Distribution of Workers by Occupation, by Color, for Selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1960 and 1960—Continued

Year and occupation	North Central Region											
	Chicago		Cincinnati		Cleveland		Detroit		Minneapolis-St. Paul		St. Louis	
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
1960												
Total:												
Number	2,380,100	127,336,474	354,364	43,863,607	143	89,274	1,162,906	165,829	566,432	9,650	672,697	90,940
Percent	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical	12	5	13	5	13	5	13	5	14	11	11	6
Clerical	19	12	18	8	18	9	17	9	20	12	18	8
Sales	8	2	9	2	9	2	9	2	9	1	9	2
Craftsmen and foremen	16	7	14	6	16	8	17	8	14	5	16	5
Operatives	18	25	18	15	19	26	20	29	15	16	19	19
Service except private household	7	15	7	23	7	18	8	16	9	29	6	21
Laborers except mine and farm	4	10	3	16	3	12	3	9	4	7	4	13
Others	16	24	18	26	15	19	13	21	16	19	16	26

1950

Total:	2, 126, 157, 225,	697, 322, 537,	34, 374, 549, 748,	59, 408, 1, 063, 717,	126, 780, 454, 655,	5, 257, 600, 961,	75, 569
Number	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Percent	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical	10	3	3	3	3	4	4
Clerical	19	9	4	6	6	7	18
Sales	8	2	2	2	2	2	8
Craftsmen and foremen	17	8	6	9	9	5	16
Operatives	21	31	16	27	38	18	22
Service except private household	8	19	25	20	15	44	7
Laborers except mine and farm	5	16	21	18	4	11	5
Others	13	11	23	15	13	9	14

TABLE 18.—Percentage Distribution of Workers by Occupation, by Color, for Selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1950 and 1960—Continued

Year and occupation	Southern Region											
	Atlanta		Baltimore		Dallas		Houston		New Orleans		Washington, D. C.	
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
	1960											
Total:												
Number.....	310,303	84,887	513,288	130,194	380,866	60,962	378,884	91,568	220,564	82,229	592,987	198,934
Percent.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical.....	13	4	13	5	13	3	14	5	14	5	23	7
Clerical.....	22	5	19	8	20	4	19	4	21	4	27	18
Sales.....	11	1	9	2	10	1	9	1	10	2	8	2
Craftsmen and foremen.....	14	5	17	7	14	5	16	5	14	6	12	6
Operatives.....	15	20	17	21	15	16	14	18	12	20	6	12
Service except private household.....	5	24	6	18	6	26	6	22	7	20	5	21
Laborers except mine and farm.....	2	12	3	14	3	13	3	16	3	18	1	10
Others.....	18	29	16	25	20	32	19	29	19	25	19	24

1950

Total:		203, 193	69, 194	425, 091	102, 361	228, 882	38, 635	259, 716	64, 761	233, 087	68, 751	469, 884	149, 726
		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Number	Percent	11	3	10	3	11	3	12	3	30	3	20	4
Professional and technical		23	3	18	4	21	3	18	3	17	3	31	16
Clerical		11	1	9	2	11	1	10	1	8	2	8	1
Sales		15	6	19	6	16	4	19	5	12	7	14	6
Craftsmen and foremen		16	19	20	20	15	15	15	18	11	20	7	15
Operatives		5	23	7	18	6	28	6	22	6	20	6	24
Service except private household		2	18	4	23	3	17	4	23	4	24	1	15
Laborers except mine and farm		16	26	14	23	17	28	16	24	12	21	14	18
Others													

TABLE 18.—Percentage Distribution of Workers by Occupation, by Color, for Selected Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 1950 and 1960—Continued

Year and occupation	Western Region								
	Denver		Los Angeles		Portland		San Francisco		
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	
Total:									
Number.....	338,935	14,151	2,390,727	224,769	302,613	8,196	956,049	119,953	
Percent.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical.....	15	9	15	8	12	7	15	7	
Clerical.....	19	12	18	13	17	9	20	13	
Sales.....	9	2	8	3	9	2	9	3	
Craftsmen and foremen.....	13	7	15	8	14	5	14	7	
Operatives.....	13	12	17	20	15	12	12	15	
Service except private household.....	9	25	7	15	9	28	8	19	
Laborers except mine and farm.....	4	9	3	7	5	10	4	11	
Others.....	19	25	18	25	19	26	18	24	

1950

Total:	210,058 100	6,214 100	1,576,045 100	88,676 100	267,557 100	3,619 100	789,353 100	50,060 100
Number.....	210,058	6,214	1,576,045	88,676	267,557	3,619	789,353	50,060
Percent.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Professional and technical.....	13	5	13	4	10	3	12	3
Clerical.....	18	6	16	7	15	4	19	7
Sales.....	9	1	10	2	9	1	10	2
Craftsman and foremen.....	15	5	16	8	15	4	16	8
Operatives.....	14	11	17	21	16	11	14	17
Service except private household.....	9	40	8	23	8	37	9	22
Laborers except mine and farm.....	5	13	4	13	7	21	5	24
Others.....	17	19	17	21	20	18	16	18

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1950 and 1960.*

TABLE 19.—*Industry Group of Employed Persons by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960*
 [Number of persons in thousands]

Industry group	United States						White						Nonwhite					
	Total		Urban		Rural		Total		Urban		Rural		Total		Urban		Rural	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
Agriculture.....	4,257	0.6	493	1.0	3,764	21.8	3,047	6.3	401	1.0	3,246	20.5	610	9.2	92	1.8	518	36.0
Forestry, fishing, and mining.....	747	1.1	333	.7	416	2.4	714	1.2	320	.8	394	2.5	33	.5	12	.3	21	1.5
Construction.....	3,816	5.9	2,013	5.5	1,203	7.0	3,469	6.0	2,332	5.5	1,127	7.1	357	5.4	281	5.4	76	5.3
Manufacturing.....	17,513	27.1	13,348	28.2	4,165	24.1	10,278	28.1	12,939	29.2	3,939	24.9	1,235	18.6	1,010	19.5	226	15.7
Transportation.....	2,740	4.3	2,158	4.0	582	3.4	2,486	4.4	1,938	4.0	548	3.5	284	3.9	220	4.2	34	2.4
Communications and utilities.....	1,718	2.7	1,359	3.0	359	1.9	1,019	2.8	1,302	3.1	318	2.0	99	1.4	88	1.7	11	.8
Wholesale trade.....	2,213	3.4	1,883	3.9	330	2.2	2,071	3.6	1,709	4.1	362	2.3	142	2.1	124	2.4	18	1.2
Retail trade.....	9,580	14.8	7,454	15.8	2,125	12.3	8,871	15.3	6,332	16.1	2,039	12.0	709	10.7	623	12.0	86	6.0
Finance.....	2,095	4.2	2,344	4.9	350	2.0	2,583	4.6	2,238	5.3	345	2.2	111	1.7	103	2.0	5	.3
Services, including house- work.....	5,972	9.3	4,708	10.0	1,264	7.3	4,444	7.0	3,454	8.2	990	6.3	1,529	23.1	1,254	24.2	274	19.0
Education.....	3,394	5.2	2,531	5.4	863	5.0	3,084	5.3	2,283	5.4	801	5.1	310	4.7	247	4.7	63	4.4
Welfare, religious, and non- profit organizations.....	845	1.3	685	1.4	169	1.0	780	1.3	620	1.5	100	1.0	74	1.1	65	1.3	7	.5
Hospitals.....	1,684	2.6	1,390	2.9	294	1.7	1,408	2.4	1,135	2.7	272	1.7	276	4.2	263	4.9	22	1.5
Other professional services.....	1,046	2.5	1,395	2.9	251	1.5	1,568	2.7	1,325	3.1	243	1.5	78	1.2	70	1.4	8	.6
Public administration.....	3,203	5.0	2,589	5.5	614	3.8	2,874	5.0	2,284	5.4	590	3.7	329	5.0	305	5.9	24	1.7
Other.....	2,608	4.0	2,123	4.5	485	2.8	2,123	3.7	1,691	4.0	433	2.7	485	7.3	438	8.4	47	3.3

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 20.—Place of Work of Workers by Color, United States, Urban and Rural: 1960

[Number of persons in thousands]

Place of work and color	United States		Urban		Rural	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
<i>Total</i>						
All workers.....	64, 656	100. 0	47, 166	100. 0	17, 490	100. 0
In county of residence.....	52, 812	81. 7	38, 543	81. 7	14, 269	81. 6
Outside county of residence.....	8, 986	13. 9	6, 404	13. 6	2, 582	14. 8
Not reported.....	2, 858	4. 4	2, 219	4. 7	639	3. 6
<i>White</i>						
All workers.....	58, 099	100. 0	42, 044	100. 0	16, 055	100. 0
In county of residence.....	47, 312	81. 4	34, 263	81. 5	13, 049	81. 3
Outside county of residence.....	8, 423	14. 5	5, 991	14. 2	2, 432	15. 1
Not reported.....	2, 364	4. 1	1, 790	4. 3	574	3. 6
<i>Nonwhite</i>						
All workers.....	6, 556	100. 0	5, 122	100. 0	1, 435	100. 0
In county of residence.....	5, 500	83. 9	4, 279	83. 5	1, 220	85. 0
Outside county of residence.....	563	8. 6	413	8. 1	150	10. 5
Not reported.....	494	7. 5	429	8. 4	65	4. 5

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Population, 1960.

TABLE 21.—Household Relationship by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960

[Number of persons in thousands]

Household relationship	United States				White			Nonwhite			
	Total	Urban		Rural	Total	Urban		Total	Urban		Rural
		Urban	Rural			Urban	Rural		Urban	Rural	
Total population.....	179,326	125,284	54,042	54,042	158,838	110,443	48,395	20,488	14,841	5,647	
In households.....	174,424	122,000	52,424	52,424	154,584	107,551	47,034	19,839	14,449	5,390	
Head of household.....	53,024	38,320	14,704	14,704	47,869	34,339	13,530	5,155	3,982	1,173	
Wife of head.....	39,475	27,628	11,847	11,847	36,292	25,260	11,032	3,183	2,369	814	
Other relative of head.....	79,257	53,853	25,374	25,374	68,415	46,357	22,058	10,842	7,526	3,316	
Nonrelative of head.....	2,668	2,169	499	499	2,000	1,596	413	659	573	86	
In group quarters.....	4,902	3,284	1,618	1,618	4,253	2,892	1,361	649	392	257	
Population per household.....	3.29	3.18	3.57	3.57	3.23	3.13	3.48	3.85	3.63	4.59	

Percentage distribution

Total population	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In households	97.3	97.4	97.0	97.3	97.4	97.2	96.8	97.4	95.4
Head of household	29.6	30.6	27.2	30.1	31.1	28.0	25.2	26.8	20.8
Wife of head	22.0	22.1	21.9	22.8	22.9	22.8	15.5	16.0	14.4
Other relative of head	44.2	43.0	47.0	43.1	42.0	45.6	52.9	50.7	58.7
Nonrelative of head	1.5	1.7	.9	1.3	1.4	.9	3.2	3.9	1.5
In group quarters	2.7	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.7	3.2	2.6	4.6

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 22.—*Married Couples, With and Without Own Household, by Residence and Color: 1950 and 1960*
 [Number of married couples in thousands]

Household status	Number			Percent distribution		
	Total	White	Nonwhite	Total	White	Nonwhite
1960						
United States, total.....	40, 473	37, 143	3, 330	100	100	100
With own household.....	39, 602	36, 434	3, 168	98	98	95
Without own household.....	871	709	162	2	2	5
Urban, total.....	28, 169	25, 753	2, 416	100	100	100
With own household.....	27, 582	25, 279	2, 303	98	98	95
Without own household.....	587	474	113	2	2	5
1950						
United States, total.....	35, 090	32, 111	2, 979	100	100	100
With own household.....	32, 781	30, 213	2, 568	93	94	86
Without own household.....	2, 309	1, 898	411	7	6	14
Urban total.....	22, 872	20, 998	1, 874	100	100	100
With own household.....	21, 236	19, 676	1, 560	93	94	83
Without own household.....	1, 636	1, 322	314	7	6	17

Percent change 1950 to 1960

United States.....	15	16	12	
With own household.....	21	21	23	
Without own household.....	-62	-63	-61	
Urban.....	23	23	29	
With own household.....	30	28	48	
Without own household.....	-64	-64	-64	

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 23.—*Married Couples, Families, and Unrelated Individuals by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960*

[Number of specified units in thousands]

Household relationship	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Married couples.....	40, 473	28, 283	12, 190	37, 143	25, 804	11, 339	3, 330	2, 479	851
With own household.....	39, 603	27, 691	11, 912	36, 434	25, 329	11, 105	3, 169	2, 362	807
Without own household.....	871	593	278	709	475	234	162	118	44
Living with relatives.....	832	559	273	688	458	230	144	102	42
Living with nonrelatives.....	38	33	5	21	17	4	17	16	1
With own children under 18.....	23, 872	16, 482	7, 390	21, 947	15, 081	6, 866	1, 925	1, 401	524
With own children under 6.....	13, 446	9, 334	4, 112	12, 183	8, 411	3, 772	1, 263	923	340
With own children 6 to 17 only.....	10, 427	7, 148	3, 279	9, 764	6, 669	3, 095	663	479	184
With husband under 45.....	21, 008	14, 956	6, 052	19, 173	13, 526	5, 647	1, 834	1, 430	404
With own children under 18.....	17, 482	12, 259	5, 223	16, 078	11, 193	4, 885	1, 404	1, 067	337
With no own children under 18.....	3, 526	2, 697	829	3, 096	2, 333	763	430	363	67

With husband 45 and over.....	19, 466	13, 328	6, 138	17, 970	12, 278	5, 692	1, 496	1, 050	446
With own children under 18.....	6, 391	4, 223	2, 168	5, 869	3, 888	1, 981	521	335	186
With no own children under 18..	13, 075	9, 105	3, 970	12, 100	8, 390	3, 710	975	715	260
Families.....	45, 128	31, 940	13, 188	40, 873	28, 711	12, 162	4, 256	3, 229	1, 027
Husband-wife families.....	39, 641	27, 724	11, 917	36, 455	25, 346	11, 109	3, 186	2, 378	808
With own household.....	39, 603	27, 691	11, 912	36, 434	25, 329	11, 105	3, 169	2, 362	807
Without own household.....	38	33	5	21	17	4	17	16	1
With own children under 18.....	25, 660	17, 928	7, 732	23, 263	16, 128	7, 136	2, 397	1, 801	596
With own children under 6.....	13, 908	9, 755	4, 153	12, 438	8, 646	3, 792	1, 470	1, 110	360
With own children 6 to 17 only..	11, 752	8, 173	3, 579	10, 826	7, 482	3, 344	927	691	236
Unrelated individuals.....	13, 449	10, 620	2, 829	11, 600	9, 100	2, 500	1, 849	1, 520	329
Persons under 18 years old.....	64, 310	43, 200	21, 110	55, 586	37, 200	18, 386	8, 724	6, 001	2, 723
Living with both parents.....	55, 829	37, 256	18, 573	50, 050	33, 360	16, 690	5, 780	3, 896	1, 884
Other persons under 18.....	8, 481	5, 945	2, 536	5, 537	3, 839	1, 698	2, 944	2, 105	839

TABLE 23.—*Married Couples, Families, and Unrelated Individuals by Color, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960—Continued*
 [Percent distribution]

Household relationship	United States				White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	
										Total
Married couples.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
With own household.....	98	98	98	98	98	98	95	95	95	
Without own household.....	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	
Living with relatives.....	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	
Living with nonrelatives.....	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	1	1	(1)	
With own children under 18.....	59	58	61	59	58	60	58	56	62	
With own children under 6.....	33	33	34	33	32	33	38	37	40	
With own children 6 to 17 only.....	26	25	27	26	26	27	20	19	22	
With no own children under 18.....	41	42	39	41	42	40	42	44	38	
With husband under 45.....	52	53	50	51	52	50	55	58	47	
With own children under 18.....	43	43	43	43	43	43	42	43	39	
With no own children under 18.....	9	10	7	8	9	7	13	15	8	
With husband 45 and over.....	48	47	50	49	48	50	45	42	53	
With own children under 18.....	16	15	18	16	15	17	16	13	22	
With no own children under 18.....	32	32	32	33	33	33	29	29	31	

Families.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Husband-wife families.....	88	87	90	89	88	91	75	74	79	79	79	79
With own household.....	88	87	90	89	88	91	75	73	79	79	79	79
Without own household.....	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	1	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Other families.....	12	13	10	11	12	9	25	26	21	21	21	21
With own children under 18.....	57	56	59	57	56	58	56	56	58	58	58	58
With own children under 6.....	31	30	32	31	30	31	34	34	35	35	35	35
With own children 6 to 17 only.....	26	26	27	26	26	27	22	22	23	23	23	23
With no own children under 18.....	43	44	41	43	44	42	44	44	42	42	42	42
Persons under 18 years old.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Living with both parents.....	87	86	88	90	90	91	66	65	69	69	69	69
Other persons under 18.....	13	14	12	10	10	9	34	35	31	31	31	31

1 Less than 0.5 percent

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Population, 1960*.

TABLE 24.—*Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the United States: 1950 and 1960*
 [Number of persons, households, and housing units in thousands]

Subject	1950				1960				Percent change 1960 to 1950	
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite		White	Nonwhite
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Population characteristics:¹										
Persons.....	135, 149	-----	16, 176	-----	158, 832	-----	20, 491	-----	18	27
Households.....	39, 101	-----	3, 868	-----	47, 880	-----	5, 144	-----	22	33
Income of persons (median in dollars):										
Male.....	2, 572	-----	1, 341	-----	4, 319	-----	2, 273	-----	68	70
Female.....	1, 137	-----	584	-----	1, 441	-----	909	-----	27	56
Housing characteristics:¹										
All occupied units.....	39, 101	100	3, 868	100	47, 880	100	5, 144	100	22	33
Owner occupied.....	22, 264	57	1, 350	35	30, 823	64	1, 974	38	38	46
Renter occupied.....	16, 837	43	2, 518	65	17, 056	36	3, 171	62	1	26
Condition and facilities:										
All occupied units.....	39, 101	100	3, 868	100	47, 880	100	5, 144	100	22	33
Standard ²	26, 646	68	1, 068	28	41, 668	87	2, 881	56	56	170
Substandard ³	12, 455	32	2, 800	72	6, 211	13	2, 263	44	-50	-19
Owner occupied.....	22, 264	100	1, 350	100	30, 823	100	1, 974	100	38	46
Standard.....	15, 870	71	439	33	27, 821	90	1, 247	63	75	184
Substandard.....	6, 394	29	911	67	3, 002	10	727	37	-53	-20

Renter occupied.....	16, 837	100	2, 518	100	17, 057	100	3, 171	100	1	26
Standard.....	10, 776	65	629	25	13, 849	81	1, 634	52	28	160
Substandard.....	6, 061	35	1, 889	75	3, 208	19	1, 536	48	-47	-19
Type of structure:										
All occupied units:	*(42, 826)	*(100)			47, 880	100	5, 144	100		
1 unit.....	(34, 464)	(80)	n.a.	n.a.	36, 277	76	3, 436	66		n.a.
2 unit.....	(3, 219)	(8)			3, 657	8	469	9	n.a.	
3 and 4 unit.....	(4, 827)	(11)			2, 384	5	415	8		
5 or more unit.....	(315)	(1)			4, 805	10	813	16		
Trailer.....					756	1	11	2		
Structure built 1950 to 1960.....					15, 203		843			
Value of owner-occupied units (median in dollars)*.....	7, 700		3, 000		12, 230		6, 700		59	123
Gross rent of renter occupied units (median in dollars)†.....	44		27		73		58		66	115

The following percents are based on the total number of units in each category and do not add to 100

1.01 and more persons per room: All occupied units.....	*(6, 627)	*(16)			4, 657	10	1, 457	28		
Owner occupied.....	(2, 565)	(11)	n.a.	n.a.	2, 435	8	415	21	n.a.	n.a.
Renter occupied.....	(4, 062)	(21)			2, 222	13	1, 042	33		

* 1950 data for persons, households, and housing condition adjusted for Alaska and Hawaii; all other 1950 data are for 48 States.

† Units that are not dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running water.

* Units that are dilapidated or lack 1 or more of the enumerated facilities.

† Total of white and nonwhite units.

* Total including vacant minus nonwhite.

† 1-unit nonfarm properties.

‡ Nonfarm units.

n. a. = Not available.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1950 and 1960.*

TABLE 25.—Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the Northeast Region: 1950 and 1960
 [Number of persons, households, and housing units in thousands]

Subject	1960				1960				Percent change 1960 to 1960	
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite		White	Nonwhite
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Population characteristics:¹										
Persons.....	35, 622	-----	2, 079	-----	41, 528	-----	3, 154	-----	17	52
Households.....	10, 723	-----	505	-----	12, 647	-----	875	-----	18	73
Income of persons (median in dollars):										
Male.....	*(2, 713)	-----	2, 054	-----	4, 598	-----	3, 274	-----	n.a.	59
Female.....	*(1, 384)	-----	1, 165	-----	1, 711	-----	1, 706	-----	n.a.	46
Housing characteristics:¹										
All occupied units.....	10, 723	100	505	100	12, 647	100	875	100	18	73
Owner occupied.....	5, 331	50	109	22	7, 352	58	236	27	38	117
Renter occupied.....	5, 392	50	396	78	5, 295	42	639	73	-2	61
Condition and facilities:										
All occupied units.....	10, 723	100	505	100	12, 647	100	875	100	18	73
Standard ²	8, 642	81	300	59	11, 593	92	678	77	34	126
Substandard ³	2, 081	19	205	41	1, 053	8	197	23	-49	-4
Owner occupied.....	5, 331	100	109	100	7, 352	100	236	100	38	117
Standard.....	4, 481	84	81	74	6, 978	95	213	90	56	163
Substandard.....	850	16	28	26	374	5	23	10	-56	-18

	5,392	100	396	100	5,295	100	639	100	-2	61
Renter occupied.....										
Standard.....	4,161	77	219	55	4,615	87	465	73	11	112
Substandard.....	1,231	23	177	45	679	13	174	27	-45	-2
Type of structure:										
All occupied units.....	*(11,228)	*(100)			12,647	100	875	100		
1 unit.....	(7,524)	(67)			7,537	60	289	33		
2 unit.....	(1,314)	(12)	n.a.	n.a.	1,632	13	106	12	n.a.	n.a.
3 and 4 unit.....	(2,364)	(21)			1,038	8	133	15		
5 or more unit.....	(26)				2,343	19	345	39		
Trailer.....					97	1	1			
Structure built 1950 to 1960					2,949		83			
Value of owner occupied units (median in dollars) ⁶	*(8,702)		n.a.		*(13,300)		8,900		53	n.a.
Gross rent of renter occupied units (median in dollars) ⁷	*(46)		n.a.		(72)		67		57	n.a.

The following percents are based on the total number of units in each category and do not add to 100

	*(1,227)	*(11)	n.a.	n.a.	912	7	178	20	n.a.	n.a.
1.01 and more persons per room:										
All occupied units.....	n.a.				387	5	27	11	n.a.	
Owner occupied.....	n.a.				525	10	151	24		
Renter occupied.....										

¹ 1960 data for persons, households, and housing condition adjusted for Alaska and Hawaii; all other 1950 data are for 48 States.

² Units that are dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running water.

³ Units that are dilapidated or lack 1 or more of the enumerated facilities.

⁴ Total of white and nonwhite units.

⁵ Total including vacant minus nonwhite.

⁶ 1-unit nonfarm properties.

⁷ Nonfarm units.

n.a. = Not available.

Sources: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960 and 1950*.

TABLE 26.—Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the North Central Region: 1950 and 1960
 [Number of persons, households, and housing units in thousands]

Subject	1960				1950				Percent change 1950 to 1960	
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite		White	Nonwhite
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Population characteristics: 1										
Persons.....	34,746	-----	2,340	-----	48,003	-----	3,616	-----	38	55
Households.....	12,399	-----	573	-----	14,432	-----	947	-----	16	65
Income of persons (median in dollars):										
Male.....	*(2,681)	-----	2,187	-----	4,512	-----	3,382	-----	n.a.	55
Female.....	*(1,072)	-----	931	-----	1,339	-----	1,316	-----	n.a.	41
Housing characteristics: 1										
All occupied units.....	12,399	100	573	100	14,432	100	947	100	16	65
Owner occupied.....	7,685	62	194	34	9,968	69	339	36	30	75
Renter occupied.....	4,714	38	379	66	4,464	31	607	64	-5	60
Condition and facilities: 2										
All occupied units.....	12,399	100	573	100	14,432	100	947	100	16	65
Standard 2.....	7,902	64	250	44	12,399	86	696	73	57	178
Substandard 3.....	4,497	36	323	56	2,032	14	251	27	-55	-22
Owner occupied.....	7,685	100	194	100	9,968	100	339	100	30	75
Standard.....	5,184	67	107	55	8,913	89	282	83	72	164
Substandard.....	2,501	33	87	45	1,055	11	57	17	-58	-34

Renter occupied.....	4, 714	100	379	100	4, 464	100	607	100	-5	60
Standard.....	2, 718	58	143	38	3, 486	78	414	68	28	190
Substandard.....	1, 996	42	236	62	977	22	194	32	-51	-18
Type of structure:										
All occupied units.....	*(12, 972)	*(100)			14, 432	100	947	100		
1 unit.....	(10, 714)	(83)			11, 404	79	433	46		
2 unit.....			n.a.	n.a.	1, 150	8	155	16	n.a.	n.a.
3 and 4 unit.....	(944)	(7)			657	5	127	13		
5 or more unit.....	(1, 208)	(9)			1, 009	7	230	24		
Trailer.....	(106)	(1)			212	1	2			
Structure built 1950 to 1960.....					* 3, 853		86			
Value of owner-occupied units (median in dollars).....	*(7, 280)		n.a.		*(12, 700)		9, 000		74	n.a.
Gross rent of renter-occupied units (median in dollars).....	*(44)		n.a.		*(78)		74		68	n.a.

The following percents are based on the total number of units in each category and do not add to 100

1.01 and more persons per room:	*(1, 593)	*(13)			1, 322	9	224	24		
All occupied units.....										
Owner occupied.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	806	9	55	16	n.a.	n.a.
Renter occupied.....	n.a.	n.a.			517	12	169	28		

* 1960 data for persons, households, and housing condition adjusted for Alaska and Hawaii; all other 1950 data are for 48 States.

* Units that are not dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running water.

* Units that are dilapidated or lack 1 or more of the enumerated facilities.

* Total of white and nonwhite units.

* Total including vacant minus nonwhite.

* 1-unit nonfarm properties.
† Nonfarm units.

n.a. = Not available.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1950 and 1960.*

TABLE 27.—*Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the South: 1950 and 1960*
 [Number of persons, households, and housing units in thousands]

Subject	1960				1960				Percent change 1960 to 1960	
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite		White	Nonwhite
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Population characteristics:¹										
Persons.....	28, 104	-----	10, 347	-----	43, 469	-----	11, 494	-----	55	11
Households.....	10, 166	-----	2, 467	-----	12, 747	-----	2, 756	-----	25	12
Income of persons (median in dollars):										
Male.....	*(1, 775)	-----	1, 033	-----	3, 473	-----	1, 615	-----	n.a.	56
Female.....	*(775)	-----	444	-----	1, 283	-----	680	-----	n.a.	53
Housing characteristics:¹										
All occupied units.....	10, 166	100	2, 467	100	12, 747	100	2, 756	100	25	12
Owner occupied.....	5, 863	58	917	37	8, 467	66	1, 146	42	44	25
Renter occupied.....	4, 303	42	1, 550	63	4, 280	34	1, 610	58	-1	3
Condition and facilities:										
All occupied units.....	10, 166	100	2, 467	100	12, 747	100	2, 756	100	25	12
Standard ²	5, 407	53	326	13	10, 191	80	1, 059	38	88	235
Substandard ³	4, 759	47	2, 141	87	2, 556	20	1, 696	62	-46	-21
Owner occupied.....	5, 863	100	917	100	8, 467	100	1, 146	100	44	25
Standard.....	3, 348	57	169	18	7, 100	84	541	47	112	220
Substandard.....	2, 515	43	748	82	1, 367	16	604	53	-46	-19

TABLE 28.—Selected Population and Housing Characteristics by Color, for the West: 1950 and 1960
 [Number of persons, households, and housing units in thousands]

Subject	1950				1960				Percent change 1950 to 1960	
	White		Nonwhite		White		Nonwhite		White	Nonwhite
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Population characteristics: 1										
Persons.....	16,963	-----	1,408	-----	25,835	-----	2,222	-----	52	58
Households.....	5,813	-----	323	-----	8,053	-----	567	-----	39	76
Income of persons (median in dollars):										
Male.....	4 (2,772)	-----	1,862	-----	4,767	-----	3,351	-----	n.a.	80
Female.....	4 (998)	-----	884	-----	1,490	-----	1,475	-----	n.a.	67
Housing characteristics: 1										
All occupied units.....	5,813	100	323	100	8,053	100	567	100	39	76
Owner occupied.....	3,385	58	130	40	5,035	63	253	45	49	95
Renter occupied.....	2,428	42	193	60	3,018	37	314	55	24	63
Condition and facilities:										
All occupied units.....	5,813	100	323	100	8,053	100	567	100	39	76
Standard 2.....	4,695	81	192	59	7,486	93	447	79	59	133
Substandard 3.....	1,118	19	131	41	568	7	119	21	-49	-9
Owner occupied.....	3,385	100	130	100	5,035	100	253	100	49	95
Standard.....	2,857	84	82	63	4,830	96	210	83	69	156
Substandard.....	528	16	48	37	206	4	42	17	-61	-12

Renter occupied.....	2, 428	100	193	100	3, 018	100	314	100	24	63
Standard.....	1, 838	76	110	57	2, 656	88	237	75	45	115
Substandard.....	590	24	83	43	362	12	77	25	-39	-7
Type of structure:										
All occupied units.....	*(5, 994)	*(100)			8, 053	100	567	100		
1 unit.....	(4, 900)	(82)			6, 327	79	398	70		
2 unit.....	(350)	(6)	n.a.	n.a.	341	4	43	8	n.a.	n.a.
3 and 4 unit.....	(640)	(11)			327	4	43	8		
5 or more unit.....	(103)	(2)			846	10	80	14		
Trailer.....					213	3	3	1		
Structure built 1950 to 1960.....					*3, 417		134			
Value of owner occupied units (median in dollars) 6.....	*(8, 421)		n.a.		*(13, 700)		12, 200		63	n.a.
Gross rent of renter occupied units (median in dollars) 7.....	*(42)		n.a.		*(76)		65		81	n.a.

The following percents are based on the total number of units in each category and do not add to 100

1.01 and more persons per room:

All occupied units.....	*(869)	*(15)			829	10	143	25		
Owner occupied.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	443	9	64	25	n.a.	n.a.
Renter occupied.....	n.a.	n.a.			386	13	79	25		

1 1950 data for persons, households, and housing condition adjusted for Alaska and

Hawaii; all other 1950 data are for 48 States.

2 Units that are not dilapidated and have private toilet and bath and hot running

water.

3 Units that are dilapidated or lack 1 or more of the enumerated facilities.

4 Total of white and nonwhite units.

5 Total including vacant minus nonwhite.

6 1-unit nonfarm properties.

7 Nonfarm units.

n.a.—Not available.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1960 and 1960*.

TABLE 29.—*Quality of Occupied Housing Units by Color, for the United States: 1950 and 1960*
 [Number of housing units in thousands]

Year, condition, and plumbing	Total		White		Nonwhite		Percent change 1950-1960	
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	White	Nonwhite
1950								
All occupied units.....	42,969	100	39,101	100	3,868	100		
Standard.....	17,714	64	26,646	68	1,068	28		
Substandard.....	15,255	36	12,455	32	2,800	72		
Owner-occupied units.....	23,614	100	22,264	100	1,350	100		
Standard.....	16,309	69	15,870	71	439	33		
Substandard.....	7,305	31	6,394	29	911	67		
Renter-occupied units.....	19,355	100	16,837	100	2,518	100		
Standard.....	11,405	59	10,776	64	629	25		
Substandard.....	7,950	41	6,061	36	1,889	75		
1960								
All occupied units.....	53,024	100	47,880	100	5,144	100	22	33
Standard.....	44,550	84	41,669	87	2,881	56	56	170
Sound with plumbing.....	40,432	76	38,166	80	2,266	44		
Deteriorating with plumbing.....	4,118	8	3,503	7	615	12		
Substandard.....	8,474	16	6,211	13	2,263	44	-50	-19

Owner-occupied units.....	32, 797	100	30, 823	100	1, 974	100	38	46
Standard.....	29, 067	89	27, 820	90	1, 247	63	75	184
Sound with plumbing.....	27, 177	83	26, 139	85	1, 038	52	-----	-----
Deteriorating with plumbing.....	1, 890	6	1, 682	5	208	11	-----	-----
Substandard.....	3, 730	11	3, 003	10	727	37	-53	-20
Renter-occupied units.....	20, 227	100	17, 057	100	3, 171	100	1	26
Standard.....	15, 483	77	13, 849	81	1, 634	52	29	160
Sound with plumbing.....	13, 255	66	12, 028	70	1, 227	39	-----	-----
Deteriorating with plumbing.....	2, 228	11	1, 821	11	407	13	-----	-----
Substandard.....	4, 744	23	3, 208	19	1, 536	48	-47	-19

1 Not dilapidated with all plumbing facilities.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Housing, 1960 and 1960*.

TABLE 30.—Tenure, Condition and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
All occupied units.....	53, 024	38, 320	14, 704	47, 880	34, 342	13, 538	5, 144	3, 978	1, 166
Tenure:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 895	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	533
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	15, 986	4, 242	17, 056	13, 448	3, 610	3, 171	2, 538	632
Condition and plumbing:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 895	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	533
Sound.....	28, 870	20, 532	8, 338	27, 600	19, 496	8, 104	1, 270	1, 036	234
With all plumbing facilities.....	27, 177	20, 168	7, 009	26, 139	19, 220	6, 918	1, 038	948	91
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 693	363	1, 329	1, 461	275	1, 185	232	88	144
Deteriorating.....	3, 100	1, 469	1, 631	2, 631	1, 178	1, 453	469	291	178
With all plumbing facilities.....	1, 890	1, 189	701	1, 682	1, 002	680	208	187	21
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 210	280	931	950	176	775	260	104	156
Dilapidated.....	826	334	492	591	220	371	235	114	121

Renter occupied.....	20, 227	15, 986	4, 242	17, 056	13, 448	3, 610	3, 171	2, 538	632
Sound.....	14, 942	12, 500	2, 442	13, 372	11, 078	2, 294	1, 570	1, 422	148
With all plumbing facilities.....	13, 255	11, 449	1, 806	12, 028	10, 264	1, 764	1, 227	1, 185	42
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 687	1, 051	636	1, 345	814	530	342	237	106
Deteriorating.....	3, 844	2, 648	1, 196	2, 871	1, 917	955	973	731	241
With all plumbing facilities.....	2, 228	1, 831	397	1, 821	1, 438	383	407	393	14
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 616	817	799	1, 050	479	572	566	338	227
Dilapidated.....	1, 442	839	603	814	454	360	628	385	243
Water supply:									
Hot and cold piped water inside structure.....							3, 353	3, 451	202
Only cold piped water inside structure.....							746	619	128
Piped water outside structure.....							231	123	108
No piped water.....							814	85	728
Toilet facilities:									
Flush toilet, exclusive use.....							3, 571	3, 350	221
Flush toilet, shared.....							339	332	7
Other toilet facilities or none.....							1, 234	296	938
Bathing facilities:									
Bathub or shower, exclusive use.....							3, 300	3, 087	213
Bathub or shower, shared.....							292	286	6
No bathtub or shower.....							1, 552	606	946
Bathrooms:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 834	10, 462	30, 823	20, 894	9, 928	1, 974	1, 440	534

TABLE 30.—*Tenure, Condition and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960—Continued*

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Bathrooms—Continued									
Owner occupied—Continued									
1.....	21,978	15,544	6,434	20,864	14,538	6,326	1,114	1,006	108
More than 1.....	7,349	5,984	1,365	7,172	5,815	1,356	177	169	9
Shared or none.....	3,470	806	2,664	2,788	541	2,248	682	265	416
Renter occupied.....	20,227	15,986	4,241	17,056	13,448	3,609	3,171	2,538	632
1.....	15,036	12,964	2,072	13,329	11,314	2,015	1,707	1,650	57
More than 1.....	876	681	195	826	635	191	50	46	4
Shared or none.....	4,315	2,341	1,974	2,901	1,499	1,402	1,414	842	572
Rooms:									
Owner occupied.....	32,797	22,335	10,462	30,823	20,895	9,929	1,974	1,440	533
1 room.....	99	36	63	77	32	45	22	4	18
2 rooms.....	371	177	194	330	160	170	41	17	24
3 rooms.....	1,339	763	576	1,196	677	519	143	86	57
4 rooms.....	5,466	3,457	2,008	5,041	3,178	1,862	425	279	146
5 rooms.....	9,583	6,877	2,706	9,047	6,484	2,563	586	413	123
6 rooms.....	8,580	6,193	2,387	8,106	5,829	2,278	474	364	109
7 rooms.....	4,064	2,767	1,297	3,881	2,619	1,262	183	148	35
8 rooms or more.....	3,294	2,064	1,231	3,145	1,935	1,210	149	129	21
Median.....	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.3	4.7

	20, 227	15, 936	4, 242	17, 056	13, 448	3, 610	3, 171	2, 538	632
Renter occupied.....									
1 room.....	1, 122	1, 017	105	921	836	85	201	181	20
2 rooms.....	1, 775	1, 532	243	1, 422	1, 244	177	353	288	66
3 rooms.....	4, 668	4, 058	610	3, 809	3, 349	460	859	709	150
4 rooms.....	5, 696	4, 467	1, 229	4, 771	3, 766	1, 006	925	701	223
5 rooms.....	3, 772	2, 876	895	3, 282	2, 487	794	490	389	101
6 rooms.....	1, 998	1, 407	591	1, 756	1, 218	538	242	189	53
7 rooms.....	685	399	285	621	347	273	64	52	13
8 rooms or more.....	511	229	282	475	200	275	36	29	7
Median.....	3.9	3.8	4.4	4.0	3.8	4.6	3.7	3.6	3.9
Bedrooms:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 794	22, 332	10, 461	30, 818	20, 890	9, 927	1, 976	1, 442	534
0 and 1.....	2, 325	1, 425	899	2, 118	1, 298	819	207	127	80
2.....	11, 628	7, 875	3, 753	10, 841	7, 306	3, 535	787	569	218
3.....	13, 923	9, 956	3, 967	13, 223	9, 428	3, 795	700	528	172
4 or more.....	4, 918	3, 077	1, 842	4, 636	2, 859	1, 777	282	218	65
Renter occupied.....	20, 229	15, 987	4, 241	17, 068	13, 455	3, 612	3, 161	2, 532	629
None.....	1, 702	1, 567	136	1, 454	1, 344	112	248	223	24
1.....	6, 453	5, 667	785	5, 448	4, 795	652	1, 005	872	133
2.....	7, 662	5, 895	1, 768	6, 407	4, 931	1, 477	1, 255	964	291
3 or more.....	4, 411	2, 858	1, 553	3, 758	2, 386	1, 372	653	472	181

n.s.—Not available.
Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.

TABLE 31.—*Tenure, Condition and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960*

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's
All occupied units.....	53,024	34,000	19,024	47,880	30,514	17,366	5,144	3,486	1,658
Tenure:									
Owner occupied.....	32,797	20,036	12,761	30,823	18,812	12,012	1,974	1,224	749
Renter occupied.....	20,227	13,964	6,263	17,056	11,702	5,354	3,171	2,262	909
Condition and plumbing:									
Owner occupied.....	32,797	20,036	12,761	30,823	18,812	12,012	1,974	1,224	749
Sound.....	28,870	18,511	10,359	27,600	17,591	10,009	1,270	920	350
With all plumbing facilities.....	27,177	18,131	9,047	26,139	17,277	8,863	1,038	854	184
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1,693	380	1,313	1,461	314	1,147	232	66	166
Deteriorating.....	3,100	1,238	1,862	2,631	1,017	1,615	469	221	247
With all plumbing facilities.....	1,890	983	907	1,682	831	851	208	152	56
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1,210	255	955	950	186	763	260	69	192
Dilapidated.....	826	287	539	591	204	387	235	83	152

Renter occupied.....	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909
Sound.....	14, 942	11, 053	3, 889	13, 372	9, 728	3, 644	1, 570	1, 325	245
With all plumbing facilities.....	13, 255	10, 137	3, 118	12, 028	9, 010	3, 018	1, 227	1, 127	100
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 687	916	771	1, 345	718	627	342	198	144
Deteriorating.....	3, 844	2, 218	1, 625	2, 871	1, 586	1, 285	973	632	340
With all plumbing facilities.....	2, 228	1, 538	690	1, 821	1, 167	654	407	371	36
Lacking some or all facilities.....	1, 616	680	935	1, 050	419	631	566	261	304
Dilapidated.....	1, 442	693	749	814	388	426	628	305	323
Water supply:									
Hot and cold piped water inside structure.....							3, 353	2, 906	447
Only cold piped water inside structure.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	746	407	339
Piped water outside structure.....							231	58	173
No piped water.....							814	115	699
Toilet facilities:									
Flush toilet, exclusive use.....							3, 571	2, 959	611
Flush toilet, shared.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	339	295	44
Other toilet facilities or none.....							1, 234	232	1, 002
Bathing facilities:									
Bathtub or shower, exclusive use.....							3, 300	2, 808	492
Bathtub or shower, shared.....							292	270	23
No bathtub or shower.....							1, 552	409	1, 143

TABLE 31.—*Tenure, Condition and Plumbing Facilities, and Structural Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960—Continued*

Subject	United States						White			Nonwhite			
	Total	Inside SMSA's		Total	Outside SMSA's		Total	Inside SMSA's		Total	Outside SMSA's		
		32, 797	20, 036		12, 761	30, 823		18, 812	12, 012		1, 974	1, 224	749
Bathrooms:													
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749				
1.....	21, 978	13, 776	8, 201	20, 864	12, 898	7, 965	1, 114	878	236				
More than 1.....	7, 349	5, 479	1, 870	7, 172	5, 319	1, 853	177	160	17				
Shared or none.....	3, 470	780	2, 690	2, 788	594	2, 194	682	186	496				
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909				
1.....	15, 036	11, 380	3, 656	13, 329	9, 818	3, 512	1, 707	1, 562	144				
More than 1.....	876	608	268	826	563	263	50	45	5				
Shared or none.....	4, 315	1, 976	2, 339	2, 901	1, 321	1, 580	1, 414	655	759				
Rooms:													
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749				
1 room.....	99	35	64	77	31	46	22	4	18				
2 rooms.....	371	162	209	330	148	181	41	14	28				
3 rooms.....	1, 339	673	666	1, 196	609	587	143	64	79				
4 rooms.....	5, 466	3, 007	2, 458	5, 041	2, 784	2, 255	425	223	203				
5 rooms.....	9, 583	6, 147	3, 436	9, 047	5, 796	3, 251	536	351	185				
6 rooms.....	8, 580	5, 638	2, 942	8, 106	5, 320	2, 786	474	318	156				
7 rooms.....	4, 064	2, 499	1, 566	3, 881	2, 366	1, 516	183	133	50				
8 rooms or more.....	3, 294	1, 874	1, 420	3, 145	1, 756	1, 389	149	118	31				
Median.....	5. 5	5. 5	5. 4	5. 5	5. 5	5. 4	5. 2	5. 4	4. 8				

	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909
Renter occupied.....									
1 room.....	1, 122	903	219	921	732	190	201	171	29
2 rooms.....	1, 775	1, 335	441	1, 422	1, 085	337	353	250	104
3 rooms.....	4, 668	3, 515	1, 153	3, 809	2, 907	902	859	608	251
4 rooms.....	5, 696	3, 903	1, 793	4, 771	3, 278	1, 403	925	625	300
5 rooms.....	3, 772	2, 516	1, 256	3, 282	2, 158	1, 124	490	358	132
6 rooms.....	1, 998	1, 230	769	1, 756	1, 066	701	242	174	68
7 rooms.....	685	349	335	621	301	319	64	48	16
8 rooms or more.....	511	213	298	475	186	289	36	27	9
Median.....	3.9	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.8	4.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
Bedrooms:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 794	20, 035	12, 758	30, 818	18, 808	12, 008	1, 976	1, 227	750
0 and 1.....	2, 325	1, 262	1, 062	2, 118	1, 157	960	207	105	102
2.....	11, 628	6, 889	4, 739	10, 841	6, 426	4, 414	787	463	325
3.....	13, 923	9, 085	4, 838	13, 223	8, 621	4, 602	700	464	236
4 or more.....	4, 918	2, 799	2, 119	4, 636	2, 604	2, 032	282	195	87
Renter occupied.....	20, 229	13, 963	6, 265	17, 068	11, 707	5, 360	3, 161	2, 256	905
None.....	1, 702	1, 409	294	1, 454	1, 197	258	248	212	36
1.....	6, 453	4, 921	1, 532	5, 448	4, 150	1, 298	1, 005	771	234
2.....	7, 662	5, 084	2, 579	6, 407	4, 244	2, 164	1, 255	840	415
3 or more.....	4, 411	2, 550	1, 861	3, 758	2, 117	1, 642	653	433	219

n.a.=Not available.
 Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.

TABLE 32.—Selected Characteristics of Urban Housing Units by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960

Subject	1950 ¹		1960		Percent change 1950 to 1960	
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
	<i>Number of occupied units</i>					
Total.....	25, 730	2, 378	34, 342	3, 978	33	67
Owner occupied.....	13, 420	775	20, 895	1, 440	56	86
Median number of persons.....	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.3	-----	-----
Median value (in dollars) ²	8, 700	3, 700	13, 088	7, 800	50	111
Renter occupied.....	12, 311	1, 602	13, 448	2, 538	9	58
Median number of persons.....	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.9	-----	-----
Median gross rent (in dollars).....	45	31	75	61	67	97
Percent of all occupied units.....	100	100	100	100	-----	-----
Owner occupied.....	52	33	61	36	-----	-----
Renter occupied.....	48	67	39	64	-----	-----
Sound with all plumbing facilities.....	82	40	86	54	-----	-----
With 1.01 or more persons per room.....	12	30	8	25	-----	-----

¹ From a special tabulation based on preliminary data.² 1-unit nonfarm properties.Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1950 and 1960*.

TABLE 33.—Selected Characteristics of Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Age	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
		38, 320	14, 704		47, 880	34, 342		13, 538	5, 144
All occupied units.....	53, 024	38, 320	14, 704	47, 880	34, 342	13, 538	5, 144	3, 978	1, 166
Median number of rooms.....	4.9	4.9	5.1	5.0	4.9	5.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Median number of persons.....	3.0	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.9
Percent of all occupied:									
In 1-housing unit structures.....	76	69	97	77	70	96	67	58	97
Sound, with all plumbing facilities.....	76	83	60	80	86	64	44	54	11
With 1.01 or more person per room.....	12	10	15	10	8	13	28	25	41
Moved in during 1958-60.....	32	33	28	32	33	29	34	36	27
Owner occupied.....	62	58	71	64	61	73	38	36	46
Renter occupied.....	38	42	29	36	39	27	62	64	54
Owner: Median value (dollars).....	11, 900	12, 900	8, 300	12, 230	13, 088	8, 695	6, 700	7, 800	5, 000
Renter: Median gross rent (dollars).....	71	73	55	73	75	58	58	61	27

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.

TABLE 34.—Occupancy Characteristics of Housing Units, by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Nonfarm: 1950 and 1960

Persons, persons per room and tenure	[Number of housing units in thousands]										Percent change 1950-60				
	1950 ¹					1960									
	Total		White		Nonwhite		Total		White			Nonwhite			
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent		Num-ber	Per-cent		
Persons per unit:															
Owner occupied.....	19,528	100	18,473	100	1,055	100	30,164	100	28,302	100	1,862	100	53	76	
1.....	1,426	7	1,341	7	85	8	2,720	9	2,531	9	189	10	89	122	
2.....	5,390	28	5,096	28	294	28	8,597	29	8,137	29	460	25	60	56	
3.....	4,491	23	4,289	23	202	19	5,844	19	5,510	19	334	18	28	65	
4.....	3,906	20	3,775	20	131	12	5,744	19	5,483	19	261	14	45	99	
5.....	2,265	11	2,142	11	123	12	3,761	12	3,560	13	201	11	66	63	
6.....	1,074	6	1,005	6	69	6	1,928	6	1,777	6	151	8	77	119	
7.....	488	2	428	3	60	6	826	3	790	3	96	5	71	60	
8 or more.....	488	2	397	2	91	9	745	2	575	2	170	9	45	87	
Median number of persons.....	3.1	-----	3.1	-----	3.2	-----	3.1	-----	3.1	-----	3.3	-----	-----	-----	
Renter occupied.....	17,098	100	15,160	100	1,938	100	19,294	100	16,311	100	2,983	100	8	54	
1.....	2,154	13	1,867	13	287	15	4,140	21	3,573	22	567	19	91	98	
2.....	5,096	30	4,587	31	509	26	5,261	27	4,682	28	679	23	0	33	
3.....	3,915	23	3,574	24	341	18	3,500	18	3,018	19	482	16	-16	41	
4.....	3,095	17	2,802	17	293	15	2,795	14	2,418	15	377	13	-14	29	

5	1,436	8	1,240	8	196	10	1,679	9	1,392	9	287	10	12	46
6	718	4	613	4	105	5	918	5	705	4	213	7	15	103
7	342	2	272	2	70	4	456	2	318	2	138	5	17	97
8 or more	342	2	205	1	137	7	545	3	306	2	239	8	49	74
Median number of persons	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0			
Persons per room:														
Owner occupied	19,528	100	18,473	100	1,055	100	30,164	100	28,302	100	1,862	100	53	76
1.00 or less	17,575	90	16,753	91	822	78	27,575	91	26,090	92	1,485	80	56	81
1.01 to 1.50	1,367	7	1,240	7	127	12	1,993	7	1,772	6	221	12	43	74
1.51 or more	586	3	480	3	106	10	595	2	439	2	156	9	-9	47
Renter occupied	17,098	100	15,160	100	1,938	100	19,294	100	16,311	100	2,983	100	8	54
1.00 or less	13,678	80	12,416	82	1,211	62	16,281	84	14,236	87	2,045	69	15	69
1.01 to 1.50	2,052	12	1,774	12	285	15	1,903	10	1,443	9	460	15	-19	61
1.51 or more	1,368	8	970	6	442	22	1,109	6	632	4	477	16	-35	8

1 From a special tabulation based on preliminary data. Number of units computed from percent distribution.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population, 1960 and 1960*.

TABLE 35.—Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's
	All occupied units.....	53,024	34,000	19,024	47,880	30,514	17,366	5,144	3,486
Units in structure:									
Owner occupied.....	32,797	20,036	12,761	30,823	18,812	12,012	1,974	1,224	749
1 detached.....	28,436	16,653	11,784	26,843	15,782	11,062	1,593	871	722
1 attached.....	1,526	1,202	264	1,334	1,083	250	192	179	14
2.....	1,443	1,179	264	1,333	1,076	257	110	103	7
3 and 4.....	456	382	74	409	337	72	47	45	2
5 or more.....	258	220	38	233	196	37	25	24	1
Trailer.....	677	340	337	670	337	333	7	3	4
Renter occupied.....	20,227	13,964	6,263	17,056	11,702	5,354	3,171	2,262	909
1 detached.....	7,891	3,485	4,406	6,626	2,974	3,652	1,265	511	754
1 attached.....	1,860	1,375	485	1,474	1,044	430	386	331	55
2.....	2,683	2,117	567	2,324	1,806	519	359	311	48
3 and 4.....	2,343	1,971	372	1,975	1,626	349	368	345	23
5 to 9.....	1,771	1,546	225	1,453	1,245	208	318	301	17
10 to 19.....	1,141	1,058	83	944	866	78	197	192	5
20 to 49.....	1,283	1,229	54	1,124	1,072	52	159	157	2
50 or more.....	1,165	1,138	27	1,051	1,025	26	114	113	1
Trailer.....	90	45	45	86	43	42	4	2	3

Year structure built:		32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749
Owner occupied.....		6, 056	4, 105	1, 951	5, 847	3, 985	1, 862	209	120	89
1955 to March 1960.....		5, 215	3, 634	1, 582	4, 998	3, 504	1, 494	217	130	88
1950 to 1954.....		5, 180	3, 231	1, 950	4, 829	3, 045	1, 785	351	186	165
1940 to 1949.....		16, 345	9, 067	7, 278	15, 149	8, 279	6, 871	1, 196	788	407
1939 or earlier.....										
Renter occupied.....		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3, 171	2, 262	909
1955 to March 1960.....								169	120	50
1950 to 1954.....								247	176	71
1940 to 1949.....								404	260	144
1939 or earlier.....								2, 350	1, 706	643
Persons:		32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749
Owner occupied.....		2, 886	1, 563	1, 323	2, 690	1, 460	1, 230	196	103	93
1 person.....		9, 436	5, 504	3, 932	8, 952	5, 206	3, 746	484	298	186
2 persons.....		6, 353	3, 971	2, 381	6, 001	3, 742	2, 259	352	229	122
3 persons.....		6, 163	4, 026	2, 137	5, 888	3, 839	2, 049	275	187	88
4 persons.....		4, 060	2, 629	1, 431	3, 847	2, 487	1, 361	213	142	71
5 persons.....		2, 116	1, 323	793	1, 956	1, 221	735	160	102	58
6 persons.....		924	552	372	820	490	330	104	62	42
7 persons.....		858	466	392	669	365	304	189	101	88
8 persons or more.....										
Median.....		3. 1	3. 2	3. 0	3. 1	3. 2	3. 0	3. 4	3. 4	3. 3

TABLE 35.—Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960—Continued

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909
1 person.....	4, 189	3, 225	965	3, 611	2, 780	832	578	445	133
2 persons.....	5, 423	3, 984	1, 439	4, 716	3, 450	1, 266	707	534	173
3 persons.....	3, 655	2, 515	1, 139	3, 152	2, 136	1, 015	503	379	124
4 persons.....	2, 967	1, 938	1, 029	2, 571	1, 646	925	396	292	104
5 persons.....	1, 818	1, 115	703	1, 512	899	614	306	216	89
6 persons.....	1, 013	588	425	782	435	347	231	153	78
7 persons.....	513	283	230	358	188	171	155	95	59
8 persons or more.....	650	317	333	355	170	185	295	147	148
Median.....	2. 6	2. 4	3. 1	2. 6	2. 4	3. 1	3. 1	2. 9	3. 7
Persons per room:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749
0.50 or less.....	15, 292	9, 038	6, 254	14, 507	8, 541	5, 966	785	497	288
0.51 to 0.75.....	7, 701	4, 976	2, 725	7, 311	4, 715	2, 596	390	261	129
0.76 to 1.00.....	6, 953	4, 479	2, 475	6, 570	4, 225	2, 345	353	254	130
1.01 to 1.50.....	2, 180	1, 254	925	1, 941	1, 113	827	239	141	98
1.51 or more.....	670	288	382	494	217	277	176	71	105

Renter occupied.....	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909
0.50 or less.....	6, 897	4, 888	2, 009	6, 099	4, 297	1, 802	798	591	207
0.51 to 0.75.....	4, 756	3, 378	1, 378	4, 189	2, 944	1, 245	567	434	133
0.76 to 1.00.....	5, 310	3, 719	1, 591	4, 547	3, 130	1, 417	763	589	174
1.01 to 1.50.....	2, 031	1, 288	743	1, 536	944	592	495	344	151
1.51 or more.....	1, 233	691	542	686	387	290	547	304	243
Year moved into unit:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	20, 036	12, 761	30, 823	18, 812	12, 012	1, 974	1, 224	749
1959 to March 1960.....	4, 012	2, 572	1, 440	3, 814	2, 432	1, 382	198	140	58
1958.....	2, 485	1, 607	879	2, 363	1, 521	843	122	86	36
1957.....	2, 314	1, 507	807	2, 192	1, 418	773	122	89	34
1954 to 1956.....	5, 898	3, 958	1, 939	5, 563	3, 718	1, 845	335	240	94
1950 to 1953.....	5, 337	3, 449	1, 887	5, 004	3, 224	1, 779	333	225	108
1940 to 1949.....	6, 870	3, 881	2, 989	6, 392	3, 612	2, 780	478	269	209
1939 or earlier.....	5, 881	3, 062	2, 819	5, 496	2, 887	2, 609	385	175	210
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	13, 964	6, 263	17, 056	11, 702	5, 354	3, 171	2, 262	909
1959 to March 1960.....	7, 774	5, 220	2, 554	6, 771	4, 481	2, 290	1, 003	739	264
1958.....	2, 633	1, 821	812	2, 221	1, 515	706	412	306	106
1957.....	1, 808	1, 265	543	1, 487	1, 027	460	321	238	83
1954 to 1956.....	2, 964	2, 105	858	2, 402	1, 697	704	562	408	154
1950 to 1953.....	1, 867	1, 305	562	1, 527	1, 075	452	340	230	110
1940 to 1949.....	1, 952	1, 389	563	1, 616	1, 165	451	336	224	112
1939 or earlier.....	1, 229	859	369	1, 032	743	289	197	116	80

n.s. = Not available.
Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Crises of Housing, 1960*.

TABLE 36.—Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
	All occupied units.....	53, 024	38, 320	14, 704	47, 880	34, 342	13, 538	5, 144	3, 978
Units in structure:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 334	10, 462	30, 823	20, 894	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	534
1 detached.....	28, 436	18, 627	9, 810	26, 843	17, 552	9, 292	1, 593	1, 075	518
1 attached.....	1, 526	1, 357	169	1, 334	1, 173	161	192	184	8
2.....	1, 443	1, 347	97	1, 333	1, 240	94	110	107	2
3 and 4.....	456	434	22	409	387	22	47	47	0
5 or more.....	258	241	17	233	217	16	25	24	0
Trailer.....	677	328	348	670	325	344	7	3	4
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	15, 986	4, 241	17, 056	13, 448	3, 609	3, 171	2, 538	632
1 detached.....	7, 891	4, 323	3, 568	6, 626	3, 640	2, 986	1, 265	683	582
1 attached.....	1, 860	1, 608	252	1, 474	1, 244	230	386	364	22
2.....	2, 683	2, 499	185	2, 324	2, 152	172	359	347	13
3 and 4.....	2, 343	2, 246	97	1, 975	1, 885	90	368	361	7
5 to 9.....	1, 771	1, 709	61	1, 453	1, 395	56	318	314	5
10 to 19.....	1, 141	1, 122	19	944	926	18	197	196	1
20 to 49.....	1, 283	1, 273	10	1, 124	1, 115	10	159	158	0
50 or more.....	1, 165	1, 161	4	1, 051	1, 047	4	114	114	0
Trailer.....	90	44	46	86	42	43	4	2	3

Year structure built:
Owner occupied.....

1955 to March 1960.....	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 805	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	533
1950 to 1954.....	6, 056	4, 150	1, 906	5, 847	4, 019	1, 828	209	131	78
1940 to 1949.....	5, 215	3, 853	1, 333	4, 998	3, 735	1, 264	217	148	69
1939 or earlier.....	5, 180	3, 576	1, 605	4, 829	3, 347	1, 483	351	229	122
	16, 345	10, 726	5, 619	15, 149	9, 794	5, 356	1, 196	932	263

Renter occupied.....

1955 to March 1960.....							3, 171	2, 538	632
1950 to 1954.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	169	133	36
1940 to 1949.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	247	203	44
1939 or earlier.....	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	404	302	102
	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 895	9, 929	2, 350	1, 900	450

Persons:

Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 895	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	533
1 person.....	2, 886	1, 953	933	2, 690	1, 814	876	196	139	57
2 persons.....	9, 436	6, 315	3, 122	8, 952	5, 954	2, 999	484	361	123
3 persons.....	6, 353	4, 401	1, 952	6, 001	4, 133	1, 869	352	268	83
4 persons.....	6, 163	4, 363	1, 800	5, 888	4, 151	1, 737	275	212	63
5 persons.....	4, 060	2, 819	1, 241	3, 847	2, 659	1, 188	213	160	53
6 persons.....	2, 116	1, 404	712	1, 956	1, 289	666	160	115	46
7 persons.....	924	584	340	820	513	307	104	71	33
8 persons or more.....	858	497	361	669	383	286	189	114	75
Median.....	3. 1	3. 2	3. 1	3. 1	3. 2	3. 1	3. 4	3. 3	3. 5

Renter occupied.....

1 person.....	4, 189	3, 732	457	3, 611	3, 227	383	578	505	74
2 persons.....	5, 423	4, 551	872	4, 716	3, 954	762	707	597	110
	20, 227	15, 986	4, 242	17, 056	13, 448	3, 610	3, 171	2, 538	632

TABLE 36.—Occupancy Characteristics, Units in Structure, and Year Structure Built for Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960—Continued

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Renter occupied—Continued									
3 persons.....	3,655	2,895	759	3,152	2,473	678	503	422	81
4 persons.....	2,967	2,212	756	2,571	1,887	685	396	325	71
5 persons.....	1,818	1,269	549	1,512	1,027	485	306	242	64
6 persons.....	1,013	663	350	782	490	292	231	173	58
7 persons.....	513	316	197	358	208	151	155	108	46
8 persons or more.....	650	348	302	355	183	172	295	165	130
Median.....	2.6	2.4	3.5	2.6	2.4	3.5	3.1	2.9	4.2
Persons per room:									
Owner occupied.....	32,797	22,335	10,462	30,823	20,895	9,929	1,974	1,440	533
0.50 or less.....	15,292	10,428	4,864	14,507	9,824	4,683	785	604	181
0.51 to 0.75.....	7,701	5,422	2,279	7,311	5,120	2,191	390	302	88
0.76 to 1.00.....	6,953	4,833	2,121	6,570	4,544	2,026	383	289	95
1.01 to 1.50.....	2,180	1,338	842	1,941	1,177	764	239	161	78
1.51 or more.....	670	313	357	494	229	265	176	84	92
Renter occupied.....	20,227	15,986	4,242	17,056	13,448	3,610	3,171	2,538	632
0.50 or less.....	6,897	5,648	1,249	6,099	4,977	1,122	798	671	127
0.51 to 0.75.....	4,756	3,855	901	4,189	3,373	817	567	482	84

0.76 to 1.00.....	5, 310	4, 243	1, 067	4, 547	3, 595	952	763	648	115
1.01 to 1.50.....	2, 031	1, 455	576	1, 536	1, 069	466	495	386	110
1.51 or more.....	1, 233	784	449	686	433	253	547	351	196
Year moved into unit:									
Owner occupied.....	32, 797	22, 335	10, 462	30, 823	20, 895	9, 929	1, 974	1, 440	533
1959 to March 1960.....	4, 012	2, 746	1, 266	3, 814	2, 593	1, 221	198	153	45
1958.....	2, 485	1, 731	755	2, 363	1, 637	727	122	94	28
1957.....	2, 314	1, 635	679	2, 192	1, 537	654	122	98	25
1954 to 1956.....	5, 898	4, 298	1, 600	5, 563	4, 033	1, 530	335	265	70
1950 to 1953.....	5, 337	3, 810	1, 526	5, 004	3, 553	1, 450	333	257	76
1940 to 1949.....	6, 870	4, 493	2, 377	6, 392	4, 156	2, 236	478	337	141
1939 or earlier.....	5, 881	3, 622	2, 259	5, 496	3, 385	2, 110	385	237	149
Renter occupied.....	20, 227	15, 986	4, 242	17, 056	13, 448	3, 610	3, 171	2, 538	632
1959 to March 1960.....	7, 774	6, 148	1, 626	6, 771	5, 322	1, 449	1, 003	826	177
1958.....	2, 633	2, 095	538	2, 221	1, 754	467	412	341	71
1957.....	1, 808	1, 443	366	1, 487	1, 178	310	321	265	56
1954 to 1956.....	2, 964	2, 374	590	2, 402	1, 918	484	562	456	106
1950 to 1953.....	1, 867	1, 465	402	1, 527	1, 202	324	340	263	78
1940 to 1949.....	1, 952	1, 530	422	1, 616	1, 277	339	336	253	83
1939 or earlier.....	1, 229	931	298	1, 032	797	236	197	134	62

n.a.=Not available.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Census of Housing, 1960*.

TABLE 37.—Selected Characteristics of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960

Subject	1960 ¹		1960		Percent change 1950 to 1960	
	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite	White	Nonwhite
	<i>Number of occupied units</i>					
Total.....	33, 633	2, 993	44, 613	4, 845	33	62
Owner occupied.....	18, 473	1, 055	28, 302	1, 862	53	76
Median number of persons.....	3.1	3.2	2.9	3.3	-----	-----
Median value (in dollars) ²	7, 700	3, 000	12, 230	6, 700	59	123
Renter occupied.....	15, 160	1, 938	16, 311	2, 983	8	54
Median number of persons.....	2.8	3.0	2.5	3.0	-----	-----
Median gross rent (in dollars).....	44	27	73	58	66	115
Percent of all occupied units.....	100	100	100	100	-----	-----
Owner occupied.....	55	35	63	38	-----	-----
Renter occupied.....	45	65	37	62	-----	-----
Sound with all plumbing facilities.....	75	33	82	46	-----	-----
With 1.01 or more persons per room.....	13	32	10	27	-----	-----

¹ From a special tabulation based on preliminary data.
² 1-unit nonfarm properties.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Censuses of Population and Housing, 1950 and 1960*.

TABLE 38.—Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States				White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
Value: 1	26, 172	19, 871	6, 301	24, 556	18, 599	5, 957	1, 616	1, 272	344	
Owner occupied:										
Less than \$5,000	3, 182	1, 452	1, 729	2, 595	1, 113	1, 481	587	339	248	
\$5,000 to \$7,400	3, 201	2, 056	1, 145	2, 883	1, 788	1, 095	318,	268	50	
\$7,500 to \$9,900	3, 546	2, 687	859	3, 310	2, 469	841	236	218	18	
\$10,000 to \$12,400	4, 095	3, 297	798	3, 913	3, 127	786	182	170	12	
\$12,500 to \$14,900	3, 538	2, 937	541	3, 424	2, 888	536	114	109	5	
\$15,000 to \$17,400	2, 841	2, 422	420	2, 767	2, 352	416	74	70	4	
\$17,500 to \$19,900	1, 882	1, 633	248	1, 843	1, 596	246	39	37	2	
\$20,000 to \$24,900	1, 900	1, 628	271	1, 864	1, 595	269	36	33	2	
\$25,000 to \$34,900	1, 227	1, 049	178	1, 208	1, 031	177	19	18	1	
\$35,000 or more	762	650	112	751	640	112	11	10	1	
Median (dollars)	11, 900	12, 900	8, 300	12, 230	13, 088	8, 695	6, 700	7, 800	5, 000	

TABLE 38.—*Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Urban and Rural: 1960—Continued*

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White *			Nonwhite †		
	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural	Total	Urban	Rural
		19, 294	15, 986	3, 308	16, 311	13, 448	2, 863	2, 983	2, 538
Gross rent:									
Renter occupied.....	1, 056	588	468	679	360	319	377	228	150
Less than \$30.....	1, 221	920	301	916	654	262	305	266	39
\$30 to \$39.....	1, 755	1, 430	324	1, 395	1, 006	300	359	334	25
\$40 to \$49.....	2, 245	1, 921	324	1, 870	1, 562	308	374	359	16
\$50 to \$59.....	2, 555	2, 257	298	2, 183	1, 894	288	373	362	10
\$60 to \$69.....	2, 350	2, 090	261	2, 047	1, 795	252	303	295	8
\$70 to \$79.....	3, 490	3, 159	330	3, 107	2, 786	321	383	373	10
\$80 to \$99.....	1, 693	1, 562	131	1, 537	1, 408	129	156	154	2
\$100 to \$119.....	1, 544	1, 438	106	1, 452	1, 352	100	92	86	6
\$120 or more.....	1, 386	622	764	1, 124	539	585	262	82	179
No cash rent.....									
Median (dollars).....	71	73	55	73	75	58	58	61	27

† 1-housing unit, properties without business.

* Incorporates revisions in distributions of gross rent, notes of which is given in the 1960 Census of Housing, United States Summary, vol. 1, p. LXXVI.

† Computed by H.H.F.A.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.

TABLE 39.—Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm, Housing Units, by Color of Occupants, United States: 1950 and 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	1950 ¹				1960				Percent change 1950-60					
	Total		White		Nonwhite		Total		White		Nonwhite			
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent		
Owner-occupied units ²	15,592		14,733		859		26,172		24,556		1,616		67	88
Value:														
Reporting:	14,699	100	13,900	100	799	100	26,172	100	24,556	100	1,616	100		
Less than \$5,000	4,057	28	3,503	25	570	71	3,182	12	2,595	11	587	36	-26	3
\$5,000-\$7,499	3,381	23	3,253	23	129	16	3,201	12	2,883	12	318	20	-11	147
\$7,500-\$9,999	2,719	19	2,669	19	42	5	3,546	14	3,310	13	236	15	24	462
\$10,000-\$12,499	2,925	20	2,863	21	50	6	4,095	16	3,913	16	182	11	156	492
\$12,500-\$14,999							3,538	14	3,424	14	114	7		
\$15,000-\$17,499							2,841	11	2,767	11	74	5		
\$17,500-\$19,999							1,882	7	1,843	8	39	2		
\$20,000-\$24,999	1,617	11	1,612	12	8	1	1,900	7	1,864	8	36	2	423	2,012
\$25,000-\$34,999							1,227	5	1,208	5	19	1		
\$35,000 or more							762	3	751	3	11	1		
Not reporting	893		833		60									
Median (in dollars)	7,400		7,700		3,000		11,900		12,230		6,700		59	123

TABLE 39.—Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units, by Color, United States: 1950 and 1960—Continued

[Number of units in thousands]

Subject	1950 ¹						1960						Percent change 1950-60	
	Total		White		Nonwhite		Total		White ²		Nonwhite ³		White	Non- white
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent		
Renter occupied units.....	17, 098		15, 160		1, 938		10, 294		16, 311		2, 983		+ 8	+ 54
Gross rent:														
With cash rent, reporting.....	14, 883	100	13, 227	100	1, 656	100	17, 908	100	15, 187	100	2, 721	100	± 15	+ 64
Less than \$30.....	3, 557	24	2, 712	21	868	52	1, 056	6	679	4	377	14	- 75	- 57
\$30-\$39.....	3, 036	20	2, 738	21	295	18	1, 221	7	916	6	305	11	- 67	+ 3
\$40-\$49.....	3, 021	20	2, 778	21	248	15	1, 755	10	1, 395	9	359	13	- 50	+ 45
\$50-\$59.....	2, 307	16	2, 182	17	118	7	2, 245	13	1, 870	12	374	14	- 14	+ 217
\$60-\$69.....							(2, 555)	14	2, 183	14	373	14		
\$70-\$79.....							(2, 350)	13	2, 047	14	303	11		
\$80-\$99.....	2, 962	20	2, 817	21	128	8	(3, 490)	19	3, 107	20	383	14	+ 267	+ 921
\$100-\$119.....							1, 693	9	1, 537	10	156	6		
\$120 or more.....							(1, 544)	9	1, 452	10	92	3		
No cash rent and not re- porting.....	2, 215		1, 933		282		1, 386		1, 124		262			
Median (in dollars).....	42		44		27		71		73		58		+ 66	+ 115

¹ From a special tabulation based on preliminary data. Distribution of number of units computed from percent distribution.² Housing unit properties without business.³ Incorporates revisions in distributions of gross rent, notice of which is given in the 1960 Census of Housing, United States Summary, vol. I, p. LXXXVI.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.

TABLE 40.—Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960

[Number of housing units in thousands]

Subject	United States			White			Nonwhite		
	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's
Value:									
Owner occupied.....	26,172	17,267	8,904	24,556	16,218	8,336	1,616	1,049	568
Less than \$5,000.....	3,182	970	2,211	2,595	768	1,826	587	202	385
\$5,000 to \$7,400.....	3,201	1,561	1,640	2,883	1,341	1,542	318	220	98
\$7,500 to \$9,900.....	3,546	2,176	1,370	3,310	1,978	1,332	236	198	38
\$10,000 to \$12,400.....	4,095	2,819	1,275	3,913	2,659	1,252	182	160	23
\$12,500 to \$14,900.....	3,638	2,705	832	3,424	2,600	823	114	105	9
\$15,000 to \$17,400.....	2,841	2,233	608	2,767	2,165	602	74	68	6
\$17,500 to \$19,900.....	1,882	1,554	328	1,843	1,518	325	39	36	3
\$20,000 to \$24,900.....	1,900	1,570	330	1,864	1,537	327	36	33	3
\$25,000 to \$34,900.....	1,227	1,027	201	1,208	1,009	200	19	18	1
\$35,000 or more.....	762	652	110	751	642	109	11	10	1
Median (dollars).....	11,900	13,500	8,600	12,280	13,810	9,002	6,700	8,800	5,000

TABLE 40.—Value and Gross Rent of Nonfarm Housing Units by Color of Occupants, for the United States, Inside and Outside SMSA's: 1960—Continued

[Number of housing units in thousands]


Subject	United States			White ¹			Nonwhite ²		
	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's	Total	Inside SMSA's	Outside SMSA's
Gross rent:	19, 294	13, 860	5, 434	16, 311	11, 611	4, 700	2, 983	2, 249	734
Renter occupied.....									
Less than \$30.....	1, 056	407	649	679	275	404	377	132	245
\$30 to \$39.....	1, 221	702	519	916	507	408	305	195	110
\$40 to \$49.....	1, 755	1, 146	609	1, 395	860	535	359	286	73
\$50 to \$59.....	2, 245	1, 588	656	1, 870	1, 259	611	374	329	45
\$60 to \$69.....	2, 555	1, 923	633	2, 183	1, 579	604	373	344	29
\$70 to \$79.....	2, 350	1, 830	521	2, 047	1, 546	502	303	284	19
\$80 to \$99.....	3, 490	2, 853	637	3, 107	2, 490	617	383	363	20
\$100 to \$119.....	1, 693	1, 449	244	1, 537	1, 299	238	156	150	6
\$120 or more.....	1, 544	1, 385	159	1, 452	1, 297	155	92	88	4
No cash rent.....	1, 386	577	808	1, 124	498	626	262	79	182
Median (dollars).....	71	75	58	73	77	61	58	64	33


¹ 1-housing unit properties without business.

² Incorporates revisions in distributions of gross rent, notice of which is given in the 1960 Census of Housing, United States Summary, vol. 1, p. LXXXVI.

³ Computed by HUD.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing, 1960.





728.1 :325 H68o July 1963 c.2

U.S. Housing and Home Finance
Agency.

Our nonwhite population and its
housing; the changes between...

DATE	ISSUED TO
7/8/76	<i>John C. Lemmon</i>
	8114 55613
	7/29/76